1		E COURT OF COMMON PLEAS E STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	
2		RICHLAND COUNTY	
3	DEPOSIT	ION OF CARLETTE L. WALKER	
4		LEY, on behalf of	
5	situated,	ll others similarly	
6	Pla	intiffs,	
7	vs.	Case No. 2017-CP-40-04833	
8		A ELECTRIC & GAS	
9	COMPANY and the CAROLINA,	ne STATE OF SOUTH	
10	Defe	endants.	
11			
12		CONFIDENTIAL	
13			
14	DEPONENT:	CARLETTE L. WALKER	
15	DATE:	APRIL 24, 2018	
16	птмп•	9:21 AM	
17	TIME:	9.21 AM	
18	LOCATION:	HOLIDAY INN	
19		COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA	
20			
21			
22	REPORTED BY:	Registered Merit Reporter	
23		Certified Realtime Reporter	
24		CLARK & ASSOCIATES, INC. P.O. Box 73129	
25		Charleston, SC 29415 843-762-6294 WWW.CLARK-ASSOCIATES.COM	

1		A F	РE	A R	A N	С	ΕS	
2				~				
3		ING ON BE						
4	BI	ICHARDSON RICKMAN,	LLC		-			DK &
5		Y: DANIE TERRY	7 E. R					
6		.O. Box 1 arnwell,		9812	2			
7	ar			T T C	N			
8	B	FROM LAW Y: JESSI 110 Beltl	CA L.	FIC	KLI			
9		olumbia,				u		
10		ING ON BE	ינואד הי			א רדא	NTTC	•
11						NDA	U I S	•
12		ING & SPA Y: DAVII PAIGE) I. B	ALSE				
13		180 Peach Lanta, G	ntree	Stre	eet,	NE		
14					_			
15	B	AW OFFICE Y: LEAH 35 E. Mai	B. MO	ODY	в. 1	MOO	DY,	ЪЪС
16		ock Hill,			80			
17	APPEAR	ING ON BE	HALF	OF I	THE	WIT	NESS	5:
18		OORE TAYI Y: JAHUE						
19	15	700 Sunse	et Bou	leva	ard	1 C O		
20	WE	est Colum	ibia,	SC	29	109		
21								
22	ALSO PI	RESENT:	-	-	-	-		י ג כותד
23			SCANA COUNS		1217	AIN T	GEI	ИЧКАГ
24			GENE	WALK	ER			
25								

1				
2		EXAMINATIC		
3	BY MR.	HALTIWANGER	PAGE	5
4				
5		EXHIBITS	, ,	
6	No. 1 No. 2	Notice of depositic Cash flow documente expenditures	on ed with updated	7 69
7	No. 3	Transcription of vo	ice mail to Mari	on 106
8	<mark>No. 4</mark>	Cherry Thumb drive contain mail	ing audio of voi	ce 186
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

	•
1	(<mark>Exhibit No. 1</mark> was marked for
2	identification.)
3	CARLETTE L. WALKER,
4	having been first duly sworn, was examined
5	and testified as follows:
6	EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. HALTIWANGER:
8	Q All right. Ms. Walker, my name is
9	Dan Haltiwanger, and we got introduced right
10	before the deposition started. And it's my
11	understanding you've had a deposition taken
12	before, but our court rules require me to go
13	over a little bit of the ground rules so that
14	they're on the record and it's clear that
15	I've had the opportunity to explain them to
16	you.
17	One of the most important rules is
18	that we have a court reporter here today that
19	is going to be taking down everything we say.
20	Therefore, it's important to verbalize your
21	answers, to say yes or no instead of uh-huh
22	or uh-uh so she can make a clear record of
23	what is said.
24	

Also, I think as we were just talking about, it's not an endurance contest

1	today. If at any point you need to take a
2	break, use the restroom, get a glass of
3	water, anything like that, let me know, and
4	we'll take a break. Okay?

5 A Okay.

Q Also, as you were just sworn in, the testimony is under oath today, so it can be used in a court of law later. But it's important for me to remind you of that for your testimony today.

11 And, also, it's my understanding when we were setting this up that you -- that 12 13 there may be an obligation you have this 14 afternoon, so I'm going to try to get as much 15 in today. I hope to get it finished today, 16 but if not, we'll get as much done as we can 17 today and work with you and your lawyer about 18 if we have to get back together at some point 19 in the future.

But it's -- my understanding is 1:30 is the time we're shooting to be done for today; is that right?

²³ A That's right.

Q Okay. That being said, can you give us your full name for the record? **Deposition of Carlette L Walker**

1 Carlette L. Walker. Α 2 And what is your current Q 3 occupation? 4 I'm retired. А 5 Retired. And when did you retire? 0 б After I resigned from SCANA in June Α 7 of 2016. 8 Okay. And I'm going to hand you 0 9 what has been marked as Exhibit 1. I don't 10 know if anybody wants a copy of that, but 11 this is Exhibit 1 to your deposition. 12 Have you seen this document before? 13 This is just the MR. MOORE: 14 notice of deposition, Carlette. 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 16 Yes, I have. Α 17 Okay. And you're appearing here 0 18 today for us because you were subpoenaed to 19 be here in order to give your testimony, 20 correct? 21 Α That's correct. 22 0 All right. Can I ask you -- and I 23 don't want any conversations you had with 24 your lawyers or with your lawyer, but did you 25 do anything to prepare for your deposition

1 today? 2 А No. 3 0 So you have not gone back and 4 looked at any documents, anything like that? 5 Α No. б Any conversations with any SCANA 0 7 employees or former employees that you knew? 8 Α No. 9 Okay. You say you retired in June 0 10 of 2016. What was your position when you 11 retired? 12 Vice president of nuclear finance А 13 administration. 14 And I don't need exact dates, but 0 15 I'm just trying to get a timeline of how long 16 you had that position and going back, so your 17 employment history there. 18 So how long had you been vice 19 president? 20 I think I was VP for six years. Α 21 And what was your position before 0 22 that? 23 Before that I was the corporate А 24 compliance officer for SCANA. And how long, approximately, did 25 0

1 you serve as a corporate compliance officer? 2 А I think I was corporate compliance officer for four years. 3 4 And prior to being a corporate 0 5 compliance officer? б А I was assistant controller for 7 SCE&G. 8 And approximately how long had you 0 9 been an assistant controller? 10 That's where I'm not as exact on Α 11 the dates. I want to say -- I think I was 12 assistant controller about eight years. 13 And before being assistant 0 14 controller? 15 I was controller of South Carolina Α 16 Pipeline. No, wait a minute. I was manager 17 of fossil hydro -- or, no, I was manager of 18 generation --19 And how long --0 20 А -- for accounting. That was one 21 year. 22 0 Okay. And prior to that? 23 Prior to that I was controller for А 24 South Carolina Pipeline. 25 And before controller at South 0

Deposition of Carlette L Walker

Clark and Associates Inc.

¹ Carolina Pipeline?

7

A That was -- I was controller for South Carolina Pipeline for probably about two years, and then prior to that, I was manager of customer billing, measurement, and finance.

Q Okay. And before that?

⁸ A And before that I was manager of ⁹ customer billing and measurement. Actually, ¹⁰ I was the supervisor at that point.

Q And your position before that? A I was a senior auditor in the internal audit department of SCE&G. And that should take you back to when I started with the company, which would have been in October of '83.

Q Okay. When you left as vice President of nuclear finance, what entity was actually signing your paycheck? And we're going to get into that.

- A SCANA.
- 22 Q SCANA?

A There's an entity that's a service
 company --

²⁵ Q Okay.

1	A under the umbrella of SCANA, and
2	so it would really be SCANA Services that
3	would have been the employing entity. So I
4	worked for SCANA Services, and that's where
5	all of the finance organization was operating
6	out of.
7	Q Do you recall your e-mail address
8	when you worked there?
9	A It was CWalker@scana.com.
10	Q And did that ever change during
11	your time of employment there?
12	A I think it did change when I
13	left no, I think it stayed the same. I
14	don't think I had a different e-mail when I
15	was at Pipeline.
16	Q Did you ever use other e-mail
17	addresses while you were doing work for
18	SCANA?
19	A No.
20	Q Are you aware of any other
21	employees that you interacted with at SCANA
22	using e-mail addresses that were different
23	from the domain address that you had?
24	A What what do you mean?
25	Q Yeah. I guess I'm trying to figure

1	out, we've got a bunch of documents, and
2	we're trying to figure out if we're
3	understanding how the e-mail system worked
4	with the you know, like you said, the
5	CWalker then @scana.com.
б	Were there other endings that you
7	were familiar with with any of the other
8	companies or any other employees there?
9	A Well, I know that Santee Cooper
10	had, you know, their own e-mail system, and
11	then the site representative for Santee
12	Cooper, I believe he also had an @scana.com
13	e-mail.
14	Q And who would that have been?
15	A Marion Cherry.
16	Q Marion?
17	A Uh-huh. Marion Cherry.
18	Q Okay. Any of the SCE&G employees
19	that you interacted with on a regular basis
20	use an e-mail besides their company e-mail to
21	interact with you?
22	A Not that I remember. I mean, they
23	may have used a personal e-mail if they were
24	at home, if for some reason they couldn't
25	sign on and they needed to send me a message,

1	but not on any kind of routine basis.
2	Q Okay. And we sort of talked about
3	this. I'm trying to get myself educated
4	about SCANA and SCE&G. I noticed there were
5	a lot of SCANA and SCE&G entities. And if
6	you could you're the first witness we've
7	talked to in this case, so I'm trying to get
8	an idea of how all those companies
9	interacted.
10	A Okay.
11	Q If you could do your best job of
12	explaining SCANA's relationship to SCE&G and
13	SCE&G Services and what other entities were
14	involved in the project out there.
15	A Okay. Well, SCE&G is the utility
16	company, and SCE&G was going to be the owner
17	of the nuclear plant. It was also the entity
18	that was building the plant.
19	SCANA Services was providing
20	services to supplement the SCE&G staff. So I
21	was an I was a SCANA Services support team
22	that was going to be assigned to the project.
23	There were also like the IT
24	group, which would be information technology,
25	they came from SCANA Services and

1 supplemented the project team. 2 The project team itself was made up 3 of a lot of engineering and technical people 4 that came from SCE&G personnel, which a lot 5 of them came from Unit 1. And so those were 6 SCE&G employees. 7 So, generally speaking, SCE&G 8 employees are more technical and are utility 9 specific. They're not the homogeneous 10 employees that can provide services to any of 11 the different subsidiaries that we might have 12 had. 13 So in your SCANA Services, you 14 tended to have your governance employees, 15 like your corporate secretary, your 16 accounting, your IT, your payroll, your 17 internal audit. I'm trying to think of the 18 different departments. Corporate security, 19 your senior executives. 20 SCE&G had some designated 21 executives, but they were also SCANA 22 executives. So you might have had Keller 23 Kissam as a designated SCE&G executive, but 24 he was also a SCANA executive. So they were 25 one and the same.

1	Q And as we talked about, we have a
2	court reporter writing everything down. When
3	we come to names, sometimes to help her out,
4	the name you just said, can you spell it for
5	her, if you know?
6	A Yeah. Keller Kissam. That's
7	K-E-L-L-E-R. Kissam, K-I-S-S-A-M.
8	And then like Kevin Marsh,
9	K-E-V-I-N, Marsh, M-A-R-S-H. Kevin was the
10	CEO of SCANA, but he was also had that
11	same authority over SCE&G. So it's not like
12	there was a separate CEO for SCE&G. He had
13	that same CEO authority over SCE&G. He made
14	all the final decisions and had all the
15	purchasing power authority given to him by
16	the board for SCE&G.
17	So SCE&G was by far the largest
18	subsidiary of SCANA. They had a couple other
19	smaller subsidiaries, like SEMI-GAS.
20	SEMI-GAS bought gas on the open market and
21	then sold it and moved it through
22	transmission lines. SEMI is S-E-M-I. And
23	that was they strictly bought gas in
24	Houston and then brought it across
25	transmission lines in the across the south
	Clark and Associates Inc

1	and then brought it to end users, primarily
2	in South Carolina but also in Georgia.
3	South Carolina Pipeline was a big
4	subsidiary, but they sold it probably about
5	maybe three or four years before I left the
6	company. As I had mentioned, I had worked at
7	South Carolina Pipeline for about nine years.
8	That was a transmission company.
9	But they sold it because they
10	wanted to consolidate what their efforts were
11	on, and they felt like generation and
12	distribution of electricity was their core
13	business, and so that's where their efforts
14	were going to be, was consolidated toward
15	distribution with the end-user customers.
16	Q Okay. When we talk about SCANA
17	Services, how is where does SCANA Services
18	get its income from?
19	A SCANA Services bills out its
20	employees for cost and then its benefits. So
21	it's pretty much a zero game. It doesn't
22	have it doesn't it's not there to make
23	a profit. It's strictly there to provide
24	services at a zero markup other than for the
25	benefits for its employees and the costs for

office -- you know, office furniture and equipment and stuff like that.

3 So it's built into -- what we did 4 was we had time sheets that were incremental 5 time sheets. You were supposed to keep up 6 with your time sheets up to a tenth of an 7 hour, and you billed your time out according 8 to where you spent your time. So if you 9 spent time with SEMI or if you spent time 10 with SCE&G, with distribution or if you spent 11 time with a project, capital project, you 12 were supposed to charge your time according 13 to what you did and who got the benefit of 14 your time.

15 And so in the case of me working on 16 a capital project, I charged my name to that 17 capital work order. And so my labor rate, my 18 actual labor rate and my benefits and any 19 overhead costs of my efforts would be charged 20 directly to that capital work order. And so 21 SCANA Services would be zeroed out on any 22 costs associated with my employment, and my 23 employment costs would go directly to that 24 capital work order.

Clark and Associates Inc.

Deposition of Carlette L Walker

against that BRLA and be collected from the

rate payors whenever the rates were changed. 3 Does that answer your question? 4 Yes, that's very helpful. I've 0 5 been trying to understand SCANA Services and 6 its relationship to all the other entities 7 out there.

8 Α Right.

1

2

25

9 If your time, though, is billed to 0 10 SCANA -- or the SCANA Services time that 11 would be billed on the capital work project, 12 would there be any markup on that on the BRLA 13 that would be profit to SCE&G, or how would 14 that work?

15 There would be no additional profit А 16 other than the profit that was allowed in the 17 capital work order for the interest component 18 of AFUDC, and I think the allowed rate of 19 return was like 12 1/2 percent maybe.

20 Okay. And for the -- well, it 0 21 would probably help us to get it straight. 22 The problem we're here to talk about is the 23 nuclear project up in Fairfield County. 24 What would be the title that would

Clark and Associates Inc.

be used at SCANA to denote that project in

1 general? 2 А NND. New Nuclear Development is 3 what they called it. 4 And I've heard it -- we've seen in 0 5 documents like VC Summer project, Number 2 6 and 3 project. 7 That's -- all of those refer Α No. 8 to the same thing. That's the new nuclear 9 build. That's Units 2 and 3. Because 10 they've got an operating unit that was put in 11 service back in '83. That's Unit 1. 12 0 Okay. 13 And back when they built that unit, А 14 it was -- that site was sized for two units, 15 but they didn't build the second unit, so 16 there was always plans to build a second 17 unit. 18 But when they went out and decided 19 to build again in the early 2000s, the 20 footprint or the amount of space needed for 21 the new technology allowed you to build two 22 plants in the footprint that used to require 23 the amount of space to build one. 24 So they knew that they had enough 25 footprint next to Unit 1 to build two units,

and so they were going to build Units 2 and 3 in the same site that in the early '70s they were only going to be able to build one more unit. So that's where you get the Units 2 and 3.

Q Okay. And for the NND project or VC Summer project, who was -- I guess which entities made management decisions relating to that project?

I'm trying to get an idea of the hierarchy of decision-making for VC Summer 2 and 3.

¹³ A Say that again.

Q I'm trying to get an idea if we're trying to make a chart of who made decisions regarding the construction and management of Units 2 and 3. You know, SCANA versus SCANA Services versus SCE&G, kind of just give us an idea of the hierarchy of command, I guess is the easiest way to say it.

A Well, that's a good question. I never could find anybody that would make a decision. That was a major issue.

The body that was supposed to make decisions about it was the senior executives 1 of SCANA.

2

Q Okay.

A Because the people at the project A apparently didn't have any authority. I couldn't make any decisions. I wasn't given the authority to make any.

Q What about the board of directors of SCANA or SCE&G? Did they ever have any interaction with the project out on VC Summer?

11 А I never -- I know that they had at 12 least one board meeting at the site, but that 13 doesn't necessarily -- I'm not trying to 14 indicate that they did anything at the site. 15 You know, they may have come to the office 16 and used the office space. Because we had an 17 office out there. But, you know, they may 18 have gone on a tour.

I wasn't in attendance to the meeting, so I couldn't tell you what they did or what they saw, nor could I tell you what was told to them about the progress of the project from one quarter to the next.

Q Do you know if SCANA and SCE&G have the same board or if they're different?

A It is one board. Q I want to shift a little bit back to your employment at SCANA. How -- you've told us that your paycheck actually came from SCANA Services; is that correct?

6

Right.

Α

Q How was your personal compensation
 8 structured? Were you on an annual salary?
 9 Was it salary plus bonus? Was it commission?
 10 Just tell us in general how you were
 11 compensated.

¹² A I was compensated with an annual ¹³ salary, and then I had two risk components ¹⁴ associated with my salary. I had a long-term ¹⁵ bonus and then I had a short-term bonus.

Q Okay. And for somebody like me who's completely unfamiliar with that system, can you explain it as best you can?

A Yeah. The short-term bonus was tied to -- it was two components at the end. One component was based on operational goals, and those goals for my team, I set those so that they were focused on goals that would support the success of the project.

²⁵ And then the others were the

earnings goals for the company. So those - those were set by senior staff.

And then the long-term bonus was based on how our stock did in comparison to some indexes. And it was kind of convoluted. I never did the calculation. But there was more to it than that. You'd have to look in the proxy statements and get the full description of the long-term bonus.

¹⁰So it was kind of a convoluted ¹¹calculation on how you did -- it was like a ¹²rolling three-year calculation that they did. ¹³And one, you locked in a year -- it was kind ¹⁴of crazy, and they would change it just about ¹⁵every year.

16 Who was in charge of deciding the 0 17 goals that would make up the bonus structure? 18 I think senior staff pretty much Α 19 reviewed all the goals to make sure that the 20 goals had enough meat to them. And then they 21 presented them to the board, and then the 22 board had the final say-so whether or not to 23 approve them.

And then the board had the final say-so as to whether or not the bonus goals

1 were achieved and whether or not they were 2 going to pay out at 100 percent or if there 3 was going to be a payout to include 4 discretion. 5 And when you say senior staff, in 0 6 general, who would that be? 7 Α Those would be the top senior 8 executives. And that's probably six or seven 9 of the executives, to include the CEO and the 10 CFO. 11 0 And for your time there, who would 12 have been in those positions? 13 Well, when I first went up to the Α 14 nuclear project, it would have been Bill 15 Timmerman as the CEO; Kevin Marsh as the CFO; 16 Jimmy Addison; Keller Kissam; Frank Mood; 17 Gina Champion; Jeff Archie, Steve Byrne. 18 THE WITNESS: I'm trying to 19 remember the quy -- who's the quy that's 20 over -- that was over PSNC and they brought 21 him back down? 22 0 One of the things I should have told you when we started, even though it's 23 24 not a test, there's no pass/fail grades, 25 we're really just asking for your

1	recollection today.
2	A Okay.
3	Q So
4	A I can't ask any questions?
5	MS. HODGES: I'll tell you
6	when it's over.
7	Q Another thing I should have told
8	you, any time during the deposition, if you
9	gave an answer earlier that you think was
10	either incomplete or incorrect for any
11	reason, we can also go back and address it.
12	Just let me know and we can go back.
13	For instance, if you say, you know
14	what, I said so-and-so was the CEO at that
15	time and I now remember it was somebody else,
16	we can go back and correct it. You're not
17	held to bite your tongue or anything, if
18	you've said it, once you've said it.
19	A Okay. Rusty Harris.
20	Oh, yeah, and what was that last
21	name
22	Q Now, for bonus payments, did these
23	come out on an annual occurrence, or were
24	they triggered by other events?
25	A It was annual because they had to

have year-end stock prices and year-end
 earnings.

3 And during the time of the 0 4 construction of VC Summer Units 2 and 3, did 5 you actually receive bonus payments related 6 to the construction out there on the site? 7 Α Say that again. 8 During the time that the VC Summer 0 9 project was ongoing, did you receive bonus 10 payments related to the work going on out at 11 the site? 12 I did. Α 13 And what would be the criteria that 0 14 would be related to that, those bonuses? Was 15 it just, in general, if you get enough done, 16 if it's price related? What would be 17 triggering your bonuses? 18 I mean, we would have goals set for А 19 each year based on what we were trying to 20 achieve, and they were much more in minutia

²¹ than what you're talking about.

I mean, ours would have been something more like to establish -- and this is just an example. I don't even know if this would have been a goal, but to establish

Clark and Associates Inc.

a database, an electronic database, for use
 in reviewing all invoice data for
 inappropriate billings.

4 Because we were getting invoice 5 billings from Westinghouse and CB&I that had 6 thousands and thousands of lines of data, and 7 so we had, you know, our information that we 8 were sifting through and looking for 9 duplicate billings for the same employee or 10 employees that supposedly worked more than 11 the 40 hours or the 50 hours.

And, you know, we found a lot of mistakes in the billings by just doing just common sense or simple internal controls that any shop should be doing when they're reviewing billings that are cost-plus.

Q And this -- we may get into this later, but since you brought it up, cost-plus billing, explain first your understanding of how that operated with respect to the project in Fairfield.

A Well, there was different aspects of the contract, and one aspect of the contract, which was the labor for the site, was billed at what you call target. And that

1 target was a cost-plus part of the contract. 2 So in our mind, from an accounting 3 perspective, that was a high-risk area for 4 the company and the project, so we spent a 5 fair amount of resource trying to make sure 6 that that area of cost was under control and 7 that they had controls in place as far as 8 trying to manage time sheets and make sure 9 that there weren't fraudulent charges coming 10 through.

Q And for somebody who's not familiar with accounting like that, what do you mean when you say it's a high-risk area? What makes it high risk as opposed to low risk?

A It's high risk because the contractor bears no -- if somebody charges time and they're not at the site or they're not being productive, the contractor bears no loss on it. The only people that lose money on it would be the owner of the -- owner of the site.

22 So just like the gasoline or the 23 inventory, the other areas of cost-plus, if 24 they're not managing those types of costs, 25 the owner, which was SCANA or SCE&G, bore the

risk of fuel being used to fuel cars to drive
back and forth to their trailer or wherever
they might be living rather than it being
used to fuel the trucks that were actually
used at the site to produce construction
product.

7 So there were different pieces or 8 elements of the construction that we felt and 9 we put in high risk, so we did audits or we 10 did reviews of those kind of areas because we 11 felt like those were high-risk areas for 12 fraudulent activity.

Q And who at SCANA would have been in charge of that process of overseeing that audit and that type of work?

A Well, my team did most of it. And then we also shared what our plans were with internal audit, and internal audit would then add those to their audit plan. And a lot of times they would work with my team in doing some of the overseeing of the audits.

Q And who would have been the names of some of the people on your team doing that work?

25 A Shirley Johnson was my manager who Clark and Associates Inc. 1 led those efforts.

Q And who else would have been
involved?

A Well, one was in the paper,
unfortunately, and her name was Margaret
Feckle.

Q And the phrase you just used, her name was in the paper, unfortunately, what do you mean by that?

10 I just hate to have somebody who's Α 11 a senior accountant's name put in the 12 newspaper when she was doing a good job, and 13 to be added to a list of people that are 14 associated with the scandal at the nuclear 15 project is probably not great. I mean, 16 that's not exactly a common name, Margaret 17 Feckle.

¹⁸ Q Uh-huh. All right.

Besides Shirley Johnson and Margaret Feckle, what other SCANA employees would have been doing that work out there? A I'm trying to remember that one's name. I can't remember the guy's name that

²⁴ Shirley had hired.

25 Kullen Boling did some. That name Clark and Associates Inc.

1	is B-O-L-I-N-G. First name is K-U-L-L-E-N.
2	So it's Kullen Boling.
3	Q And
4	A Joey Gilespie did some work.
5	Q And part of their job was reviewing
б	the or auditing, I should say, the work
7	being done for SCANA Services looking for
8	fraudulent or other improper billing?
9	A For SCE&G.
10	Q For SCE&G.
11	A For NND.
12	Q For NND.
13	And if they found any of that or
14	they wanted to follow up with anything they
15	found that's suspicious or curious, take me
16	through that process. What documents would
17	be created, who would be notified, and how
18	would that be logged in?
19	A We would have exit interviews with
20	a representative from the area with
21	Westinghouse or CB&I, whichever area was
22	responsible for it. Generally it was CB&I.
23	And as time passed, those meetings
24	became more and more confrontational. They
25	were never friendly, but they became more and
	Clark and Associates Inc.

¹ more confrontational. It got to where they ² usually had one or two lawyers in the ³ meetings. They started bringing in a manager ⁴ from the construction side. He was kind of ⁵ burly, so they were -- it seemed like it was ⁶ becoming more like they were trying to team ⁷ up on us.

8 But anyway, they had a lawyer for 9 procurement that was particularly nasty, and 10 we would meet with them, explain to them what 11 our problem was, and usually an example --12 this is just a really good example, was like 13 the gasoline and the marked vehicles, where 14 we talked to them about how many cars did 15 they have that were marked vehicles that 16 would be using the gasoline. You know, we 17 were told that they might have 10.

Well, when we actually had somebody sit out front and watch the number of vehicles going into the gated secured area where the cars would be going in, they might have counted 40.

And then when they went to check to see how the secured fuel tanks were actually operated, unlike the way they were described,

there wasn't a key that was serviced so that you had to use the key to swipe it to be able to engage the fuel. All you had to do was walk into the little shop, and you got the key, and you swiped it. And anybody could go up there and pump gas.

It was just like the Hess station, 7 8 and everybody knew to go in the little gated 9 house, pull the key off there, swipe it, and 10 then you could fuel your car. So when we 11 asked them how we counted 40 cars compared to 12 the 10 you had on the list -- I mean, nothing 13 reconciled. There was no controls over the 14 fuel.

And so when we met with them, you hnow, instead of acknowledging that they didn't have control, they'd fight you tooth and nail on it. And then we would fight for, you know, months over this.

And we would try to get a credit back on it because we'd say, Well, okay, we've been in this project for "X" number of months. You've ramped up by this number of days, you know, this number of people. You've given out cars by this. And we'd come

¹ up with some reasonable way of calculating ² how much we think that the fuel has been ³ inappropriately used, and we would try to ⁴ seek out a credit.

5 And then we would add this to a 6 sheet, what we called a running tab of 7 disputed amounts. And we would put it in a 8 letter, and they would have their response 9 put in the letter.

10 And we never were able to get those 11 disputed amounts settled. Our senior 12 executives never supported us on them. And 13 at the end, when they negotiated a 14 fixed-price contract, that all got just 15 lumped in supposedly with the negotiations, 16 and whether it was treated fairly or not, I 17 couldn't tell you.

Q Okay. I want to go back through a little bit of that.

And one of the reasons I'm asking is I'm trying to find if I can go back and look for documents that would, for instance, trace this dispute about the fuel and who had access and who was using it.

²⁵ What type of document names or

1 databases or what would I go to look for if I 2 wanted to go back and sort of educate myself 3 about this controversy or other controversies 4 that you would have with the contractors with 5 regard to payments that were being requested? I think you probably would ask for б Α 7 the disputed invoice log. 8 And who would have been responsible 0 9 for maintaining that? 10 Shirley Johnson. Marion Cherry Α 11 should have probably been getting a copy of 12 it from Santee Cooper. 13 And how often -- and, again, 0 14 because I'm not familiar with the whole 15 process. 16 Α Right. 17 You have this disputed invoice log. 0 18 Is this something that would be addressed in 19 any sort of regular time frame, or is it as 20 things went along, it would come up? How did 21 that work? 22 Α We would update it with different 23 things that we would come up with where we

²⁴ felt like we were inappropriately billed.

²⁵ And we would take it to senior executives,

1 and they would look at it and make no 2 No decisions were ever made until comment. 3 they got to the point where they were going 4 to negotiate this fixed-price contract in the 5 latter part of '15. б And the senior executives for SCANA 0 7 that would have been --8 Kevin Marsh, Jimmy Addison. А 9 And any others? 0 10 I can't remember if Lonnie Carter Α 11 was in there or not. 12 And was Lonnie Carter an executive 0 13 at SCANA or --14 No, he's Santee Cooper. I'm sorry. А 15 So, again, just so I can try to 0 16 educate myself with it, we've been produced a 17 lot of documents from SCANA and SCE&G related 18 to the project, thousands of them. If I 19 wanted to search through them to find 20 information about instances like we were 21 talking with the fuel, the disputed invoice 22 log, any other titles of documents that I 23 would be looking for? 24

A You might want to look for audit reports.

Deposition of Carlette L Walker

1 Who would be doing audit reports? Q Internal audit was producing audit 2 Α reports, and then my team was producing audit 3 4 reports. 5 And who was the internal audit 0 6 team? 7 Well, Iris Griffin, who's now CFO, Α 8 was internal auditor then. 9 And who else would have been on 0 10 Iris's team? 11 Α Courtney Owen. She was the 12 manager. 13 And how did -- I mean, it sounds 0 14 like you had two groups working here, 15 internal audit and your group. How did they 16 interact or how was that system set up? 17 They worked hand in hand together. Α 18 Sometimes they would lead the audit and then 19 other times Shirley's team would lead the 20 audit. 21 And --0 22 Α And our team was physically located 23 at the site. 24 Okay. 0 25 Α And so that made it to where we had

relationships and insight into what was going
 on in the project more so than people that
 were at corporate.

4 That was one thing that, you know, 5 when I was told that I needed to go out to 6 the project, I went straight out to the 7 project and made myself an office at the 8 project. I didn't stay at the corporate 9 headquarters like my predecessors had done. 10 I mean, they never even went out to the 11 project for the two years they had it. Their view of it was, Well, they get two invoices a 12 13 month; what could there be that needs to be 14 done.

Q And who was your two predecessors?
 A Casey Coffer.

Q And how do you spell that name for her?

A I'm sorry. Casey, C-A-S-E-Y. And then his last name, Coffer, is C-O-F-F-E-R. And then Jim Swan, S-W-A-N. And Jim Swan is the controller of SCANA and SCE&G.

And they never even went out to the site. And when I went to visit them when

Clark and Associates Inc.

38

1	Bill Timmerman told me he needed me to go out
2	to the site, I went to see them to see what
3	they had been doing so I could get a flavor
4	for what I should expect, and their view of
5	it was, you know, they didn't think it was a
б	big deal because they only get two invoices a
7	month. They get one from WEC and one from
8	CB&I. They didn't see it as a big deal.
9	And when I got up there, I mean,
10	I I was shocked at how far behind we were.
11	I ended up getting people from internal audit
12	to augment my staff so that we could start
13	doing some flowcharts of what processes that
14	were going on at the project so we could get
15	a feel for what CB&I was doing or at that
16	point I think it was Stone & Webster find
17	out what processes they were using to bill us
18	so we could start getting a flavor for where
19	we might need to be doing some intrusive
20	audit work so we could get a better handle on
21	what was going on and try to, you know, do
22	some risk analysis.

Q And about what time frame was that?
A Okay.

MR. MOORE:

25

Clark and Associates Inc.

You're going to

39

1	have to excuse me for a minute.
2	MR. HALTIWANGER: We'll take a
3	break.
4	(Off-the-record discussion.)
5	Q Ms. Walker, I'm just trying to
6	I'm going back over some of the things we
7	asked before. When did you become in charge
8	of accounting?
9	A What do you mean, in charge of
10	accounting?
11	Q Or for the project. When would you
12	have taken over the role out at VC Summer,
13	those responsibilities?
14	A I think I was there for six years,
15	so I think it was around 2010.
16	Q And the disputed invoice log, is
17	that a process you created, or was that in
18	place before you got there?
19	A Actually, Shirley Johnson came up
20	with that.
21	Q And, again, if I'm doing word
22	searches trying to find those documents,
23	disputed invoice log, any other terms that
24	would come up or were being used?
25	A That's the name of it.

1	Q Okay. When we talked about bonus
2	goals, were those written down?
3	A Uh-huh.
4	Q Where would I find those for the
5	various employees involved in the project?
6	What would they be titled?
7	A That's what they would be titled,
8	would be bonus goals.
9	Q Bonus goals.
10	A I mean, they might be called
11	short-term bonus goals.
12	Q The disputed invoice log, prior to
13	that process being in place, how were issues
14	with billing handled with the contractors,
15	billing disputes?
16	A I couldn't tell you. I mean,
17	that's the process that, you know, we came up
18	with when I got there.
19	Q Can you tell us or give us an idea
20	of what was going on before if there was an
21	issue?
22	A I couldn't tell you.
23	Q Who would know most about that?
24	A Probably Sheri Wicker. Sheri
25	Wicker. She's currently employed by SCANA.
	Clark and Associates Inc.

41

1	Q All right. And how often would you
2	be meeting with Westinghouse or CB&I to go
3	over the disputed invoice log or the issues
4	that arose with the disputed invoice log?
5	A We ended up going over that with
6	them every single month.
7	Q And what paperwork would be
8	generated along with those meetings that we
9	could look for?
10	A I think that they had notes beside
11	each one of the things that were discussed on
12	the disputed invoice log.
13	Q And can you give us an idea of
14	generally what amounts of money we're talking
15	about on the disputed invoice log?
16	A I mean, those could be anywhere
17	from 40, 50, \$60, up to, you know, hundreds
18	of thousands of dollars.
19	And those don't necessarily all
20	come from any one source. I mean, those
21	could be from audits. They could be from
22	review of the invoice. They could be from
23	the review of or something that was seen
24	by engineers out in the site.
25	It could be from the review of the

billables of miscellaneous items that Ken
Browne did. And he would find things that
were absolutely wrong and being billed to us
that were supposed to be included in the
fixed price, and they were billing it to us
as recoverable.

Q And I just want to make sure that I a can go back and find all those disputes and all those materials, and if I'm searching under the disputed invoice log, I'll be able to find that?

12 A Uh-huh. You should.

Q Okay. And was the disputed invoice Q Okay. And was the disputed invoice log continued the entire time you were there, or did that end whenever it switched to the cost-plus, or do you know?

A Well, the cost-plus was the whole -- the whole time. Now, when it went to fixed price --

Q Fixed price, that's what I meant. A -- I can't tell you because that's when I quit, after they negotiated that. Q Okay. Well, that will bring us right to the next topic, which is the date that you left employment at SCANA. Do you

1	recall the date?
2	A Uh-huh.
3	Q What is it? What was it?
4	A January 7th, I think.
5	Q Of?
6	A 2016.
7	Q And what was the official job title
8	at the time you left?
9	A Vice president of nuclear finance
10	administration.
11	Q And I want to get an idea of
12	your the supervisory hierarchy at the
13	time.
14	Who did you report to at the time
15	you left?
16	A The CFO, Jimmy Addison.
17	Q And he would have been your direct
18	boss?
19	A Yeah. I had reported to Jimmy from
20	the time that I started on the project.
21	Prior to that I was in corporate compliance.
22	I reported to the CEO who had since retired.
23	Q And who was that?
24	A Bill Timmerman.
25	Q And, again, just trying to get a

1	hierarchy, if you're the vice president, you
2	report to the CFO, Jimmy Addison. Who
3	reported to you, underneath you, if anybody?
4	A When I was
5	Q At the time you left.
6	A I had three managers reporting
7	well, I had four five. I had Shirley
8	Johnson, Kevin Kochems. That's Kochems is
9	K-O-C-H-E-M-S.
10	And then I had Sheri Wicker. And
11	Sheri has an I instead of a Y at the end.
12	And then I had Billie Kaye
13	that's K-A-Y-E Morris, and she had Unit 1.
14	And then I had Shannon Perry, and
15	she had responsibility for transmission.
16	That was the construction for the
17	transmission line that was going to take the
18	electricity from Units 2 and 3 and move it
19	down toward the beach.
20	And I take that back. They had
21	right before right before the I think
22	it was at the beginning of 2015, I think they
23	went ahead and made her a part of the
24	transmission organization.
25	Q Okay. And let me ask you, when you

1 came to leave SCANA, did you resign from SCANA, or were you terminated? 2 3 А I resigned. 4 And in as much detail as you can 0 5 give us, tell us how you came to leave SCANA 6 employment. 7 Well, actually, I went to talk to Α Kevin Marsh to be able to tell him some 8 9 concerns that I had with the project. And, 10 much to my surprise, Kevin didn't want to 11 hear what I had to say. 12 And he put me out on a medical 13 leave. A special medical leave was the term 14 he used. And so I was on a medical leave for 15 three months. And it was obvious when he put 16 me on the medical leave that it was a 17 complete exit from the company. 18 I went to see one attorney to start 19 with, and then I moved to go see Jake. And I 20 explained what I had seen with the company to 21 Jake and what had happened when I testified 22 in the 2015 rate case that was before the 23 Public Service Commission and how 24 uncomfortable I was with the number that they 25 had put in my testimony and filed in my

1	togtimony while I was out of work when my
	testimony while I was out of work when my
2	husband was deathly ill.
3	And then at the end of that year,
4	in I guess it was the fall of '15, I
5	watched the negotiations of that fixed-price
6	contract, and that was like the icing on the
7	cake. And I told Jimmy Addison on the phone
8	that I was not going to lie for the company.
9	And it was six weeks later that I was put out
10	on a special medical leave.
11	But they what I saw in the
12	negotiations of the fixed-price contract, I
13	thought it was just a rouse. And everything
14	that they negotiated, what Kevin negotiated
15	was ridiculous, and it was all in the best
16	interests of SCANA and in the worst interest
17	of the ratepayers.
18	I mean, he was basically financing
19	Westinghouse's cash flow needs for 2016 in
20	the first five months of 2016, which happens
21	to line up with exactly when he was going to

 23 going to pay them \$100 million a month,

22

25

January through May, which is \$500 million,

be filing for the BLRA new rates. He was

Clark and Associates Inc.

and at the end of May was the cut-off period

47

for revised rates that he would file for and they'd be in his rates for October. And he would have spent \$500 million, which is double what he'd ever spent in target price for construction on-site.

7 And he was going to have a new 8 contractor, that was when he was going to 9 have the company out of Greenville come 10 on-site in January. So they'd be the least 11 productive possible, and he promised them 12 he'd pay them \$100 million a month during the 13 least productive period and you're going to 14 bring on a new construction crowd. And it's 15 like, I just -- I just can't go here anymore. 16 Okay. There's a lot that you just 0 17 covered that we're going to go through, go 18 through in some detail.

¹⁹ But I do want to ask, at -- when ²⁰ was the first time that you went to go see an ²¹ attorney?

A Probably in January.

23 Q Of which year?

²⁴ A '16.

22

Q Okay. And when you say that you

1 resigned from SCE&G, you were not terminated; 2 is that correct? 3 А That's right. 4 What reason did you give SCE&G for 0 5 your decision to resign? б Because I wasn't going to lie. Α 7 And who do you feel was pressuring 0 8 you to lie? 9 Kevin Marsh, Steve Byrne, Jimmy А 10 Addison. 11 0 And what do you believe that they 12 were pressuring you to lie about? 13 Well, in 2015 I went and I fought А 14 them for four months before that rate case in 15 2015 about what the budget should be. And I 16 even went to Jimmy with a file that 17 documented exactly how we calculate -- how 18 his team, his finance team, calculated what 19 the budget needed to be. 20 And it was just math. We 21 mathematically went through, and we had a 22 team that sat and built what the budget 23 needed to be based on the number of man-hours 24 that originally was calculated that needed to 25 be done to build the project, based on the

1 productivity factor that CB&I was actually 2 achieving at the project, and we made the 3 mathematical calculation based on the hourly 4 rates that they were -- they were actually 5 paying out and their markups. And we came up 6 with a number that was at least a half -- a 7 half a billion dollars more than the number that Westinghouse was saying it would take 8 9 them to complete the project.

