



# Study of the Natural Gas Rate Stabilization Act of 2005

South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff February 2019

#### Study of the Natural Gas Rate Stabilization Act of 2005

February 2019

Contact:

Matthew Schellinger mschellinger@ors.sc.gov

This document is available upon request by contacting the South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff

South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff

1401 Main Street Suite 900 Columbia, SC 29201 (803) 737-0800

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Executive Summary	2
South Carolina Natural Gas Rates	4
Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA)	5
Gas Weather Normalization Adjustment (gWNA)	6
Rate Stabilization Act (RSA)	7
ORS Recommendations	13
Cost of Service	13
Rate Design	14
Return on Equity	14
Overview of Innovative Mechanisms	15
Non-Volumetric Rates	15
Decoupling Mechanisms	15
Bad Debt Cost Recovery	15
Pension and OPEB Cost Recovery	15
Natural Gas Energy Efficiency Programs	16
State by State Comparison	17
ORS Data	17
American Gas Association Data	17
Attachment A	18
Attachment B	19



### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

On June 27, 2018, the Aiken County Legislative Delegation requested the South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff (ORS) review the Natural Gas Rate Stabilization Act of 2005 and make recommendations to the General Assembly. Specifically, the requested examination was outlined in the following language contained in the request from the Aiken County Legislative Delegation:

"From the funds appropriated and authorized in the current fiscal year, the Office of Regulatory Staff shall study the Natural Gas Rate Stabilization Act of 2005 and make recommendations to the General Assembly by February 5, 2019. The study shall include, but is not limited to, determining if the provisions of the Act are in the best interests of the ratepayers. The study will include a comparison of states that use innovative rates and tracking mechanisms to bill natural gas customers. Such comparison shall include, but is not limited to, the application and process, the usage of the Weather Normalization Adjustment, the benefits to the customer, and the approved rate of return." The ORS completed a study of the impacts of the Natural Gas Rate Stabilization Act of 2005 (RSA) mechanism on natural gas customers. The provisions of S.C. Code Ann. § 58-5-410 have been elected by both natural gas investor-owned natural gas utilities: South Carolina Electric & Gas Company (SCE&G), and Piedmont Natural Gas Company (Piedmont).

The ORS reviewed the three alternative rate mechanisms utilized in South Carolina and compared South Carolina's practices to the use of innovative rates and tracking mechanisms by other states. While other alternative rate mechanisms exist, South Carolina's use of the RSA accomplishes many of the goals embedded in other alternative rate mechanisms.

The ORS determined the mechanisms used to set rates for investor-owned natural gas utilities in South Carolina which include the RSA, Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA), and gas Weather Normalization Adjustment (gWNA), subject to the recommendations herein, are in the best interest of customers and preserve continued investment in and maintenance of utility facilities so as to provide reliable and high-quality utility services. The ORS determined the RSA could be improved to enhance transparency and accuracy and recommends the General Assembly consider the following modifications:

- 1. A more frequent review of the cost of service study for natural gas utilities;
- A change to the RSA statutory language to allow greater flexibility in rate design; and,
- 3. A limitation on the term of RSA election to no more than five years.

### SOUTH CAROLINA NATURAL GAS RATES

Alternative rate recovery mechanisms are implemented throughout the majority of the United States to encourage the replacement of aging infrastructure and ensure the safe and reliable delivery of natural gas. In South Carolina, the path to alternative rate recovery mechanisms began when the Public Service Commission of South Carolina (PSC) formally implemented the annual Purchased Gas Adjustment and Gas Purchasing Policy process for each of the two investor-owned natural gas utilities operating in South Carolina – Piedmont and SCE&G. These were approved by the PSC in 1987 and 1988.<sup>1</sup> Approval of the gWNA for both natural gas utilities followed in 1991.<sup>2</sup> Finally, the General Assembly ratified the RSA (S.C. Code Ann. § 58-5-400) in 2005.

Each of the three alternative rate mechanisms was designed to promote timelier rate recovery and investments in infrastructure, safety and reliability, and mitigate large swings in customer rates. According to the American Gas Association (AGA), South Carolina is one of twenty-three states that have implemented a gWNA mechanism.<sup>3</sup> In addition, South Carolina is one of nine states to implement the RSA as an alternative to traditional rate proceedings.<sup>4</sup> See Attachment B for the state-by-state overview of the implementation of PGA, gWNA and RSA as compiled by the AGA.

The ORS performed a comparison of natural gas rates in the southeastern United States to provide a range of the current bill amounts experienced by a residential natural gas customer in the winter months.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> SCE&G – PSC Order No. 1987-898 and Piedmont Order No. 1988-294.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> SCE&G – PSC Order No. 1991-971 and Piedmont Order No. 1991-1003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Amercian Gas Association, Innovative Rates, Non-Volumetric Rates, And Tracking Mechanisms: Current List, December 2016, pg. 12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> American Gas Association, Innovative Rates, Non-Volumetric Rates, And Tracking Mechanisms: Current List, December 2016, pg. 10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Based on Standard Residential Service for Winter at 100 therms. Does not include fees or taxes.

NG Utility	State	Basic Facilities Charge	Energy Charge	Rate per therm	Therms	Total Bill
SCE&G	SC	\$10.90	\$0	\$1.05594	100	\$116.49
Piedmont	SC	\$10.00	\$0	\$0.80572	100	\$90.57
PSNC	NC	\$10.00	\$0	\$1.00362	100	\$110.36
Piedmont	NC	\$10.00	\$0	\$1.09640	100	\$119.64
Piedmont	TN	\$17.45	\$0	\$0.86060	100	\$103.51
Florida Public Utilities	FL	\$11.00	\$0.49828	\$0.85000	100	\$145.83
SCANA Energy <sup>6</sup>	GA	\$44.10	\$0	\$0.59000	100	\$103.10

#### **Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA)**

It is common practice that natural gas utilities typically include in base per therm rates the cost of gas (including demand and transportation costs) the utilities purchase for distribution to customers. The cost of gas is not marked-up and provides no return to the natural gas utility.