And Kevin made the decision that he And Kevin made the decision that he was going to go with the low number. It was a number he could point to that Westinghouse had given him as the price tag to finish the project.

15 And so I went to Jimmy, and I 16 walked him through this file. I gave him a 17 copy, and I made me a duplicate of exactly 18 what I gave him. And he said, Carlette, I 19 understand where you're coming from and I 20 thought I agreed with you, but they showed me 21 why that other number was the right number 22 and I agree with them now.

And so I made one more formal pitch the next day and got overturned again. And every time we met to talk about the strategy as to what was going to be filed in the rate case, every time we met, it was as if there was a meeting the night before the team was there and another decision was made and we just missed the meeting.

And we all said, Well, it's kind of And we all said, Well, it's kind of like did you feel like you missed a meeting? We all agreed, Well, we must have missed the meeting because it seemed like the agenda was a day ahead.

And anyway, in the midst of the decision being made, my husband went into total kidney failure, and I had to take him to the emergency room. And I was out for about five weeks or six weeks.

And while I was out, they wrote testimony under my name, and they filed testimony under my name with the number that I had fought against.

And when I came back to work, it was right before the hearing. And I went through testimony prep knowing that I had to testify because I couldn't lose my job because my husband was just out of the hospital. I didn't know what condition he

1	was going to be in. And so the testimony
2	prep went terribly. It was awkward. It
3	it was just a bad period for me.
4	So they filed the testimony. We
5	went through the motions of having the
6	testimony read into the record and all that
7	stuff. Nobody asked any questions. Really
8	didn't think about what I was answering on
9	the witness stand.
10	But anyway, after that is when I
11	started worrying about things more. I
12	started losing weight. I was losing weight
13	at 10 pounds every two weeks. So by the end
14	of the year, I was down about 70 pounds. I
15	know I looked like hell.
16	And that was the pretense that he
17	was saying he was putting me out on medical
18	leave. It was obvious that something was
19	wrong, and I don't doubt it.
20	Because I was worried. It was
21	like, Something is wrong. And I know the
22	stress was right through the roof. My
23	headaches were awful. I was going and
24	getting cortisone injections in my neck
25	trying to see if I could get it under

52

1	control. And, I mean, I was stressed to the
2	max because they were all over me. I was
3	getting reprimanded for everything I did.
4	Kevin was involved in it, I found out.
5	I couldn't understand things. I
6	was I mean, it was just it was awful.
7	And then when I finally figured out that
8	Kevin was not the Kevin that I thought he
9	was, it all made sense.
10	But I didn't I still believed
11	and trusted him up until the last meeting
12	that I had with him. And that's when I found
13	out that Kevin Marsh was just a piece of
14	trash and he'd been lying to me for two years
15	and that he would lie and steal from every
16	person in South Carolina to line his own
17	pocket. And, I mean, that was just
18	Q Okay. Going back to the 2015
19	testimony, you said you-all had prepared
20	numbers internally of what you thought the
21	cost for Westinghouse would be?
22	A Uh-huh.
23	Q How would I if I wanted to go
24	back and find those numbers from SCE&G, how
25	would I track those down?

 Ask Jimmy Addison. He should have a file of it. I gave it to him. Q And what how would that file be titled? Would it have been e-mailed to him? Would it have been handed to him? A I handed it to him. Just ask him. Say Jackass, where's the file Carlette gave you that was supposed to be used in the testimony in 2015? He said he totally understood it. Q Okay. A His was red. Mine was yellow. Q Okay. And the numbers that your team prepared that you provided Jimmy Addison were not the numbers that eventually made it into the 2015 testimony? A No. Q And how were they different? A I think my number was somewhere around 1.2 billion and his was like somewhere around 698 million. Don't hold me to those numbers, but, I mean, it's significantly Q And the numbers we're talking about 	1	A I don't know how you'd find those.
4QAnd what how would that file be5titled? Would it have been e-mailed to him?6Would it have been handed to him?7AI handed it to him. Just ask him.8Say Jackass, where's the file Carlette gave9you that was supposed to be used in the10testimony in 2015? He said he totally11understood it.12Q13A14Q15team prepared that you provided Jimmy Addison16were not the numbers that eventually made it17into the 2015 testimony?18A19Q19Q19And how were they different?20A21around 1.2 billion and his was like somewhere22around 698 million. Don't hold me to those23numbers, but, I mean, it's significantly24different.	2	Ask Jimmy Addison. He should have a file of
 titled? Would it have been e-mailed to him? Would it have been handed to him? A I handed it to him. Just ask him. Say Jackass, where's the file Carlette gave you that was supposed to be used in the testimony in 2015? He said he totally understood it. Q Okay. A His was red. Mine was yellow. Q Okay. And the numbers that your team prepared that you provided Jimmy Addison were not the numbers that eventually made it into the 2015 testimony? A No. Q And how were they different? A I think my number was somewhere around 1.2 billion and his was like somewhere around 698 million. Don't hold me to those numbers, but, I mean, it's significantly different. 	3	it. I gave it to him.
 Would it have been handed to him? A I handed it to him. Just ask him. Say Jackass, where's the file Carlette gave you that was supposed to be used in the testimony in 2015? He said he totally understood it. Q Okay. A His was red. Mine was yellow. Q Okay. And the numbers that your team prepared that you provided Jimmy Addison were not the numbers that eventually made it into the 2015 testimony? A No. Q And how were they different? A I think my number was somewhere around 1.2 billion and his was like somewhere around 698 million. Don't hold me to those numbers, but, I mean, it's significantly different. 	4	Q And what how would that file be
 A I handed it to him. Just ask him. Say Jackass, where's the file Carlette gave you that was supposed to be used in the testimony in 2015? He said he totally understood it. Q Okay. A His was red. Mine was yellow. Q Okay. And the numbers that your team prepared that you provided Jimmy Addison were not the numbers that eventually made it into the 2015 testimony? A No. Q And how were they different? A I think my number was somewhere around 1.2 billion and his was like somewhere around 698 million. Don't hold me to those numbers, but, I mean, it's significantly different. 	5	titled? Would it have been e-mailed to him?
 Say Jackass, where's the file Carlette gave you that was supposed to be used in the testimony in 2015? He said he totally understood it. Q Okay. A His was red. Mine was yellow. Q Okay. And the numbers that your team prepared that you provided Jimmy Addison were not the numbers that eventually made it into the 2015 testimony? A No. Q And how were they different? A I think my number was somewhere around 1.2 billion and his was like somewhere around 698 million. Don't hold me to those numbers, but, I mean, it's significantly different. 	б	Would it have been handed to him?
you that was supposed to be used in the testimony in 2015? He said he totally understood it. Q Okay. A His was red. Mine was yellow. Q Okay. And the numbers that your team prepared that you provided Jimmy Addison were not the numbers that eventually made it into the 2015 testimony? A No. Q And how were they different? A I think my number was somewhere around 1.2 billion and his was like somewhere around 698 million. Don't hold me to those numbers, but, I mean, it's significantly	7	A I handed it to him. Just ask him.
<pre>10 testimony in 2015? He said he totally 11 understood it. 12 Q Okay. 13 A His was red. Mine was yellow. 14 Q Okay. And the numbers that your 15 team prepared that you provided Jimmy Addison 16 were not the numbers that eventually made it 17 into the 2015 testimony? 18 A No. 19 Q And how were they different? 20 A I think my number was somewhere 21 around 1.2 billion and his was like somewhere 22 around 698 million. Don't hold me to those 23 numbers, but, I mean, it's significantly 24 different.</pre>	8	Say Jackass, where's the file Carlette gave
<pre>11 understood it. 12 Q Okay. 13 A His was red. Mine was yellow. 14 Q Okay. And the numbers that your 15 team prepared that you provided Jimmy Addison 16 were not the numbers that eventually made it 17 into the 2015 testimony? 18 A No. 19 Q And how were they different? 20 A I think my number was somewhere 21 around 1.2 billion and his was like somewhere 22 around 698 million. Don't hold me to those 23 numbers, but, I mean, it's significantly 24 different.</pre>	9	you that was supposed to be used in the
12QOkay.13AHis was red. Mine was yellow.14QOkay. And the numbers that your15team prepared that you provided Jimmy Addison16were not the numbers that eventually made it17into the 2015 testimony?18A19Q20And how were they different?20A21around 1.2 billion and his was like somewhere22around 698 million. Don't hold me to those23numbers, but, I mean, it's significantly24different.	10	testimony in 2015? He said he totally
A His was red. Mine was yellow. Q Okay. And the numbers that your team prepared that you provided Jimmy Addison were not the numbers that eventually made it into the 2015 testimony? A No. Q And how were they different? A I think my number was somewhere around 1.2 billion and his was like somewhere around 698 million. Don't hold me to those numbers, but, I mean, it's significantly different.	11	understood it.
14QOkay. And the numbers that your15team prepared that you provided Jimmy Addison16were not the numbers that eventually made it17into the 2015 testimony?18A19Q20And how were they different?20A21around 1.2 billion and his was like somewhere22around 698 million. Don't hold me to those23numbers, but, I mean, it's significantly24different.	12	Q Okay.
<pre>15 team prepared that you provided Jimmy Addison 16 were not the numbers that eventually made it 17 into the 2015 testimony? 18 A No. 19 Q And how were they different? 20 A I think my number was somewhere 21 around 1.2 billion and his was like somewhere 22 around 698 million. Don't hold me to those 23 numbers, but, I mean, it's significantly 24 different.</pre>	13	A His was red. Mine was yellow.
<pre>were not the numbers that eventually made it into the 2015 testimony? A No. Q And how were they different? A I think my number was somewhere around 1.2 billion and his was like somewhere around 698 million. Don't hold me to those numbers, but, I mean, it's significantly different.</pre>	14	Q Okay. And the numbers that your
<pre>into the 2015 testimony? into the 2015 testimony? A No. Q And how were they different? A I think my number was somewhere around 1.2 billion and his was like somewhere around 698 million. Don't hold me to those numbers, but, I mean, it's significantly different.</pre>	15	team prepared that you provided Jimmy Addison
A No. Q And how were they different? A I think my number was somewhere around 1.2 billion and his was like somewhere around 698 million. Don't hold me to those numbers, but, I mean, it's significantly different.	16	were not the numbers that eventually made it
19 Q And how were they different? 20 A I think my number was somewhere 21 around 1.2 billion and his was like somewhere 22 around 698 million. Don't hold me to those 23 numbers, but, I mean, it's significantly 24 different.	17	into the 2015 testimony?
A I think my number was somewhere around 1.2 billion and his was like somewhere around 698 million. Don't hold me to those numbers, but, I mean, it's significantly different.	18	A No.
around 1.2 billion and his was like somewhere around 698 million. Don't hold me to those numbers, but, I mean, it's significantly different.	19	Q And how were they different?
around 698 million. Don't hold me to those numbers, but, I mean, it's significantly different.	20	A I think my number was somewhere
²³ numbers, but, I mean, it's significantly ²⁴ different.	21	around 1.2 billion and his was like somewhere
²⁴ different.	22	around 698 million. Don't hold me to those
	23	numbers, but, I mean, it's significantly
Q And the numbers we're talking about	24	different.
	25	Q And the numbers we're talking about

54

is the cost to complete the project?
 A Yes.

³ Q All right.

A And it would have a likely -- a similar effect on the schedule. I mean, you can't have that kind of a difference in the budget and not have a similar impact on the schedule.

⁹ I mean, they can't -- they were ¹⁰ working at -- their ratio to be able to ¹¹ actually do the work was horrible, but I ¹² couldn't get any of them to acknowledge that. ¹³ They wouldn't even listen to Bechtel, which ¹⁴ came out, I think, the month after I left, ¹⁵ that they weren't managing the project.

Q Okay. When you left SCANA, did you take any materials of any of this work product with you?

¹⁹ A Yeah.

Q And what materials did you take with you when you left SCANA?

A I think one of them was that file.
Q And what would you call that file?
A It was the 2015 Jimmy file.
Q Besides the 2015 Jimmy file, any

Deposition of Carlette L Walker

1	other materials that you remember taking?
2	A No. I mean, that was the big
3	that was the most important thing for me. I
4	mean, you can go in and read all of the SEC
5	filings and you can see where I mean, if
б	you go in and well, you have to go to the
7	project records, but if you go in and look at
8	the project records, you can see their PF
9	factor did nothing but climb.
10	Q And for a layperson like me, what
11	does that mean?
12	A Their performance factor.
13	Q And what is the effect of their
14	performance factor?
15	A Well, a performance factor tells
16	you how originally they're budgeted to in
17	this case we used a performance factor of 1,
18	1 meaning that they're going to budget one
19	man-hour to do to do one I don't know
20	how you'd say it, how you describe it. To do
21	1 yard of concrete is going to be 1.
22	If you if it takes more than one
23	hour to do a yard of concrete, then you're
24	not doing it in time. So if it takes two
25	hours to do 1 yard of concrete, then you're

1 now at a performance factor of 2.

Q Okay.

2

3 А Well, concrete was like one of the 4 biggest commodities that they had to install 5 at a nuclear plant. Their performance factor 6 for something that they had millions of hours 7 for pouring was at 5. So it took them five 8 times the amount of time to pour concrete 9 than it did when they budgeted it, so if 10 you've got millions of hours to pour concrete 11 and it takes you five times the amount of 12 time to do it, you got a major issue. 13 And those are the performance 0 14 factors. You mentioned they'd be in the SEC 15 files? Not in the SEC. They're going to 16 Α 17 be in project reports. 18 Are those called project reports, 0 19 and who would be preparing those? 20 Α You would want to look for the 21 Westinghouse -- or the consortium monthly 22 project reports. 23 Any other documents you can think 0 24 to steer me towards to find that type of

²⁵ information in?

1	A I think you're just going to have
2	to look for the monthly project meeting and
3	then try to get those and they're
4	PowerPoint slides. And if you could get your
5	hands on those, there's maybe 100 or 75
6	slides in there and they're metrics.
7	They later changed to less focused
8	on metrics, but for the first four or five
9	years that I was on the project, they were
10	metrics-based. And there's a couple in there
11	that are on their PF factor.
12	And every time I raised questions
13	about the PF factor and wanted to get to know
14	what they were doing to change the PF factor,
15	I was shut down by the VP of construction and
16	told to take that discussion offline because
17	it really wasn't appropriate to ask questions
18	about that in this meeting.
19	Q And who was the VP of construction?
20	A He made a big impression on me. I
21	can't even remember his name. He was that
22	good.
23	Q And when you say when you used
24	the terminology take it offline, what does
25	that mean?

Deposition of Carlette L Walker

1 He didn't want to talk about it. А 2 And why? What was your impression Q 3 of why that would be? 4 Because he didn't care. He was А 5 there just for the money. б Was this VP of construction at 0 7 SCANA or at Westinghouse or CB&I? 8 This was SCANA. He retired from А 9 Duke or kind of got pushed out by Duke 10 whenever they got bought up by I think 11 Progress. And so he came down here. His 12 family was still in Charlotte. He got a job 13 offer to come down here for construction, and 14 so he came down here for that. 15 And he was here for a stint, and 16 then went home to Charlotte after he lost his 17 job. I'll remember his name. 18 At some point probably the name 0 19 will pop into your head, and just bring it to 20 me and we'll --21 He was a nice quy. I mean, he just Α 22 didn't care. Jones, I think. Ron Jones. 23 All right. And in --0 24 Dan, his name was Ron Jones. Α 25 0 Okay.

1	A He was VP of construction, and he
2	would be an SCE&G employee. Because he was
3	actually in the nuclear organization, so he
4	reported to Jeff Archie.
5	Jeff would be another interesting
б	person for you to talk to.
7	Q And why do you believe that?
8	A Just be an interesting one to
9	get or to interview him.
10	Q I want to look at a couple of
11	documents now, so give us a
12	Let me ask you this. What is your
13	understanding of what the South Carolina
14	Public Service Commission is?
15	A Say that again.
16	Q The South Carolina Public Service
17	Commission, what is it that they do?
18	A Well, they're supposed to look out
19	for the rate payors while they also balance
20	the long-term sustainability of the utility
21	in establishing rates.
22	Q And so would it be fair to say that
23	the Public the relationship between the
24	PSC and SCANA is that PSC would be setting
25	the rates that SCANA could charge to its

Deposition of Carlette L Walker

1 customers?

SCANA?

9

² A Yes.

Q And as part of your employment at
SCANA, did you ever provide testimony before
the South Carolina Public Service Commission?
A I did.
Q And why would it be you giving that
testimony as opposed to somebody else at

Well, I had given testimony when I 10 А 11 was at Pipeline on quite a few occasions, and they seemed to be happy with my ability to 12 13 give testimony before the commissioners. And 14 I had done it for the two electric rate cases 15 in the early 2000s, I think it was, and the 16 commissioner seemed to respond to me. And so 17 it seemed natural, I guess, for them to do 18 the same when I got to the nuclear project.

Q And when did you last give
 testimony to the PSC?

A It would be that 2015. A

Q And what was the purpose of your testimony in 2015 to the PSC?

A It was to get a revised budget and the revised schedule approved.

1 And was it the -- the intention of Q it was for the PSC to rely on the information 2 3 in determining to do what? 4 To approve the revised budget and А 5 schedule. б And the two main topics that you 0 7 were going to testify to were forecasting of 8 construction and accounting and budgeting? 9 Right. Α 10 All right. Who all was prepared --0 11 I'm going to get -- we've touched on it a 12 little bit, but I want to get into how the 13 testimony in 2015 for the PSC was prepared. 14 Can you give us a list of everybody at SCANA 15 that would have been involved in preparing 16 your testimony? 17 Α Kevin Kochems. 18 Uh-huh. 0 19 And Mitch Willoughby was the Α 20 outside regulatory counsel. 21 Do you know which firm he works Q 22 for? 23 His firm, Willoughby -- I think А 24 he's in his own practice. 25 Q Okay.

A Belton Ziegler would have probably had the last say on it, but I think Mitch Willoughby wrote my testimony. And then I think that Ken Browne made some comments, and he was pretty much cussed out by Mitch Willoughby and put in his place and so he just shut up.

⁸ Q All right. You're going to have to ⁹ elaborate on that for me. Explain that.

10 That's all I know. Ken said Α 11 something to me about him pushing back on Mitch about something in my testimony in my 12 13 absence and Mitch really let him hold it, 14 which was out of character for Ken to see in 15 Mitch, and that's why he shared it with me 16 just to let me know that he saw how Mitch 17 could get really pissed off. And so he felt 18 the need to share that with me.

Q What was it substancewise that you believe Ken had shared with Mitch that upset him?

MR. BALSER: Object to the form of the question to the extent that it calls for the witness to reveal SCANA attorney-client privileged communications. I

1	instruct the witness that the privilege
2	belongs to SCANA and that you may not waive
3	any attorney-client privilege that involves
4	the company.
5	MR. MOORE: She is here under
6	subpoena, so, gentlemen, you tell me what to
7	do.
8	MR. BALSER: You're not
9	seeking any attorney-client privilege
10	testimony, are you?
11	MR. HALTIWANGER: I don't
12	believe that she has had a conversation with
13	Mitch Willoughby is that correct? about
14	this.
15	THE WITNESS: No.
16	MR. BALSER: As I understand
17	the question, you asked for the substance of
18	the discussion between SCANA's outside
19	counsel and SCANA employees, and that is
20	privileged information. She cannot reveal it
21	no matter where she worked.
22	MR. HALTIWANGER: All right.
23	I'll tell you what, I'm going to see if we
24	can't work around this. I'll respect that
25	objection.

1 MR. MOORE: Or I suggest that 2 we skip the question, certify it for 3 consideration by the Court, and allow you to 4 take it up with the Court at a later date 5 should you choose to do so. б MR. HALTIWANGER: We can agree 7 with that. 8 MR. MOORE: Great. 9 0 Let me ask you, was there a name 10 for the team that was prepared -- or that 11 participated in preparing your testimony for 12 2015? 13 Uh-uh. А 14 If I wanted to do sort of a word 0 15 search to find any documents related to your 16 2015 testimony preparation, how would I go 17 about finding that? 18 I wouldn't know. Α 19 Were there drafts of written 0 20 materials that were prepared going into the 21 2015 testimony? 22 Α I'm sure there were drafts. 23 Who would have been involved in 0 24 drafting that material? 25 Mitch and Kevin. А

1 Do you know who Mitch would have Q 2 been getting the information from? 3 Α Kevin. 4 Do you know who Kevin would be 0 5 getting his information from to supply to 6 Mitch? 7 Α Kevin would have been preparing it. 8 He would be getting some of the information 9 from the documents that were prepared by the 10 team that pulled together the estimates. 11 0 Who would have been in charge of 12 putting the estimates together? 13 That ultimately was given to Ken А 14 Browne. 15 And so Ken Browne, he was a SCANA 0 16 employee, a SCANA Services employee? 17 Α He was an SCE&G employee. He was 18 employed within the nuclear organization. 19 And what information would he have 0 20 been gathering in order to help prepare your 21 testimony? 22 Α He didn't -- I don't mean that --23 he would have been involved in the 24 preparation of our team's development of the 25 budget based on where the project was in the

66

1	winter of 2015.
2	Q Okay.
3	A And that was the basis for my
4	arguing with senior executives about what we
5	should put in the testimony as our estimate
6	to complete the project. It would have been
7	his work
8	Q Ken Browne's work?
9	A Right. And Ken Browne, that last
10	name has an E on the end.
11	Q Were there any external accountants
12	involved in preparing your 2015 testimony?
13	A No.
14	Q Anybody from Pricewaterhouse Cooper
15	involved?
16	A No.
17	Q From an internal accounting
18	standpoint, besides Ken Browne, who else
19	would have been involved in preparing that
20	testimony or the materials that would
21	underlie the testimony?
22	A Just Ken and Kevin. Shirley might
23	have Shirley might have been involved,
24	too, with some things. Shirley Johnson.
25	Q During this process, did you ever

1 see any rough drafts of the testimony prior 2 to signing off on it to give to the PSC? 3 А They may have e-mailed them to me, 4 but I didn't look at them. My husband was in 5 total kidney failure. б So this all was occurring while you 0 7 were occupied with your husband's health 8 situation? 9 Absolutely. He was in the hospital Α 10 for ten days. 11 And in addition to estimates about 0 12 cost of completion, there was also a 13 component dealing with the -- I guess the 14 timeline for when the project would be 15 completed? 16 Α Right. 17 And in 2015, do you recall what the 0 18 approximate date was that was given for when 19 the project would have been completed? 20 I can't remember. Α 21 Do you recall whether you agreed 0 22 with those dates whenever they were given in 23 your testimony? 24 Δ I can't remember. 25 (Exhibit No. 2 was marked for

1	identification.)
2	Q Ms. Walker, I've handed you what's
3	been marked as <mark>Exhibit 2</mark> . And I'll give you
4	a chance to review it, and then I'm going to
5	ask you if you recognize what this document
6	is.
7	A Uh-huh.
8	Q You do
9	A I do.
10	Q Okay. And can you tell us what
11	this is?
12	A Yeah, this is just the cash flow
13	reformatted for the new updated expenditures.
14	Q And this would have been an exhibit
15	to your 2015 testimony?
16	A That's correct.
17	Q And who would have been involved,
18	and we may have covered this, but who would
19	have been involved in preparing the numbers
20	to put into this material?
21	A Kevin Kochems.
22	Q And
23	A And there was another
24	Q Do you recall?
25	A Rachel Robinson, I know she's

2QAnd who does she work for?3AUltimately Kenny Jackson. He was4on senior staff.5QOf SCANA?6AUh-huh.7QAnd8AI'm trying to remember the guy that9trained her.10QOkay. In looking at Exhibit11Number 2, the restated and updated12construction expenditures, for a layperson,13can you explain what this material is we're14looking at? What was the purpose of this15exhibit?16A17mubers in it, you can see what the18transmission costs are?19Q19Q20A21the actuals, or through 2014. You see the22Last the last one is 47 million?23Q24A25in each one of those years. And then for	1	involved in doing the final step on this.
 on senior staff. Q Of SCANA? A Uh-huh. Q And A I'm trying to remember the guy that trained her. Q Okay. In looking at Exhibit Number 2, the restated and updated construction expenditures, for a layperson, can you explain what this material is we're looking at? What was the purpose of this exhibit? A Well, the first column that has numbers in it, you can see what the transmission costs are? Q Yes. A And they're just laying out by year the actuals, or through 2014. You see the last the last one is 47 million? Q Yes. A That's actual how much they spent 	2	Q And who does she work for?
5 Q Of SCANA? 6 A Uh-huh. 7 Q And 8 A I'm trying to remember the guy that 9 trained her. Q 10 Q Okay. In looking at Exhibit 11 Number 2, the restated and updated 12 construction expenditures, for a layperson, 13 can you explain what this material is we're 14 looking at? What was the purpose of this 15 exhibit? 16 A 17 Q 18 transmission costs are? 19 Q 19 Q 10 Yes. 20 A 21 the actuals, or through 2014. You see the 22 Q Yes. 23 Q Yes. 24 A That's actual how much they spent	3	A Ultimately Kenny Jackson. He was
 A Uh-huh. Q And A I'm trying to remember the guy that trained her. Q Okay. In looking at Exhibit Number 2, the restated and updated construction expenditures, for a layperson, can you explain what this material is we're looking at? What was the purpose of this exhibit? A Well, the first column that has numbers in it, you can see what the transmission costs are? Q Yes. A And they're just laying out by year the actuals, or through 2014. You see the last the last one is 47 million? Q Yes. A That's actual how much they spent 	4	on senior staff.
7QAnd8AI'm trying to remember the guy that9trained her.10QOkay. In looking at Exhibit11Number 2, the restated and updated12construction expenditures, for a layperson,13can you explain what this material is we're14looking at? What was the purpose of this15exhibit?16A17numbers in it, you can see what the18transmission costs are?19Q20A21the actuals, or through 2014. You see the22last the last one is 47 million?23Q24A24A	5	Q Of SCANA?
 A I'm trying to remember the guy that trained her. Q Okay. In looking at Exhibit Number 2, the restated and updated construction expenditures, for a layperson, can you explain what this material is we're looking at? What was the purpose of this exhibit? A Well, the first column that has numbers in it, you can see what the transmission costs are? Q Yes. A And they're just laying out by year the actuals, or through 2014. You see the last the last one is 47 million? Q Yes. A That's actual how much they spent 	б	A Uh-huh.
 frame or young of remember the gay that trained her. Q Okay. In looking at Exhibit Number 2, the restated and updated construction expenditures, for a layperson, can you explain what this material is we're looking at? What was the purpose of this exhibit? A Well, the first column that has numbers in it, you can see what the transmission costs are? Q Yes. A And they're just laying out by year the actuals, or through 2014. You see the last the last one is 47 million? Q Yes. A That's actual how much they spent 	7	Q And
10QOkay. In looking at Exhibit11Number 2, the restated and updated12construction expenditures, for a layperson,13can you explain what this material is we're14looking at? What was the purpose of this15exhibit?16A17numbers in it, you can see what the18transmission costs are?19Q20A21the actuals, or through 2014. You see the22Q23Q24A24A24A25A26A27Yes.28A29Yes.20Yes.21A22A23Q24Yes.24A25A26Yes.27A28Yes.29Yes.20Yes.21Yes.22Yes.23Yes.24Yes.25Yes.26Yes.27Yes.28Yes.29Yes.20Yes.21Yes.22Yes.23Yes.24Yes.25Yes.26Yes.27Yes.28Yes.29Yes.29Yes.20Yes.<	8	A I'm trying to remember the guy that
Number 2, the restated and updated construction expenditures, for a layperson, can you explain what this material is we're looking at? What was the purpose of this exhibit? A Well, the first column that has numbers in it, you can see what the transmission costs are? Q Yes. A And they're just laying out by year the actuals, or through 2014. You see the last the last one is 47 million? Q Yes. A That's actual how much they spent	9	trained her.
12 construction expenditures, for a layperson, 13 can you explain what this material is we're 14 looking at? What was the purpose of this 15 exhibit? 16 A Well, the first column that has 17 numbers in it, you can see what the 18 transmission costs are? 19 Q Yes. 20 A And they're just laying out by year 21 the actuals, or through 2014. You see the 22 last the last one is 47 million? 23 Q Yes. 24 A That's actual how much they spent	10	Q Okay. In looking at Exhibit
can you explain what this material is we're looking at? What was the purpose of this exhibit? A Well, the first column that has numbers in it, you can see what the transmission costs are? Q Yes. A And they're just laying out by year the actuals, or through 2014. You see the last the last one is 47 million? Q Yes. A That's actual how much they spent	11	Number 2, the restated and updated
<pre>14 looking at? What was the purpose of this 15 exhibit? 16 A Well, the first column that has 17 numbers in it, you can see what the 18 transmission costs are? 19 Q Yes. 20 A And they're just laying out by year 21 the actuals, or through 2014. You see the 22 last the last one is 47 million? 23 Q Yes. 24 A That's actual how much they spent</pre>	12	construction expenditures, for a layperson,
<pre>15 exhibit? 16 A Well, the first column that has 17 numbers in it, you can see what the 18 transmission costs are? 19 Q Yes. 20 A And they're just laying out by year 21 the actuals, or through 2014. You see the 22 last the last one is 47 million? 23 Q Yes. 24 A That's actual how much they spent</pre>	13	can you explain what this material is we're
 A Well, the first column that has numbers in it, you can see what the transmission costs are? Q Yes. A And they're just laying out by year the actuals, or through 2014. You see the last the last one is 47 million? Q Yes. A That's actual how much they spent 	14	looking at? What was the purpose of this
17 numbers in it, you can see what the 18 transmission costs are? 19 Q Yes. 20 A And they're just laying out by year 21 the actuals, or through 2014. You see the 22 last the last one is 47 million? 23 Q Yes. 24 A That's actual how much they spent	15	exhibit?
18 transmission costs are? 19 Q Yes. 20 A And they're just laying out by year 21 the actuals, or through 2014. You see the 22 last the last one is 47 million? 23 Q Yes. 24 A That's actual how much they spent	16	A Well, the first column that has
19QYes.20AAnd they're just laying out by year21the actuals, or through 2014. You see the22last the last one is 47 million?23Q24A24A	17	numbers in it, you can see what the
A And they're just laying out by year the actuals, or through 2014. You see the last the last one is 47 million? Q Yes. A That's actual how much they spent	18	transmission costs are?
21 the actuals, or through 2014. You see the 22 last the last one is 47 million? 23 Q Yes. 24 A That's actual how much they spent	19	Q Yes.
 22 last the last one is 47 million? 23 Q Yes. 24 A That's actual how much they spent 	20	A And they're just laying out by year
23 Q Yes. 24 A That's actual how much they spent	21	the actuals, or through 2014. You see the
A That's actual how much they spent	22	last the last one is 47 million?
In That b actual now math they bette	23	Q Yes.
25 in each one of those years. And then for	24	A That's actual how much they spent
	25	in each one of those years. And then for

those years after that line, that's what they expect that they were going to spend through '16 or '18. They were expecting to spend 64 million or 84 million, whatever those numbers are to be able to complete the transmission line.

Q And the -- explain for us what the
 total revised project cash flow number
 9 represents.

A That is the total -- well, I mean, that's just the total of the escalation and the base project costs added together.

Q And so that is the -- is that the dollar amount that SCANA is telling the PSC that this is what it's going to cost to complete the project?

17 A Yes.

Q And what was that number in Exhibit 1?

A What? Say that again.

Q What was the number in Exhibit 1 22 that SCANA gave to the PSC as the number that 23 it believed was the amount necessary to 24 complete the project?

²⁵ A 6,547,124.

1	Q And at the time this material was
2	prepared in 2015, do you believe that number
3	was accurate?
4	A No. I don't this is the one
5	that's got the projection I didn't agree
б	with.
7	Q I'm sorry. What did you say?
8	A No, because this is the one that's
9	got the amounts that I didn't agree with.
10	Q And what what would be the
11	difference in the amount that was given in
12	Exhibit 1 and what you actually believed to
13	be the proper amount?
14	A I don't remember the numbers
15	exactly.
16	Q Rough ballpark would do.
17	A I think it was about a half a
18	billion dollar difference. You would
19	increase it by a half a billion dollars.
20	Q And that was your belief back in
21	2015 when this testimony was given to the
22	PSC?
23	A Right.
24	Q And you had shared that belief with
25	who at SCE&G or SCANA?

1	A The CEO, the CFO, Steve Byrne,
2	Marty Phalen. So I gave I had shared my
3	feelings with five of the senior executives.
4	Q And if I wanted to go back and see
5	if I could put together any communication
6	with that information in it to those
7	individuals, what documents or
8	A There wouldn't be anything you
9	could find.
10	Q And why is that?
11	A Because no matter what I said or
12	did, they would not put it on the agenda.
13	Q So if I wanted to find any
14	documentation about your concerns you had
15	raised to those five individuals, where would
16	I go to find it?
17	A I don't think you will.
18	Q And, again, explain why that is.
19	A Because they didn't they didn't
20	want to hear it. Kevin had made the decision
21	that he was going to go to the number that he
22	could point to that Westinghouse had given
23	him.
24	Q And elaborate what that means based
25	on your understanding of the project as

1	it's
2	A Exactly what I just said. I don't
3	know what it means other than exactly what I
4	just said. That's what he decided to do.
5	That's what I heard somebody say.
6	Q And so the number
7	A This is when I'm this is when I
8	believe that Kevin Marsh is an upstanding
9	citizen with integrity.
10	Q Okay.
11	A It wasn't until eight months later
12	that I find out that he's a schmuck.
13	Q And what led you to make that
14	discovery?
15	A When I watched him negotiate that
16	fixed-price contract.
17	Q And what about
18	A And then when I also after I
19	told him of things that I knew was going on
20	in his organization and he told me he was
21	going to simply put together a team to find
22	out about whether or not his nuclear
23	organization operated as an island and that
24	was okay.
25	Any CFO who knows he's got 800

1 people -- and the organization, the motto is 2 what happens at nuclear stays at nuclear --3 and they're okay with that has got a major 4 And he was going to put together a issue. 5 team to see if that really was the 6 organization at nuclear, the culture. 7 So when you say what happens at Ο 8 nuclear stays at nuclear, is that a phrase 9 you had heard while employed at SCANA? 10 Oh, my gosh, yes. And I saw it in Α 11 living color when I was at nuclear. 12 0 Uh-huh. 13 That's where I invite you to А 14 interview Jeff Archie. 15 And what was his position? 0 16 He's the chief nuclear officer. Α I 17 don't think he could find his way out of a 18 paper baq. 19 0 All right. So we've looked at Exhibit Number 2, which has the -- what I 20 21 would consider to be the cost of completion 22 number given to the PSC in 2015, and that was 23 the -- and I believe this is in billions. So 24 that would have been 6.5 million, roughly? 25 Α Uh-huh.

1	Q And I believe we touched on it.
2	There's also a time frame under which the
3	project was supposed to be completed as part
4	of the testimony in 2015; is that correct?
5	A Uh-huh.
б	Q And do you believe that that time
7	frame was also accurate, or do you believe
8	that there was an issue with the time frame
9	that was given to the PSC?
10	A I'm not an engineering expert
11	witness, so I would have relied on, you know,
12	some of the engineers looking at the
13	schedule. So it would have been included
14	probably in my testimony, but I would not be
15	an expert, you know, on schedules.
16	Q Then why would that have been
17	included in your testimony as opposed to
18	somebody else for the PSC?
19	A I don't know.
20	Q Who would have made that decision?
21	A Belton Ziegler and Mitch. You
22	would expect that that would have been in
23	Steve's testimony.
24	Q All right. Now, we've talked about
25	testimony, and I just don't know this. When

we talk about the testimony that was given to the PSC, did you actually have to go in and give live testimony to the PSC, or was this submitted as written materials, or both?

⁵ A Both. They put us on a panel. I ⁶ think they had myself, Ron Jones, and ⁷ somebody else. There were three of us, I ⁸ think, on the panel.

9 Q And the testimony that you -- or 10 that was given on your behalf with respect to 11 the total revised project cash flow of 6 1/2 12 billion dollars, from what we're talking 13 about here today, it sounds to me like you 14 did not believe that number was accurate?

A Well, let me clarify something. I Mean, you can -- I mean, if Westinghouse said they could do it in \$698 million, you know, we calculated something different.

Now, if they have some workaround method, they might have been able to do it in 698 million. My calculation, based on the performance factor to date, said that it wasn't probable.

²⁴ But they were also getting rid of ²⁵ CB&I and they were going to bring in Fluor

1	Daniel. Fluor Daniel is the one that built
2	the first unit, so Fluor Daniel might have
3	been able to do it.
4	Q At the time that this testimony was
5	given, though, you were aware of information
6	that led you to believe that it probably
7	wasn't accurate?
8	A I was not real happy with them
9	putting that 698 yeah, the 698 in there
10	because I didn't think that that was very
11	likely.
12	Q And did you feel pressure to put
13	that number in there?
14	A I didn't put the number there.
15	Remember, I wasn't at work.
16	Q Okay. Did you feel any pressure
17	not to raise concerns about that number once
18	you became aware of it?
19	A Say that again.
20	Q Did you feel any pressure about not
21	raising your concern about that number,
22	whatever
23	A Once it was filed?
24	Q Once it was filed.
25	A Yeah, I felt pressure not to raise

¹ a concern.

Q And how would you have come to feel And how would you have come to feel that pressure? In conversations or e-mails or anything like that from anybody?

A No. I mean, I -- I mean, we all --Ken Browne and I, those of us who had argued for four months about that number, I mean, we didn't change our position despite management deciding to go with the WEC number. That was Westinghouse. I'm sorry.

Q And I guess what I'm looking for, in coming to that -- or coming to your conclusion about what that number would be, had you done any written work or any written materials that we could look for to support the number you were thinking as opposed to the number that Westinghouse was given?

A Yeah. That record that I gave to Jimmy has got all the documentation that supports why we thought the million two was the appropriate number. It's got all the calculations and all the supporting documents. It all ties together.

Q And you say million. Is it actually a billion two?

1	A Billion, yeah. I'm sorry.
2	Q So your testimony is that you would
3	have given to this is Jimmy Addison
4	A Uh-huh.
5	Q all the calculations and
6	information that would show that the number
7	that that the SCANA team that you were
8	working with came to the conclusion of was
9	closer to \$1.2 billion?
10	A Right.
11	Q And the number that was being
12	included to the PSC was closer to 6 $1/2$
13	million?
14	A Million, uh-huh.
15	Q 600 million, I should say.
16	A Right.
17	Q And when would you have supplied
18	that information to Jimmy Addison?
19	A It was somewhere between January
20	and April of '15. It was toward more
21	toward April because it was my last-ditch
22	effort and it was my chance to say you can't
23	say I didn't tell you because I put it in
24	writing, in a file. And I had an exact
25	duplicate so that I knew that I could say,

1 Don't tell me you didn't see it and I didn't 2 tell you because I left you a written copy 3 because I've got a duplicate right here 4 (indicating). 5 And do you still have a copy of Q 6 that duplicate today? 7 Yeah. Α 8 0 And if I wanted to ask you for a 9 copy of that material, you would refer to it 10 as the Jimmy Addison file? If I wanted to 11 ask your lawyer for a copy of it, is that how 12 he would know to refer to it? 13 MR. MOORE: As far as I know, 14 you can refer to it basically any way we -- I 15 mean, that would be pretty rational, I think. 16 MR. HALTIWANGER: Okay. 17 MR. MOORE: I'm not supposed 18 to speak, but I think -- if they want me to, 19 I will. I can tell you, I can't look at the 20 А 21 file, so if you want some of it --22 0 And why is that? 23 It drives too much emotion. А 24 And it's not because you've signed 0 25 any -- let me ask you this. When you came to

1	leave employment at SCANA, did you sign any
2	sort of severance package with them?
3	A Uh-huh.
4	Q Explain how that came to be.
5	A Well, Jake negotiated something
б	with me that said that unless I was
7	subpoenaed and had to talk, that I wouldn't
8	talk to anybody about anything that happened
9	to me in my employment with SCANA.
10	Q And when did that agreement come
11	into place?
12	A In July or August of '16.
13	Q And are you just tell us, in
14	your own words, how did that agreement come
15	to be?
16	A Well, they knew that I had records
17	at the house, and they wanted those records
18	back for their own destruction or maybe
19	keeping. I don't know.
20	And I guess just good clean living,
21	when I sent them the records, their lawyer
22	made a faux pas, and when they returned some
23	boxes that didn't have records in them that
24	they wanted to keep, he also sent back a
25	yellow file that just happened to be the very
	Cloub and Associates Inc.