Base rates allow natural gas utilities to recover only the cost of the gas purchased for distribution to customers. Natural gas utilities request base rate adjustments through a general rate proceeding before the PSC. Due to the frequent changes in the cost of gas and the continuous need to purchase gas, the cost of gas component embedded in base rates changes during the time between general rate proceedings. To mitigate these frequent cost fluctuations, a PGA allows a natural gas utility to charge customers for cost of gas changes on a more regular basis. The AGA indicates that every state in the US has some alternative mechanism to adjust for fluctuations in gas cost.

Both SCE&G and Piedmont have PSC-approved Gas Cost Recovery Mechanisms and an annual PGA proceeding. This allows the natural gas utilities to respond to cost

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Georgia is open for competition in the natural gas market.

fluctuations throughout the year. The PGA process requires the natural gas utility to file monthly reports with the PSC and the ORS which detail gas costs for each month, the amount of gas cost recovered each month, and amounts deferred from month to month. The ORS and other interested parties have an opportunity to review and challenge the utility's gas purchasing practices and cost of gas. The PSC holds annual hearings to ensure that natural gas utilities are prudently purchasing gas and implementing the gas cost recovery mechanism in compliance with the PSC order.

The PGA provides a balanced benefit to the natural gas utility and the customer by allowing timely adjustment of the cost of gas which mitigates large rate increases or decreases. The PGA process established by the PSC provides transparency to those adjustments through an annual review of the gas purchasing policies of the utilities and an opportunity for public participation during the annual hearing. The ORS determined the PGA benefits natural gas customers in South Carolina and preserves continued investment in and maintenance of utility facilities so as to provide reliable and high-quality utility services.

#### Gas Weather Normalization Adjustment (gWNA)

gWNA is a mechanism applied by many natural gas utilities to stabilize revenues and to reduce the impact of abnormal weather on customers' bills. Natural gas utilities recover most of the fixed cost to provide service through sales of natural gas which are sensitive to fluctuations in the weather. Because a significant portion of SCE&G's and Piedmont's fixed costs are not recovered through a base facilities charge, if the gWNA was not implemented, changes in weather could cause significant swings in customers' bills and trigger frequent general rate proceedings.

SCE&G's implementation of the gWNA is reflected as a charge applied to the customer's bill during warmer than normal bill cycles and a credit applied during those that are colder. The gWNA mechanism used by SCE&G adjusts the per therm rate for deviations from normal weather during the winter heating season which extends from November 1 through April 30. The ORS prepared an extensive review of the SCE&G gWNA at the

request of the Aiken Legislative Delegation in 2017. The 2017 ORS Review is available on the ORS website.<sup>7</sup>

Piedmont's implementation of the gWNA is reflected as a tracker within the PGA mechanism to determine if an over/under recovery adjustment is needed when adjusting rates. The gWNA mechanism used by Piedmont adjusts the over/under recovery of purchased gas for deviations from normal weather during the winter heating season which extends from November 1 through March 31.

gWNA reduces customers' bills in months with colder-than-normal temperatures (when customers' gas usage tends to be higher) and increases bills in months with warmerthan-normal temperatures (when customers' gas usage tends to be lower). As a result, gWNA mitigates the impact of abnormal weather on customers' bills and stabilizes the impact of abnormal weather on customers' bills and stabilizes the impact of abnormal weather on utility revenue. The ORS concludes the gWNA benefits natural gas customers in South Carolina and preserves continued investment in and maintenance of utility facilities so as to provide reliable and high-quality utility services.

### **Rate Stabilization Act (RSA)**

#### Legislative Background

The preamble, included by the General Assembly when it ratified the RSA in 2005, concisely outlined the public benefits to South Carolina associated with the implementation of an alternative rate mechanism. These important benefits included:

- Increase the stability and predictability of rates charged by natural gas distribution utilities in South Carolina;
- Provide the state's natural gas consumers and utilities with an efficient rate setting mechanism that will allow for more periodic yet generally smaller rate adjustments;
- Encourage investment in new, updated, and expanded natural gas infrastructure, to encourage additional economic development in South Carolina; and,
- 7

https://regulatorystaff.sc.gov/sites/default/files/Documents/Regulatory/electricNaturalGas/naturalGas/FINAL%20WNA%20Re port%209-20-17.pdf

• Reduce the costs of proceedings to adjust natural gas rates and reduce costs for consumers and the public.

#### **Statutory Requirements and Commission Procedure**

The RSA is an alternative rate mechanism available to any investor-owned natural gas utility. Both Piedmont and SCE&G have elected to have their natural gas rates set on an annual basis using the provisions of the RSA. The RSA provides a structure for on-going monitoring of the utility's financial and operating experience and earnings balanced with safeguards to allow annual audits and opportunities for interested stakeholders to comment and challenge utility rate adjustments.

To summarize, the RSA requires the following annual actions:

- The natural gas utility to file quarterly Monitoring Reports with the PSC for each 12month period ending on March 31, June 30, September 30, and December 31 of each year.<sup>8</sup>
- 2. The Monitoring Reports contain an extensive breakdown of the natural gas utility's financial and operating experience, rate base, capital structure, cost of capital/debt, accounting/pro-forma adjustments and earned return on equity.
- 3. An opportunity for interested parties to file comments on the Monitoring Report submitted by the utility by July 15 for the quarter ending March 31.
- 4. An audit by the ORS of the Monitoring Report submitted for the quarter ending March 31. The results of the ORS audit are published by September 1 of each year.
- 5. An opportunity for interested parties to comment on the ORS audit report by September 15 of each year.
- 6. An Order from the PSC, by no later than October 15, setting out any changes to the rates requested by the utility.
- 7. The rates as ordered by the PSC become effective in the first billing cycle of November.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The March 31 Monitoring Report must also contain detailed revenue adjusments and tariffs to reflect a change in rates as prescribed by S.C. Code Ann. § 58-5-440.

8. An opportunity for any aggrieved party to request the PSC review the order setting annual rates under the RSA and a right to be heard on the issues.

The RSA requires the PSC to set a financial baseline metric to include the rates, charges, revenues, expenses, capital structure, returns, and other matters approved by the PSC in the utility's most recent general rate proceeding. If the utility's last general rate proceeding occurred more than than five years before the election to operate under the RSA, the utility must complete a general rate proceeding to establish the financial baseline metric to be used in the RSA.