1	yellow file that was the Jimmy Addison file.
2	And it's like, Well, damn, if you don't want
3	it, I'll keep it.
4	And so I got my yellow file back,
5	which was the pivotal file. And so I had one
6	file in my safe at home that was the one file
7	that he probably should have kept of all the
8	files he got.
9	Q And I guess we've all heard about
10	this in the press lately due to some of the
11	news, but nondisclosure agreement, are you
12	familiar with that term?
13	A Uh-huh.
14	Q As part of your severance package,
15	was there a nondisclosure agreement?
16	A Uh-huh.
17	Q And do you recall what the terms
18	were of that agreement? Is that the you
19	can't talk unless you're under subpoena?
20	A Right.
21	Q Are there any penalties in the
22	package for violation of that NDA?
23	A On both parts, yeah.
24	Q Explain what those are.
25	A I don't know what they are for me.

1	I think they're pretty steep. If they
2	violate it, I think they have to pay me
3	25 percent of the contract that's been unpaid
4	at that point.
5	Q Let me shift to that. What are the
6	terms of payment under that contract?
7	A Why do we need to talk about that?
8	Q I'll let you talk to your
9	MR. MOORE: I would prefer not
10	to. I mean, I understand that we she has
11	a confidentiality agreement with she
12	basically agreed to resign, and she agreed
13	that she would receive certain benefits under
14	the agreement.
15	I would hope that I mean,
16	that doesn't seem to be particularly relevant
17	to the whole thing. She's here to testify
18	the lady has had certainly enough of her
19	personal life exposed. I would hope we could
20	pass that by.
21	MR. HALTIWANGER: Okay. I
22	will respect that, Mr. Moore.
23	MR. MOORE: I appreciate that
24	very much.
25	Q I guess what I'm going to try to do
	Clark and Associates Inc

1 is I'm going to try to recreate what 2 documents would be covered by that by going 3 to SCE&G and asking them for those materials. 4 And as best as you can, what should I be 5 asking them for besides the Jimmy Addison 6 file, the yellow folder, and that material 7 that we've discussed? Is there anything else 8 that we haven't discovered? 9 I mean, there's not that much that А 10 I had at the house. I mean, I had created 11 some timelines. 12 And what was the information in Ο 13 those timelines? 14 Who did what and some documents А 15 that would have been good and people that you 16 could have identified to use to depose if you 17 wanted to go ahead and do a file with the 18 SEC. 19 0 And this was all materials you 20 would have prepared in what time frame? 21 Probably January through March of Α 22 2016. 23 And do those materials still exist 0 24 today? 25 А I don't know. I mean, SCE&G's

1	attorney Ted Speth got them, so I don't know
2	what he would have done with them.
3	Q What was the name of the attorney?
4	A Ted Speth. I think it's S-P-E-T-H.
5	Q So these are timelines and
б	identities of individuals that you believe
7	had information relevant to the 2015
8	testimony in front of the PSC?
9	A No. This was related to the whole
10	project.
11	Q The whole project. Okay. Besides
12	the 2015 testimony, what else about the
13	project was covered in that material?
14	A I had identified something that had
15	happened early on in the project before I was
16	actually at the project that had to do with
17	owner's costs.
18	Q And explain I've never heard of
19	owner's costs before. Explain what this
20	issue was, as best as you can, to me.
21	A I wasn't I don't know if Bill
22	Timmerman was made aware of this, but I know
23	that Ron Clary, who was given the charge
24	for that's C-L-A-R-Y Ron Clary was a
25	nuclear Navy and Unit 1 person. He was given
	Clark and Associates Inc

charge with going out and doing the research to find out what would be the best next layer of generation for the plant -- for the company. And he came back. The expectation was that he was going to go out with an open mind, whether it be gas, nuclear. You know, whatever the alternatives were.

8 But keep in mind, he's also been 9 nuclear for his entire career. So the story 10 that I've been told, so it's hearsay, was 11 that when he gathered his information from 12 AREVA and GE and all the different makers of 13 nuclear technology, he was trying to get 14 information on what owner's costs should be 15 expected to be.

16 And when they came up with the 17 owner's costs, it was -- it was extremely 18 tight with running the numbers between a gas 19 plant or a turbine versus a nuclear. And so 20 to make sure nuclear came out as the obvious 21 choice, he cut the owner's costs in half for 22 SCE&G's numbers, and that made nuclear come 23 out as the choice of fuel.

And Santee Cooper's representative at that point was Ken Browne, the same person that we've talked about earlier that worked
 for SCE&G at the end.

³ Q Uh-huh.

A He didn't cut Santee Cooper's owner's costs, and that's why Santee Cooper didn't have to go before their board and ask for more money in their owner's costs.

8 And so the first hearing that I 9 testified in for SCE&G several years after 10 the project had started was, in fact, to 11 raise owner's costs because they had been set 12 artificially too low. And so we ended up 13 raising them, I think, about -- I think that 14 we ended up doubling them in that first rate 15 case that I had to testify.

16 Q And what was the date of that 17 testimony? Roughly, what year?

A It must have been -- I think it was
 2012.

Q And, again, I'm not an accountant or an engineer or anything, so I'm just going to try to explain to you what I just

²³ understood you to say.

Owner's cost is -- or SCE&G was in the position of trying to decide between

1 building different types of baseload 2 generation plants? 3 Α Uh-huh. 4 One of the options would be 0 5 nuclear. There would be other options, such 6 as gas or coal. 7 And in coming to make that 8 determination about which plant to build, 9 they would come up with what would be the 10 owner's costs for constructing the different 11 types of plants? 12 Uh-huh. Α 13 And, again, we're writing it down, 0 14 so if you're nodding your head, can you say 15 yes or no? 16 Α Yes. Yes. 17 0 Okay. And you -- when you came 18 onto the project, you believe you discovered 19 there was an issue with owner's costs with 20 regard to the nuclear costs that was given to 21 the PSC? 22 Α That's right. 23 And it was your belief --0 24 No, not to the PSC. А 25 0 Okay.

1	A To the management for them to make
2	a decision as to which one they were going to
3	choose.
4	Q Okay.
5	A To senior executives.
6	Q So this would have been
7	A This was in like 2005, 2007 time
8	frame.
9	Q And so, again, I don't want to put
10	words in your mouth, so I just want to get
11	your understanding.
12	The owner's costs that was looked
13	at for nuclear for SCE&G or for SCANA, you
14	don't believe that those numbers were
15	accurate?
16	A Right.
17	Q And what is your understanding of
18	how that inaccuracy came to be as part of
19	this project?
20	A I believe that Ron Clary cut
21	owner's costs in half so that when those
22	numbers were put in the model, along with the
23	gas, that the model would show that nuclear
24	was the choice for the next baseload
25	generation.

1	Q And what was Ron Clary's position
2	at the time? Do you know?
3	A He got promoted to the vice
4	president of construction at the same time
5	that Bill Timmerman sent me up there to the
6	project as vice president of nuclear finance
7	administration.
8	Q And eventually the owner's cost was
9	adjusted?
10	A Right.
11	Q And explain how that adjustment
12	came to be and what was the result.
13	A When I got up there, we did an
14	exhaustive analysis of owner's costs by going
15	to each of the department heads and getting
16	them to do a layout of their manpower needs
17	for the life of the project, and then we also
18	did training and all the other things that go
19	along with manpower.
20	Q Uh-huh.
21	A And we also did a tried to do an
22	exhaustive list of supplies in inventory.
23	Q So is this basically just trying to
24	actually put numbers, hard numbers, as to
25	what was the projected owner's costs?
	Clark and Associates Inc.

1 A Right.

2 Q And --

A And when we did that, it was
 obvious that the owner's cost was lacking.

⁵ Q And, again, one of the things I'm ⁶ going to try to do is go back and find these ⁷ materials as written. What document names or ⁸ titles would I be looking for that would go ⁹ into this owner's cost revision analysis?

A I would just look for the 2012 rate case documentation or owner's cost, 2012 owner's costs.

13 And -- and this was occurring 0 14 around the -- was this part of your 2012 15 testimony when you went in front of the PSC? 16 I think it was 2012. Α Uh-huh. 17 Okay. And would it have been your 0 18 responsibility -- would you have been the one 19 that would have been revising the owner's 20 cost numbers, or was that somebody else on 21 the team? Or who would have been --22 Α We would have been doing that.

²³ That was my responsibility.

Q And whenever you came up with those numbers, new numbers, were there any

1 discussions with management about the 2 difference between the owner's costs that you 3 came up with versus what Mr. Clary had put 4 together? 5 Α No. б Nobody -- you never went back and 0 7 said Ron was wrong or --8 Α No. 9 -- look at the difference between 0 10 these numbers? 11 Α No. 12 Did SCE&G -- did anybody give you 0 13 any indication that they disagreed with the 14 new number you came up with? 15 Bill had already retired. Α No. The 16 contract was already signed. I mean, that 17 water had already flown under the bridge, and 18 so there was no real reason to bring it up. 19 We were way beyond pulling the plug on that. 20 You know, this is after the fact. 21 People are telling me about war stories, and 22 I'm just flabbergasted by what they were 23 telling me. It was like, wow, this is pretty 24 incredible. 25 When you talk about war stories, 0

93

this is one of them, this owner's cost issue?
 A Uh-huh.

³ Q That would be one of the things
⁴ that --

5 Well, I mean, you got to keep in Α 6 mind, I mean, Ron Clary -- this is, you know, 7 the nuclear mentality. You know, I got to 8 the project, and Ron Clary is doing 9 everything he can to make it to where he's 10 undermining everything I am and everything 11 I'm trying to do. He's having me taken off 12 of every distribution so that I can't get 13 e-mails, I can't get letters, so -- because 14 he doesn't want me to be successful on the 15 project because I'm not part of the nuclear 16 organization. I'm part of SCANA Services. 17 And so, you know, that's the 18 mentality of the nuclear organization. 19 Instead of embracing somebody from SCANA 20 Services who's supposed to help you, he tries 21 to push me to the side and keep me from being 22 a part of the organization and helping him.

²³ And so I fought battles with him trying to

²⁴ get him just to let me become a part of the

²⁵ team and work with him.

Clark and Associates Inc.

1	I went to his boss, Jeff Archie,
2	and Jeff Archie told me, he said, Yeah he
3	said, Ron, we've always known we had to keep
4	him in a box.
5	And I said, Well, he's out of the
6	box. Can you help me put him back in the
7	box?
8	And, you know, then I told I
9	went to my boss after a while and I said, Can
10	you think of anything you can do to help me
11	with Ron Clary? He's driving me crazy. I
12	mean, I can't get a seat at the table with
13	this guy.
14	Did Jimmy do anything? No,
15	nothing.
16	So one day he finally on a
17	Friday, we were working out trying to make
18	plans to do this re-budgeting, and I had all
19	the names of all the department heads on my
20	white board, and I had somebody in my office.
21	We were talking about, you know, how are we
22	going to approach getting this re-budgeting
23	done for the owner's costs.
24	And in comes Ron Clary in my
25	office, and he walks in and he says, What are
	Clark and Associates Inc.

1	you-all trying to do?
2	And I said we got to get a
3	realistic owner's costs because we know the
4	one we've got right now is bad. So I told
5	him the approach we were going to take.
6	He said, Oh, you don't need to do
7	that. We've already done that.
8	And I was like, You know, Ron? And
9	so I got mad. And so I left for the day
10	after I kind of got pissed off at him. And I
11	did have a witness to the conversation.
12	But on Monday morning, I found out
13	that I got called into a meeting with Jeff
14	Archie, his boss, and my boss, Jimmy Addison,
15	and I was reprimanded because I got mad.
16	And both of them called and had a
17	conversation with the witness, and they
18	acknowledged I didn't holler, I didn't
19	scream, and I didn't cuss. But they both
20	told me I did have emotion in my voice.
21	And I was like, Good god almighty.
22	I said, How many men scream, holler, and cuss
23	in meetings, but if I've got emotion in my
24	voice, that's too much.
25	And both of you, I've went to both

1 of you to try to get you to get this 2 knucklehead to get off of his ass and let me 3 have a seat at the table, and you both looked 4 at me like you were retarded. 5 But now I'm getting reprimanded, my 6 pay and my bonuses are being called into 7 question, and you got nothing more to say but 8 to tell me I had emotion in my voice? 9 And so, I mean, that's the kind of 10 people that I'm working with. And so it was 11 like, Okay, you won't ever hear emotion in my 12 voice. 13 And this all goes back to your 0 14 attempt to recalculate the owner's costs for 15 the project? 16 Α Right. 17 And based on the work that was 0 18 completed, do you believe you were correct 19 about the owner's costs on that issue? 20 Absolutely. So we redid it under Α 21 my command, and we came up with the right 22 one. And despite what Ron Clary was going to 23 try to do, we did it the right way, and we 24 came up with the right owner's costs. 25 It had to be changed because over

1 time you learn more and more about the 2 systems and the training crew had to be 3 developed and, you know, you have to adjust 4 things. But, I mean, at least we made a 5 qood-faith effort at doing it instead of 6 doing a SWAG based on where the sun might be. 7 Besides this issue with owner's Ο 8 costs, any other -- I think you used the term 9 war stories. Any other topic like that that 10 you experienced on the project? 11 Α I mean, every day was a war with 12 those vendors. 13 Explain what you mean by that. 0 14 Α Well, I mean, I had one of the 15 Westinghouse executives or project 16 managers -- I can't remember his name. He 17 died when he was the Westinghouse executive 18 for the project -- turn around and, I mean, 19 jumped down my throat like crazy when we were 20 arguing about whether or not something should 21 be credited back to us. 22 And then he sent me an e-mail -- it 23 was hilarious -- saying what a great meeting 24 we had and how professional it was. And it was like, This is just a 25

1 It's like you climbed down my throat joke. 2 and all but threatened my life. 3 And we all agreed that it was the 4 least professional meeting I'd ever been in, 5 and he sends an e-mail and makes a comment about how professional it was, and it was 6 7 anything but professional. 8 And all of the meetings ended up 9 being very confrontational because they 10 didn't want to pay -- or they wanted a bill 11 and we didn't want to pay. 12 And so their attorneys were 13 becoming more obnoxious. I mean, they had, I 14 mean, roughhouse attorneys at every meeting. 15 And these would be attorneys for 0 16 the vendors that were charging on a 17 cost-plus --18 Right. А 19 0 -- program with SCANA? 20 Α Westinghouse and CB&I. 21 0 Okay. 22 Α And they were bringing in people 23 out of construction into the meetings so that 24 they could have just sheer volume in the 25 room. I mean, I have junior auditors and

1 junior accountants and I had to have -- set 2 up a policy, nobody has a meeting unless 3 myself or the manager named Skip Smith was in 4 the meeting because they were too rough on my 5 accountants. б And what would have been the 0 7 outcome of them being so rough with your 8 accountants? What was the goal that you 9 believe they were trying to accomplish? 10 Intimidate them. Α 11 0 Intimidate them into doing what? 12 Not bringing up issues. Α 13 And those issues would have been 0 14 billing issues with --15 Exactly. Α In other words, the vendors would 16 0 17 be billing information that your audit team 18 would have questions about --19 Α Right. 20 -- or feel that they should 0 21 challenge? 22 Α Right. 23 And --0 24 Rather than challenge them, they Α 25 wouldn't have brought them up because they

know that the meetings would be -- they'd be
 a slaughterhouse.

³ Q And who was supposed to be ⁴ representing SCANA in these meetings?

⁵ A Myself and another manager and then ⁶ the person that actually did the work. I ⁷ mean, we normally got to the point where we ⁸ would have at least three people that were ⁹ out of management in our meetings.

Q And, again, one of the things -like I've said from the beginning, I'm trying to find documentation of things. What type of materials or documents would I look for that would be able to lay out some of these confrontations and some of these

¹⁶ disagreements?

A I mean, you're not going to be able to see the confrontation in the -- all of it's going to be in the invoice -- what was the name of the document that we had talked about earlier? The invoice issues log? It's all -- yeah, it all boils down to that.

Q Okay.

A And we always went back to that. That was our documentation that we always

Clark and Associates Inc.

1 went back to. 2 You might find -- if you go to 3 audit work papers, you'll find where we had 4 meetings, and they might have documentation 5 in there about the meetings. б But it all boils back down to that 7 issues log is going to have the substance of 8 what we ended up doing with it. I don't know 9 if you're going to be able to find audit work 10 I don't know how -- I don't know papers. 11 what you have access to. 12 0 Okay. 13 But they do have -- they have audit Α 14 work papers. Internal audit and the project 15 team had audit work papers. 16 And can you give us an idea of what 0 17 amounts of money we're talking about in 18 dispute? 19 It's just -- like I said, I mean, А 20 before, I mean, you might find something 21 that's symptomatic, so you're disputing 22 something that might be minor in the few that you found, but it's symptomatic of some -- of 23 24 a control that could be -- you know, when you 25 start talking about thousands of people and

Clark and Associates Inc.

you found 6 out of 20 or 40 that you were checking, you could -- you know, if you could extrapolate it -- that was a scientific sample, and you did the extrapolation, then that would be a huge amount of money. But we didn't always do it with a scientific sample, so you couldn't extrapolate.

But we thought, when we found 6 out 9 of 40 that were bad, we got a problem.

Q And so it would be your team's responsibility to -- or role to engage with the vendors about the disputes over these -these invoices?

A Or these transactions.

¹⁵ Q Transactions.

A Uh-huh. Because we felt like their controls were lacking. And then they would try to suggest to us our controls are fine. You only found 6. I mean, you looked at 40; you only found 6. What's the problem? And we'd fix those 6.

22 Q And --

A And then they would try to prove to you that was an anomaly, that was just a fluke.

Clark and Associates Inc.

1	Or like one of the things, we were
2	always badgering them about the expense
3	reports. So what did they do? They
4	contracted it out, and it cost us three
5	times any mistakes that could be made on
6	expense reports, they paid a local CPA firm
7	to audit 100 percent of them.
8	Q All right. So I think I understand
9	what you're saying there, but for a
10	layperson, explain what you just what you
11	just said. You were explaining about
12	A Expense reimbursements.
13	Q So they said, Well, okay, fine,
14	we'll have 100 percent of them audited?
15	A Which cost us you know, if you
16	have five that were lying about where they
17	lived and shouldn't have been paid per diem,
18	well, that might have cost you, I don't know,
19	\$100,000 a year.
20	Well, instead of, you know, them
21	checking them, they just said, Okay, we'll
22	outsource that and we'll hire a CPA firm and
23	you can pay for a senior accountant to sit
24	over here at \$200 an hour and they'll review
25	100 percent of our expense reports for every

year. And that will cost you \$400,000, but you won't have any mistakes. And we couldn't

Q And for somebody not familiar with the contract, why would that end up being an expense for SCANA as opposed to an expense carried by the vendor?

argue because we wanted them audited.

1

2

3

8 Because we wanted them audited. Α 9 And they would say they didn't have the 10 personnel and they didn't want to pay for the 11 personnel and pay for the benefits. They had 12 to bring in two people to review them 13 full-time and pay benefits, and at their 14 rates, they'd calculate and approve it was 15 more expensive to have them full-time than to 16 bring somebody in seasonal to do it from an 17 accounting firm.

¹⁸ MR. HALTIWANGER: We've been ¹⁹ going about another hour. Let's take a short ²⁰ break.

(A recess was taken.)
 (Exhibit No. 3 was marked for
 identification.)

Q All right. Ms. Walker, I'm going to hand you Exhibit Number 3. And before we

1 go through it, I just want to let you know, 2 when we -- when this law firm, our law firm, 3 first got involved in this project or this 4 matter, we sent a Freedom of Information 5 request to Santee Cooper and asked for a lot 6 of different materials from them that they might have in their files. And one of the 7 8 materials we got in response to our request 9 was a phone message left on a Santee Cooper 10 voice mail. In a second, I'm going to play 11 that voice mail for you because I believe it 12 was you that left the voice mail.

13 A I've heard it.

14 O What's that?

¹⁵ A I've heard it. You don't have to ¹⁶ play it.

17 Well, I need it just for the court 0 18 reporter to make a copy of it, for you to 19 verify what I've done in Exhibit 3 is typed up, the message itself. And I want to make 20 21 sure that you get an opportunity to read 22 along with it and make any corrections. 23 For instance, I believe right in 24 the first sentence, I believe there's an 25 error in that and I say Mary and I believe

1 it's Marion. Is that correct? 2 А Yes. 3 So what I'm going to do now, and if 0 4 at any point you need me to stop it to get 5 caught up, but I'm going to play a voice 6 mail. 7 MR. HALTIWANGER: And, David, 8 I'm going to get that marked as Exhibit 4 9 just to have a hard copy of it, if that works 10 for you. 11 MR. BALSER: You're going to 12 mark what as 4? 13 The little MR. HALTIWANGER: 14 disk that has the voice mail on it. 15 MR. BALSER: Okay. 16 MR. HALTIWANGER: And that way 17 we can get an audio if we need it. 18 And really what I want to do is, 0 19 from a housekeeping standpoint, I'm going to 20 play the message, have you listen to it, read 21 along with it, and let me know if any changes 22 need to be made, verify it's you on the 23 message. And then we'll go from there. 24 Okav? 25 MR. RICHARDSON: It may be

1	better to stop it if you see an
2	inaccuracy, stop it and make the change
3	instead of trying to go back.
4	A Okay. Well, we know that Mary
5	should be Marion.
б	Q That's M-A-R-I-O-N?
7	A I-O-N. That's Marion Cherry of
8	Santee Cooper.
9	Q Okay. Here we go.
10	(Audio recording played.)
11	Q Ms. Walker, were you able to follow
12	along
13	A Uh-huh.
14	Q in <mark>Exhibit 3</mark> with the message as
15	it played?
16	A Uh-huh. Yes.
17	Q Besides the change to the name Mary
18	to Marion, any other changes that you believe
19	need to be made in <mark>Exhibit 3</mark> to accurately
20	reflect the message you had left on the voice
21	mail?
22	A No.
23	Q And that was your voice on the
24	phone call?
25	A That's right.

1 And what was the approximate date Q 2 of that call? 3 Α I have no idea. 4 Based on the information given in 0 5 it, can you give us a time frame of when that 6 call --7 I would think that that would Α 8 probably be in January. 9 Of what year? 0 10 Α 2016. 11 Do you remember where you were 0 12 physically when you made the call? Were you 13 at your house or an office or --14 Α I was on my company's cell No. 15 phone, so I'm thinking that I was probably 16 driving or walking somewhere. I wasn't at 17 home. 18 Was there any event that you recall 0 19 in particular that triggered you to make that 20 phone call to Marion? 21 I know that I felt especially Α No. 22 protective of the rate payors, whether they were Santee Cooper or SCE&G's. And I knew at 23 24 that point I could not do anything to protect 25 SCE&G's rate payors, but I knew that Marion,

Clark and Associates Inc.

1	especially Michael and Lonnie, they had been
2	pushing back against SCE&G's management or
3	SCANA a lot, and and I didn't know if they
4	had actually signed that fixed-price
5	agreement yet. And so my intention was to
6	try to get them to not sign that fixed-price
7	agreement if they had not signed it yet
8	because my expectation was is that
9	fixed-price contract wasn't in their best
10	interests.
11	Q Whose interests would it have been
12	in, in your opinion?
13	A SCANA's only.
14	Q And how would it be in SCANA's
15	interest and not the rate payors?
16	A Because SCANA is the only person or
17	only organization that had the Base Load
18	Review Act as a means to have cost recovery.
19	Q And so what did that mean as a
20	practical effect, having cost recovery? How
21	did that benefit SCANA as opposed to the rate
22	payors with respect to that fixed-price
23	contract?
24	A Like I said earlier, the
25	arrangements, as I understood it, was SCE&G

1 was going to pay them, which put Santee 2 Cooper in the same block, they were going to 3 be paying them \$100 million per month January 4 through May of 2016 for -- to Westinghouse, 5 and that was going to be for craft labor. б The highest craft labor that I had 7 ever seen was between 50 and 60 million, and 8 so I questioned where the \$100 million a 9 month -- yeah, \$100 million a month came out 10 and why we had agreed to pay such a large 11 amount.

12 And so the only thing that I could 13 come up with was that Kevin had wished to 14 finance Westinghouse's functions by giving 15 them that large amount of money, knowing that 16 they were going to be in a start-up with a 17 new contractor because Fluor Daniels was 18 supposed to be coming onboard. CB&I was 19 leaving the site.

And that was all a part of that fixed-price contract, and that negotiation was the release of CB&I from the site. And you expect a lot of CB&I's craft personnel to leave because they're a part of that organization.

1	And so under the Base Load Review
2	Act, the tradition was that, from
3	July 1st through June 30th, the cash that's
4	paid out on the project is measured and you
5	do a filing at June 30th for rates that would
6	go into effect in October of that calendar
7	year.
8	Well, if you paid out excessive
9	amounts January through May, it certainly
10	supports you being able to increase your
11	revenue later in that year in October if you
12	pay it early in January through May. And
13	that's what it looked like Kevin had set up,
14	so that he would pay out a hundred million
15	dollars for five months, finance
16	Westinghouse's operations so they could
17	continue to operate, because they were
18	basically bankrupt, and then he would get his
19	highest bang in revenue that he had ever had
20	in October when the rates were approved.
21	Because there was no real approval
22	process to go through. It was just a matter
23	of signing filling in the documents,
24	filing them with the PSC, and then they
25	automatically went in and got approved.

1	And then in November he'd have the
2	revenue stream from the \$500 million, plus
3	what was spent in the 2015 period.
4	And I believe I'm not certain,
5	but I think that those rates went into
6	effect. Or maybe they didn't. I don't know.
7	I know they pulled the plug on the project
8	that July.
9	Q Okay.
10	A No. They pulled the plug in '17,
11	didn't they? So those rates that cost
12	probably went into the rates in '16, so the
13	customers were bearing that cost.
14	Q All right. I'm going to now dig
15	into little details kind of line by line in
16	the message, and that's why I had it printed
17	out for you in <mark>Exhibit 3</mark> .
18	Let's start with the question, who
19	is the Marion that you left the message for?
20	A Marion Cherry.
21	Q And what
22	A He's the site representative for
23	Santee Cooper. His background is
24	engineering.
25	Q And what would be your

1	understanding of the job responsibilities he
2	would have had with regard to the project?
3	A Marion had endless job
4	responsibility. Not an enviable position.
5	He had to do pretty much everything. He was
6	a one-man shop, and he had to do cover all
7	the bases for protecting Santee Cooper, from
8	engineering to billing.
9	Q And was he there for the time
10	period you were there at SCANA?
11	A He was.
12	Q And why would you have been calling
13	Marion as opposed to anybody else with this
14	information?
15	A Marion and I had developed a
16	business relationship that was very
17	supportive of each other, and I knew I
18	felt like Marion was deserving to know that
19	Kevin Marsh and the other executives that he
20	had met in front of and had spoken in front
21	of was not the person that I had thought he
22	
22	was. And I thought that Marion should know
23	was. And I thought that Marion should know that.

24 Q And so you had had a prior
25 relationship with Marion as a result of your

1	work on the project?
2	A Not prior to the project.
3	Q Not prior to the project but
4	prior
5	A Just on the project.
6	Q On the project. Okay.
7	Besides Marion, did you reach out
8	to anybody else at Santee Cooper?
9	A No.
10	Q I'm just going to read through some
11	of the statement and then ask you some
12	questions about the material that was in the
13	voice mail.
14	The message starts off: Hey,
15	Marion. It's Carlette. Listen, I just
16	wanted to give you a heads-up, and this is
17	just between you and me and the fencepost.
18	I'm fine. Whatever they're telling you-all
19	is just bullshit.
20	What was it that you believe that
21	they might be or were telling Santee Cooper
22	about you?
23	A What I expected them to tell
24	everybody was that I had a nervous breakdown
25	and I wasn't able to take phone calls and

¹ because that's basically what I had been told ² was that don't call Carlette; she needs time ³ away from work and, you know, no e-mails, no ⁴ nothing.

5 And I thought they were telling 6 everybody that I had just had a nervous 7 breakdown. And what I understood was unlike 8 anything I've ever heard of. There was some 9 attorneys sent out, and they were out asking 10 some questions about my -- about me.

Q Who would these attorneys have been? Were they --

¹³ A I think one of them was an HR ¹⁴ attorney, and then the other one was the ¹⁵ project attorney.

Q And these would have been SCANA attorneys?

18 A Uh-huh.

19 Q And do you believe you had had a 20 nervous breakdown?

A I think -- I think -- I might have. If I didn't, I came within a hair of having one.

Q And what about it -- or what about the work on this project do you believe would

1 have brought about that condition for you? 2 Α Working for Jimmy Addison and Jeff 3 Archie. Those two worked to collaborate -- I 4 think those two worked collaboratively under 5 Kevin's watchful hand to wear me out so that 6 I'd leave. I think if I would have walked 7 out a zombie and unable to talk, that would 8 have been perfect. 9 And what would have been their 0 10 motivation of doing that? You were a fellow 11 SCANA employee, correct? 12 Α Yep. 13 So why -- why would they want to do 0 14 that to you? 15 Because then I wouldn't be able to А 16 talk to you today. 17 And what -- why do you think that 0 18 that was their goal or what was -- I --19 Because they knew I wasn't going to А 20 lie for them. 21 And why would it have been in their 0 22 benefit -- I quess I'm trying to get at the 23 big picture. What is the benefit to them to 24 doing that? 25 А Because they knowingly lied to the

Clark and Associates Inc.

1	public about that fixed-price contract and
2	lied about being able to complete that
3	project on time or in a time frame.
4	And they knew that, as they
5	continued to start the lies that started
б	in 2015 in my testimony, and that was just
7	like, Okay, we don't really like these
8	numbers Carlette is coming up with; we'd
9	rather it be a smaller number.
10	So that one was kind of like
11	borderline, but the fixed-price contract, way
12	out of bounds. And I think that's when they
13	just decided things are out of control. We
14	have people coming in and telling us that,
15	but we are there's no going back.
16	And she's a problem. She's already
17	said I'm not going to lie for you. And
18	they and they knew that. I mean, once
19	Bill retired Bill is the one that put me
20	up there, and Bill put me in corporate
21	compliance.
22	And I think they knew that I wasn't
23	going to be a liar. And I think they knew
24	that early enough on that they started
25	working on me well in advance of when I had

1 that testimony. 2 And going from a big-picture 0 3 viewpoint, though, your role was as an 4 auditor accountant for SCANA, correct? 5 Α Uh-huh. б They were also employees of SCANA? 0 7 Α (Nods head.) 8 I quess what did you see as their 0 9 interest that was crosswise with SCANA 10 getting auditing and accounting information 11 on this project? What was in it for them? 12 Α Money. 13 Explain how that would be. 0 14 Α Their short-term bonuses and 15 long-term bonuses were at much higher levels 16 than mine. And then they also had other 17 programs that I wasn't a party to that also 18 paid large amounts of money, supplemental 19 executive retirement programs and, you know, 20 who -- I mean, I don't even know about some 21 of the stuff they had. I mean, it just came 22 down to greed. And that's what I want to try to 23 0 24 get a better understanding of since I'm

Clark and Associates Inc.

coming at this totally from the outside.

25

If you're going to explain it to me as a layperson coming into this project, what about it -- what incentives were there to not be honest with the public and PSC?

5 Α Yeah. I mean, under Kevin -- I 6 mean, Kevin is the new CEO. The other one 7 has been successful for however long he had 8 been in the position. He's coming in. He's 9 got a nuclear project underway, and if he 10 comes out publicly and says that we got 11 problems with the project, stock price is 12 going to start turning. Kevin looks like a 13 bad CEO.

¹⁴ So first thing he's going to want ¹⁵ to do -- oh, my god, I can't let anybody know ¹⁶ that the project is not doing good. What are ¹⁷ we going to do?

The first thing he's going to do, he's going to start questioning, Well, we're not going to go out there and tell them the project is not doing good. What can we do? Let's start fudging a little bit.

Well, once you tell one white lie and then the next time the lie has got to get a little bit bigger, and before you know it,

Clark and Associates Inc.

1 you're on a really fine little limb. And I 2 think that's what happened. 3 I don't know that Kevin was ever a 4 good person. I thought he was. But the 5 first person that he promoted after he became 6 CEO -- you could almost hear a hush across 7 the whole company because the quy that he promoted was known to be a bad person, and 8 9 Kevin knew this. 10 And who was that person? 0 11 Α Marty Phalen. 12 0 Okay. 13 I mean, he lied and cheated on his А 14 expense report and his procurement card. Ι 15 was in compliance, and I saw it. And Kevin 16 knew that. And you promote somebody that has 17 no integrity into a senior vice president 18 position? And that says something really 19 bold about the person that promoted him. 20 And so everybody in the whole 21 company questioned, Well, what does Kevin 22 stand for when you promote somebody that 23 everybody knows is a bad person and you 24 promote somebody like that to be your 25 right-hand person?

1	And so that was the kind of like
2	a fatal fall right there for Kevin. And then
3	right after that is the project starts
4	going bad, and everywhere you see Kevin, you
5	see Marty Phalen. It's like, Well, what's
6	wrong with this picture?
7	And so, I mean, I'm speculating. I
8	mean, I don't I don't get it. But all I
9	know is that the Kevin Marsh that I once
10	thought I knew is not the executive at the
11	helm of the company.
12	Because, I mean, he told me
13	on the one thing that should have sent me
14	just a huge alarm was an employee had been, I
15	think, mishandled. And I saw him in the
16	hall, and I just briefed him on it. And he
17	said, Carlette, just send me an appeal on
18	that. And I don't know, Jimmy can be cold
19	sometimes.
20	And it was an employee who had had
21	her position re-evaluated, and it came back
22	as even a lower position. And Marty Phalen's
23	decision was to cut her pay 30 percent.
24	She'd been with the company 35 years.
25	And I fought it, and I said, You

1 don't cut somebody's pay that's been here for 2 35 years by 30 percent. I said, That's 3 insanity. 4 And -- well, Marty Phalen was just 5 like, Well, that's what you do. I mean, her 6 market is a clerk now. 7 I said, Well, you don't cut her 8 pay. You redline it, and then when she --9 the market eventually gets here, she's going 10 to get a pay increase for the next ten years. 11 And I took it to an outside 12 attorney just as a touch point for me just to 13 make sure I wasn't crazy. And the outside 14 legal counsel told me absolutely, it's 15 against the law to do what they're doing. 16 And so I came back in, and I just 17 asked Kevin -- I didn't say anything about 18 seeing an outside attorney about it. I asked 19 Kevin to -- I told him the situation. He 20 said, Just appeal it to me. 21 So I -- my bosses signed off on it 22 and so had Marty Phalen, who was over at HR. 23 So I wrote this very nice e-mail, I thought 24 was extremely nice and politically sensitive, 25 and I copied Marty and Jimmy Addison on it.

1	And it was to Kevin, and it took a
2	long time for him to respond. And he
3	remanded it back to the two people that
4	approved it.
5	I was like, Oh, shit. Now I'm in
6	real hot water. And of course, I mean, what
7	are they going to do but approve it and then
8	call me in.
9	And, I mean, they raked me over the
10	coals like no man's business. And, I mean,
11	at that point, you just broke my spirit
12	beyond breaking it.
13	I mean, my Jimmy Addison went to
14	CB&I and got feedback from them on me, and
15	it's like, Really? It's like, If you're
16	going to go on a witch hunt like that, why
17	don't you go catch some people on Main Street
18	and just tell them you're trying to come up
19	with some mean statements and just solicit
20	mean statements?
21	I mean, from CB&I, you're getting
22	feedback from them on your VP of nuclear
23	operations? Really? I mean, it was god
24	awful. It was like having your your
25	wrists slit for 30 minutes and him telling
	Clark and Associates Inc

1 you what a shit ass you are at work. And 2 it's like, Are you done yet? 3 And so after that, he was like, 4 Carlette, I just want to let you know, you 5 took that criticism more professionally than 6 anybody I have ever seen. 7 And it was like, What do you mean 8 by that, Jimmy? 9 He said, Well, you kept your 10 composure. 11 And I said, Well, let me tell you 12 something, Jimmy. I said, The reason I 13 appeared to have kept my composure is I was 14 speechless. That was what you considered 15 composure; I was speechless. 16 Let me tell you another thing. And 17 we were on the phone, and I said, The other 18 thing I need to tell you is you broke my 19 spirit on that same day. And I'll tell you one more thing, I will not lie for this 20 21 company. And I said, So you can go ahead and 22 stick that where you want to, I said, but I 23 will not lie for this company. 24 And six weeks later is when they 25 walked me out the front door. And that was

1 in November of '15. 2 And we're going to touch on this 0 3 some more, but while we're on the topic, what 4 lies do you feel you were being asked to tell 5 for the company? б I just knew they were going to ask А 7 me to lie about that fixed-price contract. 8 0 In what way? 9 With the Public Service Α 10 Commission -- well, the ORS, the Office of 11 Regulatory Staff, when they were around, I 12 was going to have to act like that was a good 13 thing; it was positive for the ratepayers. 14 And it was like, I'm not going to tell them 15 that. And that's because you didn't 16 0 17 believe it? 18 Α No. 19 And why would it not have been a 0 20 good thing in general? 21 Because, as I explained to you, you Α 22 were financing Westinghouse's operations, and 23 to the extent that you were financing their 24 operations, you were upfront financing the 25 BLRA so that you could charge your customers

¹ early.

I mean, you wouldn't have spent that money in 2016. You would have spent that money in 2017, not when you agreed to pay it to Westinghouse. That cash flow would have looked very different.

Q All right. Going back to the voice mail, the statement, I just want to let you know that I know the truth now, and I don't want you and Santee to get screwed any more by the executives of SCE&G and SCANA.

¹² When you used the phrase the truth ¹³ you believe you had learned when you made ¹⁴ this call, what was the truth you believe you ¹⁵ had learned?

¹⁶ A As to what -- who Kevin Marsh was ¹⁷ and what was driving Kevin Marsh and his ¹⁸ executives in their decision-making.

Q And what did you believe was
 driving them in their decision-making?

A They were trying to prop up the purchase -- the stock purchase price and the earnings for SCANA.

Q And how would that benefit them? A Because their bonus was tied to

Clark and Associates Inc.

1 earnings and stock price. 2 And how did you come to learn what 0 3 you called this truth? What information came 4 to you that gave you this knowledge? 5 Just my experience as a CPA looking Α 6 at the sequence of events. 7 Had you had any discussions with 0 8 anyone else at SCANA about this? 9 Α No. 10 All right. Many a times today 0 11 we've talked about documentation. What 12 documents would you point me to if I wanted 13 to go out and find documents that would be in 14 support of what you're telling us here? 15 If you go look at the SEC filings А 16 and then if you were to go look at the PF 17 factors and the reality of how that project 18 was actually performing, based on those 19 project -- monthly project review meetings, 20 you can't. They don't match. 21 Q All right. 22 Α And you got VPs out there, you got 23 a chief nuclear officer, and you've got a 24 chief operating officer, and whether Kevin 25 likes it or not, he had a responsibility to

1 go out there. You can't turn your head to a 2 responsibility. 3 I mean, I think somebody said that 4 he had legislators out there two weeks before 5 we closed the project, and he was boasting 6 about how good it was going. Do you know who those legislators 7 0 8 would have been by chance? 9 Uh-uh. А 10 All right. In the message you 0 11 stated you did not want Santee Cooper to, 12 quote/unquote, get screwed anymore. 13 At that time you left this message, 14 did you believe that Santee Cooper had been 15 screwed in the past in relation to the nuclear project? 16 17 Α Yeah. 18 0 Explain how. 19 А Because they were making payments 20 according to when SCE&G made payments, and 21 instead of disputing the payments like Santee 22 Cooper was requesting, SCE&G kept making full 23 payments. 24 Who at Santee Cooper was requesting 0 25 that?

Clark and Associates Inc.

1 Michael Crosby. А And who was responsible for 2 0 3 responding to those requests for SCANA? 4 I quess it would be Steve Byrne and А 5 Kevin Marsh. б And what was the outcome of that? 0 7 Α They didn't respond to him. Ι 8 remember Jimmy Addison making comments to the 9 effect that Michael Crosby had just made a 10 bunch of noise. 11 Who -- these complaints, would 0 12 there have been like documentation of these 13 complaints by Michael Crosby? Would they 14 have come in e-mails or documents? 15 It might. It wouldn't have come to А 16 me. 17 Who would it have gone to, do you 0 18 think? 19 I would think it would have gone to А 20 Jimmy or either Steve. 21 Q Steve? 22 Α Byrne. 23 Byrne. Okay. 0 24 А And that's B-Y-R-N-E. 25 And that leads us right into the 0

1 next question I have is that -- your 2 inference that you didn't want Santee to get 3 screwed anymore by, quote, the executives of 4 SCE&G and SCANA, end quote. 5 Who are the executives of SCE&G and 6 SCANA that you were talking about? If you 7 can list them for me. 8 That would be Kevin Marsh, Jimmy Α 9 Addison, Steve Byrne, Marty Phalen, and Jeff 10 Archie. 11 0 And --12 And you might as well add Kenny Α 13 Jackson. 14 What was his role? 0 15 He was over rates and regulation. Α 16 And -- going on in the message, you 0 17 say that Kevin Marsh is not the guy that 18 everybody thinks he is. He is a liar, and 19 he's just like Steve and Jeff and Jimmy and 20 Marty Phalen. They're all of the same cloth. 21 They all think that they are the smartest 22 guys in the room, but they're on the fricken' 23 take. 24 The lies that you believe Kevin 25 Marsh would have been told -- would have

Clark and Associates Inc.

1	told, wha	t would they have been? I just want
2	to try to	get a list as general as I can.
3	А	Say what now?
4	Q	Lies that you believe Kevin Marsh

⁵ had told in relation to the project that ⁶ would have been the basis for your statement ⁷ in the phone message.

A I couldn't believe anything that he 9 said. I mean, once I found out that he was a 10 liar, then I pretty much painted him off as 11 just everything that came out of his mouth, I 12 wouldn't trust anything.

Q Okay. But for the purposes of what I'm looking for, I'm looking for things that would have had an impact on the project out there as opposed to -- or as opposed to something not related to the Fairfield project.

¹⁹What specifically would you believe ²⁰would have been dishonest statements Kevin ²¹had made with respect to the nuclear project ²²itself that I could look into?

A Just like the SEC filings that he has filed and signed for 2016.

Q Uh-huh.

1	A You know, where they're saying that
2	the project they're saying that the
3	project is ongoing and everything is okay.
4	You know, I mean, that's in 2016, he knew
5	that project was failing. I mean, the
б	Bechtel report clearly told him that the
7	project was upside down. I had already told
8	him that.
9	Q All right. So I'm going to go look
10	at the SEC filings.
11	What else should I go look to try
12	to find anything that you would characterize
13	as dishonest statements by Kevin Marsh?
14	A Well, I mean, that's that's the
15	big one. I mean, that's that's
16	Sarbanes-Oxley right there.
17	Q Okay. And at what point do you
18	believe that you came across the information
19	that led you to believe or led you to
20	understand that that information was untrue?
21	What time frame would we have been looking
22	at?
23	A I mean, I knew that in early 2016.
24	I mean, as soon as they filed, which would
25	have probably been in February, it's like

it's a done deal. I mean, unless you pull the plug on the project and you start telling the truth, something's got to give. I mean, you're either going to lie about it again or you're going to come out and tell the truth. And they didn't.

7 But with the PF factors that they 8 had and based on all the problems that I 9 knew -- I'm an accountant, and I could tell 10 you, I mean, the engineering design was so 11 screwed up and so far behind and impacted so 12 much of the fabrication for that project that 13 there was no way they were going to be able 14 to complete that project in the timeline or 15 anywhere close to the cost.

If you look at the project down in Georgia right now, I mean, they're looking at \$25 million -- billion.

Q All right. In the voice message you reference Steve. And this is sort of housekeeping. What would have been Steve's name?

A That's Steve Byrne.

Q And what was his relationship to the project?

Clark and Associates Inc.

Deposition of Carlette L Walker

1 He was in charge of the project. Α 2 Does he still work at SCANA? Q 3 Α No, he quit. 4 Do you know --0 5 Or retired. I don't know what the Α status was, but he left at the same time as 6 7 Kevin Marsh. 8 Have you ever heard any information 0 9 about why he may have left? 10 Uh-uh. А 11 0 Can you say it out loud for the 12 court reporter. 13 No. I'm sorry. I never heard Α 14 anything. 15 Q Who is the Jeff that you're 16 referring to? 17 Α Jeff Archie. 18 And what was his relationship to 0 19 the project? 20 А Pretty much nothing, but he had 21 more responsibility for the project, I quess, 22 than Steve. He was the chief nuclear 23 officer. 24 And do you know if he still works 0 25 at the company?

Clark and Associates Inc.

1	A Yeah. As I understand it, he's
2	been told to stay at Unit 1, so he's at
3	Unit 1.
4	Q And that would be the Unit 1
5	nuclear reactor in Fairfield?
6	A Uh-huh. That's right.
7	Q And who was the Jimmy that you're
8	referring to?
9	A That's Jimmy Addison, and he's now
10	the current CEO.
11	Q And you referenced
12	A And you ought to another good
13	point would be to check the qualifications
14	for the new CFO, Iris Griffin, and compare
15	that to your get some federal statistics
16	on qualifications for CFO. I think you'll
17	find that to be pretty interesting.
18	Q And what about that would I find
19	interesting?
20	A She pretty much doesn't have a lot
21	of qualifications other than she's kind of
22	cute and she'll say anything that Jimmy tells
23	her to say.
24	Q And when would she have gotten that
25	position?

Deposition of Carlette L Walker

1	A The same time Jimmy got CEO.
2	Q And who is the Marty you're
3	referring to in the message?
4	A Marty Phalen.
5	Q And what was his relationship to
6	the project?
7	A That's a really good question. He
8	was just always wherever Kevin was. He's the
9	one that the news said that he was sold a
10	pretty big block of stock right after he had
11	left the company.
12	Q So he has left the company?
13	A Well, once they found once it
14	hit the public media or I don't know if it
15	ever hit the media, but Marty had lied about
16	graduating from college.
17	Q Okay.
18	A He never went to College of
19	Charleston even though that's what he put on
20	his application. And so an employee brought
21	that to the attention of the company, and so
22	that didn't look real good since he was a
23	senior executive, and so he left on that one.
24	Q Do you know what time frame that
25	was?

1	A That was 2017, in the fall of 2017.
2	Q In the message you used the phrase
3	they're all from the same cloth. And I think
4	I understand how you're using that phrase,
5	but I want to give you the opportunity to
6	explain. What did you mean by that?
7	A Arrogant, pompous.
8	Q And you followed that up with the
9	statement that, quote, they all think that
10	they are the smartest guys in the room, end
11	quote.
12	Elaborate what you mean by that
13	description of them.
14	A More arrogance and pompous. I
15	mean, they all thought that they were like
16	geniuses, and you couldn't tell them
17	anything.
18	Q Does that have an impact on the
19	project itself?
20	A Oh, yeah.
21	Q Explain how.
22	A Because, I mean, they didn't want
23	to hear anything from anybody. If you had
24	consultant after your name, how dare you
25	approach Steve Byrne. He hated consultants.
	Clark and Associates Inc.

Q I may be a little confused. Isn't the purpose of a consultant to provide you information?

A He -- how dare you think that you could tell him anything. I'm telling you, he was pompous. Most arrogant person I've ever met in my life.

⁸ Q There was a movie documentary about ⁹ the --

A Yeah. His opinion of nuclear, it's
 going to cost what it's going to cost.

Q There was a documentary about the Enron Company called "The Smartest Guys in the Room." And I just wondered if that was a coincidence or we're using that phrase in reference to the Enron documentary.

A No. But I've said before, this is
 like the South Carolina Enron.

19 And what do you mean by that? 0 20 Because it's the exact same thing. А 21 I mean, these guys propping up everything 22 trying to make it look great, and then all 23 the sudden the deck of cards all just fell 24 apart. I mean, you can only lie so long 25 before the truth just -- I mean, it has to

1 come out.

And what really is disappointing is And what really is disappointing is that there were so many managers, general managers, at that project that were right there with them. And they had to know, too, and they went along with it.

I wasn't out in the project, so I didn't see that stuff. I mean, I just saw accounting records, and they were -damnedest thing I ever seen in my life. When I figured out what was going on, I was just like, God almighty, these people are crazy. I don't operate like that.

Q Well, the next phrase, you talked about them all -- they're all on the fricken' take. My understanding of a person being on the take is that they're being improperly influenced.

Do you believe that these persons were being improperly influenced by something?

²² A By money and greed.

Q And, again, explain to us how money and greed would play into this.

A Because if you can prop up that

1	stock price and keep that stock price up and
2	then keep that net income up by that BLRA,
3	then your base pay continues to get increased
4	by compensation from the compensation
5	committee of the board and then your bonus
6	continues to excel because it's all based on
7	stock and on net income or earnings.
8	Q So the compensation of these
9	executives was tied to the stock price and
10	A Earnings per share.
11	Q And earnings per share?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And how would this project impact
14	stock price and earnings per share?
15	A Well, that was a growth strategy,
16	was this project. I mean, they had a little
17	bit of electric growth on the system but
18	nothing compared to the growth in their on
19	that capital project. That capital project
20	was their whole growth strategy. I mean,
21	that was the mother lode for SCE&G or SCANA.
22	Q And explain in more detail what you
23	mean by that.
24	A The more you spend on that capital
25	project, the more money you make at $12 \ 1/2$

And then you had to just make sure

24 So in order to continue it, you 0 25 just had -- did SCE&G or SCANA have the

approved your schedule or your budget

Clark and Associates Inc.

that you showed them it was proven, that they

2 mean, who wouldn't invest in that if you 3 could make 12 1/2 percent and have no risk? 4 That's what that base load review gave them. 5 Explain your understanding of why 0 6 there was no risk.

7 Because you were guaranteed on the 8 front end, before you spent a dollar, that 9 you were going to get recovery of it. You 10 didn't have to go before a -- the PSC to get 11 approval on it. Once you spent it, it was 12 deemed proven already. It was a matter of 13 filing the paperwork, and it was put into the 14 rates in October.

15 The only time you had to go before 16 the commission was if you thought you were 17 going to be outside of your approved budget 18 or your schedule.

19

Α

increase.

20

21

22

23

1

Ο And --

Α

percent. It was a no-risk 12 1/2 percent. I

1 ability to change the schedule? 2 Α Yeah. Was that subject to oversight by 3 Ο 4 the PSC? 5 Α That -- yes. б Let me talk, again, about this 0 7 growth strategy and your understanding of it 8 because I'm trying to get a better idea. 9 SCE&G has a footprint of customers 10 that they service as a utility. While there 11 might be some growth or change based on 12 population change, they're pretty much tied 13 to that footprint; is that --14 Α That's right. 15 In general. And so you talk about 0 a growth strategy. If you tie the executive 16 17 compensation into earnings per share and the 18 growth of the company, how is that -- how can 19 a utility, a public utility -- can it grow by capital expenditures? 20 21 Α That's how you grow. Uh-huh. 22 0 Explain more for me. 23 You can only grow one of two ways. А 24 You can either add customers or you can add 25 capital and charge more to your customers for

Clark and Associates Inc.

1 capital investment. So that's what they did. 2 They're adding base load capital. And you're 3 allowed to earn on your capital investment. 4 So you had a plant and now, you 5 know, you had so many plants out there. 6 Well, they decided they were going to retire 7 a couple of those old coal-burning plants 8 because they're not good for the environment. 9 Well, those were old and they had 10 been depreciated and they really didn't have 11 a lot of cost left on the books. But we're going to build this big nuclear project and 12 13 that project is going to cost -- I don't 14 remember what the original amount was, but 15 let's just say it was going to be \$5 billion. 16 Well, now you're going to put 17 \$5 billion on the books. And I remember 18 whenever the project was first announced 19 Kevin Marsh talking about how we are going to 20 bet the family farm on this project. So 21 we're going to double the balance sheet with 22 this project. 23 Well, what that means is you're 24 going to take and add \$5 billion of capital.

²⁵ And when you set your rates, you get your

1 operating cost recovered at -- just 2 recovered. You know, zero -- no profit on 3 there. Where you get a profit added to it is 4 on your capital investment. So you get a 5 return on equity on what you've got invested. б What your rate -- what your 7 stockholders invest in, you take that money 8 and you put it into capital assets, like the 9 lines that you see up above the roads and 10 going into the neighborhoods and in the 11 plants. 12 Well, when you add a \$5 billion 13 plant, you've got a \$5 billion plant now that 14 you can earn $12 \ 1/2$ percent on. And so 15 that's what your customers are going to pay 16 for, and you're going to get -- earn $12 \ 1/2$ 17 percent on that.

Whereas before you were only paying 5 percent -- or 12 percent on -- maybe we only had \$4.6 billion in plant. Well, now we've got \$9 billion because we had some and now we're doubling it.

Now they're paying -- the same 700,000 customers are now paying for \$9 billion worth of plant. Because we had

145

1	4.6. Now we're adding \$5 billion more. Now
2	we got \$9.6 billion worth of plant.
3	So it's not like you have you
4	have excess electricity, and so you hope to
5	sell it off and defray some of the cost. But
6	your customers have got to pay for that
7	plant, and they're going to pay a profit for
8	you to be able to carry that plant on the
9	books.
10	And so the 700,000 customers are
11	going to have an increase in their electric
12	bill. And the only way it's going to get
13	watered down would be if there's a huge
14	growth in the system. And then you've got
15	that fixed price that you can you can
16	spread over a larger number of heads.
17	But we don't have that, and we
18	probably don't have prospects for that in any
19	near future that I know of.
20	Q Well, and I know this is somewhat
21	out of your realm, but these obviously
22	these plants have been abandoned, correct, is
23	your understanding?
24	A Right.
25	Q We haven't lost any we're still

1 getting our electricity?

2 Α Right.

3 It seems to me, if these plants are 0 4 supposed to go online, you know, either by 5 now originally or even under the revised, why 6 are we not in an electricity shortage?

7 Well, I mean, I don't know that Α 8 we're not. I mean, we might be buying off of 9 the grid. You know, I don't know.

10 0 Okay.

11 Α I can't really answer that. And 12 they may not have abandoned a plant that they were going to plan to abandon, a coal-burning 13 14 plant. You know, that's just outside of my 15 realm of knowledge.

16 So from a company perspective, what 0 17 I hear in general is that the incentive is 18 there to -- you make more profit by growing. 19 We grow by building a bigger plant. The 20 bigger the plant we build, the bigger the 21 growth, the bigger the profit we get. 22 Is that, in general --23 Yeah. But, I mean, it also -- you А 24 know, there was a big leap for a company the 25

size of SCANA to take on a project like that.

Deposition of Carlette L Walker

1 What do you mean by that? Q Well, I mean, they're going to 2 Α 3 secure financing to be able to carry a 4 project like that. They're going to have to 5 go out and borrow money, and then they're 6 going to have to hope that people are going 7 to be willing to hold on to their stock 8 knowing that they've kind of taken a bite at 9 total 100 percent costs. I mean, that thing 10 was more like an \$8 billion plant. 11 And, I mean, there weren't a lot of 12 utilities jumping at -- you know, signing a 13 contract. There were a lot of people that --14 originally that were interested, and then at 15 the end of the day, there were only two 16 contracts that were actually executed, the 17 one in Augusta and then the one here. 18 So there was -- there was some risk 19 involved. SCANA is not a huge utility. 20 Yeah. 0 21 And you can see that there was real Α 22 risk there given that the management may not 23 have been up to the test of being able to 24 drive the contractor like they needed to. 25 You mentioned earlier I bet the --0

1 The PF factor. Α 2 Oh, no. The decision to go nuclear 0 3 was to bet the farm or bet the company-type 4 decision. Am I misquoting you on that or is 5 that -б What's your question? Α 7 When you were talking about the 0 8 size of the project undertaken by SCANA being 9 as large as it was, at some point did you 10 hear someone say that it was a 11 bet-the-company-type proposition? 12 Oh, I heard Kevin Marsh saying Α 13 that. 14 Okay. And that's because the size 0 15 of the project was something that SCANA --16 It was tremendous in size relative Α 17 to the size of SCANA. And that's why, when I 18 would go to Kevin, it surprised me that he 19 didn't seem to want to be in attendance to 20 some of the meetings. And Jimmy never came 21 to any meetings. 22 And I would have thought that if 23 you had a project of that magnitude, that 24 your CFO and your chief nuclear officer and 25 your COO, I would have expected them to be at

1 all the meetings. 2 The monthly meetings, I mean, we 3 would see Jeff periodically, the chief 4 nuclear officer. 5 You would see Steve Byrne at the 6 monthly meetings maybe twice a year. And he 7 acted like he was bored at his quarterly 8 executive meeting with the general managers. 9 I mean, it's -- he acted like he was bored 10 stiff with those meetings. 11 0 Let me move on to the side aspect 12 of this. 13 The company -- as we just went 14 through in detail, the company itself seems 15 to make money whenever the company is growing 16 based on the rate of -- that it could charge 17 for the customers for the costs that are 18 associated with the construction? 19 Α Uh-huh. 20 What about CEO compensation? 0 How 21 is that related to company growth? 22 It would -- well, it's -- like I Α 23 said before, it's based on the earnings per 24 share and then the stock price. 25 0 Uh-huh.

1	A So, I mean, as long as I mean,
2	earnings per share is net income. So as long
3	as you're bringing in 12 1/2 percent on a
4	capital account that continues to grow,
5	you're going to see where net income just
б	continues to grow. So, I mean, every year in
7	November, their revenue stream got bigger.
8	Every year.
9	Q And that revenue stream isn't
10	coming from selling more electricity? It's
11	not coming from servicing
12	A No.
13	Q the customers?
14	A It's selling it's at a more
15	expensive price. It's cumulative. It's this
16	price this year. Now next year it's this
17	price, and next year it's this price. So
18	your net income keeps going up but your
19	operating costs stay the same.
20	You're not writing the project off
21	yet. You wait until the end of the project.
22	Then you start writing the asset down. So
23	there's no cost hitting the books for that
24	project, but your revenue stream is going up.
25	Q And if the revenue stream goes up,

1 the --2 And there's no costs, your income Α 3 is going up. 4 0 And what's the impact on that on 5 executive compensation? б Α It goes up. Can you give us an idea of numbers? 7 0 8 Α I don't know what their payout 9 percentage was. I mean, if their payout 10 percentage was 90 percent of their income or 11 their salary and their salary was a half a 12 million dollars, then they'd get another 13 \$450,000 in a bonus. 14 And then if the company did really 15 good for the year, they might get 20 percent 16 more for discretion. 17 0 Well, let me ask you, bonuses that 18 are paid, did you ever see -- are you aware 19 of any employees receiving bonuses in stock 20 as opposed to cash bonuses? 21 Yeah, I think they do. I think Α 22 some of them do. 23 And so instead of receiving a cash 0 24 check for a bonus, they might receive shares 25 of company stock?

Clark and Associates Inc.

152

1	A Yeah. I think they I think the
2	executives I didn't, but I think there
3	were some executive stock bonus programs.
4	Q Okay.
5	A You can look that stuff up in the
6	proxy
7	Q Okay.
8	A if you get a copy of the proxy.
9	It's a public document that if you go to
10	SCANA.com and look at the proxy, I think you
11	can just read all about until your heart's
12	content about bonus programs.
13	Q All right. Jumping back to the
14	message, I want to try to get through this.
15	You mentioned going to a lawyer and, quote,
16	they have broken every fricken' law that you
17	can break.
18	What time frame was it when you had
19	gone to this lawyer?
20	A Are we talking about that? It was
21	probably in January.
22	Q January.
23	Is there anything specific that
24	triggered you going to the lawyer, a
25	conversation or a document or something you
	Clark and Associates Inc.

Deposition of Carlette L Walker

1 had seen? 2 Α No. 3 0 Had you already left the company at 4 that time? 5 I was on that special medical Α 6 leave. 7 And in this part, you actually 0 8 mention specific laws -- or you mention laws 9 being broken. 10 Can you tell me -- I think you 11 referenced SEC laws earlier. Are there laws 12 that you think may have been violated by the 13 actions of the executives? 14 Α I thought that there were criminal 15 laws that they probably had broken. And what, by example, could you 16 Ο 17 tell me? 18 I can't remember now what I all had А 19 I know that I had taken a class at in mind. 20 the end of the year for my CPE, and I had 21 talked to the teacher of the class who was a 22 lawyer out of Denver, I think. And I figured 23 he was so far away that he couldn't possibly 24 figure out or have any connection to the 25 South Carolina utility, so I was asking him

Clark and Associates Inc.

154

1	questions at break. And by the time we
2	finished that CPE session, he was like, You
3	really need to go talk to somebody.
4	Q Well, and I want to try to get
5	details of that. What actions were criminal
6	that you thought or were discussed with that
7	professor?
8	A I don't remember. I mean, you're
9	talking about something that was two and a
10	half years ago.
11	Q Yeah.
12	A And by the time I left that
13	company, I mean, I was just about out of my
14	mind. I mean, I was at this point had
15	been berated probably for two years.
16	Q And who would have been doing that?
17	A Jimmy Addison, Marty Phalen, Kevin
18	Marsh, and Jeff Archie.
19	Q And what were their main criticisms
20	of you?
21	A Everything. I mean, anything. I
22	mean, pick it, and they would criticize me.
23	Anything I did.
24	I mean, at one point I went to
25	Kevin or to Jimmy, and I said, Jimmy, are

155

1 you -- are you going to have any comments for 2 me for midyear, year end, or whatever? 3 And he said, Uhm, you might want to 4 talk to Jeff. And I was just kind of like, 5 Okay. б And so I went to Jeff, and I was 7 like, Jeff, have you got any concerns or 8 something you need to share with me? 9 And he was like, You might want to 10 talk with Jimmy. And I was just like, Oh, 11 screw you-all. I mean, just a bunch of 12 jackasses. 13 So I'm sure that was probably close 14 to the end of the year, or maybe it was the 15 middle of the year. And it was just, You 16 know what? I was so sick of it. I hated 17 I hated them. I mean, they didn't them. 18 have the man enough to be able to even stand 19 up. It's just like, God almighty, a bunch of 20 sickos. 21 Jumping down the statement a little 0 22 bit, you say -- you reference, you know, 23 Michael and Lonnie and you need to push back 24 and don't let them to continue to mismanage

²⁵ that project. Just don't let them. Don't

Clark and Associates Inc.

156

1	furnish anything. Refuse to pay. Don't pay
2	SCANA. Push back. Just say no. We're not
3	going to do it because they're mismanaging
4	that project and it's at you-all's expense.
5	I want to break that down a little
6	bit. Who was the Michael you're referring
7	to?
8	A That would be Michael Crosby.
9	Q And what was his position?
10	A I think he was a VP of at Santee
11	Cooper.
12	Q And why did you think that he would
13	have been somebody who could push back on the
14	issue?
15	A Because I knew he was pretty hot
16	about the way the project was being managed.
17	Q And when you say hot
18	A Upset. He didn't think that SCE&G
19	was doing a good job at all.
20	Q And did you know this from
21	conversations with him?
22	A Yeah.
23	Q What about written communication?
24	Anything
25	A Uh-uh. He would tend to support me
	Clark and Associates Inc.

¹ when I would stand up against them in the ² project -- in the project meetings. And, you ³ know, he would thank me for asking questions ⁴ and pushing back on the PF factor when nobody ⁵ on my team would do anything.

6 You know, when I would go to like 7 the risk management meeting, which I went to 8 one and it was just pathetic what they had on 9 there for risks for the project. I was just 10 like, Are you kidding?

11 I mean, these were -- what you have 12 on this risk management project, I don't even 13 want my name associated with this meeting 14 because if you-all are even having my name 15 saying that I attended this meeting and I had 16 signed off as these were the risks for this 17 project, I said, I want my name taken off of 18 being in attendance because this is 19 so -- this is such a crappy work product that 20 I don't want this to be in a Westinghouse 21 file that can be pulled up and you can say 22 that I was here and that I accepted this work 23 product.

And I named a couple things that I thought were much higher in risks than what

1	they had. This was a committee of probably
2	seven or eight people from Westinghouse that
3	produced this risk product. And the
4	construction VP was sitting right there. He
5	was working with his little BlackBerry or
6	whatever little thing he's got. He didn't
7	ever look up. And it was like, I'm going to
8	kill him.
9	And he finally, at the end of the
10	meeting, he closed the meeting and he's like,
11	Well, there's very there's some room for
12	improvement here and we'll we'll look to
13	see a better product next time.
14	I was like, That's it. That's what
15	we should say. That is exactly what I
16	thought.
17	And that's all he had to say.
18	Q Okay.
19	A So, I mean, when you work with
20	people like that, I mean, it's just only so
21	much you can take. And that was Ron Jones on
22	risk a risk register.
23	Q Ron Jones would have been working
24	for?
25	A Jeff Archie.

1 Jeff Archie. Q 2 And, see, when I -- when I raised Α 3 questions like that, I'm told that I'm 4 derogatory in my comments. And it's like, 5 it's hard not to be when you've got 6 engineers, senior engineers for Westinghouse, that are being billed over to us at \$300 an 7 8 hour and they give you a work product that 9 I'm not going to count it. And I can tell 10 you that this is the worst work product and 11 these are not the risks of this project. 12 I'm an accountant telling them 13 these are not the engineering risks of a 14 project. There's something wrong with a 15 picture when I'm the one that's calling in 16 the problems like that. 17 And what -- I want to try to get to 0 18 your understanding of why do you think that 19 that is. Why would they not have the same 20 attitude you had with respect to that? 21 That's the thing I don't Α 22 understand. Unless they -- I mean, everybody 23 up there is either -- I don't know. 24 Did they have financial incentive 0 to do a better job or not? I mean, the way 25

Deposition of Carlette L Walker

1 the payment is set up as a cost-plus, would 2 that have interfered with any financial 3 incentive to do a better job? 4 For Westinghouse? А 5 0 Yeah. б I mean, I would think that -- the Α 7 only thing I could figure is they were 8 papering the files so that later on they 9 could sue us and say, Well, here it is; we 10 gave you everything that we owed you. And 11 the VP of construction never proved or said 12 anything to indicate otherwise. I mean, he 13 was a do-nothing. 14 All right. I think we left off, I 0 15 was asking you about Lonnie. Who was Lonnie? 16 Α Carter. 17 And what was his position? 0 18 He was CEO of Santee Cooper. Α 19 Ο And being CEO, is that a position 20 that you believe would have been able to push 21 back on the --22 Α Oh, yeah. I mean, you would hope. 23 But I don't think Lonnie was able to make any 24 changes with them either. I think Kevin 25 would talk to Lonnie and quiet Lonnie down

Clark and Associates Inc.

161

1 and make him, you know, comfortable somehow 2 or another. 3 And I know we've touched on this, 0 4 but you used the phrase continue to mismanage 5 that project. If we can take a moment here, 6 get as many examples as I can of what you 7 consider to be mismanagement of the project 8 itself. 9 А I mean, that example I just gave 10 you is perfect. 11 0 Okay. 12 Everywhere I turned, I ran into Α 13 stuff like that where I wanted my name taken 14 off of the record because I didn't want 15 anybody to assume that, because I was there,

that was evidence that whatever they produced

¹⁷ was a good record.

18 Q Uh-huh.

16

A Everything was screwed up like
 that.

Q And one of the reasons I'm following this up, as I continue to say, we're going to go back and try to go through these documents and find evidence and, you know, materials related to this. And if I'm 162

1 looking for examples of mismanagement, can 2 you point me to anything you recall to be 3 specific examples of go look at this project 4 manager, go look at that memo, go look at 5 this meeting, go look at those e-mails, 6 something like that? 7 I mean, it's way harder than --Α 8 Like we talked about owner's costs 0 9 earlier. That seemed to be an issue that you 10 came back to. 11 Α Owner's cost is not really the 12 You need to look at stuff that issue. 13 Westinghouse was doing. I mean, like Lake 14 Charles is a huge one. 15 Explain that. 0 16 Α Do a word search on Lake Charles. 17 Lake Charles was like a nightmare in the 18 making. Do a word search on Module 20. 19 0 Uh-huh. 20 Α Module 5. Shield building. I'm 21 trying to remember that company's name. 22 There was a company that was building the 23 shield building's walls. They didn't have a 24 prayer. 25 0 Other keyword searches you would

Deposition of Carlette L Walker

1 recommend looking -- for us to chase down? 2 Α Are you-all going to interview 3 other people? 4 Oh, yeah. We plan to do this with 0 5 a lot of different witnesses. б Ken Browne would be a good person Α 7 to talk to. 8 0 Okay. 9 He'd remember the names of А 10 companies probably. Because there's -- there 11 was a company that was building the shield 12 building's walls that was really struggling 13 because the design was changing as they were 14 trying to build the walls, which is 15 problematic. You know, as you're trying to 16 fabricate walls, if you change it, it screws 17 you up pretty bad. 18 0 Yeah. Okav. 19 When you were talking with Michael 20 Crosby, did he express that he shared your 21 views on these concerns, or what was his 22 position? 23 Yeah, he had the same concerns. А 24 I'm sure he had more. But, I mean, we shared 25 a lot of the same concerns about not going

Clark and Associates Inc.

164

along with what Westinghouse wants and doing
 something different.

Because, obviously, if you continue
doing the same thing, you get the same
results. And they kept doing the same thing.
Kept paying, and it's like, Well, if you keep
paying, you're not going to get anything
different.

⁹ But for some reason, withholding ¹⁰ payment just seemed to be a land that Kevin ¹¹ and Steve and those just did not want to go. ¹² They just didn't want to go there for some ¹³ reason.

Q Do you -- what could be some of the reasons why they wouldn't go there?

A I couldn't understand that. They didn't want to short-pay the invoice or withhold. And the contract seemed like it was written so it was very supportive of the consortium, very biased towards the consortium.

I mean, I think if you look at the contract and you look at the payment terms, the payment terms, if I remember correctly, were set up so that you could withhold

1	payment on disputed invoice amounts for 30
2	days, but at the end of the 30 days, you
3	still had to pay.
4	Q Even though the dispute wasn't
5	wrapped up?
6	A Yeah.
7	Q Okay.
8	A So it was it was, like I said,
9	very biased toward the consortium and not
10	and I don't know I know I remember
11	hearing them say that, during the contract
12	review period when they were negotiating the
13	contract, they didn't have a lot of time.
14	They didn't have the luxury of being able to
15	review a lot of the contract terms, and they
16	did some really quick reviews of the buildup
17	of the budget that supported the contract.
18	And it was just a small team of like maybe
19	five or six people.
20	So that probably plays into why the
21	terms are so consortium-oriented and not
22	customer-oriented, I would think.
23	Q Okay. I'm going to jump off ship
24	for just a quick second here.
25	If the terms are so beneficial to

the consortium, why did the consortium end up not being successful? Do you have any input on that?

4 Well, I think that -- I think the А 5 consortium came into the project having 6 underperformed at the beginning of the 7 contract, and I think that that played 8 heavily into why the contract as a whole here 9 and at Vogtle has been less successful. Ι 10 think that they may have oversold where they 11 were in the design of the plant.

Q And, in other words, you believe And, in other words, you believe they may have represented that the project -or the plant itself were further along than they actually were?

¹⁶ A Uh-huh. That's a nonengineer's ¹⁷ opinion.

¹⁸ Q Yeah. All right.

¹⁹ In the message next you use the ²⁰ phrase they're doing it because they want to ²¹ make money and they're propping up earnings ²² to be able to make their bonuses, and it's ²³ going to be at your expense.

And to be -- the they, that they're doing it, who is the they that you're talking ¹ about?

A It's those same five or six senior
executives that I talked about. Kevin,
Marsh, Jimmy Addison, Steve Byrne, Jeff
Archie, and then Kenny Jackson.

Q And for somebody who is not a financial person, when you say propping up earnings, explain to a layperson what that means.

A Creating increased revenue streams, like we talked about as far as them agreeing to pay Westinghouse or the consortium \$100 million instead of something that's more reasonable, like 50 million like what you've paid before.

16 Pay them 100 million a month for 17 five months instead of 50 million for five 18 months, which is more like what historically 19 you've paid them, and then turn around and 20 taking 100 million a month for five months 21 and then rolling that into rates, and then 22 now all the sudden your revenue is double 23 what you would have had when you rolled that 24 into the rates in November.

25 Q And the doubling, that would have Clark and Associates Inc.

1	had what type of impact on the bonuses and
2	salaries of those individuals?
3	A Oh, huge.
4	Q Elaborate.
5	A Well, I mean, at the end of the
6	year, you're going to see where you're
7	only going to have two months of that revenue
8	stream, but with two months, if you've got
9	cold weather, you could probably end up with
10	15 to 20 cents added to the bottom line. And
11	with that, if you already are close to making
12	earnings anyway and then you pick up 20 cents
13	in the last two months of the year, you're
14	going to get not only 100 percent of your
15	bonus, but you're probably going to get your
16	discretion. So you're going to end up
17	earning 120 percent of your bonus.
18	And your stock is going to look
19	great because you hit Wall Street's
20	expectations and you exceeded it. So, you
21	know, Wall Street is going to be happy with
22	you.
23	Q Well, let me ask you about that.
0.4	

As just an investor in general, sometimes I'm
 aware that there are -- you know, companies

169

1	will have phone calls for investors to
2	provide information to them about what's
3	going on with the company and their
4	expectations and what's going on.
5	So are you aware of any calls to
6	Wall Street investors or anything where there
7	may have been any misrepresentations by SCANA
8	executives about what was going on?
9	A I haven't been following them. I
10	know recently they haven't been having any,
11	which I think is unusual. But I believe
12	that, during the period that I was there,
13	they were having those calls.
14	Q And generally, all of the propping
15	up of the earnings and all that, it all comes
16	eventually from the rates being paid by the
17	customers of SCANA or SCE&G?
18	A Uh-huh. Uh-huh.
19	Q And that's through the BLRA
20	advanced recovery costs?
21	A Right.
22	Q I think it's cost recovery program.
23	A Right.
24	Q During this time or prior to your
25	leaving SCANA, all these concerns that we've

1 been talking about today, were there other 2 SCANA employees that you had interactions 3 with and discussions with that agreed with 4 you, that saw the same things you saw with 5 respect to the management and -- or 6 mismanagement of the project? 7 А Probably the one person that saw it 8 like I did was probably Ken. Ken was in a 9 lot of the same meetings I was. But there 10 weren't a lot of people that were in the same 11 meetings that I was in because the level of 12 the position I was in and then the level of

¹³ meetings that I was in with executives.

Q And that would be Ken?

15 A Browne.

14

16 Browne. Well, let me ask you, 0 17 then, if -- as this process goes forward, if 18 they come -- you know, if we go forward and 19 they say, Look, Carlette was a voice in the 20 wilderness; nobody else agreed with her and 21 she has no support for what she's telling 22 you, that's what I'm trying to get a -- who 23 agreed with you? Who would be able to 24 support what you're saying here today about 25 all this and what documents should I be

1 looking for to support you in that so that we 2 can respond to an argument that, you know, 3 Carlette was just out there on her own? 4 I think Ken -- Ken Browne would be А 5 good. Another one would probably be Dave 6 Levine. 7 What was his position? 0 8 Α He was a general manager over the 9 start-up team. And probably Kevin Kochems. 10 And who else would I talk to that 0 11 would -- you think might give a similar 12 perspective? 13 Shirley Johnson. Α 14 0 Anyone else? 15 Skip Smith. Skip saw a lot of Α 16 this. 17 And who else? I'm just trying to 0 18 figure out who's on -- for a slang term, who 19 would be on Team Carlette verse Kevin Marsh, Jimmy Addison, and some of the others. 20 21 I think Marion Cherry would agree, Α 22 Michael Crosby. I mean, the other people, I 23 24 can't -- I mean, I just don't even know what 25 to think about the other general managers

1 because, I mean, they all saw it, and I don't 2 know where they stand. 3 I mean, they've all lost their 4 jobs, but I don't -- I don't know what --5 what they were thinking. б I think Courtney Owen would be 7 another one. She saw a lot of the efforts. 8 She's in SCANA Services. She was the audit 9 manager. 10 And, again, going back to, with 0 11 this group of people, what documentation 12 would be most supportive of what you're 13 telling us today as opposed to an argument 14 that you're wrong, that this didn't happen 15 that way? What would be the best 16 documentation to support your --17 I'll tell you, if you go and you Α 18 read the Post and Courier's newspaper 19 articles about our -- what happened at that 20 project, if you read it, I mean, I think you 21 can see that they've broken the 22 Sarbanes-Oxley law. 23 And I want to get some 0 24 clarification on some of the terms you used.

²⁵ Later in the statement you said that I want

Clark and Associates Inc.

173

those five guys out of the company so they can't keep hurting people.

I think I understand what you were saying about propping up earnings, but what action would be actually -- what would be hurting people?

A Well, I mean, each one of them had their little mean streak and so, you know, if they looked -- if somebody looked at them the wrong way and it pissed them off, it wasn't -- you couldn't put it past them. I mean, they'd fire somebody.

13 Jeff Archie was notorious for doing 14 stuff like that. I mean, if somebody said 15 something that he didn't like, you know, 12 years later, they could come back to the 16 17 project or come back to Unit 1 and he'd 18 remember that he didn't like something that 19 they said and he'd make sure that they were 20 blackballed and didn't come back to Unit 1. 21 Just -- you know, just being mean.

Marty Phalen was like super mean Marty Phalen was like super mean like that. Just hateful where he would just say and do mean things to people just because he had the authority to do it. And it's like

Clark and Associates Inc.

174

1	that's just not a way to treat people.
2	I mean, that company was a great
3	company up until the time Kevin Marsh became
4	CEO. I mean, they worked with people and
5	everybody was proud of their jobs and felt
6	like the company was moving in the right
7	direction for the customers and for the
8	employees and everybody was proud to say they
9	worked for that company.

10 And then Kevin Marsh became CEO, 11 and you could watch the morale of the company 12 just plummet. And it's because of those 13 executives that I just named, they just 14 didn't have any integrity. And, I mean, I 15 think overall, those people, the people that 16 reported to them, instead of protecting them 17 in a battle, they would have gotten speared 18 by their own army and run over.

Q Okay. Later in the message you say -- and this is when you're speaking to Marion -- you saw the condition I was in when I left physically, but you have no idea of the emotional stress and what they have done to me and to Gene emotionally, and it's like if I never heard the word SCANA again, it

1 would be great. 2 When you say the phrase that 3 they've all but stripped me of my life, what 4 did you mean by that? Can you explain what 5 was done to you or what --6 I mean, I've shared some examples. Α 7 But, I mean, there's --8 0 I want to give you the opportunity 9 to elaborate on that. 10 Well, I mean, there's just -- I А 11 can't talk about it. 12 And that is because it's personally 0 13 troubling to you? 14 А Yeah. 15 MR. WALKER: Extremely. 16 What about Gene? What was done to 0 17 him that you observed at SCANA that you felt 18 was -- would have brought emotional stress to 19 him? 20 Well, you screw up his wife, I Α 21 mean, what do you think it's going to do to 22 him? 23 I don't know anything about that. Q 24 That's what I'm asking about. 25 Α Well, they screwed with me for five

or six years, which screws up my family life.
Q So moving -- focusing on Gene, what
can you tell us about what was involved with
him that you felt that they were emotionally
impacting him.