The financial baseline metric specifies the method for the utility to record and report its cost of service, revenue allocation, accounting and pro-forma adjustments and range of return on equity. Once the PSC establishes a financial baseline metric, the electing natural gas utility is required to adhere to the financial baseline metric to remain in compliance with the RSA. The ratemaking treatment for cost of service, revenue allocation, accounting/pro-forma adjustments and return on equity by the PSC is not adjusted until the utility's next general rate proceeding.

#### Piedmont's Application of the RSA

On April 26, 2005, Piedmont elected to have rates set in accordance with the provisions of the RSA.<sup>9</sup> On September 28, 2005, the PSC issued Order No. 2005-491 to establish a financial baseline metric for Piedmont and address the procedure for Piedmont to implement the annual RSA.

The finanical baseline metric established by the PSC for Piedmont utilized the results of the last general rate case approved in 2002.<sup>10</sup> In 2002, the PSC approved a return on equity of 12.6%. Under the RSA procedures approved by the PSC in 2005, the range of return on equity for Piedmont was established as 12.1% - 13.1%. During the audit and review period, if the natural gas utility's return on equity is above or below the range of the return on equity set by the PSC, the utility is required to adjust natural gas rates to bring the utility's annual revenue requirement to a level sufficient to produce a return on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> PSC Docket No. 2005-125-G

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> PSC Docket No. 2002-63-G; Order No. 2002-761

equity in the middle of the range. For Piedmont, the middle of the approved range of return on equity is 12.6%.

Historically, the PSC approved a rate adjustment in the RSA for Piedmont that reduced the return on equity to 10.2% instead of 12.6%. These savings have been achieved due to mutual settlements between Piedmont and the ORS which resolve certain accounting, capital structure and return on equity issues.

The following table demonstrates the requested and approved rate changes for Piedmont since 2014:

Docket No.	Order No.	Amount Requested	Proposed Settlement Revenue	PSC Approved Revenue	Approved Return on Equity	Rates Effective
2014-7-G	2014-822	(\$2,620,770)	(\$7,084,417)	(\$7,084,417)	10.20%	11/1/2014
2015-7-G	2015-732	\$8,994,755	\$3,898,604	\$3,898,604	10.20%	11/1/2015
2016-7-G	2016-705	\$14,910,332	\$7,655,078	\$7,655,078	10.20%	11/1/2016
2017-7-G	2017-624	\$17,552,834	\$5,829,357	\$5,829,357	10.20%	11/1/2017
2018-7-G	2018-679	(\$5,686,837)	(\$13,855,623)	(\$13,855,623)	10.20%	11/1/2018

#### SCE&G's Application of the RSA

On April 26, 2005, SCE&G filed a general rate proceeding for its natural gas operations and a request electing to set future natural gas rates in accordance with the RSA.<sup>11</sup> On October 31, 2005, the PSC approved a rate change and established the financial baseline metric for SCE&G to implement the annual RSA for future rate adjustments.<sup>12</sup> Under the RSA procedures approved by the PSC, the range of return on equity for SCE&G was established as 9.75% - 10.75%. During the audit and review period, if the natural gas utility's return on equity is above or below the range of the return on equity set by the PSC, the utility is required to adjust natural gas rates to bring the utility's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> PSC Docket No. 2005-113-G

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> PSC Order No. 2005-619

annual revenue requirement to a level sufficient to produce a return on equity in the middle of the range. For SCE&G, the middle of the approved range of return on equity is 10.25%.

The following table demonstrates the requested and approved rate changes for SCE&G since 2014:

Docket No.	Order No.	Amount Requested	ORS Proposed Revenue	PSC Approved Revenue	Approved Return on Equity	Rates Effective
2014-6-G	2014-821	(\$2,997,170)	(\$2,647,323)	(\$2,647,323)	10.25%	11/1/2014
2015-6-G <sup>13</sup>	2015-731	\$0	\$0	\$0	9.85%	11/1/2015
2016-6-G	2016-704	\$4,386,695	\$4,086,147	\$4,086,147	10.25%	11/1/2016
2017-6-G	2017-623	\$9,022,098	\$8,633,538	\$8,633,538	10.25%	11/1/2017
2018-6-G	2018-678	(\$18,737,191)	(\$19,716,936)	(\$19,716,936)	10.25%	11/1/2018

#### Benefits of the RSA

In general, the RSA primarily benefits the natural gas utility by decreasing regulatory lag, facilitating timely recovery on new infrastructure investments and decreasing revenue uncertainty. Natural gas customers benefit from the RSA as it protects against extreme rate volatility and reduces the frequency and duration of rate proceedings which translates into less cost for the customer.

As evidenced by the reductions to natural gas rates in 2014 and 2018, customers benefit greatly by a timely rate adjustment versus the traditional rate setting practice which allows the natural gas utility to select the time interval for a rate adjustment. Overall, the RSA provides greater transparency of the financial and operating experience of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> In 2015, SCE&G was earning a return on equity of 9.85% which is within the range approved by the PSC. No adjustment in rates was necessary.

utility. It is important to note no empirical study has been conducted in South Carolina to quantify the benefits of the RSA to the utility and natural gas customer.

#### **Disadvantages of the RSA**

Under the procedures approved by the PSC, the natural gas utilities and the ORS coordinate to achieve the ambitious deadlines prescribed by the RSA. The timeline for the ORS audit review begins on June 15 when the utilities submit the March 31 Monitoring Report. The ORS has approximately seventy-five days in which to conduct an audit and file comments related to the Monitoring Report. A traditional rate case proceeding (non-RSA) for electric and water/wastewater utilities provides approximately one hundred twenty days for audit review and testimony preparation. This time constraint is reasonably mitigated by utility preparation of detailed quarterly Monitoring Reports, on-going review by the ORS, and utility cooperation.

Another drawback of the RSA is that it does not contain a provision to "reset" the financial baseline metric for the natural gas utility unless the utility requests its rates be adjusted in a general rate proceeding. The RSA does contain a provision that allows the PSC to issue, or an interested party to request issuance of, a rule to show cause why a full rate proceeding should not be initiated.<sup>14</sup> No rule to show cause has been initiated since the election of the RSA by Piedmont or SCE&G.