A You cannot imagine what I went through and what it did to him. I can't -- I can't explain it to you, but it tore our family up. I was the bedrock to the family, and my family saw me go through just a hell period.

- 12
- Okay.

0

A You don't lose 70 pounds in six
 months and not have something that's
 seriously driving you crazy.

16 Well, let me ask you -- and that 0 17 may be a good -- what I want to understand is 18 what I can look to to make sure that if the 19 argument is made that -- a very inartful way 20 of saying this -- the cause and effect is 21 different -- what if you were faced with the 22 argument that what you're saying about the 23 company was stemming from problems you were 24 having medically or emotionally as opposed to 25 the other way around? You know, what I would

1	generally say about your testimony today is
2	you're saying that what you were seeing and
3	what you were experiencing in your work was
4	causing your medical problems.
5	What would I look at in response to
6	an argument that, no, that your medical
7	problems were actually causing you to
8	misunderstand or misapprehend what was going
9	on at the project?
10	A Well, I mean, how did the project
11	turn out? I mean, it turned out exactly like
12	I predicted.
13	MR. WALKER: And she didn't
14	have any medical problems.
15	A I mean, yeah, I lost weight
16	because, I mean, I was so stressed because of
17	that project. But the project turned out
18	exactly like I was telling everybody it was
19	going to turn out.
20	I mean, 12 months almost to the day
21	after we settled, they pulled the plug on the
22	project. If the project was still going
23	today, I'd feel differently. But I think
24	when they pulled the plug on the project,
25	that pretty much vindicated me.

1	As a matter of fact, I left in
2	January of '16. In February of '16, the
3	Bechtel report came out. It read exactly
4	like what I said. It might have been gone
5	into a little bit more depth, which it
6	should. I mean, they were an engineering
7	outfit. But I don't think there's a whole
8	lot of difference between what they're saying
9	and what I'm saying.
10	Q Okay. Let me jump to another
11	topic, and this is following the time you've
12	left SCANA.
13	Since leaving employment, have you
14	had any discussions related to the South
15	Carolina law enforcement division called
16	SLED?
17	A Yeah.
18	Q How did those discussions come
19	about? Did you reach out to them? Did they
20	reach out to you?
21	A They reached out to me.
22	Q And who in particular reached out
23	to you?
24	A The FBI.
25	Q Okay. Well, I'm maybe they were

1	together. I'm talking about SLED versus I
2	mean, I'm going to ask you about SLED, the
3	FBI, the SEC, all these various groups.
4	Who was the first to reach out to
5	you?
6	A The FBI came to the house, and SLED
7	joined them in the interview.
8	Q Okay. When was that?
9	THE WITNESS: Do you remember
10	when that was?
11	MR. WALKER: I don't know.
12	Q Ms. Walker, as best as you can.
13	It's not a test. There's no penalties or
14	anything.
15	A Summer of last year, I think.
16	Q Summer of last year.
17	Who in particular reached out to
18	you? Do you recall if somebody called up and
19	said this is Agent So-and-So?
20	A No. They just showed up at the
21	house. I don't remember their names.
22	Q Did they give you a card or
23	anything that you would be able to look back
24	on to find out who it was?
25	A I'd have to look.

1	Q Okay. Give me some details about
2	what happened. They showed up at the door.
3	They knock on the door. They say,
4	Ms. Walker, I'm with the FBI.
5	A Yeah, they came to the door.
6	Actually, they didn't come to the door. They
7	came into the driveway, and my husband
8	thought that they were from the Mormon church
9	or somewhere like that, and so he kind of
10	circled back around the back and met them at
11	the back gate. And then he was going to show
12	them his alligators or something; I don't
13	know.
14	And then he realized they really
15	were from the FBI, so they came in off the
16	back porch. And I didn't believe that they
17	were with the FBI for a while, and then I

¹⁸ finally realized they really were with the ¹⁹ FBI.

And so we sat down for a little while, and I think we established a date. But we agreed that they would contact Jake and we would go from there. And so I think they served Jake with a subpoena.

25 Q Okay. And did that subpoena ask Clark and Associates Inc.

1 you for documents or for a meeting? 2 Α I think it was for a meeting. 3 Ο And did you go through with that 4 meeting? 5 Yes, I did. Α б And who was present at that 0 7 meeting? 8 My husband Gene, myself, Jake, a Α 9 SLED agent was there, and then the two FBI 10 agents. 11 0 And did you provide any written 12 materials or documentation to them or to your 13 lawyer to provide to them? 14 А Yeah. I think that at the end of 15 the meeting we gave them a copy of the file, 16 the yellow file that was for -- you know, it 17 had the contents of the file that I gave to 18 Jimmy Addison that proved the numbers for the 19 2015 re-budgeting baseline. 20 Okay. Besides that material, any 0 21 other written materials you recall providing 22 to your lawyer or to the FBI to provide to 23 the lawyer or provide to the FBI? 24 Α No, I think that was it. And at 25 that point, just like with when I talked to **Clark and Associates Inc.**

1 you about it, they agreed to have Ken Browne walk them through the mechanics of that file. 2 Okay. And you said there was 3 0 4 somebody from the South Carolina law enforcement division involved as well, SLED? 5 б Yeah. I think -- yeah, it was --Α 7 yeah, it was SLED. 8 Okay. Any other -- any other 0 9 meetings with any other law enforcement? 10 Uh-uh. А 11 0 What about any discussions with 12 persons related to the Securities and 13 Exchange Commission? 14 No, I haven't heard anything from Α 15 them. 16 What about elected officials? 0 Anv 17 politicians involved in South Carolina ever 18 contact you or ask you for any information? 19 (Shakes head.) Uh-uh. Α 20 Well, besides here today and the 0 21 meeting with SLED and FBI, anybody else 22 interview you about the VC Summer project and 23 SCANA's role in it and your involvement in 24 it? 25 А No. I know a Post and Courier

1	reporter called asking to talk to me about
2	this voice mail, but I referred him to Jake.
3	Q Okay.
4	A I didn't comment.
5	Q Let me ask you, with respect to the
6	project and your work for SCANA, has anybody
7	ever asked you to take a lie detector test?
8	A Uh-uh.
9	Q And have you ever taken one?
10	A Uh-uh.
11	MR. HALTIWANGER: My
12	understanding is Mr. Moore had talked with
13	Mr. Richardson about your availability today.
14	MR. MOORE: Right.
15	MR. HALTIWANGER: And we've
16	bumped up on that time frame. I know that
17	I've taken all day, so we're going to have to
18	have some follow-up discussions about this.
19	MR. MOORE: We're at your
20	disposal. We'll be where you tell us to be.
21	Next time I suggest we do it at my place.
22	We've got enough room at my place.
23	MR. RICHARDSON: Yeah, we'll
24	be glad to be there.
25	MR. MOORE: It will save you

1 money.

2 MR. BALSER: A few 3 housekeeping matters before we wrap up. We, 4 on behalf of SCANA and SCE&G, are prepared 5 today to go forward and ask a series of 6 questions of this witness. We understand 7 that she has requested, based on health 8 concerns, to stop the deposition at 1:30. 9 We're prepared to honor that request. 10 Of course we will need to have 11 the opportunity to ask all the questions that 12 we need to ask, and we will cooperate with 13 Mr. Moore and the witness in rescheduling. 14 We have agreed, in principle, 15 upon a confidentiality order with counsel for 16 the plaintiffs in this case, and subject to 17 final entry of that order by Judge Hayes, we 18 would like to designate the entire transcript 19 of this deposition as confidential subject to 20 that protective order. 21 We can discuss later whether 22 parts of the deposition should be 23 de-designated, but until we see the 24 transcript, until we get the order entered, 25 this transcript should be treated as

1	confidential pursuant to a protective order.
2	That's all.
3	MR. HALTIWANGER: Okay. One
4	more.
5	MR. MOORE: Well, go ahead.
б	MR. HALTIWANGER: I was just
7	going to say, as I had mentioned earlier, I
8	was going to mark the actual audio of the
9	voice mail as an exhibit, so
10	(<mark>Exhibit No. 4</mark> was marked for
11	identification.)
12	(Off-the-record discussion.)
13	(Deposition concluded at 1:33
14	PM)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Public for the

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COUNTY OF CHARLESTON
3	I, Julie K. Lyle, Notary
4	State of South Carolina at La certify that the witness in t deposition was by me duly swo
5	the truth, the whole truth, a
6	the truth in the within-entit said deposition was taken at
-	location therein stated; that

rge, do hereby he foregoing rn to testify to nd nothing but led cause; that the time and the testimony of the witness and all objections made at the 7 time of the examination were recorded 8 stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed by computer-aided transcription; 9 that the foregoing is a full, complete, and true record of the testimony of the witness 10 and of all objections made at the time of the examination; and that the witness was given an opportunity to read and correct said 11 deposition and to subscribe the same. 12 Should the signature of the witness not 13 be affixed to the deposition, the witness shall not have availed himself of the 14 opportunity to sign or the signature has been waived. 15 I further certify that I am neither related to nor counsel for any party to the 16 cause pending or interested in the events 17 thereof. 18 Witness my hand, I have hereunto affixed my official seal on April 25, 2018, at 19 Charleston, Charleston County, South Carolina. 20 21 22 23 Julie K. Lyle, RPR/RMR/CRR REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTER 24 REGISTERED MERIT REPORTER CERTIFIED REALTIME REPORTER 25 My commission expires 7/22/2024

WORD INDEX	15 36:5 47:4 80:20 126:1 169:10	24 1: <i>15</i> 25 84: <i>3</i> 187: <i>18</i>	700,000 145:24 146:10
< \$ >	16 48:24 71:3	29169 3:19	70s 20:2
\$1.2 80:9	82:12 113:12 179:2,	29204 3:9	73129 1:24
\$100 47:23 48:12	2	29415 1:24	75 58:5
111:3, 8, 9 168:13	17 113:10	29730 3:16	75 50.5 7th 44:4
\$100,000 104:19	1700 3:19	29812 3:6	7 u i 11 .7
\$200 104:24	18 71: <i>3</i>	- /01 - 5.0	< 8 >
\$25 134:18	186 4:8	< 3 >	800 74:25
\$300 160:7	1st 112:3	3 4:7 19:6, 9 20:1,	83 10: <i>16</i> 19: <i>11</i>
\$4.6 145:20		5, 12, 17 26:4 45:18	84 71: <i>4</i>
\$400,000 105:1	< 2 >	105:22, 25 106:19	843-762-6294 1:25
\$450,000 152:13	2 4:6 18:19 19:5, 9	108:14, 19 113:17	
\$5 144:15, 17, 24	20:1, 4, 11, 17 26:4	30 122:23 123:2	< 9 >
145:12, 13 146:1	45:18 57:1 68:25	124:25 166:1,2	9:21 1:15
\$500 47:24 48:4	69:3 70:11 75:20	30309 3:13	90 152:10
113:2	77:11 80:12 141:25	30th 112:3, 5	
\$60 42:17	142:1, 3 145:14, 16	35 122:24 123:2	< A >
\$698 77:17	151:3		abandon 147:13
\$8 148:10	20 103:1 152:15	< 4 >	abandoned 146:22
\$9 145:21, 25	163:18 169:10, 12	4 4:8 107:8, <i>12</i>	147: <i>12</i>
\$9.6 146:2	2000s 19:19 61:15	186:10	ability 61:12 143:1
	2005 90:7	4.6 146: <i>1</i>	able 20: <i>3</i> 33:2
<1>	2007 90:7	40 27:11 32:22	34:10 43:10 46:8
1 4: <i>3</i> 5: <i>1</i> 7:9, <i>11</i>	2010 40:15	33:11 42:17 103:1,	55:10 71:5 77:20
14:5 18: <i>19</i> 19: <i>11</i> ,	2012 88:19 92:10,	9, 19	78: <i>3</i> 101: <i>14</i> , <i>17</i>
25 45:13 56:17, 18,	11, 14, 16	47 70:22	102:9 108:11
21, 21, 25 71:19, 21	2014 70:21		112:10 115:25
72:12 77:11 80:12	2015 45:22 46:22	< 5 >	117:15 118:2
86:25 136:2, 3, 4	49:13, 15 53:18	5 4:3 57:7 145:19	134:13 146:8 148:3,
141:25 142:1, 3	54:10, 17 55:24, 25	163:20	23 156:18 161:20,
145:14, 16 151:3	61:21, 23 62:13	50 27:11 42:17	23 166:14 167:22
174:17, 20	65:12, 16, 21 67:1,	111:7 168:14, 17	171:23 180:23
1.2 54:21	12 68:17 69:15		absence 63:13
1:30 6:21 185:8	72:2, 21 75:22 76:4	< 6 >	absolutely 43:3
1:33 186: <i>13</i>	86:7, 12 113:3	6 77:11 80:12	68:9 97:20 123: <i>14</i>
10 32:17 33:12	118:6 182:19 2016 7:7 8:10 44:6	103:1, 8, 19, 20, 21	accepted 158:22
52:13 100 24:2 59:5	2016 7:7 8:10 44:6	6,547,124 71:25	access 34:24 102:11
100 24:2 58:5	47:19, 20 85:22	6.5 75:24	accomplish 100:9
104:7, <i>14</i> , <i>25</i> 148:9 168: <i>16</i> , <i>20</i> 169: <i>14</i>	109:10 111:4 127:3 132:24 133:4,23	60 111:7 600 80:15	account 151:4 accountant 88:20
106 4:7	2017 127:4 138:1, 1	64 71:4	104:23 119:4 134:9
1180 3:13	2017-CP-40-04833	69 4:6	160:12
12 18:19 141:25	1:7	698 54:22 77:21	accountants 67:11
142:1, 3 145:14, 16,	2018 1:15 187:18	78:9, 9	100:1, 5, 8
<i>19</i> 151:3 174:15	2010 1.15 107.10 2024 187:25	, , ,	accountant's 30:11
178:20	2014 107.25 2110 3:8	< 7 >	accounting 9:20
120 169:17	22 187:25	7 4:3 187:25	14:16 28:2, 12 40:8,
1368 3:5	235 3:15	70 52:14 177:13	10 62:8 67:17

105:17 119:10	offined 197.12 10	120.22 162.15	0,000 175.10
140:9	affixed 187: <i>13</i> , <i>18</i> afternoon 6: <i>14</i>	138:23 162:15 183:21 184:6	army 175:18 arose 42:4
accurate 72:3 76:7	AFUDC 18:18		
77:14 78:7 90:15		anymore 48: <i>15</i> 129: <i>12</i> 131: <i>3</i>	arrangements 110:25
	agenda 51:9 73:12		
accurately 108:19	Agent 180:19 182:9	anyway 32:8 51:11	arrogance 138:14
achieve 26:20	agents 182:10	52:10 169:12	Arrogant 138:7
achieved 24:1	ago 155:10	apart 139:24	139:6
achieving 50:2	agree 50:22 65:6	apparently 21:4	articles 173:19
acknowledge 55:12	72:5,9 172:21	appeal 122:17 123:20	artificially 88:12
acknowledged 96:18	agreed 50:20 51:8	- · ·	asked 33:11 40:7
acknowledging	68:21 84:12, 12	appeared 125:13	52:7 64:17 106:5
33:16	99:3 111:10 127:4	APPEARING 3:1, 9,	123:17, 18 126:4
Act 110:18 112:2	171:3, 20, 23 181:22	17 7:17	184:7
126: <i>12</i>	183:1 185:14	application 137:20	asking 24:25 34:20
acted 150:7, 9 action 174:5	agreeing 168:11	appreciate 84:23	85:3, 5 116:9
	agreement 82:10, 14	approach 95:22	154:25 158:3
actions 154:13	83:11, 15, 18 84:11,	96:5 138:25	161:15 176:24
155:5	14 110:5, 7	appropriate 58:17	184:1
activity 29: <i>12</i> actual 17: <i>18</i> 70: <i>24</i>	ahead 45:23 51:10 85:17 125:21 186:5	79:21	aspect 27:23 150:11
186:8	alarm 122:14	approval 112:21 142:11	aspects 27:22 ass 97:2 125:1
actuals 70:21	alligators 181:12	approve 23:23 62:4	ass 97.2 125.1 asset 151:22
add 29:19 34:5	allow 65:3	105:14 124:7	assets 145:8
131:12 143:24, 24	allowed 18:16, 18	approved 61:25	assigned 13:22
131.12 145.24, 24 144:24 145:12	19:21 144:3	112:20, 25 124:4	ASSISTANT 3:22
added 30:13 71:12	almighty 96:21	142:17, 22	9:6, 9, 12, 13
145:3 169:10	140:12 156:19	approximate 68:18	associated 17:22
adding 144:2 146:1	alternatives 87:7	109:1	22:14 30:14 150:18
Addison 24:16 36:8	amount 19:20, 23	approximately 8:25	158:13
44:16 45:2 47:7	28:5 57:8, <i>11</i> 71:14,	9:8	ASSOCIATES 1:22
49:10 54:2, 15 80:3,	23 72:11, 13 103:5	APRIL 1:15 80:20,	assume 162:15
<i>18</i> 81: <i>10</i> 83: <i>1</i> 85:5	111:11, 15 144:14	<i>21</i> 187: <i>18</i>	Atlanta 3:13
96:14 117:2 123:25	amounts 34:7, 11	Archie 24:17 60:4	attempt 97:14
124:13 130:8 131:9	42:14 72:9 102:17	75:14 95:1, 2 96:14	attendance 21:19
136:9 155:17 168:4	112:9 119:18 166:1	117:3 131:10	149:19 158:18
172:20 182:18	analysis 39:22	135:17 155:18	attended 158:15
addition 68:11	91:14 92:9	159:25 160:1 168:5	attention 137:21
additional 18:15	announced 144:18	174:13	attitude 160:20
address 11:7, 23	annual 22:8, 12	area 28:3, 6, 13	attorney 46:18
25:11	25:23, 25	31:20, 21 32:20	48:21 86:1, 3
addressed 35:18	anomaly 103:24	areas 28:23 29:10,	116:14, 15 123:12,
addresses 11:17, 22	answer 18:3 25:9	11	18
adjust 98:3	147:11	AREVA 87:12	attorney-client
adjusted 91:9	answering 52:8	argue 105: <i>3</i>	63:25 64:3, 9
adjustment 91:11	answers 5:21	argued 79:6	attorneys 99:12, 14,
administration 8:13	anybody 7:10	arguing 67:4 98:20	15 116:9, 11, 17
44:10 91:7	20:22 33:5 45:3	argument 172:2	audio 4:8 107:17
advance 118:25	67:14 79:4 82:8	173:13 177:19, 22	108:10 186:8
advanced 170:20	93: <i>12</i> 114: <i>13</i> 115:8	178:6	audit 10:13 14:17
	120:15 125:6		29:15, 18, 18, 19

36:24 37:1, 2, 2, 3, 5,	11,16	believe 12:12 49:11	bigger 120:25
15, 18, 20 39:11, 20	background 113:23	60:7 63:20 64:12	147:19, 20, 20, 21
100:17 102:3, 9, 13,	bad 52:3 96:4	72:2 74:8 75:23	151:7
14, 15 104:7 173:8	103:9 120:13 121:8,	76:1, 6, 7 77:14	biggest 57:4
audited 104:14	23 122:4 164:17	78:6 86:6 89:18	big-picture 119:2
105:3, 8	badgering 104:2	90:14, 20 97:18	Bill 24:14 39:1,17
auditing 31:6	bag 75:18	100:9 106:11, 23, 24,	44:24 86:21 91:5
119:10	balance 60:19	25 108:18 113:4	93:15 99:10 118:19,
auditor 10:12 37:8	144:21	115:20 116:19, 25	19,20 146:12
119:4	ballpark 72:16	126:17 127:13, 14,	billables 43:1
auditors 99:25	BALSER 3:12	19 129:14 131:24	billed 17:7 18:9, 11
audits 29:9, 21	63:22 64:8, 16	132:4, 8, 19 133:18,	27:25 35:24 43:3
42:21	107:11, 15 185:2	19 140:19 161:20	160:7
augment 39:12	bang 112:19	167:12 170:11	Billie 45:12
August 82:12	bankrupt 112:18	181:16	billing 10:5, 9
Augusta 148:17	Barnwell 3:6	believed 53:10	27:19 31:8 41:14,
authority 15:11, 13,	base 71:12 110:17	71:23 72:12	15 43:5 100:14, 17
15 21:4, 6 174:25	112:1 141:3 142:4	belongs 64:2	114:8
automatically 112:25	144:2	Beltline 3:8	billings 27:3, 5, 9, 13,
availability 184:13	based 22:21 23:4	Belton 63:1 76:21	16
availed 187:13	26:19 49:23, 25	beneficial 166:25	billion 50:7 54:21
aware 11:20 78:5,	50:3 66:25 73:24	benefit 17: <i>13</i>	72:18, 19 77:12
18 86:22 152:18	77:21 97:17 98:6	110:21 117:22, 23	79:25 80:1,9
169:25 170:5	109:4 128:18 134:8	127:24	134:18 144:15, 17,
awful 52:23 53:6	141:6 143:11	benefits 16:20, 25	24 145:12, 13, 20, 21,
124:24	150:16, 23 185:7	17:18 84:13 105:11,	25 146:1, 2 148:10
awkward 52:2	baseline 182:19	13	billions 75:23
	baseload 89:1 90:24	berated 155:15	bills 16:19
< B >	bases 114:7	best 13:11 22:18	bit 5:13 22:2
back 6:18 8:3, 16	basically 47:18	47:15 85:4 86:20	34:19 62:12 120:22,
10:14 19:11, 13	81:14 84:12 91:23	87:2 110:9 173:15	25 141:17 156:22
22:2 24:21 25:11,	112:18 116:1	180:12	157:6 179:5
12, 16 29:2 33:21	basis 12:19 13:1	bet 144:20 148:25	bite 25:17 148:8
34:18, 21 35:2 40:6	67:3 132:6	149:3, 3	blackballed 174:20
43:8 45:20 51:20	battle 175:17	better 39:20 108:1	BlackBerry 159:5
53:18, 24 63:11	battles 94:23	119:24 143:8	block 111:2 137:10
72:20 73:4 82:18,	beach 45:19	159:13 160:25	BLRA 47:22
24 83:4 87:4 92:6	bearing 113:13	161:3	126:25 141:2
93:6 95:6 97:13	bears 28:16, 18		170:19
98:2 <i>1</i> 101:24 102: <i>1</i> ,	Bechtel 55:13	bet-the-company-type	board 15:16 21:7,
6 108:3 110:2	133:6 179:3	149:11	12, 25 22:1 23:21,
118:15 122:21	becoming 32:6	beyond 93:19	22, 24 88:6 95:20
123:16 124:3 127:7	99:13	124:12	141:5
153: <i>13</i> 156:23	bedrock 177:9	biased 165:20 166:9	boasting 129:5
157:2, 13 158:4	beginning 45:22	big 16:3 39:6, 8	body 20:24
161:2 <i>1</i> 162:2 <i>3</i>	101:11 167:6	56:2 58:20 117:23	boils 101:22 102:6
163:10 173:10	behalf 1:4 3:1, 9,	133:15 137:10	bold 121:19
174:16, 17, 20	17 77:10 185:4	144:12 147:24	Boling 30:25 31:2
180:23 181:10, 10,	belief 72:20, 24		B-O-L-I-N-G 31:1
	89:23		

		1	1
bonus 22:9, 15, 15,	Browne 43:2 63:4	calculations 79:22	Casey 38:16, 19
19 23:3, 9, 17, 25	66: <i>14</i> , <i>15</i> 67:9, <i>18</i>	80:5	C-A-S-E-Y 38:19
25:22 26:5, 9 41:1,	79:6 87:25 164:6	calendar 112:6	Cash 4:6 47:19
8, 9, 11 127:25	171:15, 16 172:4	call 27:25 55:23	69: <i>12</i> 71:8 77: <i>11</i>
141:5 152:13, 24	183: <i>1</i>	108:24 109:2, 6, 12,	112:3 127:5 152:20,
153:3, 12 169:15, 17	Browne's 67:8	20 116:2 124:8	23
bonuses 26:14, 17	BRYONY 3:22	127:14	catch 124:17
97:6 119:14, 15	budget 49:15, 19, 22	called 19: <i>3</i> 34:6	caught 107:5
152:17, 19, 20	55:7 56:18 61:24	41: <i>10</i> 57: <i>18</i> 96: <i>13</i> ,	cause 177:20 187:5,
167:22 169: <i>1</i>	62: <i>4</i> 66:25 142: <i>17</i> ,	<i>16</i> 97:6 128: <i>3</i>	16
books 144:11, 17	22 166:17	139: <i>13</i> 179: <i>15</i>	causing 178:4, 7
146:9 151:23	budgeted 56:16	180: <i>18</i> 184: <i>1</i>	CB 27:5 31:21, 22
borderline 118:11	57:9	calling 114: <i>12</i>	39:8, 15 42:2 50:1
bore 28:25	budgeting 62:8	160: <i>15</i>	59:7 77:25 99:20
bored 150:7, 9	build 19:9, 15, 16,	calls 63:24 115:25	111:18, 22, 23
borrow 148:5	19, 21, 23, 25 20:1, 3	170:1, 5, 13	124:14, 21
boss 44:18 95:1,9	49:25 89:8 144:12	capital 17:11, 16, 17,	cell 109:14
96:14, 14	147:20 164: <i>14</i>	20, 24 18:11, 17	cents 169:10, 12
bosses 123:21	building 13:18 89:1	141:19, 19, 24	CEO 15:10, 12, 13
bottom 169:10	147:19 163:20, 22	143:20, 25 144:1, 2,	24:9, 15 25:14
bought 15:20, 23	164:11	3, 24 145:4, 8 151:4	44:22 73:1 120:6,
59:10	building's 163:23	car 33:10	13 121:6 136:10
Boulevard 3:8, 19	164:12	card 121:14 180:22	137:1 150:20
bounds 118:12	buildup 166: <i>16</i>	cards 139:23	161:18, 19 175:4, 10
Box 1:24 3:5 95:4,	built 17:3 19:13	care 59:4, 22	certain 84:13 113:4
6,7	49:22 78:1	career 87:9	certainly 84:18
boxes 82:23	bullshit 115:19	CARLETTE 1:3, 12	112:9
break 6:2, 4 40:3	bumped 184:16	5:3 7:1, 14 50:18	CERTIFICATE
105:20 153:17	bunch 12:1 130:10	54:8 115:15 116:2	187:1
155:1 157:5	156:11, 19	118:8 122:17 125:4	Certified 1:22
breakdown 115:24	burly 32:5	171:19 172:3, 19	187:24
116:7, 20	business 16:13	CAROLINA 1:1, 8,	certify 65:2 187:4,
breaking 124:12	114:16 124:10	9, 18 9:15, 24 10:1,	14
BRICKMAN 3:4	buying 147:8	3 16:2, 3, 7 53:16	CFO 24:10, 15
bridge 93:17	Byrne 24:17 49:9	60:13, 16 61:5	37:7 44:16 45:2
briefed 122:16	73:1 130:4, 22, 23	139:18 154:25	73:1 74:25 136:14,
bring 43:23 48:14	131:9 134:23	179:15 183:4, 17	16 149:24
59:19 77:25 93:18	138:25 150:5 168:4	187:1, 3, 19	challenge 100:21, 24
105:12, 16	B-Y-R-N-E 130:24	carried 105:7	Champion 24:17
bringing 32:3	DINIL 130.27	carry 146:8 148:3	chance 69:4 80:22
99:22 100: <i>12</i> 151: <i>3</i>	< C >	cars 29:1 32:14, 21	129:8
BRLA 18:1, 12	cake 47:7	33:11, 25	change 11:10, 12
broke 124:11	calculate 49:17	Carter 36:10, 12	23:14 58:14 79:8
125:18	105: <i>14</i>	161: <i>16</i>	108:2, 17 143:1, 11,
broken 153:16	103.17		
	calculated 49.18 24	Case 1.7 13.7	1/ 164.10
	calculated 49:18, 24	Case 1:7 13:7 17:15 25 46:22	12 164:16 changed 18:2 58:7
154:9, 15 173:21	77:18	17:15, 25 46:22	changed 18:2 58:7
154:9, <i>15</i> 173:2 <i>1</i> brought 15:2 <i>4</i> 16: <i>1</i>	77:18 calculating 34:1	17: <i>15</i> , <i>25</i> 46: <i>22</i> 49: <i>14</i> 51:2 56: <i>17</i>	changed 18:2 58:7 97:25
154:9, <i>15</i> 173:2 <i>1</i> brought 15:2 <i>4</i> 16: <i>1</i> 24:20 27:18 100:25	77:18 calculating 34:1 calculation 23:6, 11,	17:15,25 46:22 49:14 51:2 56:17 88:15 92:11 185:16	changed18:258:797:2558:7changes107:21
154:9, <i>15</i> 173:2 <i>1</i> brought 15:2 <i>4</i> 16: <i>1</i>	77:18 calculating 34:1	17: <i>15</i> , <i>25</i> 46: <i>22</i> 49: <i>14</i> 51:2 56: <i>17</i>	changed 18:2 58:7 97:25

changing 164:13	climb 56:9	61:5 126:10 142:16	76:3 97:18
character 63:14	climbed 99:1	183:13 187:25	completely 22:17
characterize 133:12	close 134:15 156:13	commissioner 61:16	completion 68:12
charge 17:12 23:16	169: <i>11</i>	commissioners 61:13	75:21
29:14 40:7,9 60:25	closed 129:5 159:10	committee 141:5	compliance 8:24
66:11 86:23 87:1	closer 80:9, 12	159:1	9:1, 2, 5 44:21
126:25 135:1	cloth 131:20 138:3	commodities 57:4	118:21 121:15
143:25 150:16	coal 89:6	COMMON 1:1	component 18:17
charged 17:16, 19	coal-burning 144:7	27:14 30:16	22:21 68:13
charges 28:9, 16	147:13	communication 73:5	components 22:13,
charging 99:16	coals 124:10	157:23	20
Charles 163:14, 16,	Coffer 38:16, 20	communications	composure 125:10,
17	C-O-F-F-E-R 38:20	63:25	13, 15
Charleston 1:24	coincidence 139:15	companies 12:8	computer-aided
137:19 187:2, 19, 19	cold 122:18 169:9	13:8 164:10 169:25	187:8
Charlotte 59:12, 16	collaborate 117:3	COMPANY 1:8	concern 78:21 79:1
chart 20:15	collaboratively 117:4	10:15, 24 12:20	concerns 46:9
chase 164:1	collected 18:1	13:16 16:6, 8 23:1	73:14 78:17 156:7
cheated 121:13	college 137:16, 18	28:4 46:17, 20 47:8	164:2 <i>1</i> , 2 <i>3</i> , 25
check 32:23 136:13	color 75:11	48:9 64:4 87:4	170:25 185:8
152:24	COLUMBIA 1:18	121:7, 21 122:11, 24	concluded 186:13
checking 103:2	3:9, 19	125:21, 23 126:5	conclusion 79:13
104:21	column 70:16	135:25 137:11, 12,	80:8
Cherry 4:7 12:15,	come 15:3 21:15	21 139:13 143:18	concrete 56:21, 23,
17 35:10 108:7	25:23 33:25 35:20,	147: <i>16</i> , <i>24</i> 150: <i>13</i> ,	25 57:3, 8, 10
113:20 172:21	23 40:24 42:20	14, 15, 21 152:14, 25	condition 51:25
chief 75:16 128:23,	48:9 59: <i>13</i> 79:2	154: <i>3</i> 155: <i>13</i>	117: <i>1</i> 175:21
24 135:22 149:24	82:10, 14 87:22	163:22 164: <i>11</i>	CONFIDENTIAL
150:3	89:9 111: <i>13</i> 124: <i>18</i>	170:3 174:1 175:2,	1: <i>12</i> 185: <i>19</i> 186: <i>1</i>
choice 87:21, 23	128:2 130:14, 15	3, 6, 9, 11 177:23	confidentiality
90:24	134:5 140:1 171:18	company's 109:14	84: <i>11</i> 185: <i>15</i>
choose 65:5 90:3	174:16, 17, 20	163:2 <i>1</i>	confrontation 101:18
church 181:8	179:18 181:6	company-type 149:3	confrontational
circled 181:10	comes 95:24 120:10	compare 136:14	31:24 32:1 99:9
citizen 74:9	170:15	compared 33:11	confrontations
clarification 173:24	comfortable 162:1	141:18	101:15
clarify 77:15	coming 28:9 50:19	comparison 23:4	confused 139:1
CLARK 1:22	79:12, 12 89:7	compensated 22:11,	connection 154:24
Clary 86:23, 24	111:18 118:8, 14	12	consider 75:21
90:20 93:3 94:6,8	119:25 120:2, 8	compensation 22:7	162:7
95:11, 24 97:22	151:10, 11	141:4, 4, 8 143:17	consideration 65:3
C-L-A-R-Y 86:24	command 20:19	150:20 152:5	considered 125:14
Clary's 91:1	97:21	complaints 130:11,	consolidate 16:10
class 154:19, 21	comment 36:2 99:5	13	consolidated 16:14
clean 82:20	184:4	complete 46:17	consortium 57:21
clear 5:14, 22	comments 63:4	50:9 55:1 67:6	165:20, 21 166:9
clearly 133:6	130:8 156:1 160:4	71:5, 16, 24 118:2	167:1, 1, 5 168:12
CLECKLEY 1:4	commission 22:9	134:14 187:9	consortium-oriented
clerk 123:6	46:23 60:14, 17	completed 68:15, 19	166:21
			constructing 89:10

		00 12 21 01 14 25	172.22
construction 20:16	conversations 7:23	90:12, 21 91:14, 25	172:22
26:4, 6 29:5, 8 32:4	8:6 79:3 157:21	92:12 93:2 95:23	crosswise 119:9
45:16 48:5, 14	convoluted 23:5, 10	96:3 97:14, 19, 24	crowd 48:14
58:15, 19 59:6, 13	COO 149:25	98:8 148:9 150:17	CRR 1:18 187:23
60:1 62:8 70:12	Cooper 12:9, 12	151:19 152:2 163:8	culture 75:6
91:4 99:23 150:18	35:12 36:14 67:14	170:20	cumulative 151:15
159:4 161: <i>11</i>	88:5 106:5, 9 108:8	COUNSEL 3:23	curious 31:15
consultant 138:24	109:23 111:2	62:20 64:19 123:14	current 7:2 136:10
139:2	113:23 114:7 115:8,	185:15 187:16	currently 41:25
consultants 138:25	21 129:11, 14, 22, 24	count 160:9	cuss 96:19, 22
contact 181:22	157:11 161:18	counted 32:22	cussed 63:5
183:18	cooperate 185:12	33:11	customer 10:5, 9
containing 4:8	Cooper's 87:24 88:4	COUNTY 1:2	customer-oriented
content 153:12	copied 123:25	18:23 187:2, 19	166:22
contents 182:17	copy 7:10 35:11	couple 15:18 58:10	customers 16:15
contest 5:25	50:17 81:2, 5, 9, 11	60:10 144:7 158:24	61: <i>1</i> 113: <i>13</i> 126:25
continue 112:17	106:18 107:9 153:8	Courier 183:25	143:9, <i>24</i> , <i>25</i> 145: <i>15</i> ,
142:24 156:24	182:15	Courier's 173:18	<i>24</i> 146: <i>6</i> , <i>10</i> 150: <i>17</i>
162:4, 22 165:3	core 16: <i>12</i>	course 124:6 185:10	151: <i>13</i> 170: <i>17</i>
continued 43:14	corporate 8:23 9:1,	COURT 1: <i>1</i> 5: <i>12</i> ,	175:7
118:5	2, 4 14:15, 18 38:3,	<i>18</i> 6:8 15:2 65: <i>3</i> , <i>4</i>	cut 87:21 88:4
continues 141: <i>3</i> , <i>6</i>	8 44:21 118:20	106:17 135:12	90:20 122:23 123: <i>1</i> ,
151:4, 6	correct 7:20, 21	Courtney 37:11	7
contract 27:23, 24	22:5 25:16 49:2	173:6	cute 136:22
28:1 34:14 36:4	64: <i>13</i> 69: <i>16</i> 76: <i>4</i>	cover 114:6	cut-off 47:25
47:6, 12 74:16 84:3,	97: <i>18</i> 107: <i>1</i> 117: <i>11</i>	covered 48:17	CWalker 12:5
6 93:16 105:5	119:4 146:22	69: <i>18</i> 85:2 86: <i>13</i>	
110:9, 23 111:21	187:11	CPA 104:6, 22	CWalker@scana.com
118:1,11 126:7	corrections 106:22	128:5	11:9
148: <i>13</i> 165: <i>18</i> , <i>23</i>	correctly 165:24	CPE 154:20 155:2	
166:11, 13, 15, 17	cortisone 52:24	craft 111:5, 6, 23	< D >
	cortisone said		
167:7, 8	cost 16:20 28:6	crappy 158:19	damn 83:2
167:7, 8	cost 16:20 28:6	crappy 158:19	damn 83:2
167:7, 8 contracted 104:4	cost 16:20 28:6 53:21 55:1 68:12	crappy 158:19 crazy 23:14 95:11	damn 83:2 damnedest 140: <i>10</i>
167:7, 8 contracted 104:4 contractor 28:16, 18	cost 16:20 28:6 53:21 55:1 68:12 71:15 75:21 88:24	crappy 158:19 crazy 23:14 95:11 98:19 123:13	damn 83:2 damnedest 140:10 Dan 5:9 59:24
167:7, 8 contracted 104:4 contractor 28:16, 18 48:8 111:17 148:24	cost 16:20 28:6 53:21 55:1 68:12 71:15 75:21 88:24 91:8 92:4, 9, 11, 20	crappy 158:19 crazy 23:14 95:11 98:19 123:13 140:12 177:15	damn 83:2 damnedest 140:10 Dan 5:9 59:24 DANIEL 3:4 78:1,
167:7, 8 contracted 104:4 contractor 28:16, 18 48:8 111:17 148:24 contractors 35:4	cost 16:20 28:6 53:21 55:1 68:12 71:15 75:21 88:24 91:8 92:4, 9, 11, 20 94:1 104:4, 15, 18	crappy 158:19 crazy 23:14 95:11 98:19 123:13 140:12 177:15 created 31:17	damn 83:2 damnedest 140:10 Dan 5:9 59:24 DANIEL 3:4 78:1, 1, 2
167:7, 8 contracted 104:4 contractor 28:16, 18 48:8 111:17 148:24 contractors 35:4 41:14	cost 16:20 28:6 53:21 55:1 68:12 71:15 75:21 88:24 91:8 92:4, 9, 11, 20 94:1 104:4, 15, 18 105:1 110:18, 20	crappy 158:19 crazy 23:14 95:11 98:19 123:13 140:12 177:15 created 31:17 40:17 85:10	damn 83:2 damnedest 140:10 Dan 5:9 59:24 DANIEL 3:4 78:1, 1, 2 Daniels 111:17
167:7, 8 contracted 104:4 contractor 28:16, 18 48:8 111:17 148:24 contractors 35:4 41:14 contracts 148:16	cost 16:20 28:6 53:21 55:1 68:12 71:15 75:21 88:24 91:8 92:4, 9, 11, 20 94:1 104:4, 15, 18 105:1 110:18, 20 113:11, 13 134:15 139:11, 11 144:11, 13 145:1 146:5	crappy 158:19 crazy 23:14 95:11 98:19 123:13 140:12 177:15 created 31:17 40:17 85:10 Creating 168:10	damn 83:2 damnedest 140:10 Dan 5:9 59:24 DANIEL 3:4 78:1, 1, 2 Daniels 111:17 dare 138:24 139:4
167:7, 8 contracted 104:4 contractor 28:16, 18 48:8 111:17 148:24 contractors 35:4 41:14 contracts 148:16 control 28:6 33:17	cost 16:20 28:6 53:21 55:1 68:12 71:15 75:21 88:24 91:8 92:4, 9, 11, 20 94:1 104:4, 15, 18 105:1 110:18, 20 113:11, 13 134:15 139:11, 11 144:11,	crappy 158:19 crazy 23:14 95:11 98:19 123:13 140:12 177:15 created 31:17 40:17 85:10 Creating 168:10 credit 33:20 34:4	damn 83:2 damnedest 140:10 Dan 5:9 59:24 DANIEL 3:4 78:1, 1, 2 Daniels 111:17 dare 138:24 139:4 data 27:2, 6
167:7, 8 contracted 104:4 contractor 28:16, 18 48:8 111:17 148:24 contractors 35:4 41:14 contracts 148:16 control 28:6 33:17 53:1 102:24 118:13	cost 16:20 28:6 53:21 55:1 68:12 71:15 75:21 88:24 91:8 92:4, 9, 11, 20 94:1 104:4, 15, 18 105:1 110:18, 20 113:11, 13 134:15 139:11, 11 144:11, 13 145:1 146:5	crappy 158:19 crazy 23:14 95:11 98:19 123:13 140:12 177:15 created 31:17 40:17 85:10 Creating 168:10 credit 33:20 34:4 credited 98:21	damn 83:2 damnedest 140:10 Dan 5:9 59:24 DANIEL 3:4 78:1, 1, 2 Daniels 111:17 dare 138:24 139:4 data 27:2, 6 database 27:1, 1
167:7, 8 contracted 104:4 contractor 28:16, 18 48:8 111:17 148:24 contractors 35:4 41:14 contracts 148:16 control 28:6 33:17 53:1 102:24 118:13 controller 9:6, 9, 12,	cost 16:20 28:6 53:21 55:1 68:12 71:15 75:21 88:24 91:8 92:4, 9, 11, 20 94:1 104:4, 15, 18 105:1 110:18, 20 113:11, 13 134:15 139:11, 11 144:11, 13 145:1 146:5 151:23 163:11	crappy 158:19 crazy 23:14 95:11 98:19 123:13 140:12 177:15 created 31:17 40:17 85:10 Creating 168:10 credit 33:20 34:4 credited 98:21 crew 98:2	damn 83:2 damnedest 140:10 Dan 5:9 59:24 DANIEL 3:4 78:1, 1, 2 Daniels 111:17 dare 138:24 139:4 data 27:2, 6 database 27:1, 1 databases 35:1
167:7, 8 contracted 104:4 contractor 28:16, 18 48:8 111:17 148:24 contractors 35:4 41:14 contracts 148:16 control 28:6 33:17 53:1 102:24 118:13 controller 9:6, 9, 12, 14, 15, 23, 25 10:2	cost 16:20 28:6 53:21 55:1 68:12 71:15 75:21 88:24 91:8 92:4, 9, 11, 20 94:1 104:4, 15, 18 105:1 110:18, 20 113:11, 13 134:15 139:11, 11 144:11, 13 145:1 146:5 151:23 163:11 170:22	crappy 158:19 crazy 23:14 95:11 98:19 123:13 140:12 177:15 created 31:17 40:17 85:10 Creating 168:10 credit 33:20 34:4 credited 98:21 crew 98:2 criminal 154:14	damn 83:2 damnedest 140:10 Dan 5:9 59:24 DANIEL 3:4 78:1, 1, 2 Daniels 111:17 dare 138:24 139:4 data 27:2, 6 database 27:1, 1 databases 35:1 DATE 1:15 43:24
167:7, 8 contracted 104:4 contractor 28:16, 18 48:8 111:17 148:24 contractors 35:4 41:14 contracts 148:16 control 28:6 33:17 53:1 102:24 118:13 controller 9:6, 9, 12, 14, 15, 23, 25 10:2 38:22	cost 16:20 28:6 53:21 55:1 68:12 71:15 75:21 88:24 91:8 92:4, 9, 11, 20 94:1 104:4, 15, 18 105:1 110:18, 20 113:11, 13 134:15 139:11, 11 144:11, 13 145:1 145:1 146:5 151:23 163:11 170:22 cost-plus 27:16, 18	crappy 158:19 crazy 23:14 95:11 98:19 123:13 140:12 177:15 created 31:17 40:17 85:10 Creating 168:10 credit 33:20 34:4 credited 98:21 crew 98:2 criminal 154:14 155:5 criteria 26:13 criticism 125:5	damn 83:2 damnedest 140:10 Dan 5:9 59:24 DANIEL 3:4 78:1, 1, 2 Daniels 111:17 dare 138:24 139:4 data 27:2, 6 database 27:1, 1 databases 35:1 DATE 1:15 43:24 44:1 65:4 68:18
167:7, 8 contracted 104:4 contractor 28:16, 18 48:8 111:17 148:24 contractors 35:4 41:14 contracts 148:16 control 28:6 33:17 53:1 102:24 118:13 controller 9:6, 9, 12, 14, 15, 23, 25 10:2 38:22 controls 27:14 28:7	cost 16:20 28:6 53:21 55:1 68:12 71:15 75:21 88:24 91:8 92:4, 9, 11, 20 94:1 104:4, 15, 18 105:1 110:18, 20 113:11, 13 134:15 139:11, 11 144:11, 13 145:1 146:5 151:23 163:11 170:22 cost-plus 27:16, 18 28:1, 23 43:16, 17	crappy 158:19 crazy 23:14 95:11 98:19 123:13 140:12 177:15 created 31:17 40:17 85:10 Creating 168:10 credit 33:20 34:4 credited 98:21 crew 98:2 criminal 154:14 155:5 criteria 26:13	damn 83:2 damnedest 140:10 Dan 5:9 59:24 DANIEL 3:4 78:1, 1, 2 Daniels 111:17 dare 138:24 139:4 data 27:2, 6 database 27:1, 1 databases 35:1 DATE 1:15 43:24 44:1 65:4 68:18 77:22 88:16 109:1
167:7, 8 contracted 104:4 contractor 28:16, 18 48:8 111:17 148:24 contractors 35:4 41:14 contracts 148:16 control 28:6 33:17 53:1 102:24 118:13 controller 9:6, 9, 12, 14, 15, 23, 25 10:2 38:22 controls 27:14 28:7 33:13 103:17, 18	cost 16:20 28:6 53:21 55:1 68:12 71:15 75:21 88:24 91:8 92:4, 9, 11, 20 94:1 104:4, 15, 18 105:1 110:18, 20 113:11, 13 134:15 139:11, 11 144:11, 13 145:1 146:5 151:23 163:11 170:22 cost-plus 27:16, 18 28:1, 23 43:16, 17 99:17 161:1	crappy 158:19 crazy 23:14 95:11 98:19 123:13 140:12 177:15 created 31:17 40:17 85:10 Creating 168:10 credit 33:20 34:4 credited 98:21 crew 98:2 criminal 154:14 155:5 criteria 26:13 criticism 125:5	damn 83:2 damnedest 140:10 Dan 5:9 59:24 DANIEL 3:4 78:1, 1, 2 Daniels 111:17 dare 138:24 139:4 data 27:2, 6 database 27:1, 1 databases 35:1 DATE 1:15 43:24 44:1 65:4 68:18 77:22 88:16 109:1 181:21
167:7, 8 contracted 104:4 contractor 28:16, 18 48:8 111:17 148:24 contractors 35:4 41:14 contracts 148:16 control 28:6 33:17 53:1 102:24 118:13 controller 9:6, 9, 12, 14, 15, 23, 25 10:2 38:22 controls 27:14 28:7 33:13 103:17, 18 controversies 35:3	cost 16:20 28:6 53:21 55:1 68:12 71:15 75:21 88:24 91:8 92:4, 9, 11, 20 94:1 104:4, 15, 18 105:1 110:18, 20 113:11, 13 134:15 139:11, 11 144:11, 13 145:1 146:5 151:23 163:11 170:22 cost-plus 27:16, 18 28:1, 23 43:16, 17 99:17 161:1 costs 16:25 17:19,	crappy 158:19 crazy 23:14 95:11 98:19 123:13 140:12 177:15 created 31:17 40:17 85:10 Creating 168:10 credit 33:20 34:4 credited 98:21 crew 98:2 criminal 154:14 155:5 criteria 26:13 criticism 125:5 criticisms 155:19	damn 83:2 damnedest 140:10 Dan 5:9 59:24 DANIEL 3:4 78:1, 1, 2 Daniels 111:17 dare 138:24 139:4 data 27:2, 6 database 27:1, 1 databases 35:1 DATE 1:15 43:24 44:1 65:4 68:18 77:22 88:16 109:1 181:21 dates 8:14 9:11
167:7, 8 contracted 104:4 contractor 28:16, 18 48:8 111:17 148:24 contractors 35:4 41:14 control 28:6 33:17 53:1 102:24 118:13 controller 9:6, 9, 12, 14, 15, 23, 25 10:2 38:22 controls 27:14 28:7 33:13 103:17, 18 controversies 35:3 controversy 35:3	cost 16:20 28:6 53:21 55:1 68:12 71:15 75:21 88:24 91:8 92:4, 9, 11, 20 94:1 104:4, 15, 18 105:1 110:18, 20 113:11, 13 134:15 139:11, 11 144:11, 13 145:1 146:5 151:23 163:11 170:22 cost-plus 27:16, 18 28:1, 23 43:16, 17 99:17 161:1 costs 16:25 163:24 70:18	crappy 158:19 crazy 23:14 95:11 98:19 123:13 140:12 177:15 created 31:17 40:17 85:10 Creating 168:10 credit 33:20 34:4 credited 98:21 crew 98:2 criminal 154:14 155:5 criteria 26:13 criticism 125:5 criticisms 155:19 criticize 155:22	damn 83:2 damnedest 140:10 Dan 5:9 59:24 DANIEL 3:4 78:1, 1, 2 Daniels 111:17 dare 138:24 139:4 data 27:2, 6 database 27:1, 1 databases 35:1 DATE 1:15 43:24 44:1 65:4 68:18 77:22 88:16 109:1 181:21 dates 8:14 9:11 68:22
167:7, 8 contracted 104:4 contractor 28:16, 18 48:8 111:17 148:24 contractors 35:4 41:14 contracts 148:16 control 28:6 33:17 53:1 102:24 118:13 controller 9:6, 9, 12, 14, 15, 23, 25 10:2 38:22 controls 27:14 28:7 33:13 103:17, 18 controversies 35:3 controversy 35:3 conversation 64:12	cost 16:20 28:6 53:21 55:1 68:12 71:15 75:21 88:24 91:8 92:4, 9, 11, 20 94:1 104:4, 15, 18 105:1 110:18, 20 113:11, 13 134:15 139:11, 11 144:11, 13 145:1 145:1 146:5 151:23 163:11 170:22 cost-plus cost-plus 27:16, 18 28:1, 23 43:16, 17 99:17 161:1 costs 16:25 16:25 17:19, 22, 23 28:24 71:12 86:17, 19	crappy 158:19 crazy 23:14 95:11 98:19 123:13 140:12 177:15 created 31:17 40:17 85:10 Creating 168:10 credit 33:20 34:4 credited 98:21 crew 98:2 criminal 154:14 155:5 criteria 26:13 criticism 125:5 criticisms 155:19 criticize 155:22 Crosby 130:1, 9, 13	damn 83:2 damnedest 140:10 Dan 5:9 59:24 DANIEL 3:4 78:1, 1, 2 Daniels 111:17 dare 138:24 139:4 data 27:2, 6 database 27:1, 1 databases 35:1 DATE 1:15 43:24 44:1 65:4 68:18 77:22 88:16 109:1 181:21 dates 8:14 9:11 68:22 Dave 172:5

day 50:24 51:10	164: <i>13</i> 167: <i>11</i>	discussions 93:1	100:11 102:8
95:16 96:9 98:11	designate 185:18	128:7 171: <i>3</i> 179: <i>14</i> ,	117:10, 24 120:16,
125:19 148:15	designated 14:20, 23	<i>18</i> 183: <i>11</i> 184: <i>18</i>	21 123:15 155:16
178:20 184:17	despite 79:8 97:22	dishonest 132:20	157:19 163:13
days 33:24 68:10	destruction 82:18	133: <i>13</i>	165: <i>1</i> , <i>4</i> , <i>5</i> 167:20,
166:2, 2	detail 46:4 48:18	disk 107:14	25 174:13
deal 39:6, 8 134:1	141:22 150:14	disposal 184:20	dollar 71:14 72:18
dealing 68:13	details 113:15	dispute 34:23	142:8
deathly 47:2	155:5 181: <i>1</i>	102:18 166:4	dollars 42:18 50:7
decide 88:25	detector 184:7	disputed 34:7, 11	72:19 77:12 112:15
decided 19:18 74:4	determination 89:8	35:7, 17 36:21	152:12
118:13 144:6	determining 62:3	40:16, 23 41:12	domain 11:23
deciding 23:16 79:9	developed 98:3	42:3, 4, 12, 15 43:10,	do-nothing 161:13
decision 20:23 49:5	114:15	13 166:1	door 125:25 181:2,
50:10 51:4, 12	Development 19:2	disputes 41:15 43:8	3, 5, 6
73:20 76:20 90:2	66:24	103:12	double 48:4 144:21
122:23 149:2, 4	died 98:17	disputing 102:21	168:22
decision-making	diem 104:17	129:21	doubling 88:14
20:11 127:18,20	difference 55:6	distribution 16:12,	145:22 168:25
decisions 15:14	72:11, 18 93:2, 9	15 17:10 94:12	doubt 52:19
20:8, 15, 25 21:5	179:8	division 179:15	drafting 65:24
36:2	different 11:14, 22	183:5	drafts 65:19, 22
deck 139:23	14:11, 18 21:25	document 7:12	68:1
de-designated	27:22 29:7 35:22	34:25 69:5 92:7	drive 4:8 29:1
185:23	54:19, 24 77:18	101:20 153:9, 25	148:24
deemed 142:12	87:12 89:1, 10	documentary 139:8,	drives 81:23
Defendants 1:10 3:9	106:6 127:6 164:5	12, 16	driveway 181:7
defray 146:5	165:2, 8 177:2 <i>1</i>	documentation	driving 95:11
denote 18:25	differently 178:23	73:14 79:19 92:11	109:16 127:17, 20
Denver 154:22	dig 113:14	101:12, 25 102:4	177:15
department 10:13	direct 44:17	128:11 130:12	due 83:10
91:15 95:19	direction 175:7	173:11, 16 182:12	Duke 59:9, 9
departments 14:18	directly 17:20, 23	documented 4:6	duly 5:4 187:4
DEPONENT 1:12	directors 21:7	49:17	duplicate 27:9
depose 85:16	disagreed 93:13	documents 8:4	50:17 80:25 81:3,6
DEPOSITION 1:3	disagreements	12: <i>1</i> 19:5 31: <i>16</i>	
4:3 5:10, 11 7:11,	101: <i>16</i>	34:22 36:17, 22	< E >
14, 25 25:8 185:8,	disappointing 140:2	40:22 57:23 60:11	earlier 25:9 88:1
<i>19</i> , <i>22</i> 186: <i>13</i> 187: <i>4</i> ,	discovered 85:8	65:15 66:9 73:7	101:21 110:24
6, 11, 13	89:18	79:23 85:2, 14	148:25 154:11
depreciated 144:10	discovery 74:14	101:13 112:23	163:9 186:7
depth 179:5	discretion 24:4	128:12, 13 130:14	early 19:19 20:2
derogatory 160:4	152: <i>16</i> 169: <i>16</i>	162:24 171:25	61:15 86:15 112:12
describe 56:20	discuss 185:21	182: <i>1</i>	118:24 127: <i>1</i>
described 32:25	discussed 42:11	doing 11:17 27:13,	133:23
description 23:9	85:7 155:6	15 29:20, 23 30:12,	earn 144: <i>3</i> 145: <i>14</i> ,
138:13	discussion 40:4	21 37:1 39:3, 13, 15,	16
deserving 114:18	58:16 64:18 186:12	19 40:21 56:24	earning 169:17
design 134:10		58:14 70:1 87:1	earnings 23:1 26:2
		92:22 94:8 98:5,6	127:23 128:1 141:7,
		<i>y</i>=1=2 <i>y</i> 110 <i>y</i> 010, 0	12/120 12011 111//,

10, 11, 14 143:17	employee 27:9 60:2	established 181:21	168: <i>3</i> 170:8 171: <i>13</i>
150:23 151:2	66: <i>16</i> , <i>16</i> , <i>17</i> 117: <i>11</i>	establishing 60:21	175:13
167:2 <i>1</i> 168:8	122:14, 20 137:20	estimate 67:5	exhaustive 91:14, 22
169:12 170:15	employees 8:7, 7	estimates 66:10, 12	Exhibit 5:1 7:9, 11
174:4	11:21 12:8, 18 14:6,	68:11	68:25 69: <i>3</i> , <i>14</i>
easiest 20:20	8, 10, 14 16:20, 25	event 109:18	70:10, 15 71:19, 21
educate 35:2 36:16	27:10 30:20 41:5	events 25:24 128:6	72:12 75:20 105:22,
educated 13:3	64: <i>19</i> 119:6 152: <i>19</i>	187: <i>16</i>	25 106:19 107:8
effect 55:5 56:13	171:2 175:8	eventually 54:16	108:14, 19 113:17
110:20 112:6 113:6	employing 11:3	91:8 123:9 170: <i>16</i>	186:9, 10
130:9 177:20	employment 8:17	everybody 33:8	EXHIBITS 4:3
effort 80:22 98:5	11:11 17:22, 23	62:14 115:24 116:6	exist 85:23
efforts 16:10, 13	22:3 43:25 46:6	121:20, 23 131:18	exit 31:19 46:17
17:19 30:1 173:7	61: <i>3</i> 82: <i>1</i> , <i>9</i> 179: <i>13</i>	160:22 175:5, 8	expect 39:4 71:2
eight 9:12 74:11	ended 39:11 42:5	178:18	76:22 111:23
159:2	88:12, 14 99:8	evidence 162:16, 24	expectation 87:4
either 25:10 130:20	102:8	exact 8:14 9:10	110:8
134:4 143:24 147:4	endings 12:6	80:24 139:20	expectations 169:20
160:23 161:24	endless 114: <i>3</i>	exactly 30:16 47:21	170:4
elaborate 63:9	endurance 5:25	49:17 50:17 72:15	expected 87:15
73:24 138:12 169:4	end-user 16:15	74:2, 3 100:15	115:23 149:25
176:9	enforcement 179:15	159: <i>15</i> 178: <i>11</i> , <i>18</i>	expecting 71:3
elected 183:16	183:5, 9	179:3	expenditures 4:6
ELECTRIC 1:8	engage 33:3 103:11	EXAMINATION	69: <i>13</i> 70: <i>12</i> 143:20
61: <i>14</i> 141: <i>17</i>	engineer 88:21	4:1 5:6 187:7,10	expense 104:2, 6, 12,
146:11	engineering 14:3	examined 5:4	25 105:6, 6 121:14
electricity 16:12	76:10 113:24 114:8	example 26:24	157:4 167:23
45:18 146:4 147:1,	134:10 160:13	32:11, 12 154:16	expensive 105:15
6 151:10	179:6	162:9	151:15
electronic 27:1	engineers 42:24	examples 162:6	experience 128:5
elements 29:8	76:12 160:6, 6	163:1,3 176:6	experienced 98:10
e-mail 11:7, <i>14</i> , <i>16</i> ,	Enron 139:13, 16, 18	exceeded 169:20	experiencing 178:3
22 12:3, 10, 13, 20,	entered 185:24	excel 141:6	expert 76:10, 15
20, 23 98:22 99:5	entire 43:14 87:9	excess 146:4	expires 187:25
123:23	185:18	excessive 112:8	explain 5:15 22:18
e-mailed 54:5 68:3	entities 13:5, 13	Exchange 183:13	27:19 32:10 63:9
e-mails 79:3 94:13	18:6 20:8	excuse 40:1	70:13 71:7 73:18
116:3 130:14 163:5	entity 10:18, 23	executed 148:16	82:4 83:24 86:18,
embracing 94:19	11:3 13:17	executive 14:23, 24	<i>19</i> 88:22 91: <i>11</i>
emergency 51:14	entry 185:17	36:12 98:17 119:19	98: <i>13</i> 104: <i>10</i>
emotion 81:23	enviable 114:4	122:10 137:23	119:13 120:1
96:20, 23 97:8, 11	environment 144:8	143:16 150:8 152:5	129:18 138:6, 21
emotional 175:23	equipment 17:2	153:3	140:23 141:22
176:18	equity 145:5	executives 14:19, 21,	142:5 143:22
emotionally 175:24	error 106:25	22 20:25 24:8,9	163:15 168:8 176:4
177:4, 24	escalation 71:11	34:12 35:25 36:6	177:8
employed 41:25	especially 109:21	67:4 73:3 90:5	explained 46:20
66:18 75:9	110:1	98:15 114:19	126:21
	establish 26:23, 25	127:11, 18 131:3, 5	explaining 13:12
		141:9 153:2 154: <i>13</i>	

104:11	feel 39:15 49:7	41:4 43:2, 8, 11	focusing 177:2
exposed 84:19	51:7 78:12, 16, 20	53:24 54:1 57:24	folder 85:6
express 164:20	79:2 100:20 126:4	65: <i>15</i> 73:9, <i>13</i> , <i>16</i>	follow 31:14 108:11
extent 63:23 126:23	178:2 <i>3</i>	74:12, 21 75:17	followed 138:8
external 67:11	feelings 73:3	87:2 92:6 101:12	following 162:22
extrapolate 103:3, 7	fell 139:23	102:2, 3, 9, 20	170:9 179:11
extrapolation 103:4	fellow 117:10	128: <i>13</i> 133: <i>12</i>	follows 5:5
extremely 87:17	felt 16:11 29:8, 11	136:17, 18 162:24	follow-up 184: <i>18</i>
123:24 176:15	35:24 63:17 78:25	180:24	footprint 19:20, 22,
	103:16 109:21	finding 65:17	<i>25</i> 143:9, <i>13</i>
< F >	114:18 175:5	fine 103:18 104:13	forecasting 62:7
fabricate 164:16	176:17 177:4	115:18 121:1	foregoing 187:4, 9
fabrication 134:12	fencepost 115:17	finish 50:13	form 63:23
faced 177:21	FICKLING 3:8	finished 6:15 155:2	formal 50:23
fact 88:10 93:20	fight 33:17, 18	fire 174:12	former 8:7
179: <i>1</i>	figure 11:25 12:2	FIRM 3:6, 18	forth 29:2
factor 50:1 56:9, 12,	154:24 161:7	62:2 <i>1</i> , 2 <i>3</i> 104:6, 22	forward 171:17, 18
14, 15, 17 57:1, 5	172:18	105:17 106:2, 2	185:5
58:11, 13, 14 77:22	figured 53:7	first 5:4 13:6	fossil 9:17
149: <i>1</i> 158: <i>4</i>	140:11 154:22	24:13 27:19 31:1	fought 49:13 51:19
factors 57:14	file 48:1 49:16	47:20 48:20 58:8	94:23 122:25
128:17 134:7	50:16 54:2, 4, 8	70:16 78:2 88:8, 14	found 27:12 31:13,
fail 24:24	55:22, 23, 24, 25	106:3, 24 120:14, 18	15 53:4, 12 96:12
failing 133:5	80:24 81:10, 21	121:5 144:18 180:4	102:23 103:1, 8, 19,
failure 51:13 68:5	82:25 83:1, 1, 4, 5, 6,	five 45:7 47:20	20 132:9 137:13
fair 28:5 60:22	6 85:6, 17 158:21	51:15 57:7,11 58:8	four 9:3 16:5 45:7
Fairfield 18:23	182:15, 16, 17 183:2	73:3, 15 104:16	49:14 58:8 79:7
27:21 132:17 136:5	filed 46:25 51:1, 17	112:15 166:19	frame 35:19 39:23
fairly 34:16	52:4 78:23, 24	168:2, 17, 17, 20	76:2, 7, 8 85:20
fall 47:4 122:2	132:24 133:24	174: <i>1</i> 176:25	90:8 109:5 118:3
138:1	files 57:15 83:8	fix 103:21	133:21 137:24
familiar 12:7 28:11	106:7 161:8	fixed 43:5, 19, 20	153:18 184:16
35:14 83:12 105:4	filing 47:22 112:5,	146: <i>15</i>	Frank 24:16
family 59:12	24 142:13	fixed-price 34:14	fraudulent 28:9
144:20 177:1, 9, 9,	filings 56:5 128:15	36:4 47:5, 12 74:16	29:12 31:8
10 for 15.17 28.7	132:23 133:10	110:4, 6, 9, 22	Freedom 106:4
far 15:17 28:7	filling 112:23	111:21 118:1, 11 126:7	fricken 131:22
39:10 81:13 134:11 154:23 168:11	final 15:14 23:22,	126:7 flabborgastad 02:22	140:15 153:16 Friday 05:17
farm 144:20 149:3	24 70:1 185:17 finally 53:7 95:16	flabbergasted 93:22	Friday 95:17 friendly 31:25
fatal 122:2	159:9 181:18	flavor 39:3, 18 flow 4:6 47:19	front 32:19 86:8
faux 82:22	finance 8:12 10:6,	69:12 71:8 77:11	92:15 114:20, 20
FBI 179:24 180:3,	<i>18</i> 11:5 44:9 49:18	127:5	125:25 142:8
6 181:4, <i>15</i> , <i>17</i> , <i>19</i>	91:6 111: <i>14</i> 112: <i>15</i>	flowcharts 39:13	fudging 120:22
182:9, 22, 23 183:21	financial 160:24	flown 93:17	fuel 29:1, 1, 4
February 133:25	161:2 168:7	fluke 103:25	32:24 33:3, 10, 14
179:2	financing 47:18	Fluor 77:25 78:1, 2	34:2, 23 36:21
Feckle 30:6, 17, 20	126:22, 23, 24 148:3	111:17	87:23
federal 136:15	find 20:22 34:21	focused 22:23 58:7	full 6:25 23:8
feedback 124:14, 22	36:19 39:16 40:22		
7			l i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i

129:22 187:9	give 6:25 7:19	god 96:21 120:15	181:11 184:17
full-time 105:13, 15	20:18 41:19 42:13	124:23 140:12	186:7, 8
functions 111:14	46:5 49:4 60:11	156:19	good 20:21 30:12
furnish 157:1	61:13, 19 62:14	goes 97:13 151:25	32:12 58:22 82:20
furniture 17:1	68:2 69:3 77:3	152:6 171:17	85:15 96:21 120:16,
further 167:14	93:12 102:16 109:5	going 5:19 6:14	21 121:4 126:12, 20
187:14	115:16 134:3 138:5	7:8 8:16 10:20	129:6 136:12 137:7,
future 6:19 146:19	152:7 160:8 172:11	13:16, 22 16:14	22 144:8 152:15
	176:8 180:22 181:1	20:1, 3 24:2, 3	157:19 162:17
<g></g>	given 15:15 21:5	26:10 32:20, 21	164:6 172:5 177: <i>17</i>
GA 3:13	33:25 50:13 61:10	36:3 38:1 39:14, 21,	good-faith 98:5
game 16:21	66:13 68:18, 22	25 40:6 41:20 42:5	gosh 75:10
GAS 1:8 15:20, 23	72:11, 21 73:22	45:17 47:8, 21, 23	gotten 136:24
33:6 87:6, 18 89:6	75:22 76:9 77:1, 10	48:7, 8, 13, 17 49:6	175:17
90:23	78:5 79:17 80:3	50:11 51:1 52:1, 23	governance 14:14
gasoline 28:22	86:23, 25 89:20	53:18 56:18, 21	grades 24:24
32:13, 16	109:4 148:22	57:16 58:1 62:7,11	graduating 137:16
gate 181:11	187:10	63:8 64:23 65:20	great 30:15 65:8
gated 32:20 33:8	giving 61:7 111:14	69:4 71:2, 15 73:21	98:23 139:22
gathered 87:11	glad 184:24	74:19, 21 75:4	169:19 175:2 176:1
gathering 66:20	glass 6:2	77:25 84:25 85:1,2	greed 119:22
GE 87:12	go 5:12 17:23, 25	87:1, 5 88:21 90:2	140:22, 24
GENE 3:24 175:24	25:11, 12, 16 33:5, 8	91:14 92:6 95:22	Greenville 48:9
176: <i>16</i> 177:2 182:8	34:18, 21 35:1, 2	96:5 97:22 101:17,	grid 147:9
GENERAL 3:22	38:5 39:1 42:2	<i>19</i> 102:7, 9 105: <i>1</i> 9,	Griffin 37:7 136:14
19:1 22:10 24:6	43:8 46:19 48:15,	24 106:10 107:3, 5,	ground 5:13
26:15 126:20 132:2	17, 17, 20 50:11	8, 11, 19 111:1, 2, 5,	group 13:24 37:15
140:3 143:15	53:23 56:4, 6, 6, 7	16 113:14 115:10	173:11
147:17, 22 150:8	65:16 73:4, 16, 21	117:19 118:15, 17,	groups 37:14 180:3
169:24 172:8, 25	77:2 79:9 85:17	23 119:2 120:1, 12,	grow 143:19, 21, 23
generally 14:7	87:5 88:6 91:18	14, 17, 18, 19, 20	147:19 151:4,6
31:22 42:14 170:14	92:6, 8 102:2 106:1	122:4 123:9 124:7,	growing 147:18
178: <i>1</i>	107:23 108:3, 9	16 126:2, 6, 12, 14	150:15
generated 42:8	112:6, 22 120:20	127:7 129:6 131:16	growth 141:15, 17,
generation 9:18 16:11 87:3 89:2	124:16, 17 125:21	133:9 134:4, 5, 13 139:11, 11 140:11	18, 20 143:7, 11, 16,
90:25	128: <i>13</i> , <i>15</i> , <i>16</i> 129: <i>1</i> 133: <i>9</i> , <i>11</i> 142: <i>10</i> , <i>15</i>	139:11, 11 140:11 142:9, 17 144:6, 12,	<i>18</i> 146: <i>14</i> 147:2 <i>1</i> 150:2 <i>1</i>
	147:4 148:5 149:2,	142.9, 17 144.0, 12, 13, 15, 16, 19, 21, 24	G's 85:25 87:22
geniuses 138:16 gentlemen 64:6	<i>147.4</i> 148.5 149.2, <i>18</i> 153:9 155:3	145:10, 15, 16 146:7,	109:23, 25 110:2
Georgia 16:2	158:6 162:23, 23	<i>143.10, 13, 10</i> 140.7, <i>11, 12</i> 147: <i>13</i> 148:2,	guaranteed 142:7
134:17	158.0 102.25, 25 163:3, 4, 4, 5 165:11,	4, 6, 6 151:5, 18, 24	guess 11:25 20:7,
getting 27:4 35:11	105.5, 4, 4, 5 105.11, 12, 15 171:18	152:3 153:15, 24	<i>guess</i> 11.25 20.7, 19 47:4 61:17
39:11, 18 52:24	173:17 177:10	152.3 155.15, 24	68: <i>13</i> 79: <i>11</i> 82:20
53:3 66:2, 5, 8	181:23 182:3 185:5	160:9 162:23 164:2,	83:9 84:25 117:22
77:24 91:15 95:22	181:25 182:5 185:5	25 165:7 166:23	119:8 130:4 135:21
97:5 119:10 124:21	goal 26:25 100:8	167:23 169:6, 7, 14,	guy 24:19, 19 59:21
97.5 119.10 124.21 147:1	goal 20.23 100.8 117:18	15, 16, 18, 21 170:3,	70:8 95:13 121:7
Gilespie 31:4	goals 22:21, 22, 23	<i>4</i> , 8 173:10 176:21	131:17
Gina 24:17	goals 22.21, 22, 23 23:1, 17, 19, 20, 25	178:8, 19, 22 180:2	guys 131:22 138:10
Ullia 24.17	26:18 41:2, 8, 9, 11	170.0, 17, 22 100.2	guys 131.22 130.10
	20.10 71.2, 0, 7, 11		

139: <i>13</i> , <i>21</i> 174: <i>1</i>	heard 19:4 74:5	homogeneous 14:9	impact 55:7 132:15
guy's 30:23	75:9 83:9 86:18	honest 120:4	138: <i>18</i> 141: <i>13</i>
	106:13, 15 116:8	honor 185:9	152:4 169:1
< H >	135:8, 13 149:12	hope 6:15 84:15, 19	impacted 134:11
hair 116:22	175:25 183:14	146:4 148:6 161:22	impacting 177:5
half 50:6, 7 72:17,	hearing 51:21 88:8	horrible 55:11	important 5:17, 20
19 87:21 90:21	166:11	hospital 51:25 68:9	6:9 56:3
152:11 155:10	hearsay 87:10	hot 124:6 157:15,	impression 58:20
hall 122:16	heart's 153:11	17	59:2
HALTIWANGER	heavily 167:8	hour 17:7 56:23	improper 31:8
3:4 4:3 5:7,9 40:2	he'd 48:4, 12 53:14	104:24 105:19	improperly 140:17,
64:11, 22 65:6	113: <i>1</i> 164:9 174: <i>17</i> ,	160:8	20
81:16 84:21 105:18	19	hourly 50:3	improvement 159:12
107:7, 13, 16 184:11,	held 25:17	hours 27:11, 11	inaccuracy 90:18
<i>15</i> 186: <i>3</i> , <i>6</i>	hell 52:15 177:10	56:25 57:6, 10	108:2
hand 7:8 37:17, 17	helm 122:11	house 33:9 82:17	inappropriate 27:3
105:25 117:5	help 15:3 18:21	85:10 109:13 180:6,	inappropriately
187:18	66:20 94:20 95:6,	21	34: <i>3</i> 35:24
	10 00.20 94.20 95.0,		inartful 177:19
handed 54:6, 7 69:2 handle 39:20		housekeeping 107:19 134:21	
	helpful 18:4		incentive 147:17
handled 41:14	helping 94:22	185: <i>3</i>	160:24 161:3
hands 58:5	hereunto 187:18	Houston 15:24	incentives 120:3
happen 173:14	Hess 33:7	HR 116: <i>13</i> 123:22	include 24:3, 9
happened 46:21	Hey 115:14	huge 103:5 122:14	included 43:4
82:8, 25 86:15	hierarchy 20:11, 19	146:13 148:19	76:13, 17 80:12
121:2 173:19 181:2	44:12 45:1	163: <i>14</i> 169: <i>3</i>	income 16:18 141:2,
happens 47:20 75:2,	high 28:14, 15 29:9	hundred 112:14	7 151:2, 5, 18 152:2,
7	higher 119:15	hundreds 42:17	10
happy 61:12 78:8	158:25	hunt 124:16	incomplete 25:10
169:2 <i>1</i>	highest 111:6	hurting 174:2, 6	incorrect 25:10
hard 91:24 107:9	112:19	husband 47:2	increase 72:19
160:5	high-risk 28:3, 13	51:12, 24 68:4	112:10 123:10
harder 163:7	29:11	181:7 182:8	142:23 146:11
Harris 25:19	hilarious 98:23	husband's 68:7	increased 141:3
hate 30:10	Hill 3:16	hush 121:6	168:10
hated 138:25	hire 104:22	hydro 9:17	incredible 93:24
156:16, 17	hired 30:24		incremental 17:4
hateful 174:23	historically 168:18	< I >	indexes 23:5
Hayes 185:17	history 8:17	icing 47:6	indicate 21:14
head 59:19 89:14	hit 137:14, 15	idea 13:8 20:10, 14,	161:12
119:7 129:1 183:19	169:19	<i>19</i> 41: <i>19</i> 42: <i>13</i>	indicating 81:4
headaches 52:23	hitting 151:23	44:11 102:16 109:3	indication 93:13
headquarters 38:9	HODGES 3:22 25:5	143:8 152:7 175:22	individuals 73:7, 15
heads 91:15 95:19	hold 54:22 63:13	identification 5:2	86:6 169:2
146:16	148:7	69:1 105:23 186:11	inference 131:2
heads-up 115:16	HOLIDAY 1:18	identified 85:16	influenced 140:18,
health 68:7 185:7	holler 96:18, 22	86:14	$\frac{1}{20}$
hear 46:11 73:20	home 12:24 59:16	identities 86:6	information 13:24
97:11 121:6 138:23	83:6 109:17	ill 47:2	27:7 36:20 57:25
147:17 149:10	00.0 107.17	imagine 177:6	62:2 64:20 66:2, 5,
II//I/ II//IV			02.2 01.20 00.2, 3,