It would be beneficial to natural gas customers to require the utility to have its financial baseline metric reviewed and adjusted no less than once every five years. The financial baseline metric established for Piedmont in 2005 relies upon a snapshot of the utility's financial and operating experience in 2001. Likewise, the financial baseline metric established for SCE&G was based on a financial and operating experience of the utility in 2004. While the RSA annually examines and adjusts for the changes in revenue and expenses, circumstances that impact the cost of service, revenue allocation, capital structure and return on equity are not adjusted in the RSA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> S.C. Code Ann. § 58-5-470

### **ORS RECOMMENDATIONS**

The ORS examined several recommendations which could improve the RSA. These recommendations include:

- Updated Cost of Service;
- Mechanisms for incorporating changes in Rate Design; and,
- Updated Return on Equity.

#### **Cost of Service**

As discussed earlier in the study, the cost of service is a component of the financial baseline metric used in the RSA. To determine the cost of service, a utility performs a Cost of Service Study (COSS) which allocates – or directly assigns – the operating revenues, operating expenses, and rate base items to each customer class, which are then used to determine the rate of return for each class. Specifically, the COSS identifies the cost responsibility for expenses and rate base items that should be allocated to the customer class(es) causing the cost to be incurred. This allocation methodology is referred to as "cost causation."

The major components utilized in the development of a COSS are functionalization, classification, and allocation. Functionalization is the process of categorizing investments and operating costs according to their function which is either, production, gathering, transmission, or distribution. Classification further groups these costs based on the service being provided and related causation of the costs, namely demand—related, commodity-related or customer-related. These costs are then allocated based upon cost causation principles.

The RSA limits the ability of the utility, the ORS and other interested parties' to review or recommend changes to the cost of service beyond those financial baseline metrics approved by the PSC in the last general rate proceeding. Over time and as the utility makes new investments, the cost of service will change. The COSS should be updated on a more regular basis than the RSA currently allows. A more frequent review of the COSS for natural gas utilities can provide greater transparency and prevent cost shifting between classes of customers.

### **Rate Design**

The RSA does not allow for changes to rate design because of the following language contained in S.C. Code Ann. § 58-5-440:

The proposed rate changes, filed by the utility, shall conform as nearly as practicable with the revenue allocation principles contained in the most recent rate order.

This language restricts the utility, the ORS, and other interested parties' ability to recommend changes to rate design and revenue allocation. The restrictive language also limits the PSC's ability to modify rate design and revenue allocation. Rate changes, including the addition or deletion of customer classes, should be periodically reviewed by the PSC to ensure utility rate design meets the policy objectives of South Carolina.

#### **Return on Equity**

In the RSA, S.C. Code Ann. § 58-5-420(1) requires the PSC set a specific range of return on equity. The RSA does not allow further adjustment to the range of return on equity after the natural gas utility elects to set rates in accordance with the RSA. Only through voluntary adjustment by the utility can the return on equity be set below the PSC approved range. This restriction provides great revenue certainty to the utility. However, the customer may be subjected to rates higher than necessary due to an inflexible range of return on equity set under different market conditions.

The ORS recommends consideration be given to limit a natural gas utility's election under the RSA to a maximum term of five years. Six months prior to the expiration of the RSA term, the utility shall participate in a general rate proceeding in order to reset the financial baseline metric including cost of service, revenue allocation, rate design and return on equity contained in the RSA election. Reviewing the financial baseline metric may benefit both the customer and the utility.

### **OVERVIEW OF INNOVATIVE MECHANISMS**

#### **Non-Volumetric Rates**

Straight-Fixed Variable ("SFV") Rate designs – currently utilized in nine states – are another way that states have worked to address this alternative rate mechanisms. These structures eliminate all variable distribution charges and enable cost recovery through a fixed delivery services charge or an increase in the fixed customer charge alone. Under this approach, it is assumed that a utility's revenues would be unaffected by changes in sales levels if all its overhead or fixed costs are recovered in the fixed portion of customers' bills.

#### **Decoupling Mechanisms**

A decoupling mechanism is a rate adjustment mechanism that separates (decouples) a gas utility's fixed recovery from the amount of gas it sells. Decoupling permits utilities to collect revenues based on the regulatory-determined revenue requirement, most often on a per customer basis. On a periodic basis, revenues are "trued-up" to the predetermined revenue requirement using an automatic rate adjustment.

#### **Bad Debt Cost Recovery**

A typical bad debt mechanism allows for the recovery of costs that are usually outside of the control of the utility, such as taxes and the cost of gas. These tracking mechanisms are implemented without the need for a rate case and ensure that the utility is made whole. Both higher-than-forecast and lower-than-forecast bad debt expenses are tracked in a special account and subsequently recovered in the rates of all customers.

#### Pension and OPEB Cost Recovery

As pension expenses can fluctuate annually, utilities do not always recover costs that they actually incur and record in their accounts. Under-recovered pension expenses can lead to additional recording of pension liabilities and a loss of income. Several rate design options are available to assist in addressing this issue, including cost tracking mechanisms and rate stabilization mechanisms – which recover costs in the time period in which they are incurred – and deferral accounts, which delay the recovery of expenses, and usually carrying costs, until a future period.

### **Natural Gas Energy Efficiency Programs**

For utilities without decoupling, SFV rate design or a rate stabilization mechanism in place, other states have approved methods for recovering the costs associated with the deployment of energy efficiency measures. This is generally accomplished through the establishment of an automatic rate adjustment mechanism that is used to annually reconcile program costs outside of a general rate case.

### **STATE BY STATE COMPARISON**

### **ORS** Data

The ORS compiled a listing of states that have implemented alternative rate mechanisms. This listing was last updated on January 29, 2019, and contains state-by-state data on innovative rate mechanism type and recent published return on equity for natural gas utilities. The ORS data is included as Attachment A.

### American Gas Association Data

The most current data available on alternative rate mechanisms is contained in a slide deck prepared by the AGA. Even though the material is dated December 2016, the data has not materially changed from its original publication. The AGA data is included as Attachment B.