8, 19 73:6 78:5	invest 142:2 145:7	January 44:4 47:24	jumping 148:12
80:6, 18 85:12 86:7	invested 145:5	48:10, 22 80:19	153:13 156:21
87:11,14 100:17	investment 144:1, 3	85:21 109:8 111:3	June 7:6 8:9 112:3,
106:4 109:4 114:14	145:4	112:9, 12 153:21, 22	5
119:10 128:3	investor 169:24	179:2	junior 99:25 100:1
133:18, 20 135:8	investors 170:1, 6	Jeff 24:17 60:4, 5	-
139:3 170:2 183:18	invite 75:13	75:14 95:1,2 96:13	< K >
injections 52:24	invoice 27:2, 4 35:7,	117:2 131:9, 19	Kaye 45:12
INN 1:18	17 36:21 40:16, 23	135:15, 17 150:3	К-А-Ү-Е 45:13
input 167:2	41:12 42:3, 4, 12, 15,	155:18 156:4, 6, 7	keep 17:5 82:24
insanity 123:3	<i>22</i> 43: <i>10</i> , <i>13</i> 101: <i>19</i> ,	159:25 160: <i>1</i> 168:4	83: <i>3</i> 87:8 94:5, 21
insight 38:1	21 165:17 166:1	174: <i>13</i>	95: <i>3</i> 141: <i>1</i> , 2 165:6
install 57:4	invoices 38:12 39:6	JESSICA 3:8	174:2
instance 25:13	103: <i>13</i>	Jim 38:21, 22	keeping 82:19
34:22 106:23	involved 13:14	Jimmy 24:16 36:8	keeps 151:18
instances 36:20	30:3 41:5 53:4	44:16, 19 45:2 47:7	Keller 14:22 15:6
instruct 64:1	62:15 65:23 66:23	49:9, 16 50:15 54:2,	24:16
integrity 74:9	67:12, 15, 19, 23	15 55:24, 25 79:19	K-E-L-L-E-R 15:7
121:17 175:14	69: <i>17</i> , <i>19</i> 70: <i>1</i>	80:3, 18 81:10 83:1	Ken 43:1 63:4, 10,
intention 62:1 110:5	106:3 148:19 177:3	85:5 95:14 96:14	14, 20 66:13, 15
interact 12:21 37:16	183:5, 17	117:2 122:18	67:8, <i>9</i> , <i>18</i> , <i>22</i> 79:6
interacted 11:21	involvement 183:23	123:25 124: <i>13</i>	87:25 164:6 171:8,
12:19 13:9	involves 64:3	125:8, 12 130:8, 20	<i>8, 14</i> 172: <i>4, 4</i> 183: <i>1</i>
interaction 21:9	I-O-N 108:7	131:8, 19 136:7, 9,	Kenny 70:3 131:12
interactions 171:2	Iris 37:7 136:14	22 137:1 149:20	168:5
interest 18:17	Iris's 37:10	155:17, 25, 25	kept 83:7 125:9, 13
47:16 110:15 119:9	I's 111:23	156:10 168:4	129:22 165:5, 6
interested 148:14	island 74:23	172:20 182:18	Kevin 15:8, 9 24:15
187:16	issue 20:23 41:21	job 13:11 30:12	36:8 45:8 46:8, 10
interesting 60:5, 8	57:12 75:4 76:8	31:5 44:7 51:23	47:14 49:9 50:10
136:17, 19	86:20 89:19 94:1	59:12, 17 114:1, 3	53:4, 8, 8, 13 62:17
interests 47:16	97:19 98:7 157:14	157:19 160:25	65:25 66:3, 4, 7
110:10, 11	163:9, 12	161:3	67:22 69:21 73:20
interfered 161:2	issues 41:13 42:3	jobs 173:4 175:5	74:8 111:13 112:13
internal 10:13	100:12, 13, 14	Joey 31:4	114:19 120:5, 6, 12
14:17 27:14 29:18,	101:21 102:7	Johnson 29:25	121:3, 9, 15, 21
18 37:2, 5, 8, 15	items 43:1	30:19 35:10 40:19	122:2, 4, 9 123:17,
39:11 67:17 102:14	its 16:18, 19, 20, 25	45:8 67:24 172:13	19 124:1 127:16, 17
internally 53:20	18:6 60:25	joined 180:7	128:24 130:5 131:8,
interview 60:9		joke 99:1	17, 24 132:4, 20
75:14 164:2 180:7	< J >	Jones 59:22, 22, 24	133:13 135:7 137:8
183:22	Jackass 54:8	77:6 159:2 <i>1</i> , 23	144:19 149:12, 18
interviews 31:19	jackasses 156:12	JR 3:5	155:17, 25 161:24
Intimidate 100:10,	Jackson 70:3	Judge 185:17	165:10 168:3 172:9,
11 introduced 5:0	131: <i>13</i> 168:5	JULIE 1: <i>18</i> 187: <i>3</i> ,	<i>19</i> 175: <i>3</i> , <i>10</i>
introduced 5:9	JAHUE 3:18 Jaka 46:10 21 82:5	23 July 92.12 112.2	K-E-V-I-N 15:9
intrusive 39:19	Jake 46:19, 21 82:5	July 82:12 112:3	Kevin's 117:5
inventory 28:23	181:22, 24 182:8	113:8	key 33:1, 2, 5, 9
91:22	184:2	jump 166:2 <i>3</i> 179: <i>10</i> jumped 98: <i>19</i>	keyword 163:25

kidding 158:10	129:7 133:1,4	laws 154:8, 8, 11, 11,	lie 47:8 49:6, 8, 12
kidney 51:13 68:5	135:4, 5, 24 137:14,	15	53:15 117:20
kill 159:8	24 140:5 144:5	lawyer 6:17 7:24	118:17 120:23, 24
kind 13:1 20:18	145:2 146:19, 20	32:8 81:11 82:21	125:20, 23 126:7
23:5, 10, 13 29:10	147:4, 7, 9, 9, 14, 24	153:15, 19, 24	134:4 139:24 184:7
32:4 51:6 55:6	148:12 152:8	154:22 182:13, 22,	lied 117:25 118:2
59:9 96:10 97:9	154:19 156:16,22	23	121:13 137:15
113:15 118:10	157:20 158:3,6	lawyers 7:24 32:2	lies 118:5 126:4
122:1 136:21 148:8	160:23 162:1, 3, 25	lay 101:14	131:24 132:4
156:4 181:9	164:15 166:10, 10	layer 87:2	life 84:19 91:17
KING 3:9	169:21, 25 170:10	laying 70:20	99:2 139:7 140:10
Kissam 14:23 15:6,	171:18 172:2, 24	layout 91:16	176: <i>3</i> 177: <i>1</i>
7 24:16	173:2, 4 174:8, 15,	layperson 56:10	likes 128:25
K-I-S-S-A-M 15:7	21 176:23 177:25	70:12 104:10 120:2	limb 121: <i>1</i>
knew 8:7 19:24	180: <i>11</i> 181: <i>13</i>	168:8	line 45:17 47:21
33:8 74:19 80:25	182:16 183:25	lead 37:18, 19	53:16 71:1,6
82:16 109:23, 25	184: <i>16</i>	leads 130:25	113:15, 15 169:10
114:17 117:19	knowing 51:22	LEAH 3:13, 15	lines 15:22, 25 27:6
118:4, 18, 22, 23	111:15 148:8	leap 147:24	145:9
121:9, 16 122:10	knowingly 117:25	learn 98:1 128:2	list 30:13 33:12
126:6 133:4, 23	knowledge 128:4	learned 127:13, 15	62:14 91:22 131:7
134:9 157:15	147:15	leave 46:1, 5, 13, 13,	132:2
knock 181:3	known 95: <i>3</i> 121:8	14,16 47:10 52:18	listen 55:13 107:20
know 6:3 7:10	knows 74:25 121:23	82:1 111:24 117:6	115:15
12:4, 9, 10 15:5	knucklehead 97:2	154:6	little 5:13 22:2
17:1 20:17 21:11,	Kochems 45:8, 8	leaving 111:19	33:4, 8 34:19 62:12
15, 17, 24 25:12, 13	62:17 69:21 172:9	170:25 179: <i>13</i>	107:13 113:15
26:24 27:7, 12	K-O-C-H-E-M-S	LEBRIAN 1:4	120:22, 25 121:1
32:16 33:16, 19, 24	45:9	led 30:1 74:13	139: <i>1</i> 141: <i>16</i>
38:4 39:5, 21 41:17,	Kullen 30:25 31:2	78:6 133:19, 19	156:2 <i>1</i> 157:5 159:5,
23 42:17 43:16	K-U-L-L-E-N 31: <i>1</i>	left 10:17 11:13	6 174:8 179:5
51:25 52:15, 21		16:5 43:25 44:8, 15	181:20
54:1 56:19 58:13	< L >	45:5 55: <i>14</i> , <i>16</i> , <i>21</i>	live 77:3
62:21 63:10, 16	labor 17: <i>17</i> , <i>18</i>	81:2 96:9 106:9, 12	lived 104:17
65:18 66:1, 4 69:25	27:24 111:5,6	108:20 113:19	living 29:3 75:11
74:3 76:11, 15, 19,	lacking 92:4 103:17	129: <i>13</i> 135:6, 9	82:20
25 77:17 81:12, 13	lady 84:18	137:11, 12, 23	LLC 3:4, 6, 13
82:19 83:25 85:25	Lake 163:13, 16, 17	144:11 154:3	LLP 3:9
86:1, 21, 22 87:6	land 165:10	155:12 161:14	Load 110:17 112:1
91:2 93:20 94:6, 7,	large 111:10, 15	175:22 179:1, 12	142:4 144:2
17 95:8, 21 96:3, 8	119:18 149:9 187:3	legal 123:14	local 104:6
98: <i>3</i> 101: <i>1</i> 102: <i>8</i> ,	larger 146:16	legislators 129:4, 7	located 37:22
10, 10, 24 103:2	largest 15:17	letter 34:8, 9	LOCATION 1:18
104:15, 18, 20 106:1	last-ditch 80:21	letters 94:13	187:6
107:21 108:4	lately 83:10	level 171:11, 12	locked 23:13
109:21 110:3 113:6,	LAW 3:6, 13, 18	levels 119:15	lode 141:21
7 114:18, 22 116:3	6:8 106:2, 2 123:15	Levine 172:6	log 35:7, 17 36:22
119:19, 20 120:15,	153:16 173:22	liar 118:23 131:18	40:16, 23 41:12
25 121:3 122:9, <i>18</i>	179:15 183:4, 9	132:10	42:3, 4, 12, 15 43:10,
125:4 127:9,9			

14 101.21 102.7	172.7 170.9	Manian 4.7 10.15	
<i>14</i> 101:2 <i>1</i> 102:7	173:7 179:8	Marion 4:7 12:15,	matter 64:21 73:11
logged 31:18	loud 135:11	<i>16, 17</i> 35: <i>10</i> 107: <i>1</i>	106:4 112:22
long 8:15, 18, 25	low 28:14 50:11	108:5, 7, 18 109:20,	142:12 179:1
9:8, 19 120:7 124:2	88:12	25 113:19, 20 114:3,	matters 185:3
139:24 151:1, 2	lower 122:22	13, 15, 18, 22, 25	max 53:2
long-term 22:14	lumped 34:15	115:7, 15 172:21	mean 11:24 12:22
23:3,9 60:20	luxury 166:14	175:21	26:18, 22 28:12
119:15	lying 53:14 104:16	M-A-R-I-O-N 108:6	30:9, 15 33:12
Lonnie 36:10, 12	LYLE 1:18 187:3,	mark 107:12 186:8	37:13 38:10 39:9
110:1 156:23	23	marked 5:1 7:9	40:9 41:10, 16
161:15, 15, 23, 25, 25		32:13, 15 68:25	42:16, 20 47:18
look 23:7 34:22	< M >	69:3 105:22 107:8	53:1, 6, 17 54:23
35:1 36:1, 24 42:9	mad 96:9, 15	186:10	55:5,9 56:2,4,5,11
56:7 57:20 58:2	magnitude 149:23	market 15:20 123:6,	58:25 59:21 66:22
60:10, 18 68:4	mail 4:7, 8 106:10,	9	71:10 77:16, 16
79:15 81:20 92:10	11, 12 107:6, 14	markup 16:24	79:5, 5, 7 81:15
93:9 101: <i>13</i> 128: <i>15</i> ,	108:2 <i>1</i> 115: <i>13</i>	18:12	84: <i>10</i> , <i>15</i> 85: <i>9</i> , <i>10</i> ,
<i>16</i> 132:22 133:9, <i>11</i>	127:8 184:2 186:9	markups 50:5	25 93:16 94:5,6
134:16 137:22	Main 3:15 62:6	Marsh 15:8, 9	95:12 97:9 98:4, 11,
139:22 153:5, 10	124:17 155:19	24:15 36:8 46:8	13, 14, 18 99:13, 14,
159:7, 12 163:3, 4, 4,	maintaining 35:9	49:9 53: <i>13</i> 74:8	<i>25</i> 101:7, <i>17</i> 102: <i>19</i> ,
5, 12 165:22, 23	major 20:23 57:12	114:19 122:9	20 103:19 110:19
169:18 171:19	75:3	127:16, 17 130:5	118: <i>18</i> 119:20, 21
177:18 178:5	makers 87:12	131:8, 17, 25 132:4	120:5, 6 121: <i>13</i>
180:23, 25	making 129:19, 22	133: <i>13</i> 135:7	122:7, 8, 12 123:5
looked 8:4 52:15	130:8 163:18	144: <i>19</i> 149: <i>12</i>	124:6, 9, 10, 13, 19,
75:19 90:12 97:3	169: <i>11</i>	155:18 168:4	20, 21, 23 125:7
103:19 112:13	man 156:18	172:19 175:3, 10	127:2 129:3 132:9
127:6 174:9,9	manage 28:8	M-A-R-S-H 15:9	133:4, <i>5</i> , <i>14</i> , <i>15</i> , <i>23</i> ,
looking 27:8 31:7	managed 157:16	Marty 73:2 121:11	<i>24</i> 134: <i>1</i> , <i>3</i> , <i>10</i> , <i>17</i>
36:23 70:10, 14	management 20:8,	122:5, 22 123:4, 22,	138:6, 12, 15, 22
76:12 79:11 92:8	<i>16</i> 79:8 90: <i>1</i> 93: <i>1</i>	25 131:9, 20 137:2,	139:19, 21, 24, 25
128:5 132:14, 14	101:9 110:2 148:22	4,15 155:17 174:22	140:8 141: <i>16</i> , <i>20</i> , <i>23</i>
133:21 134:17	158:7, <i>12</i> 171:5	Mary 106:25 108:4,	142:2 147:7, 8, 23
163: <i>1</i> 164: <i>1</i> 172: <i>1</i>	manager 9:16, 17	17	148:1, 2, 9, 11 150:2,
looks 120:12	10:5, 8 29:25 32:3	match 128:20	9 151: <i>1</i> , <i>1</i> , 6 152:9
lose 28:19 51:23	37:12 100:3 101:5	material 65:24	155:8, 13, 14, 21, 22,
177: <i>13</i>	163:4 172:8 173:9	69:20 70:13 72:1	24 156:11, 17
losing 52:12, 12	managers 45:6	81:9 85:6 86: <i>13</i>	158: <i>11</i> 159: <i>19</i> , <i>20</i>
loss 28:19	98: <i>16</i> 140: <i>3</i> , <i>4</i>	115:12 182:20	160:22, 25 161:6, 12,
lost 59:16 146:25	150:8 172:25	materials 43:9	22 162:9 163:7, <i>13</i>
173:3 178:15	managing 28:24	55:17, 20 56:1	164:24 165:22
lot 13:5 14:3, 4	55:15	65:20 67:20 77:4	169:5 172:23, 24
27:12 29:19 36:17	man-hour 56:19	79:15 85:3, 19, 23	173: <i>1</i> , <i>3</i> , <i>20</i> 174: <i>7</i> , <i>8</i> ,
48:16 106:5 110:3	man-hours 49:23	92:7 101: <i>13</i> 106:6,	12, 14, 21, 22, 24
111:23 136:20	manpower 91:16, 19	8 162:25 182:12, 21	175:2, 4, 14 176:4, 6,
144:11 148:11, 13	man's 124:10	math 49:20	7, 10, 21 178:10, 11,
164:5, 25 166:13, 15	March 85:21	mathematical 50:3	15, 16, 20 179:6
171:9, 10 172:15	Margaret 30:5, 16,	mathematically	180:2
	20	49:21	meaning 56:18
		·	1

			100 17 104 00
means 73:24 74:3	metrics 58:6, 8	money 28:19 42:14	108:17 134:22
110:18 144:23	metrics-based 58:10	59:5 88:7 102:17	138:24 158:13, 14,
168:9	Michael 110:1	103:5 111:15	<i>17</i> 162: <i>13</i> 163:21
meant 43:20	130:1, 9, 13 156:23	119:12, 18 127:3, 4	named 100:3
measured 112:4	157:6, 8 164:19	140:22, 23 141:25	158:24 175:13
measurement 10:5, 9	172:22	145:7 148:5 150:15	names 15:3 29:22
meat 23:20	middle 156:15	167:21 185:1	34:25 92:7 95:19
mechanics 183:2	midst 51:11	month 38:13 39:7	164:9 180:21
media 137:14, 15	midyear 156:2	42:6 47:23 48:12	nasty 32:9
medical 46:12, 13,	million 47:23, 24	55:14 111:3, 9, 9	natural 61:17
14, 16 47:10 52:17	48:4, 12 54:22	168:16, 20	Navy 86:25
154:5 178:4, 6, 14	70:22 71:4, 4 75:24	monthly 57:21 58:2	NDA 83:22
medically 177:24	77:17, 21 79:20, 24	128:19 150:2, 6	NE 3:13
meet 32:10	80:13, 14, 15 111:3,	months 33:19, 23	near 146:19
meeting 21:12, 20	7, 8, 9 112:14 113:2	46:15 47:20 49:14	necessarily 21:13
42:2 51:3, 5, 7, 9	134:18 152:12	74:11 79:7 112:15	42:19
53:11 58:2, 18	168:13, 14, 16, 17, 20	168:17, 18, 20 169:7,	necessary 71:23
96: <i>13</i> 98:23 99:4,	millions 57:6, 10	8, 13 177:14 178:20	neck 52:24
14 100:2, 4 150:8	mind 28:2 87:6, 8	Mood 24:16	need 6:1 8:14
158:7, <i>13</i> , <i>15</i> 159: <i>10</i> ,	94:6 154:19 155:14	MOODY 3:13, 15	39:19 63:18 84:7
10 163:5 182:1, 2, 4,	Mine 54:13 119:16	MOORE 3:18, 18	96:6 106:17 107:4,
7,15 183:21	minor 102:22	7:13 39:25 64:5	17,22 108:19
meetings 31:23	minute 9:16 40:1	65: <i>1</i> , 8 81: <i>13</i> , <i>17</i>	125:18 155:3 156:8,
32:3 42:8 96:23	minutes 124:25	84:9, 22, 23 184:12,	23 163:12 185:10,
99:8, <i>23</i> 101: <i>1</i> , <i>4</i> , <i>9</i>	minutia 26:20	<i>14, 19, 25</i> 185: <i>13</i>	12
102:4, 5 128:19	misapprehend 178:8	186:5	needed 12:25 19:20
149:20, 21 150:1, 2,	miscellaneous 43:1	morale 175:11	38:5 39: <i>1</i> 49: <i>19</i> , <i>23</i> ,
6, 10 158:2 171:9,	mishandled 122:15	Mormon 181:8	24 148:24
6, 10 158:2 171:9, 11, 13 183:9	mishandled 122:15 mismanage 156:24	Mormon181:8morning96:12	24 148:24 needs 38:13 47:19
6, 10 158:2 171:9, 11, 13 183:9 memo 163:4	mishandled 122:15 mismanage 156:24 162:4	Mormon 181:8 morning 96:12 Morris 45:13	24 148:24 needs 38:13 47:19 91:16 116:2
6, 10 158:2 171:9, 11, 13 183:9 memo 163:4 men 96:22	mishandled 122:15 mismanage 156:24 162:4 mismanagement	Mormon 181:8 morning 96:12 Morris 45:13 mother 141:21	24 148:24 needs 38:13 47:19 91:16 116:2 negotiate 36:4
6, 10 158:2 171:9, 11, 13 183:9 memo 163:4	mishandled 122:15 mismanage 156:24 162:4 mismanagement 162:7 163:1 171:6	Mormon 181:8 morning 96:12 Morris 45:13	24 148:24 needs 38:13 47:19 91:16 116:2 negotiate 36:4 74:15
6, 10 158:2 171:9, 11, 13 183:9 memo 163:4 men 96:22 mentality 94:7, 18 mention 154:8, 8	mishandled 122:15 mismanage 156:24 162:4 mismanagement 162:7 163:1 171:6 mismanaging 157:3	Mormon 181:8 morning 96:12 Morris 45:13 mother 141:21	24 148:24 needs 38:13 47:19 91:16 116:2 negotiate 36:4
6, 10 158:2 171:9, 11, 13 183:9 memo 163:4 men 96:22 mentality 94:7, 18 mention 154:8, 8 mentioned 16:6	mishandled 122:15 mismanage 156:24 162:4 mismanagement 162:7 163:1 171:6 mismanaging 157:3 misquoting 149:4	Mormon 181:8 morning 96:12 Morris 45:13 mother 141:21 motions 52:5 motivation 117:10 motto 75:1	24 148:24 needs 38:13 47:19 91:16 116:2 negotiate 36:4 74:15 negotiated 34:13 43:22 47:14, 14
6, 10 158:2 171:9, 11, 13 183:9 memo 163:4 men 96:22 mentality 94:7, 18 mention 154:8, 8	mishandled 122:15 mismanage 156:24 162:4 mismanagement 162:7 163:1 171:6 mismanaging 157:3	Mormon 181:8 morning 96:12 Morris 45:13 mother 141:21 motions 52:5 motivation 117:10	24 148:24 needs 38:13 47:19 91:16 116:2 negotiate 36:4 74:15 negotiated 34:13
6, 10 158:2 171:9, 11, 13 183:9 memo 163:4 men 96:22 mentality 94:7, 18 mention 154:8, 8 mentioned 16:6	mishandled 122:15 mismanage 156:24 162:4 mismanagement 162:7 163:1 171:6 mismanaging 157:3 misquoting 149:4	Mormon 181:8 morning 96:12 Morris 45:13 mother 141:21 motions 52:5 motivation 117:10 motto 75:1	24 148:24 needs 38:13 47:19 91:16 116:2 negotiate 36:4 74:15 negotiated 34:13 43:22 47:14, 14
6, 10 158:2 171:9, 11, 13 183:9 memo 163:4 men 96:22 mentality 94:7, 18 mention 154:8, 8 mentioned 16:6 57:14 148:25 153:15 186:7 Merit 1:22 187:24	mishandled 122:15 mismanage 156:24 162:4 mismanagement 162:7 163:1 171:6 mismanaging 157:3 misquoting 149:4 misrepresentations 170:7 missed 51:5, 7, 8	Mormon 181:8 morning 96:12 Morris 45:13 mother 141:21 motions 52:5 motivation 117:10 motto 75:1 mouth 90:10 132:11	24 148:24 needs 38:13 47:19 91:16 116:2 negotiate 36:4 74:15 negotiated 34:13 43:22 47:14, 14 82:5 negotiating 166:12 negotiation 111:21
6, 10 158:2 171:9, 11, 13 183:9 memo 163:4 men 96:22 mentality 94:7, 18 mention 154:8, 8 mentioned 16:6 57:14 148:25 153:15 186:7 Merit 1:22 187:24 message 12:25	mishandled 122:15 mismanage 156:24 162:4 mismanagement 162:7 163:1 171:6 mismanaging 157:3 misquoting 149:4 misrepresentations 170:7 missed 51:5, 7, 8 mistakes 27:13	Mormon 181:8 morning 96:12 Morris 45:13 mother 141:21 motions 52:5 motivation 117:10 motto 75:1 mouth 90:10 132:11 move 45:18 150:11	24 148:24 needs 38:13 47:19 91:16 116:2 negotiate 36:4 74:15 negotiated 34:13 43:22 47:14, 14 82:5 negotiating 166:12 negotiation 111:21 negotiations 34:15
6, 10 158:2 171:9, 11, 13 183:9 memo 163:4 men 96:22 mentality 94:7, 18 mention 154:8, 8 mentioned 16:6 57:14 148:25 153:15 186:7 Merit 1:22 187:24 message 12:25 106:9, 20 107:20, 23	mishandled 122:15 mismanage 156:24 162:4 mismanagement 162:7 163:1 171:6 mismanaging 157:3 misquoting 149:4 misrepresentations 170:7 missed 51:5, 7, 8	Mormon 181:8 morning 96:12 Morris 45:13 mother 141:21 motions 52:5 motivation 117:10 motto 75:1 mouth 90:10 132:11 move 45:18 150:11 moved 15:21 46:19	24 148:24 needs 38:13 47:19 91:16 116:2 negotiate 36:4 74:15 negotiated 34:13 43:22 47:14, 14 82:5 negotiating 166:12 negotiation 111:21 negotiations 34:15 47:5, 12
6, 10 158:2 171:9, 11, 13 183:9 memo 163:4 men 96:22 mentality 94:7, 18 mention 154:8, 8 mentioned 16:6 57:14 148:25 153:15 186:7 Merit 1:22 187:24 message 12:25 106:9, 20 107:20, 23 108:14, 20 113:16,	mishandled 122:15 mismanage 156:24 162:4 162:7 mismanagement 162:7 162:7 163:1 171:6 171:6 mismanaging 157:3 misquoting 149:4 misrepresentations 170:7 missed 51:5, 7, 8 mistakes 27:13 104:5 105:2 misunderstand	Mormon 181:8 morning 96:12 Morris 45:13 mother 141:21 motions 52:5 motivation 117:10 motto 75:1 mouth 90:10 132:11 move 45:18 150:11 moved 15:21 46:19 movie 139:8 moving 175:6 177:2	24 148:24 needs 38:13 47:19 91:16 116:2 negotiate 36:4 74:15 negotiated 34:13 43:22 47:14, 14 82:5 negotiating 166:12 negotiation 111:21 negotiations 34:15 47:5, 12 neighborhoods
6, 10 158:2 171:9, 11, 13 183:9 memo 163:4 men 96:22 mentality 94:7, 18 mention 154:8, 8 mentioned 16:6 57:14 148:25 153:15 186:7 Merit 1:22 187:24 message 12:25 106:9, 20 107:20, 23 108:14, 20 113:16, 19 115:14 129:10,	mishandled 122:15 mismanage 156:24 162:4 mismanagement 162:7 163:1 171:6 mismanaging 157:3 misquoting 149:4 misrepresentations 170:7 missed 51:5, 7, 8 mistakes 27:13 104:5 105:2	Mormon 181:8 morning 96:12 Morris 45:13 mother 141:21 motions 52:5 motivation 117:10 motto 75:1 mouth 90:10 132:11 move 45:18 150:11 moved 15:21 46:19 movie 139:8 moving 175:6 177:2 < N >	24 148:24 needs 38:13 47:19 91:16 116:2 negotiate 36:4 74:15 negotiated 34:13 43:22 47:14, 14 82:5 negotiating 166:12 negotiation 111:21 negotiations 34:15 47:5, 12
6, 10 158:2 171:9, 11, 13 183:9 memo 163:4 men 96:22 mentality 94:7, 18 mention 154:8, 8 mentioned 16:6 57:14 148:25 153:15 186:7 Merit 1:22 187:24 message 12:25 106:9, 20 107:20, 23 108:14, 20 113:16, 19 115:14 129:10, 13 131:16 132:7	mishandled 122:15 mismanage 156:24 162:4 162:7 mismanagement 162:7 162:7 163:1 171:6 171:6 mismanaging 157:3 misquoting 149:4 misrepresentations 170:7 missed 51:5, 7, 8 mistakes 27:13 104:5 105:2 misunderstand 178:8 Mitch 62:19 63:2, 5,	Mormon 181:8 morning 96:12 Morris 45:13 mother 141:21 motions 52:5 motivation 117:10 motto 75:1 mouth 90:10 132:11 move 45:18 150:11 moved 15:21 46:19 movie 139:8 moving 175:6 177:2 < N > nail 33:18	24 148:24 needs 38:13 47:19 91:16 116:2 negotiate 36:4 74:15 negotiated 34:13 43:22 47:14, 14 82:5 negotiating 166:12 negotiation 111:21 negotiations 34:15 47:5, 12 neighborhoods
6, 10 158:2 171:9, 11, 13 183:9 memo 163:4 men 96:22 mentality 94:7, 18 mention 154:8, 8 mentioned 16:6 57:14 148:25 153:15 186:7 Merit 1:22 187:24 message 12:25 106:9, 20 107:20, 23 108:14, 20 113:16, 19 115:14 129:10, 13 131:16 132:7 134:19 137:3 138:2	mishandled 122:15 mismanage 156:24 162:4 162:7 mismanagement 162:7 162:7 163:1 171:6 mismanaging mismanaging 157:3 misquoting 149:4 misrepresentations 170:7 missed 51:5, 7, 8 mistakes 27:13 104:5 105:2 misunderstand 178:8 Mitch 62:19 63:2, 5, 12, 13, 15, 16, 20	Mormon 181:8 morning 96:12 Morris 45:13 mother 141:21 motions 52:5 motivation 117:10 motto 75:1 mouth 90:10 132:11 move 45:18 150:11 moved 15:21 46:19 movie 139:8 moving 175:6 177:2 < N > nail 33:18 name 5:8 6:25	24 148:24 needs 38:13 47:19 91:16 116:2 negotiate 36:4 74:15 negotiated 34:13 43:22 47:14, 14 82:5 negotiating 166:12 negotiation 111:21 negotiations 34:15 47:5, 12 neighborhoods 145:10 neither 187:14 nervous 115:24
6, 10 158:2 171:9, 11, 13 183:9 memo 163:4 men 96:22 mentality 94:7, 18 mention 154:8, 8 mentioned 16:6 57:14 148:25 153:15 186:7 Merit 1:22 187:24 message 12:25 106:9, 20 107:20, 23 108:14, 20 113:16, 19 115:14 129:10, 13 131:16 132:7 134:19 137:3 138:2 153:14 167:19	mishandled 122:15 mismanage 156:24 162:4 162:7 mismanagement 162:7 162:7 163:1 171:6 171:6 mismanaging 157:3 misquoting 149:4 misrepresentations 170:7 missed 51:5, 7, 8 mistakes 27:13 104:5 105:2 misunderstand 178:8 Mitch 62:19 63:2, 5, 12, 13, 15, 16, 20 64:13 65:25 66:1, 6	Mormon 181:8 morning 96:12 Morris 45:13 mother 141:21 motions 52:5 motivation 117:10 motto 75:1 mouth 90:10 132:11 move 45:18 150:11 moved 15:21 46:19 movie 139:8 moving 175:6 177:2 < N > nail 33:18 name 5:8 6:25 15:4 17:16 25:21	24 148:24 needs 38:13 47:19 91:16 116:2 negotiate 36:4 74:15 negotiated 34:13 43:22 47:14, 14 82:5 negotiation 111:21 negotiations 34:15 47:5, 12 neighborhoods 145:10 neither 187:14 nervous 115:24 116:6, 20
6, 10 158:2 171:9, 11, 13 183:9 memo 163:4 men 96:22 mentality 94:7, 18 mention 154:8, 8 mentioned 16:6 57:14 148:25 153:15 186:7 Merit 1:22 187:24 message 12:25 106:9, 20 107:20, 23 108:14, 20 113:16, 19 115:14 129:10, 13 131:16 132:7 134:19 137:3 138:2 153:14 167:19 175:19	mishandled 122:15 mismanage 156:24 162:4 162:7 mismanagement 162:7 162:7 163:1 171:6 171:6 mismanaging 157:3 misquoting 149:4 misrepresentations 170:7 missed 51:5, 7, 8 mistakes 27:13 104:5 105:2 misunderstand 178:8 Mitch 62:19 63:2, 5, 12, 13, 15, 16, 20 64:13 65:25 66:1, 6 64:13 65:25 66:1, 6 76:21	Mormon 181:8 morning 96:12 Morris 45:13 mother 141:21 motions 52:5 motivation 117:10 motto 75:1 mouth 90:10 132:11 move 45:18 150:11 moved 15:21 46:19 movie 139:8 moving 175:6 177:2 < N > nail 33:18 name 5:8 6:25 15:4 17:16 25:21 30:5, 8, 11, 16, 23, 23,	24 148:24 needs 38:13 47:19 91:16 116:2 negotiate 36:4 74:15 negotiated 34:13 43:22 47:14, 14 82:5 negotiating 166:12 negotiation 111:21 negotiations 34:15 47:5, 12 neighborhoods 145:10 neither 187:14 nervous 115:24 116:6, 20 net 141:2, 7 151:2,
6, 10 158:2 171:9, 11, 13 183:9 memo 163:4 men 96:22 mentality 94:7, 18 mention 154:8, 8 mentioned 16:6 57:14 148:25 153:15 186:7 Merit 1:22 187:24 message 12:25 106:9, 20 107:20, 23 108:14, 20 113:16, 19 115:14 129:10, 13 131:16 132:7 134:19 137:3 138:2 153:14 167:19 175:19 met 33:15 50:25	mishandled 122:15 mismanage 156:24 162:4 162:7 mismanagement 162:7 162:7 163:1 171:6 mismanagement 162:7 163:1 171:6 mismanaging 157:3 misquoting 149:4 misrepresentations 170:7 missed 51:5, 7, 8 mistakes 170:7 missed 51:5, 7, 8 mistakes 170:7 mistakes 27:13 104:5 104:5 105:2 misunderstand 178:8 Mitch 62:19 63:2, 5, 12, 13, 15, 16, 20 64:13 65:25 66:1, 6 76:21 model 90:22, 23	Mormon 181:8 morning 96:12 Morris 45:13 mother 141:21 motions 52:5 motivation 117:10 motto 75:1 mouth 90:10 132:11 move 45:18 150:11 move 45:18 150:11 moved 15:21 46:19 movie 139:8 moving 175:6 177:2 <n> nail 33:18 name 5:8 6:25 15:4 17:16 25:21 30:5, 8, 11, 16, 23, 23, 25 31:1 38:17, 20</n>	24 148:24 needs 38:13 47:19 91:16 116:2 negotiate 36:4 74:15 negotiated 34:13 43:22 47:14, 14 82:5 negotiating 166:12 negotiation 111:21 negotiations 34:15 47:5, 12 neighborhoods 145:10 neither 187:14 nervous 115:24 116:6, 20 net 141:2, 7 151:2, 5, 18
6, 10 158:2 171:9, 11, 13 183:9 memo 163:4 men 96:22 mentality 94:7, 18 mention 154:8, 8 mentioned 16:6 57:14 148:25 153:15 186:7 Merit 1:22 187:24 message 12:25 106:9, 20 107:20, 23 108:14, 20 113:16, 19 115:14 129:10, 13 131:16 132:7 134:19 137:3 138:2 153:14 167:19 175:19 met 33:15 50:25 51:2 114:20 139:7	mishandled 122:15 mismanage 156:24 162:4 162:7 mismanagement 162:7 162:7 163:1 171:6 171:6 mismanaging 157:3 misquoting 149:4 misrepresentations 170:7 missed 51:5, 7, 8 mistakes 27:13 104:5 105:2 misunderstand 178:8 Mitch 62:19 63:2, 5, 12, 13, 15, 16, 20 64:13 65:25 66:1, 6 76:21 model 90:22, 23 Module 163:18, 20	Mormon 181:8 morning 96:12 Morris 45:13 mother 141:21 motions 52:5 motivation 117:10 motto 75:1 mouth 90:10 132:11 move 45:18 150:11 moved 15:21 46:19 movie 139:8 moving 175:6 177:2 <n> nail 33:18 name 5:8 6:25 15:4 17:16 25:21 30:5, 8, 11, 16, 23, 23, 25 31:1 38:17, 20 40:25 51:17, 18</n>	24 148:24 needs 38:13 47:19 91:16 116:2 negotiate 36:4 74:15 negotiated 34:13 43:22 47:14, 14 82:5 negotiation 111:21 negotiations 34:15 47:5, 12 neighborhoods 145:10 neither 187:14 nervous 115:24 116:6, 20 net 141:2, 7 151:2, 5, 18 never 20:22 21:11
6, 10 158:2 171:9, 11, 13 183:9 memo 163:4 men 96:22 mentality 94:7, 18 mention 154:8, 8 mentioned 16:6 57:14 148:25 153:15 186:7 Merit 1:22 187:24 message 12:25 106:9, 20 107:20, 23 108:14, 20 113:16, 19 115:14 129:10, 13 131:16 132:7 134:19 137:3 138:2 153:14 167:19 175:19 met 33:15 50:25 51:2 114:20 139:7 181:10	mishandled 122:15 mismanage 156:24 162:4 mismanagement 162:7 163:1 171:6 mismanaging 157:3 misquoting 149:4 misrepresentations 170:7 missed 51:5, 7, 8 mistakes 27:13 104:5 105:2 misunderstand 178:8 Mitch 62:19 63:2, 5, 12, 13, 15, 16, 20 64:13 65:25 66:1, 6 76:21 model 90:22, 23 Module 163:18, 20 moment 162:5	Mormon 181:8 morning 96:12 Morris 45:13 mother 141:21 motions 52:5 motivation 117:10 motto 75:1 mouth 90:10 132:11 move 45:18 150:11 moved 15:21 46:19 movie 139:8 moving 175:6 177:2 <n> nail 33:18 name 5:8 6:25 15:4 17:16 25:21 30:5, 8, 11, 16, 23, 23, 25 31:1 38:17, 20 40:25 51:17, 18 58:21 59:17, 18, 24</n>	24 148:24 needs 38:13 47:19 91:16 116:2 negotiate 36:4 74:15 negotiated 34:13 43:22 47:14, 14 82:5 negotiation 111:21 negotiations 34:15 47:5, 12 neighborhoods 145:10 neither 187:14 nervous 115:24 116:6, 20 net 141:2, 7 151:2, 5, 18 never 20:22 21:11 23:6 31:25 34:10,
6, 10 158:2 171:9, 11, 13 183:9 memo 163:4 men 96:22 mentality 94:7, 18 mention 154:8, 8 mentioned 16:6 57:14 148:25 153:15 186:7 Merit 1:22 187:24 message 12:25 106:9, 20 107:20, 23 108:14, 20 113:16, 19 115:14 129:10, 13 131:16 132:7 134:19 137:3 138:2 153:14 167:19 175:19 met 33:15 50:25 51:2 114:20 139:7	mishandled 122:15 mismanage 156:24 162:4 162:7 mismanagement 162:7 162:7 163:1 171:6 171:6 mismanaging 157:3 misquoting 149:4 misrepresentations 170:7 missed 51:5, 7, 8 mistakes 27:13 104:5 105:2 misunderstand 178:8 Mitch 62:19 63:2, 5, 12, 13, 15, 16, 20 64:13 65:25 66:1, 6 76:21 model 90:22, 23 Module 163:18, 20	Mormon 181:8 morning 96:12 Morris 45:13 mother 141:21 motions 52:5 motivation 117:10 motto 75:1 mouth 90:10 132:11 move 45:18 150:11 move 45:18 150:11 moved 15:21 46:19 movie 139:8 moving 175:6 177:2 <n> nail 33:18 name 5:8 6:25 15:4 17:16 25:21 30:5, 8, 11, 16, 23, 23, 25 31:1 38:17, 20 40:25 51:17, 18 58:21 59:17, 18, 24 65:9 67:10 86:3</n>	24 148:24 needs 38:13 47:19 91:16 116:2 negotiate 36:4 74:15 negotiated 34:13 43:22 47:14, 14 82:5 negotiation 111:21 negotiations 34:15 47:5, 12 neighborhoods 145:10 neither 187:14 nervous 115:24 116:6, 20 net 141:2, 7 151:2, 5, 18 never 20:22 21:11 23:6 31:25 34:10, 12 38:10, 24 86:18
6, 10 158:2 171:9, 11, 13 183:9 memo 163:4 men 96:22 mentality 94:7, 18 mention 154:8, 8 mentioned 16:6 57:14 148:25 153:15 186:7 Merit 1:22 187:24 message 12:25 106:9, 20 107:20, 23 108:14, 20 113:16, 19 115:14 129:10, 13 131:16 132:7 134:19 137:3 138:2 153:14 167:19 175:19 met 33:15 50:25 51:2 114:20 139:7 181:10	mishandled 122:15 mismanage 156:24 162:4 mismanagement 162:7 163:1 171:6 mismanaging 157:3 misquoting 149:4 misrepresentations 170:7 missed 51:5, 7, 8 mistakes 27:13 104:5 105:2 misunderstand 178:8 Mitch 62:19 63:2, 5, 12, 13, 15, 16, 20 64:13 65:25 66:1, 6 76:21 model 90:22, 23 Module 163:18, 20 moment 162:5	Mormon 181:8 morning 96:12 Morris 45:13 mother 141:21 motions 52:5 motivation 117:10 motto 75:1 mouth 90:10 132:11 move 45:18 150:11 moved 15:21 46:19 movie 139:8 moving 175:6 177:2 <n> nail 33:18 name 5:8 6:25 15:4 17:16 25:21 30:5, 8, 11, 16, 23, 23, 25 31:1 38:17, 20 40:25 51:17, 18 58:21 59:17, 18, 24</n>	24 148:24 needs 38:13 47:19 91:16 116:2 negotiate 36:4 74:15 negotiated 34:13 43:22 47:14, 14 82:5 negotiation 111:21 negotiations 34:15 47:5, 12 neighborhoods 145:10 neither 187:14 nervous 115:24 116:6, 20 net 141:2, 7 151:2, 5, 18 never 20:22 21:11 23:6 31:25 34:10,