### **ATTACHMENT A**

### State-by-State Comparison of Alternative Rate Mechanisms Last Update January 29, 2019

State	RSA / Decoupling	SFV	gWNA	PGA	Return on Equity
Alabama - AL	Х		Х		10.80%
Alaska - AK	Х				11.88%
Arizona - AZ	Х		Х	Х	9.50%
Arkansas - AR	Х		Х		9.40%
California - CA	Х			Х	10.40%
Colorado - CO			Х		9.50%
Connecticut - CT	Х				9.25%
Delaware - DE		Х	Х		9.70%
Florida - FL		Х		Х	10.19%
Georgia - GA	Х	Х	Х		10.75%
Hawaii - HI	Х				Unpublished
Idaho - ID	Х		Х		9.50%
Illinois - IL			Х	Х	9.80%
Indiana - IN	Х		Х		10.20%
Iowa - IA					9.56%
Kansas - KS			Х		9.80%
Kentucky - KY	Х		Х		10.40%
Louisiana - LA	Х		Х		Unpublished
Maine - ME	Х				9.50%
Maryland - MD	Х		Х		9.65%
Massachusetts - MA	Х				9.60%
Michigan - MI	Х		Х		10.30%
Minnesota - MN	Х				9.49%
Mississippi - MS	Х		Х		Unpublished
Missouri - MO		Х	Х		9.70%
Montana - MT		X			9.55%
Nebraska - NE		Х	X		Unpublished
Nevada - NV	X				Unpublished
New Hampshire - NH	X			X	9.30%
New Jersey - NJ	X		X		9.75%
New Mexico - NM	N N				Unpublished
New York - NY	X		X		9.00%
North Carolina - NC	X	V	У		9.70%
North Dakota - ND	X	X	X		9.50%
Ohio - OH	V	X	У		9.84%
Okianoma - Ok	X	X	X		9.50%
Oregon - OR	X Danding		X		9.40%
Pennsylvania - PA	Pending		X		9.80%
Knode Island - Kl	X V		X		9.28%
South Carolina - SC	Ă		<u>Х</u>	X	
	V				
Tennessee - TN	Å V	V			
Texas - TX	Å V	Å	X V		9.60%
Utan - UI	X		X		9.85%

### State-by-State Comparison of Alternative Rate Mechanisms Last Update January 29, 2019

State	RSA / Decoupling	SFV	gWNA	PGA	Return on Equity
Vermont - VT				Х	Unpublished
Virginia - VA	Х		Х		9.75%
Washington - WA	Х		Х	Х	9.50%
West Virginia - WV			Х	Х	9.75%
Wisconsin - WI				Х	10.00%
Wyoming - WY	Х				9.90%

### **ATTACHMENT B**



## Innovative Rates, Non-Volumetric Rates, and Tracking Mechanisms: Current List

As of December 2016



## States with Accelerated Infrastructure Cost Recovery



## Utilities with Full Infrastructure Cost Recovery Mechanisms

- 1. AL Alabama Gas Company
- 2. AL Mobile Gas Service
- 3. AR Arkansas Oklahoma Gas
- 4. AR -- SourceGas
- 5. AR CenterPoint Energy
- 6. CA San Diego Gas and Electric
- 7. CA Southern California Gas
- 8. CA Southwest Gas
- 9. CO Public Service Co. of Colorado
- 10. CO Atmos Energy
- 11. CO -- SourceGas
- 12. CT Connecticut Natural Gas
- 13. DC Washington Gas
- 14. FL Chesapeake Utilities
- 15. FL Florida Public Utilities Company
- 16. FL Florida City Gas
- 17. FL TECO Peoples Gas
- 18. GA Atlanta Gas Light
- 19. GA Liberty Utilities
- 20. IL Ameren Illinois
- 21. IL NICOR Gas
- 22. IL Peoples Gas
- 23. IN Vectren North Indiana Gas
- 24. IN Vectren South SIGECO
- 25. IN NIPSCO
- 26. KS Atmos Energy
- 27. KS Black Hills
- 28. KS Kansas Gas Service
- 29. KY Atmos Energy
- 30. KY Columbia Gas of Kentucky
- 31. KY Delta Natural Gas
- 32. KY Duke Energy Kentucky
- 33. LA CenterPoint Energy
- 34. LA Entergy Gulf States
- 35. MA—Berkshire Gas

- 36. MA Columbia Gas of Massachusetts 66.
- 37. MA National Grid Massachusetts
- 38. MA Eversource Energy
- 39. MA Liberty Utilities
- 40. MA—Unitil
- 41. MD Baltimore Gas and Electric
- 42. MD Columbia Gas of Maryland
- 43. MD Washington Gas
- 44. MI Consumers Energy
- 45. MI DTE
- 46. MI SEMCO Energy
- 47. MN Xcel Energy
- 48. MO Ameren Missouri
- 49. MO Liberty Utilities
- 50. MO Laclede Gas
- 51. MO Missouri Gas Energy
- 52. MS Atmos Energy
- 53. MS CenterPoint Energy
- 54. NC Piedmont Natural Gas
- 55. NC Public Service of North Carolina
- 56. NH Liberty Utilities
- 57. NJ New Jersey Natural
- 58. NJ Elizabethtown Gas
- 59. NJ Public Service Electric and Gas
- 60. NJ South Jersey Gas
- 61. NV Southwest Gas
- 62. OH Columbia Gas of Ohio
- 63. OH Dominion East Ohio
- 64. OH Duke Energy
- 65. OH Vectren Ohio

- OK CenterPoint Energy
- 67. OR Avista Corp.
- 68. OR NW Natural
- 69. PA Columbia Gas of Pennsylvania
- 70. PA Equitable Gas
- 71. PA Peoples Gas Company
- 72. PA Peoples TWP
- 73. PA UGI Central Penn Gas
- 74. PA UGI Penn Natural Gas
- 75. PA PECO
- 76. PA Philadelphia Gas Works
- 77. RI National Grid Narragansett Gas
- 78. SC Piedmont Natural Gas
- 79. SC South Carolina Electric and Gas
- 80. TN Atmos Energy
- 81. TN Piedmont Natural Gas
- 82. TX Atmos Energy
- 83. TX CenterPoint Energy
- 84. TX Texas Gas Service
- 85. UT Questar Gas
- 86. VA Atmos Energy
- 87. VA Columbia Gas of Virginia
- 88. VA Virginia Natural Gas
- 89. VA Washington Gas
- 90. WA Avista Corporation
- 91. WA Puget Sound Energy, Inc.
- 92. WA Cascade Natural Gas Company
- 93. WA Northwest Natural Gas Company
- 94. WV Mountaineer Gas Company
- 95. WV- Dominion Hope
- 96. WY– Black Hills

### Limited and Pending Infrastructure Mechanisms

#### LIMITED – 3 States

- 1. AZ Southwest Gas
- 2. ME Northern Utilities
- 3. NY Consolidated Edison
- 4. NY Corning Natural Gas
- 5. NY National Grid NYC
- 6. NY National Grid Long Island
- 7. NY National Grid Niagara Mohawk
- 8. NY Orange and Rockland

#### **PENDING – 3 States**

- 1. KS All utilities
- 2. NJ Elizabethtown Gas
- 3. NY Consolidated Edison
- 4. NY All utilities

### GENERIC RULINGS OR LEGISLATION – 3 States

- 1. Iowa All utilities may apply
- 2. Nebraska All utilities may apply
- 3. West Virginia All utilities may apply

## States with Non-Volumetric Rate Designs



## Current Status of Decoupling Mechanisms



## **Utilities with Approved Decoupling Mechanisms**

- 1. AR Arkansas Oklahoma Gas
- 2. AR SourceGas
- 3. AR CenterPoint Energy
- 4. AZ Southwest Gas
- 5. AZ UNS Gas
- 6. CA Pacific Gas and Electric
- 7. CA San Diego Gas and Electric
- 8. CA Southern California Gas
- 9. CA Southwest Gas
- 10. CT Connecticut Natural Gas
- 11. GA Liberty Utilities
- 12. ID Avista
- 13. IL Ameren Illinois
- 14. IL Peoples Gas
- 15. IL North Shore Gas
- 16. IN- Citizens Energy Group
- 17. IN Vectren North Indiana Gas
- 18. IN Vectren South SIGECO
- 19. MA Columbia Gas of Massachusetts48.
- 20. MA Fitchburg Gas and Electric
- 21. MA National Grid Massachusetts
- 22. MA Eversource Energy
- 23. MA Liberty Utilities
- 24. MD Baltimore Gas and Electric
- 25. MD Columbia Gas of Maryland
- 26. MD Washington Gas
- 27. MI—Consumers Energy
- 28. MI DTE
- 29. MN CenterPoint Energy

- 30. MN Minnesota Energy Resources
- 31. NC Piedmont Natural Gas
- 32. NC Public Service Company of North Carolina
- 33. NJ New Jersey Natural Gas
- 34. NJ South Jersey Gas
- 35. NV Southwest Gas
- 36. NY Corning Natural Gas
- 37. NY National Grid NYC
- 38. NY National Grid Long Island
- 39. NY National Grid Niagara Mohawk
- 40. NY National Fuel Distribution
- 41. NY New York State Electric and Gas
- 42. NY Orange and Rockland
- 43. NY Rochester Gas and Electric
- 44. NY Central Hudson Gas and Electric
- 45. OR Avista Corp.
- 46. OR Cascade Natural Gas
- 47. OR Northwest Natural Gas
  - RI National Grid Narragansett
- 49. TN Chattanooga Gas
- 50. UT Questar Gas
- 51. VA Columbia Gas of Virginia
- 52. VA Virginia Natural Gas
- 53. VA Washington Gas
- 54. WA Avista Corp.
- 55. WA Cascade Natural Gas
  - 5. WA Puget Sound Energy
- 57. WY SourceGas
- 58. WY Questar Gas

#### Pending Mechanisms

- 1. DC Washington Gas
- 2. DE Delmarva Power and Light
- 3. ID Intermountain Gas
- 4. MI Consumers Energy
- 5. NH Passed Legislation
- 6. VA Washington Gas

## Current Status of Flat Monthly Fee Rate Designs (SFV)



## Utilities with Flat Monthly Fee Rate Designs (SFV)

### **Approved SFV**

- 1. GA Atlanta Gas Light Individually determined monthly demand charge
- 2. MO Missouri Gas Energy Flat monthly fee
- 3. ND Montana-Dakota Utilities
- 4. ND Xcel Energy Flat monthly fee
- 5. OH Columbia Gas of Ohio Flat monthly fee
- 6. OH Dominion East Ohio Flat monthly fee
- 7. OH Duke Energy Flat monthly fee
- 8. OH Vectren Ohio Flat monthly fee

### **Similar to SFV**

- 1. FL TECO Peoples Gas Three-tier monthly charge plus a small variable charge
- 2. IL Ameren Illinois 80% revenue for Residential and Small GS Customers per flat fee plus small variable charge
- 3. IL Nicor Gas Flat fee plus a small variable charge
- 4. MO Ameren Modified rate blocks for Residential Service customers
- 5. MO Liberty Utilities Flat fee plus a small variable charge
- 6. MO Laclede Gas Modified rate blocks
- 7. NE Black Hills Declining rate blocks
- 8. NE SourceGas Modified rate blocks
- 9. OK Oklahoma Natural Gas Two-tier plan Offers customers a choice
- 10. TX Texas Gas Service Flat fee up to 200 ccf/month

### Pending

1. DE – Delmarva Power and Light

## **Current Status of Rate Stabilization Tariffs**



### **Current Status of Rate Stabilization Tariffs**

### Approved

- 1. AL Alabama Gas
- 2. AL Mobile Gas
- 3. AR CenterPoint Energy
- 4. GA Liberty Utilities
- 5. LA Atmos Energy
- 6. LA CenterPoint Energy
- 7. LA Entergy
- 8. MS Atmos Energy
- 9. MS CenterPoint Energy
- 10. OK CenterPoint Energy
- 11. OK Oklahoma Natural Gas
- 12. SC Piedmont Natural Gas
- 13. SC South Carolina Electric and Gas
- 14. TN Atmos Energy
- 15. TX Atmos Energy

### **Authorized by Legislation**

1. Arkansas

## **Current Status of Weather Normalization Adjustments**



## Utilities with Approved Weather Normalization Adjustments

- 1. AZ Southwest Gas
- 2. AL Alabama Gas
- 3. AL Mobile Gas
- 4. AR SourceGas
- 5. AR CenterPoint Energy
- 6. GA Liberty Utilities
- 7. IN Citizens Energy Group
- 8. IN Vectren North Indiana Gas
- 9. IN Vectren South SIGECO
- 10. KS Atmos Energy
- 11. KS Black Hills
- 12. KS Kansas Gas Service
- 13. KY Atmos Energy
- 14. KY Columbia Gas of Kentucky
- 15. KY Delta Natural Gas
- 16. KY Louisville Gas and Electric
- 17. LA Atmos Louisiana Gas Service
- 18. LA Atmos Trans Louisiana
- 19. LA CenterPoint Energy
- 20. MD Chesapeake Utilities
- 21. MD Columbia Gas of Maryland
- 22. MS Atmos Energy
- 23. MS CenterPoint Energy
- 24. ND Montana-Dakota Utilities
- 25. NJ Elizabethtown Gas
- 26. NJ New Jersey Natural Gas
- 27. NJ Public Service Electric and Gas
- 28. NY Central Hudson Gas and Electric
- 29. NY Consolidated Edison
- 30. NY National Fuel Gas Distribution

- 31. NY National Grid Long Island
- 32. NY National Grid Niagara Mohawk
- 33. NY National Grid NYC
- 34. NY New York State Electric and Gas
- 35. NY Orange and Rockland Utilities
- 36. NY Rochester Gas and Electric
- 37. OK CenterPoint Energy
- 38. OK Oklahoma Natural Gas
- 39. OR Northwest Natural Gas
- 40. PA Columbia Gas of Pennsylvania
- 41. PA Philadelphia Gas Works
- 42. SC Piedmont Natural Gas
- 43. SC South Carolina Electric and Gas
- 44. SD Montana-Dakota Utilities
- 45. TN Atmos Energy
- 46. TN Chattanooga Gas
- 47. TN Piedmont Natural Gas
- 48. TX Atmos Energy
- 49. TX Texas Gas Service
- 50. UT Questar Gas
- 51. VA Atmos Energy
- 52. VA City of Richmond Dept. of Public Utilities
- 53. VA Columbia Gas of Virginia
- 54. VA Roanoke Natural Gas
- 55. VA Southwestern Virginia Natural Gas
- 56. VA Virginia Natural Gas
- 57. VA Washington Gas

## Current Status of Bad Debt Cost Recovery



# **Utilities with Bad Debt**

### **Cost Recovery**

- 1. CT Connecticut Natural Gas
- 2. CT Southern Connecticut Natural Gas
- 3. CT Yankee Gas
- 4. DC Washington Gas
- 5. IL Ameren Illinois
- 6. IL Peoples Gas
- 7. IL North Shore Gas
- 8. IL Nicor Gas
- 9. IN Citizens Energy Group
- 10. IN NIPSCO
- 11. IN Vectren North Indiana Gas
- 12. IN Vectren South SIGECO
- 13. KS Atmos Energy
- 14. KS Black Hills
- 15. KS Kansas Gas Service
- 16. KY Atmos Energy
- 17. KY Columbia Gas of Kentucky
- 18. KY Delta Natural Gas
- 19. KY Duke Energy
- 20. LA CenterPoint Energy
- 21. MA Columbia Gas of Massachusetts
- 22. MA National Grid
- 23. MA NSTAR Gas
- 24. MD Baltimore Gas and Electric
- 25. MD Washington Gas
- 26. ME Northern Utilities
- 27. MI DTE
- 28. MI Michigan Gas Utilities
- 29. MS CenterPoint Energy
- 30. NC Piedmont Natural Gas

- 31. NE Black Hills
- 32. NE SourceGas
- 33. NH Liberty Utilities
- 34. NH Northern Utilities
- 35. NV Southwest Gas
- 36. NY Central Hudson Gas and Electric
- NY Consolidated Edison
- 38. NY National Fuel Gas Distribution
- 39. NY National Grid Long Island
- 40. NY National Grid Niagara Mohawk
- 41. NY National Grid NYC
- 42. NY New York State Electric and Gas
- 43. NY Orange and Rockland Utilities
- 44. OH Columbia Gas of Ohio
- 45. OH Dominion East Ohio
- 46. OH Eastern Natural Gas
- 47. OH Pike Natural Gas
- 48. OH Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio
- 49. OK CenterPoint Energy
- 50. OK Oklahoma Natural Gas
- 51. RI National Grid
- 52. SC Piedmont Natural Gas
- 53. SC South Carolina Electric and Gas
- 54. TN Atmos Energy
- 55. TN Chattanooga Gas
- 56. TN Piedmont Natural Gas
- 57. TX Atmos Energy
- 58. TX Texas Gas Service
- 59. UT Questar Gas
- 60. VA Washington Gas

- 61. VA Atmos Energy
- 62. VA Columbia Gas of Virginia
- 63. VA Virginia Natural Gas
- 64. WI Wisconsin Gas

## Current Status of Pension and OPEB Cost Recovery



## **Utilities with Pension and OPEB Cost Recovery**

- CA San Diego Gas and Electric 1.
- 2. CA – Southern California Gas
- CO Public Service Company of CO (Xcel) 27. OK Oklahoma Natural Gas 3.
- DC Washington Gas 4.
- 5. KS – Atmos Energy
- KS- Black Hills 6.
- 7. KS – Kansas Gas Service
- 8. LA Atmos Energy
- 9. LA – CenterPoint Energy
- MA Columbia Gas of Massachusetts 10
- MA Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Co. 35. WI Wisconsin Power and Light 11.
- MA National Grid 12.
- MA NSTAR Gas Co. 13.
- 14. MD Baltimore Gas and Electric Co.
- 15. MI – DTE
- 16. MO Ameren Missouri
- 17. MO Laclede Gas
- 18. MO Missouri Gas Energy
- 19. MS Atmos Energy
- 20. MS CenterPoint Energy
- 21. NY Central Hudson Gas and Electric
- NY Consolidated Edison
- 23. NY Orange and Rockland Utilities
- NY National Grid NYC 24.

- 25. OH Columbia Gas of Ohio
- 26. OK CenterPoint Energy
- 28. PA Philadelphia Gas Works
- 29. RI National Grid
- 30. SC Piedmont Natural Gas
- 31. SC South Carolina Electric and Gas
- 32. TN Piedmont Natural Gas
- 33. TX Atmos Energy
- 34. TX CenterPoint Energy

## Current Status of Natural Gas Energy Efficiency Programs



## Utilities with Natural Gas Energy Efficiency Programs

- 1. AR Arkansas Oklahoma Gas
- 2. AR SourceGas
- 3. AR CenterPoint Energy
- 4. AZ Southwest Gas
- 5. CA Pacific Gas and Electric
- 6. CA San Diego Gas and Electric
- 7. CA Southern California Gas
- 8. CA Southwest Gas
- 9. CO Atmos Energy
- 10. CO Black Hills Energy
- 11. CO Colorado Natural Gas
- 12. CO SourceGas
- 13. CO Public Service Co. of Colorado
- 14. CT Connecticut Natural Gas
- 15. CT Southern Connecticut Natural Gas45.
- 16. CT Yankee Gas Service
- 17. FL TECO Peoples Gas
- 18. GA Atlanta Gas Light
- 19. IA Liberty Utilities
- 20. IA Black Hills Energy
- 21. IA Interstate Power and Light
- 22. IA MidAmerican Energy
- 23. IN Citizens Energy Group
- 24. IN NIPSCO
- 25. IN Vectren North Indiana Gas
- 26. IN Vectren South SIGECO
- 27. ID Avista Utilities
- 28. ID Intermountain Gas
- 29. IL Ameren Illinois
- 30. IL MidAmerican Energy

- 31. IL Nicor Gas
- 32. IL North Shore Gas
- 33. IL Peoples Gas
- 34. KY Atmos Energy
- 35. KY Columbia Gas of Kentucky
- 36. KY Delta Natural Gas
- 37. KY Duke Energy Kentucky
- 38. KY Louisville Gas and Electric
- 39. LA Atmos Energy
- 40. LA CenterPoint Energy
- 41. MA Columbia Gas of Massachusetts
- 42. MA Berkshire Gas
- 43. MA Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light
- 44. MA Liberty Utilities
  - MA National Grid Massachusetts
- 46. MA NSTAR Gas and Electric
- 47. MD Baltimore Gas and Electric
- 48. MD Columbia Gas of Maryland
- 49. MD Washington Gas
- 50. ME Northern Utilities
- 51. MI Consumers Energy
- 52. MI DTE
- 53. MI Michigan Gas Utilities
- 54. MN CenterPoint Energy
- 55. MN Great Plains Natural Gas
- 56. MN Interstate Power and Light
- 57. MN Minnesota Energy Resources
- 58. MN Xcel Energy
- 59. MO Ameren
- 60. MO Liberty Utilities

- 61. MO Empire Natural Gas
- 62. MO Laclede Gas
- 63. MO Missouri Gas Energy
- 64. MS Atmos Energy
- 65. MS CenterPoint Energy
- 66. MT Montana-Dakota Utilities
- 67. NC Piedmont Natural Gas
- 68. NC Public Service Co. of NC
- 69. ND Montana-Dakota Utilities
- 70. NH Liberty Utilities
- 71. NH Northern Utilities
- 72. NJ Elizabethtown Gas
- 73. NJ New Jersey Natural Gas
- 74. NJ Public Service Electric and Gas
- 75. NJ South Jersey Gas
- 76. NM New Mexico Gas
- 77. NV NV Energy
- 78. NV Southwest Gas
- 79. NY Central Hudson Gas and Electric
- 80. NY Consolidated Edison
- 81. NY National Fuel Gas
- 82. NY National Grid NY
- 83. NY National Grid Long Island
- 84. NY National Grid Niagara Mohawk
- 85. NY Orange and Rockland Utilities
- 86. NY St. Lawrence Gas
- 87. OH Columbia Gas of Ohio
- 88. OH Dominion East Ohio
- 89. OH Duke Energy
- 90. OH Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio

## **Utilities with Natural Gas Energy Efficiency Programs (Cont.)**

- OK CenterPoint Energy 91.
- 92. OK – Oklahoma Natural Gas
- OR Avista Utilities 93.
- 94. OR Cascade Natural Gas
- 95. OR Northwest Natural Gas
- 96. PA Columbia Gas of Pennsylvania
- PA Equitable Gas 97.
- 98. PA PECO
- 99. PA Peoples Natural Gas
- 100. PA Philadelphia Gas Works
- 101. PA UGI Central Penn Gas
- 102. PA UGI Penn Natural Gas
- 103. PA UGI Utilities
- 104. RI National Grid
- 105. SC Piedmont Natural Gas
- 106. SC South Carolina Electric and Gas 125. WI Wisconsin Light and Power
- 107. SD MidAmerican Energy
- 108. SD Montana-Dakota Utilities
- 109. TN Chattanooga Gas
- 110. TX Atmos Energy
- 111. TX Texas Gas Service

- 112. UT Questar Gas
- 111. VA Columbia Gas of Virginia
- 112. VA Virginia Natural Gas
- 113. VA Washington Gas
- 114. VT Vermont Gas Systems
- 115. WA Avista Utilities
- 116. WA Cascade Natural Gas
- 117. WA Northwest Natural Gas
- 118. WA Puget Sound Energy
- 119. WI City Gas
- 120. WI Madison Gas And Electric
- 121. WI Midwest Natural Gas
- 122. WI St. Croix Valley Natural Gas
- 123. WI Superior Water, Light and Power
- 124. WI We Energies
- 126. WI Wisconsin Public Service
- 127. WI Xcel Energy
- 128. WY Montana-Dakota Utilities
- 129. WY Questar Gas



# ORS.SC.GOV

ORS

### Office of Regulatory Staff

1401 Main Street Suite 900 Columbia, SC 29201