	-		
149:20 161:11	Number 19:5 32:19	official 44:7 187:18	ongoing 26:9 133:3
175:25	33:22, 23, 24 46:24	officials 183:16	online 147: <i>4</i>
New 19:2, 8, 21	49:23 50:6, 7, 11, 12,	offline 58:16, 24	on-site 48:6, 10
47:22 48:7, 14	21, 21 51:18 54:20	Off-the-record 40:4	open 15:20 87:5
69:13 92:25 93:14	70:11 71:8, 18, 21,	186:12	operate 112:17
111:17 120:6	22 72:2 73:21 74:6	Oh 25:20 75:10	140:13
136:14	75:20, 22 77:14	96:6 120:15 124:5	operated 27:20
news 83:11 137:9	78:13, 14, 17, 21	138:20 149:2, 12	32:25 74:23
newspaper 30:12	79:7, 9, 13, 16, 17, 21	156:10 161:22	operating 11:5
173:18	80:6, 11 93:14	164:4 169:3	19:10 128:24 145:1
nice 59:21 123:23,	105:25 118:9	Okay 6:4, 5, 24 7:8,	151:19
<i>11ce 33.21 123.23</i> , 24	146:16	17 8:9 9:22 10:7,	
		,	operational 22:21
night 51:3	numbers 53:20, 24	17, 25 12:18 13:2,	operations 112:16
nightmare 163:17	54:14, 16, 23, 25	10, 15 16:16 18:20	124:23 126:22, 24
nine 16:7	69:19 70:17 71:5	19:12 20:6 21:2	opinion 110:12
NND 19:2 20:6	72:14 87:18, 22	22:16 25:2, 19	139:10 167:17
31:11, 12	90:14, 22 91:24, 24	33:21 34:18 37:24	opportunity 5:15
NOBLES 3:12	92:20, 25, 25 93:10	39:24 41:1 43:1 <i>3</i> ,	106:2 <i>1</i> 138:5 176:8
nodding 89:14	118:8 152:7 182:18	23 45:25 48:16,25	185: <i>11</i> 187: <i>11</i> , <i>14</i>
Nods 119:7		53:18 54:12, 14	opposed 28:14 61:8
noise 130:10	< 0 >	55:16 57:2 59:25	76:17 79:16 105:6
nondisclosure 83:11,	oath 6:7	62:25 67:2 69:10	110:21 114:13
15	Object 63:22	70:10 74:10, 24	132:16, 16 152:20
nonengineer's	objection 64:25	75:3 78:16 81:16	173:13 177:24
167:16	objections 187:7, 10	84:21 86:11 89:17,	options 89:4, 5
no-risk 142: <i>1</i>	obligation 6:13	25 90:4 92:17	order 7:19 17:17,
normally 101:7	obnoxious 99:13	97:11 99:21 101:23	20, 24 18:17 66:20
Notary 187:3	observed 176:17	102:12 104:13, 21	142:24 185:15, 17,
notes 42:10	obvious 46:15	107:15, 24 108:4, 9	20, 24 186:1
Notice 4:3 7:14	52:18 87:20 92:4	113:9 115:6 118:7	organization 11:5
noticed 13:4	obviously 146:21	121:12 130:23	45:24 60:3 66:18
notified 31:17	165: <i>3</i>	132:13 133:3, 17	74:20, 23 75:1, 6
notorious 174: <i>13</i>	occasions 61:11	137:17 147:10	94:16, 18, 22 110:17
November 113:1	occupation 7:3	149:14 153:4, 7	111:25
126:1 151:7 168:24	-	149.14 153.4, 7	
	occupied 68:7		original 144:14
nuclear 8:12 10:18	occurrence 25:23	162:11 164:8, 18	originally 49:24
13:17 18:23 19:2, 8	occurring 68:6	166:7, 23 175:19	56:16 147:5 148:14
24:14 30:14 44:9	92:13	177:12 179:10, 25	ORS 126:10
57:5 60:3 61:18	October 10:15 48:2	180:8 181:1, 25	ought 136:12
66:18 74:22 75:2, 2,	112:6, 11, 20 142:14	182:20 183:3, 8	outcome 100:7
6, 8, 8, 11, 16 86:25	offer 59:13	184: <i>3</i> 186: <i>3</i>	130:6
87:6, 9, 13, 19, 20, 22	OFFICE 3:13 17:1,	old 144:7, 9	outfit 179:7
89:5, 20 90:13, 23	1 21:15, 16, 17 38:7	onboard 111: <i>18</i>	outside 62:20 64:18
91:6 94:7, 15, 18	95:20, 25 109:13	once 25:18 78:17,	119:25 123: <i>11, 13</i> ,
120:9 124:22	126:10	23, 24 118:18	<i>18</i> 142: <i>17</i> 147: <i>14</i>
128:23 129:16	officer 8:24 9:1, 3,	120:23 122:9 132:9	outsource 104:22
132:21 135:22	5 75:16 128:23, 24	137: <i>13, 13</i> 142: <i>11</i>	overall 175:15
136:5 139:10	135:23 149:24	one-man 114:6	overhead 17:19
144:12 149:2, 24	150:4	one's 30:22	overseeing 29:14, 21
150:4			
	I	I	1

oversight 143:3	pathetic 158:8	152: <i>10</i> , <i>15</i> 169: <i>14</i> ,	picture 117:23
oversold 167:10	PATRICK 3:1	17	122:6 160:15
overturned 50:24	pay 24:2 47:23	percentage 152:9, 10	piece 53:13
owed 161:10	48:12 84:2 97:6	perfect 117:8	pieces 29:7
Owen 37:11 173:6	<i>99:10, 11</i> 104:23	162:10	Pipeline 9:16, 24
owner 13:16 28:20,	105:10, 11, 13 111:1,	performance 56:12,	10:1, 3 11:15 16:3,
20, 25	10 112:12, 14	14, 15, 17 57:1, 5, 13	7 61:11
owner's 86:17, 19	122:23 123:1, 8, 10	77:22	pissed 63:17 96:10
87:14, 17, 21 88:5, 7,	127:5 141:3 145:15	performing 128:18	174:10
11, 24 89:10, 19	146:6,7 157:1,1	period 47:25 48:13	pitch 50:23
90:12, 21 91:8, 14,	166:3 168:12, 16	52:3 113:3 114:10	pivotal 83:5
25 92:4, 9, 11, 12, 19	paycheck 10:19	166:12 170:12	place 28:7 40:18
93:2 94:1 95:23	22:4	177:11	41:13 63:6 82:11
96:3 97:14, 19, 24	paying 50:5 111:3	periodically 150:3	184:21, 22
98:7 163:8, 11	145:18, 23, 24 165:6,	Perry 45:14	Plaintiffs 1:6 3:1
	7	person 53:16 60:6	185:16
< P >	payment 84:6	86:25 87:25 101:6	plan 29:19 147:13
P.O 1:24 3:5	161:1 165:10, 23, 24	110:16 114:21	164:4
package 82:2 83:14,	166: <i>1</i>	121:4, 5, 8, 10, 19, 23,	plans 19:16 29:17
22	payments 25:22	25 139:6 140:16	95:18
PAGE 4:1	26:5, 10 35:5	164:6 168:7 171:7	plant 13:17, 18
paid 104:6, 17	129:19, 20, 21, 23	personal 12:23	57:5 87:3, 19 89:8
112:4, 8 119:18	payors 18:2 60:19	22:7 84:19	144:4 145:13, 13, 20,
152:18 168:15, 19	109:22, 25 110:15,	personally 176:12	25 146:2, 7, 8
170:16	22	personnel 14:4	147:12, 14, 19, 20
PAIGE 3:12	payout 24:3 152:8,	105:10, 11 111:23	148:10 167:11,14
painted 132:10	9	persons 140:19	plants 19:22 89:2,
panel 77:5, 8	payroll 14:16	183:12	11 144:5, 7 145:11
paper 30:4, 8 75:18	Peachtree 3:13	perspective 28:3	146:22 147:3
papering 161:8	penalties 83:21	147:16 172:12	play 106:10, 16
papers 102:3, 10, 14,	180:13	PF 56:8 58:11, 13,	107:5, 20 140:24
15	pending 187:16	14 128:16 134:7	played 108:10, 15
paperwork 42:7	people 14:3 21:3	149: <i>1</i> 158: <i>4</i>	167:7
142:13	28:19 29:23 30:13	Phalen 73:2 121:11	plays 166:20
part 28:1 31:5	33:24 38:2 39:11	122:5 123:4, 22	PLEAS 1:1
36:5 45:23 61:3	75:1 85:15 93:21	131:9, 20 137:4	plug 93:19 113:7,
76:3 83:14 90:18	97:10 99:22 101:8	155:17 174:22	10 134:2 178:21, 24
92:14 94:15, 16, 22,	102:25 105:12	Phalen's 122:22	plummet 175:12
24 111:20, 24 154:7	118: <i>14</i> 124: <i>3</i> , <i>17</i>	phone 47:7 106:9	plus 22:9 113:2
participated 65:11	140:12 148:6, 13	108:24 109:15, 20	PM 186: <i>14</i>
particular 109:19	159:2, 20 164:3	115:25 125:17	pocket 53:17
179:22 180:17	166: <i>19</i> 171: <i>10</i>	132:7 170: <i>1</i>	point 6:1, 18 10:10
particularly 32:9	172:23 173:11	phrase 30:7 75:8	36:3 39:16 50:12
84:16	174:2, 6, 24 175:1, 4,	127:12 138:2, 4	59:18 73:22 84:4
parts 83:23 185:22	15, 15	139:15 140:14	87:25 101:7 107:4
party 119:17 187:16	percent 18:19 24:2	162:4 167:20 176:2	109:24 123:12
pas 82:22	84:3 104:7, 14, 25	physically 37:22	124:11 128:12
pass 24:24 84:20	122:2 <i>3</i> 123:2 142: <i>1</i> ,	109:12 175:22	133: <i>17</i> 136: <i>13</i>
passed 31:23	<i>1,3</i> 145: <i>14,17,19,</i>	pick 155:22 169:12	149:9 155: <i>14</i> , 24
	19 148:9 151:3		

163:2 182:25	president 8:12, 19	problem 18:22	68:14, 19 71:8, 12,
policy 100:2	10:18 44:9 45:1	32:11 103:9, 20	16,24 73:25 76:3
politically 123:24	91:4, 6 121:17	118: <i>16</i>	77:11 86:10, 11, 13,
politicians 183:17	press 83:10	problematic 164:15	15,16 88:10 89:18
pompous 138:7, <i>14</i>	pressure 78:12, 16,	problems 120:11	90:19 91:6, 17 94:8,
139:6	20, 25 79:3	134:8 160: <i>16</i>	15 97:15 98:10, 15,
pop 59:19	pressuring 49:7, 12	177:23 178:4, 7, 14	<i>18</i> 102: <i>14</i> 106: <i>3</i>
population 143:12	pretense 52:16	process 29:14	112:4 113:7 114:2
porch 181:16	pretty 16:21 23:18	31:16 35:15 40:17	115:1, 2, 3, 5, 6
position 8:10, 16, 21	63:5 81: <i>15</i> 84: <i>1</i>	41:13, 17 67:25	116:15, 25 118:3
10:11 75:15 79:8	93:23 114:5 132:10	112:22 171:17	119: <i>11</i> 120:2, <i>9</i> , <i>11</i> ,
88:25 91:1 114:4	135:20 136:17, 20	processes 39:13, 17	16, 21 122:3 128:17,
120:8 121:18	137:10 143:12	procurement 32:9	19, 19 129:5, 16
122:21, 22 136:25	157:15 164:17	121:14	132:5, 15, 18, 21
157:9 161:17, 19	178:25	produce 29:5	133:2, 3, 5, 7 134:2,
164:22 171:12	price 26:16 43:5,	produced 36:16	12, 14, 16, 25 135:1,
172:7	19,20 48:5 50:13	159: <i>3</i> 162: <i>16</i>	19,21 137:6 138:19
positions 24:12	120:11 127:22	producing 37:2, 3	140: <i>4</i> , 7 141: <i>13</i> , <i>16</i> ,
positive 126:13	128:1 141:1, 1, 9, 14	product 29:6 55:18	19, 19, 25 144:12, 13,
possible 48:11	146:15 150:24	158:19, 23 159:3, 13	18, 20, 22 147:25
possibly 154:23	151:15, 16, 17, 17	160:8, 10	148:4 149:8, 15, 23
Post 173:18 183:25	prices 26:1	productive 28:18	151:20, 21, 24
pounds 52:13, 14	Pricewaterhouse	48:11, 13	156:25 157:4, 16
177:13	67:14	productivity 50:1	158:2, 2, 9, 12, 17
pour 57:8, 10	primarily 16: <i>1</i>	professional 98:24	160:11, 14 162:5, 7
pouring 57:7	principle 185:14	99:4, 6, 7 187:23	163:3 167:5, 13
power 15:15	printed 113:16	professionally 125:5	171:6 173:20
PowerPoint 58:4	prior 9:4, 22, 23	professor 155:7	174:17 178:9, 10, 17,
practical 110:20	10:4 41:12 44:21 68:1 114:24 115:2	profit 16:23 18:13,	<i>17</i> , <i>22</i> , <i>22</i> , <i>24</i> 183:22 184:6
practice 62:24 prayer 163:24	68:1 114:24 115:2, 3,4 170:24	<i>15, 16</i> 145:2, <i>3</i> 146:7 147: <i>18, 21</i>	
predecessors 38:9,	privilege 64:1, 3, 9	program 99:19	projected 91:25 projection 72:5
15	privileged 63:25	170:22	promised 48:11
predicted 178:12	64:20	programs 119:17,	promote 121:16, 22,
prefer 84:9	probable 77:23	<i>19</i> 153: <i>3</i> , <i>12</i>	24
prep 51:22 52:2	probably 10:3 16:4	progress 21:22	promoted 91:3
preparation 65:16	18:21 24:8 30:15	59:11	121:5, 8, 19
66:24	35:6, 11 41:24	project 13:14, 22	prop 127:21 140:25
prepare 7:25 66:20	48:22 59:18 63:1	14:1, 2 17:11, 11, 16	proper 72:13
prepared 53:19	76:14 78:6 83:7	18:11, 23, 25 19:5, 6	proposition 149:11
54:15 62:10, 13	85:21 109:8, 15	20:6, 7, 9 21:3, 9, 23	propping 139:21
65:10, 20 66:9 72:2	113:12 133:25	22:24 24:14 26:9	167:21 168:7
85:20 185:4,9	146:18 153:21	27:20 28:4 30:15	170:14 174:4
preparing 57:19	154:15 155:15	33:22 36:18 38:2, 6,	prospects 146:18
62:15 65:11 66:7	156: <i>13</i> 159: <i>1</i>	7, 8, 11 39:14 40:11	protect 109:24
67:12, 19 69:19	164:10 166:20	41:5 44:20 46:9	protecting 114:7
PRESENT 3:22	169:9, 15 171:7, 8	49:25 50:2, 9, 14	175:16
182:6			100.00
	172:5, 9	55:1,15 56:7,8	protective 109:22
presented 23:21	172:5, 9	55:1,15 56:7,8 57:17,18,22 58:2,9	protective 109:22 185:20 186:1

proud 175:5, 8	78:12, 14 80:23	ratepayers 47:17	recall 11:7 44:1
prove 103:23	90:9, 22 91:24 93:3	126: <i>13</i>	68: <i>17</i> , <i>21</i> 69: <i>24</i>
proved 161:11	95:6 111: <i>1</i> 118: <i>19</i> ,	rates 18:2 47:22	83:17 109:18 163:2
182:18	20 137:19 142:13	48:1,2 50:4 60:21,	180:18 182:21
proven 142:12, 21	144:16 145:8	25 105:14 112:5, 20	receive 26:5, 9
provide 14:10	174:11	113:5, 11, 12 131:15	84:13 152:24
16:23 61:4 139:2	putting 52:17	142:14 144:25	receiving 152:19, 23
	66: <i>12</i> 78:9	168:21, 24 170:16	recess 105:21
170:2 182: <i>11</i> , <i>13</i> , <i>22</i> ,	00.12 78.9	*	
23		ratio 55:10	recognize 69:5
provided 54:15	< Q >	rational 81:15	recollection 25:1
providing 13:19	qualifications	reach 115:7 179:19,	recommend 164:1
182:2 <i>1</i>	136: <i>13</i> , <i>16</i> , <i>21</i>	20 180:4	reconciled 33:13
proxy 23:8 153:6, 8,	quarter 21:23	reached 179:21, 22	record 5:14, 22
10	quarterly 150:7	180:17	6:25 52:6 79:18
PSC 60:24, 24	question 18:3	reactor 136:5	162: <i>14</i> , <i>17</i> 187: <i>9</i>
61:20, 23 62:2, 13	20:21 63:23 64:17	read 52:6 56:4	recorded 187:7
68:2 71:14, 22	65:2 97:7 113:18	106:21 107:20	recording 108:10
72:22 75:22 76:9,	131:1 137:7 149:6	115:10 153:11	records 56:7, 8
<i>18</i> 77:2, <i>3</i> 80:12	questioned 111:8	173:18, 20 179:3	82:16, 17, 21, 23
86:8 89:21, 24	121:21	187:11	140:9
92:15 112:24 120:4		real 78:8 93:18	recoverable 43:6
	questioning 120:19		
142:10 143:4	questions 25:4 52:7	112:21 124:6	recovered 145:1, 2
PSNC 24:20	58:12, 17 100:18	137:22 148:21	recovery 110:18, 20
Public 46:23 60:14,	115:12 116:10	realistic 96:3	142:9 170:20, 22
<i>16,23</i> 61:5 118: <i>1</i>	155: <i>1</i> 158: <i>3</i> 160: <i>3</i>	reality 128:17	recreate 85:1
120:4 126:9 137:14	185: <i>6</i> , <i>11</i>	realized 181:14, 18	red 54:13
143: <i>19</i> 153:9 187: <i>3</i>	quick 166:16, 24	really 11:2 24:25	redid 97:20
publicly 120:10	quiet 161:25	32:12 52:7 58:17	redline 123:8
pull 33:9 134:1	quit 43:22 135:3	63:13, 17 75:5	re-evaluated 122:21
pulled 66:10 113:7,	quite 61:11	107: <i>18</i> 118:7 121: <i>1</i> ,	refer 19:7 81:9, 12,
10 158:21 178:21,	quote 129:12 131:3,	18 124:15, 23 137:7	14
24	4 138:9, 11 153:15	140:2 144:10	reference 134:20
pulling 93:19	7 150.2, 11 155.15	147:11 152:14	139:16 156:22
pump 33:6	< R >	155:3 163:11	referenced 136:11
	Rachel 69:25	164: <i>12</i> 166: <i>16</i>	154:11
purchase 127:22, 22			
purchasing 15:15	raise 78:17, 25	181:14, 18	referred 184:2
purpose 61:22	88:11	realm 146:21	referring 135:16
70:14 139:2	raised 58:12 73:15	147:15	136:8 137:3 157:6
purposes 132:13	160:2	Realtime 1:22	reflect 108:20
pursuant 186: <i>1</i>	raising 78:21 88:13	187:24	reformatted 69:13
push 94:21 156:23	raked 124:9	reason 12:24 25:11	Refuse 157: <i>1</i>
157:2, 13 161:20	ramped 33:23	49:4 93:18 125:12	regard 35:5 89:20
pushed 59:9	ran 162:12	165: <i>9</i> , <i>13</i>	114:2
pushing 63:11	rate 17:17, 18 18:2,	reasonable 34:1	regarding 20:16
110:2 158:4	18 46:22 49:14	168: <i>14</i>	register 159:22
put 19:10 29:9	51:1 60:19 61:14	reasons 34:20	Registered 1:22
30:11 34:7, 9 46:12,	88:14 92:10 109:22,	162:21 165:15	187:2 <i>3</i> , 2 <i>4</i>
15, 25 47:9 63:6	25 110: <i>15</i> , <i>21</i> 145:6	re-budgeting 95:18,	regular 12:19 35:19
	25 110.15, 21 145.0 150:16		
67:5 69:20 73:5, <i>12</i>	130:10	22 182:19	regulation 131:15
74:21 75:4 77:5		recalculate 97:14	

regulatory62:2031:2087:24113:22returned82:22184:14126:11represented167:13reveal63:2464:20right-hand12reimbursementsrepresenting101:4revenue112:11, 19risk22:132104:12represents71:9113:2151:7, 9, 24,14, 1529:1, 9related26:5, 10, 14,represents71:9113:2151:7, 9, 24,14, 1529:1, 9related26:5, 10, 14,reprimanded53:325168:10, 22169:7142:3, 6148:1636:1765:1596:1597:5review42:22, 23, 25158:7, 12158:86:9132:17150:21request106:5, 869:4104:24105:1222162:25179:14185:9110:18112:1risks158:9, 1183:12187:16requested35:5128:19142:4160:11, 13relation129:15requesting129:22,reviewed23:19roads145:9132:524requests130:331:5Robinson69:18:660:23114:16,requests130:331:5Rock3:1618:660:23114:16,rescheduling185:13166:16119:3131:14	8:14, 39:22 18, 22 9:3, 22, 6, 25 87:23 25 03:11
reimbursements 104:12representing represents101:4 representsrevenue 112:11, 19risk risk 22:1322:13 2related 16 16 16:2:2526:5, 10, 14, 16 16:2:17represents 16:1571:9 reprimanded 16:5, 8 16:15113:2 15:17, 9, 24, 25 168:10, 22 162:2, 23, 2514, 15 29:1, 9 	8:14, 39:22 18, 22 9:3, 22, 6, 25 87:23 25 03:11
104:12represents71:9113:2151:7, 9, 24,14, 1529:1, 9related26:5, 10, 14,reprimanded53:325168:10, 22169:7142:3, 6148:1636:1765:1596:1597:5review42:22, 23, 25158:7, 12159:786:9132:17150:21request106:5, 869:4104:24105:1222162:25179:14185:9110:18112:1risks158:9, 1183:12187:16requested35:5128:19142:4160:11, 13relating20:8185:7requesting129:22,reviewed23:19132:524reviewing27:2, 16Robinson69:relationship13:12requests130:331:5Rock3:1618:660:23114:16,require5:1219:22reviews29:10role40:1210	39:22 18, 22 9:3, 22, 6, 25 87:23 25 03:11
related26:5, 10, 14, 16 36:17 65:15reprimanded53:3 96:15 97:525 168:10, 22 169:7 review 42:22, 23, 25142:3, 6 148: 158:7, 12 15986:9132:17 150:21request106:5, 8 185:969:4 104:24 105:1222162:25 179:14185:9110:18 112:1risks 158:9, 1183:12 187:16requested 35:5128:19 142:4160:11, 13relating20:8185:7166:12, 15RMR 1:18 1relation129:15requesting129:22,23:19roads 145:9132:524reviewing27:2, 16Robinson 69:relationship13:12requests130:331:5Rock 3:1618:660:23 114:16,require5:12 19:22reviews 29:10role40:12 1	18, 22 9:3, 22, 6, 25 87:23 25 03:11
1636:1765:1596:1597:5review42:22, 23, 25158:7, 1215986:9132:17150:21request106:5, 869:4104:24105:1222162:25179:14185:9110:18112:1risks158:9, 1183:12187:16requested35:5128:19142:4160:11, 13relating20:8185:7166:12, 15RMR1:181relation129:15requesting129:22,reviewing27:2, 16Robinson18:660:23114:16,require5:1219:22reviews29:10role40:12	9:3, 22, 6, 25 87:23 25 03:11
86:9132:17150:21request106:5, 869:4104:24105:1222162:25179:14185:9110:18112:1risks158:9, 1183:12187:16requested35:5128:19142:4160:11, 13relating20:8185:7166:12, 15RMR1:181relation129:15requesting129:22,reviewed23:19roads145:9132:524requests130:331:5Robinson69:418:660:23114:16,require5:1219:22reviews29:10role40:12	6, 25 87:2 <i>3</i> 25 03:11
162:25179:14185:9110:18112:1risks158:9, 1183:12187:16requested35:5128:19142:4160:11, 13relating20:8185:7166:12, 15RMR1:181relation129:15requesting129:22,reviewed23:19roads145:9132:524requests130:331:5Robinson69:relationship13:12requests130:331:5Rock3:1618:660:23114:16,require5:1219:22reviews29:10role40:1210	87:23 25 03:11
183:12187:16requested35:5128:19142:4160:11, 13relating20:8185:7166:12, 15RMR1:181relation129:15requesting129:22,reviewed23:19roads145:9132:524reviewing27:2, 16Robinson69:relationship13:12requests130:331:5Rock3:1618:660:23114:16,require5:1219:22reviews29:10role40:1210	87:23 25 03:11
relating 20:8 185:7 166:12, 15 RMR 1:18 1 relation 129:15 requesting 129:22, reviewed 23:19 roads 145:9 132:5 24 reviewing 27:2, 16 Robinson 69: relationship 13:12 requests 130:3 31:5 Rock 3:16 18:6 60:23 114:16, require 5:12 19:22 reviews 29:10 role 40:12 10	25 03:11
relation129:15requesting129:22,reviewed23:19roads145:9132:524reviewing27:2, 16Robinson69:relationship13:12requests130:331:5Rock3:1618:660:23114:16,require5:1219:22reviews29:10role40:1210	25 03:11
132:524reviewing27:2, 16Robinson69:relationship13:12requests130:331:5Rock3:1618:660:23114:16,require5:1219:22reviews29:10role40:1210	03:11
relationship 13:12 requests 130:3 31:5 Rock 3:16 18:6 60:23 114:16, require 5:12 19:22 reviews 29:10 role 40:12 1	03:11
18:6 60:23 114:16, require 5:12 19:22 reviews 29:10 role 40:12 1	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
relationships 38:1 resign 46:1 49:5 25 62:4 71:8 77:11 rolled 168:23	
1 0	160.21
8	
	,
rely 62:2 respect 27:20 64:24 5 107:25 184:13, 23 95:3, 11, 24 9	
remanded 124:3 77:10 84:22 110:22 RICHLAND 1:2 97:22 159:21 remanded 122:24 120:24 120:20 rid 77.24 ref 50:22	, 23
remember 12:22 132:21 160:20 rid 77:24 roof 52:22 24:10 25:15 20:22 171:5 184:5 ridiumlance 47:15 roof 52:22	00.25
24:19 25:15 30:22, 171:5 184:5 ridiculous 47:15 room 51:14	
23 36:10 56:1 respond 61:16 right 5:8, 9 6:22, 131:22 138:1	
58:21 59:17 68:20, 124:2 130:7 172:2 23 7:22 18:8 22:6 139:14 159:1 24 70 0 72 14 120 2 10 25 16 42 124:2	1
24 70:8 72:14 responding 130:3 30:18 35:16 42:1 184:22	
78:15 98:16 109:11 response 34:8 43:24 45:21, 21 rough 68:1 120:0 144.14 17 120:0 170:5 100:4 7	/2:16
130:8 144:14, 17 106:8 178:5 49:3 50:21 51:21 100:4, 7	0.14
154:18 155:8 responsibilities 52:22 55:3 59:23 roughhouse 92:32	
163:21 164:9 40:13 114:1 62:9, 10 63:8 64:22 roughly 75:24	4 88:17
165:24 166:10 responsibility 45:15 67:9 68:16 72:23 rouse 47:13	
174:18180:9, 2192:18, 23103:1175:1976:2480:10,routine13:1	
remind 6:9 114:4 128:25 129:2 16 81:3 83:20 RPR 1:18 18	
report 44:14 45:2 135:21 89:22 90:16 91:10 rules 5:12, 13	, 17
121:14 133:6 179:3 responsible 31:22 92:1 96:4 97:16, 21, run 175:18	
REPORTED 1:18 35:8 130:2 23, 24 99:18 100:19, running 34:6	87:18
44:19, 22 45:3 60:4 restated 70:11 22 104:8 105:24 Rusty 25:19	
175:16 restroom 6:2 106:23 108:25	
Reporter 1:22, 22 result 91:12 114:25 113:14 122:2, 3 < S >	
5:18 15:2 106:18 results 165:5 127:7 128:10, 21 safe 83:6	
135:12 184:1 187:1, retarded 97:4 129:10 130:25 salaries 169:2	
23, 24, 24 retire 7:5 144:6 133:9, 16 134:17, 19 salary 22:8, 9	9, 13, 14
reporting 45:6 retired 7:4, 5 8:9, 136:6 137:10 140:4 152:11, 11	
reports 36:25 37:1, 11 44:22 59:8 143:14 146:24 sample 103:4	
<i>3</i> , <i>4</i> 57:17, <i>18</i> , 22 93:15 118:19 135:5 147:2 153:13 159:4 Santee 12:9,	11
104:3, 6, 25retirement119:19161:14167:1835:1236:14	
representative 12:11 return 18:19 145:5 170:21, 23 175:6 88:4, 5 106:5 108:8 109:23	, 9

113:23 114:7 115:8,	142:25 147:25	seasonal 105:16	122:13
<i>21</i> 127: <i>10</i> 129: <i>11</i> ,	142.25 147.25 148:19 149:8, 15, 17	seat 95:12 97:3	sentence 106:24
<i>14, 21, 24</i> 131:2	148.19 149.8, 13, 17	SEC 56:4 57:14, 16	separate 15:12
157:10 161:18	171:2 173:8 175:25	85:18 128:15	sequence 128:6
Sarbanes-Oxley	176:17 179:12	132:23 133:10	series 185:5
133:16 173:22	184:6 185:4	154:11 180:3	seriously 177:15
sat 49:22 181:20			serve 9:1
	scana.com 12:5, 12 153:10	second 19:15, 16 106:10 166:24	served 181:24
save 184:25 saw 21:21 47:11	SCANA's 13:12		
63:16 75:10 121:15		secretary 14:15 secure 148:3	service 10:23 19:11
	64: <i>18</i> 110: <i>13</i> , <i>14</i>		46:23 60:14, 16
122:15 140:8 171:4,	183:23	secured 32:20, 24	61:5 126:9 143:10
4, 7 172:15 173:1, 7	scandal 30:14	Securities 183:12	serviced 33:1
175:21 177:10	SCE 9:7 10:13	security 14:18	Services 11:2, 4
saying 50:8 52:17	12:18 13:4, 5, 12, 13,	see 32:24 39:2, 2, 8	13:13, 19, 20, 21, 25
98:2 <i>3</i> 104:9 133: <i>1</i> ,	15, 16, 20 14:4, 6, 7,	46:18, 19 48:20	14:10, 13 16:17, 17,
2 149:12 158:15	20, 23 15:11, 12, 13,	52:25 56:5, 8 63:14	<i>19,24</i> 17:21 18:5,
171:24 174:4	<i>16, 17</i> 17: <i>10</i> 18: <i>13</i>	64:2 <i>3</i> 68: <i>1</i> 70: <i>1</i> 7,	10 20:18 22:5 31:7
177:20, 22 178:2	20:18 21:8, 24	21 73:4 75:5 81:1	66: <i>16</i> 94: <i>16</i> , <i>20</i>
179:8, 9	28:25 31:9, 10	101:18 108:1 119:8	173:8
says 95:25 120:10	36:17 38:23 49:1,4	122:4, 5 140:8	servicing 151:11
121:18	53:24 60:2 66:17	145:9 148:21 150:3,	session 155:2
say-so 23:22, 25	72:25 85:3, 25	5 151:5 152:18	set 22:22 23:2
SC 1:24 3:6, 9, 16,	87:22 88:2, 9, 24	159: <i>13</i> 160:2 169:6	26:18 37:16 88:11
19	90: <i>13</i> 93: <i>12</i> 109: <i>23</i> ,	173:21 185:23	100:1 112:13
SCANA 3:22 7:6	25 110:2, 25 127:11	seeing 123:18 178:2	144:25 161: <i>1</i>
8:6, 24 10:21, 22	129:20, 22 131:4, 5	seek 34:4	165:25
11:1, 2, 4, 18, 21	141:21 142:25	seeking 64:9	setting 6:12 60:24
13:4, 5, 19, 21, 25	143:9 157:18	seen 7:12 19:4	settled 34:11 178:21
14: <i>13</i> , <i>21</i> , <i>24</i> 15: <i>10</i> ,	170:17 185:4	42:23 46:20 111:7	seven 24:8 159:2
<i>18</i> 16: <i>16</i> , <i>17</i> , <i>19</i>	schedule 55:5, 8	125:6 140:10 154:1	severance 82:2
17:21 18:5, 10, 10,	61:25 62:5 76: <i>13</i>	sell 146:5	83:14
25 20:17, 17 21:1, 8,	142: <i>18</i> , <i>22</i> 143: <i>1</i>	selling 151:10, 14	Shakes 183:19
24 22:3, 5 28:25	schedules 76:15	SEMI 15:22 17:9	Shannon 45:14
29:13 30:20 31:7	schmuck 74:12	S-E-M-I 15:22	share 63:18 141:10,
36:6, 13, 17 38:22	scientific 103: <i>3</i> , <i>6</i>	SEMI-GAS 15:19,	11,14 143:17
41:25 43:25 46:1, 2,	scream 96:19, 22	20	150:24 151:2 156:8
5 47:16 55:16,21	screw 156:11	send 12:25 122:17	shared 29:17 63:15,
59:7,8 60:24,25	176:20	sends 99:5	20 72:24 73:2
61:4,9 62:14 63:24	screwed 127:10	senior 10:12 14:19	164:20, 24 176:6
64:2, <i>19</i> 66: <i>15</i> , <i>16</i>	129: <i>12</i> , <i>15</i> 131: <i>3</i>	20:25 23:2, 18 24:5,	shares 152:24
70:5 71:14, 22	134:11 162:19	7 30:11 34:11	She'd 122:24
72:25 75:9 80:7	176:25	35:25 36:6 67:4	sheer 99:24
82: <i>1</i> , <i>9</i> 90: <i>13</i> 94: <i>16</i> ,	screws 164:16 177:1	70:4 73:3 90:5	sheet 34:6 144:21
<i>19</i> 99: <i>19</i> 101: <i>4</i>	seal 187:18	104:23 121:17	sheets 17:4, 5, 6
105:6 110:3, 16, 21	search 36:19 65:15	137:23 160:6 168:2	28:8
114: <i>10</i> 116: <i>16</i>	163: <i>16</i> , <i>18</i>	sense 27:14 53:9	she'll 136:22
117: <i>11</i> 119:4, 6, 9	searches 40:22	sensitive 123:24	Sheri 41:24, 24
127:11, 23 128:8	163:25	sent 82:21, 24 91:5	45:10, 11
130:3 131:4,6	searching 43:9	98:22 106:4 116:9	Shield 163:20, 23
135:2 141:21			

164:11	39:2 42:24 111:19,	sort 13:2 35:2, 19	started 5:10 10:14
shift 22:2 84:5	22 113:22	65:14 82:2 134:20	24:23 32:3 44:20
ship 166:23	sitting 159:4	sounds 37:13 77:13	52:11, 12 88:10
Shirley 29:25 30:19,	situated 1:5	source 42:20	118:5, 24
24 35:10 40:19	situation 68:8	SOUTH 1:1, 8, 8, 18	starts 115:14 122:3
45:7 67:22, 23, 24	123:19	9:15, 24, 25 10:3	start-up 111:16
172:13	six 8:20 24:8	15:25 16:2, 3, 7	172:9
Shirley's 37:19	40:14 47:9 51:15	53:16 60:13, 16	STATE 1:1, 8
shit 124:5 125:1	125:24 166:19	61:5 139:18 154:25	187: <i>1, 3</i>
shocked 39:10	168:2 177: <i>1</i> , <i>13</i>	179:14 183:4, 17	stated 129:11 187:6
shooting 6:21	size 147:25 149:8,	187: <i>1</i> , <i>3</i> , <i>19</i>	statement 115:11
shop 27:15 33:4	14, 16, 17	space 19:20, 23	127:8 132:6 138:9
114:6	sized 19:14	21:16	156:2 <i>1</i> 173:25
short 105:19	skip 65:2 100:3	SPALDING 3:9	statements 23:8
shortage 147:6	172:15, 15	speak 81:18	124:19, 20 132:20
short-pay 165:17	slang 172:18	speaking 14:7	133: <i>13</i>
short-term 22:15, 19	slaughterhouse	175:20	station 33:7
41:11 119:14	101:2	speared 175:17	statistics 136:15
show 80:6 90:23	SLED 179:16	special 46:13 47:10	status 135:6
181:11	180:1, 2, 6 182:9	154:5	stay 38:8 136:2
showed 50:20	183:5, 7, 2 <i>1</i>	specific 14:9	151:19
142:21 180:20	slides 58:4, 6	153:23 154:8 163:3	stayed 11:13
181:2	slit 124:25	specifically 132:19	stays 75:2,8
shut 58:15 63:7	small 166:18	speculating 122:7	steal 53:15
sick 156:16	smaller 15:19 118:9	speechless 125:14, 15	steep 84:1
sickos 156:20	smartest 131:21	spell 15:4 38:17	steer 57:24
sickos 156:20 side 32:4 94:21	138: <i>10</i> 139: <i>13</i>	spend 71:2, 3	stemming 177:23
sickos 156:20 side 32:4 94:21 150:11	138:10 139:13 Smith 100:3 172:15	spend 71:2, 3 141:24	stemming 177:23 stenographically
sickos 156:20 side 32:4 94:21 150:11 sifting 27:8	138:10 139:13 Smith 100:3 172:15 so-and-so 25:14	spend 71:2, 3 141:24 spent 17:8, 9, 9, 10	stemming 177:23 stenographically 187:8
sickos 156:20 side 32:4 94:21 150:11 sifting 27:8 sign 12:25 82:1	138:10 139:13 Smith 100:3 172:15 so-and-so 25:14 180:19	spend 71:2, 3 141:24 spent 17:8, 9, 9, 10 28:4 48:3, 5 70:24	stemming 177:23 stenographically 187:8 step 70:1
sickos 156:20 side 32:4 94:21 150:11 sifting 27:8 sign 12:25 82:1 110:6 187:14	138:10 139:13 Smith 100:3 172:15 so-and-so 25:14 180:19 sold 15:21 16:4, 9	spend 71:2, 3 141:24 spent 17:8, 9, 9, 10 28:4 48:3, 5 70:24 113:3 127:2, 3	stemming 177:23 stenographically 187:8 step 70:1 Steve 24:17 49:9
sickos 156:20 side 32:4 94:21 150:11 sifting 27:8 sign 12:25 82:1 110:6 187:14 signature 187:11, 14	138:10 139:13 Smith 100:3 172:15 so-and-so 25:14 180:19 sold 15:21 16:4,9 137:9	spend 71:2, 3 141:24 spent 17:8, 9, 9, 10 28:4 48:3, 5 70:24 113:3 127:2, 3 142:8, 11	stemming 177:23 stenographically 187:8 step 70:1 Steve 24:17 49:9 73:1 130:4, 20, 21
sickos 156:20 side 32:4 94:21 150:11 sifting 27:8 sign 12:25 82:1 110:6 187:14 signature 187:11, 14 signed 81:24 93:16	138:10 139:13 Smith 100:3 172:15 so-and-so 25:14 180:19 sold 15:21 16:4, 9 137:9 solicit 124:19	spend 71:2, 3 141:24 spent 17:8, 9, 9, 10 28:4 48:3, 5 70:24 113:3 127:2, 3 142:8, 11 Speth 86:1, 4	stemming 177:23 stenographically 187:8 step 70:1 Steve 24:17 49:9 73:1 130:4, 20, 21 131:9, 19 134:20, 23
sickos 156:20 side 32:4 94:21 150:11 sifting 27:8 sign 12:25 82:1 110:6 187:14 signature 187:11, 14 signed 81:24 93:16 110:4, 7 123:21	138:10 139:13 Smith 100:3 172:15 so-and-so 25:14 180:19 sold 15:21 16:4, 9 137:9 solicit 124:19 somebody 22:16	spend 71:2, 3 141:24 spent 17:8, 9, 9, 10 28:4 48:3, 5 70:24 113:3 127:2, 3 142:8, 11 Speth 86:1, 4 S-P-E-T-H 86:4	stemming 177:23 stenographically 187:8 step 70:1 Steve 24:17 49:9 73:1 130:4, 20, 21 131:9, 19 134:20, 23 135:22 138:25
sickos 156:20 side 32:4 94:21 150:11 sifting 27:8 sign 12:25 82:1 110:6 187:14 signature 187:11, 14 signed 81:24 93:16 110:4, 7 123:21 132:24 158:16	138:10 139:13 Smith 100:3 172:15 so-and-so 25:14 180:19 sold 15:21 16:4,9 137:9 solicit 124:19 somebody 22:16 25:15 28:11, 16	spend 71:2, 3 141:24 spent 17:8, 9, 9, 10 28:4 48:3, 5 70:24 113:3 127:2, 3 142:8, 11 Speth 86:1, 4 S-P-E-T-H 86:4 spirit 124:11 125:19	stemming 177:23 stenographically 187:8 step 70:1 Steve 24:17 49:9 73:1 130:4, 20, 21 131:9, 19 134:20, 23 135:22 138:25 150:5 165:11 168:4
sickos 156:20 side 32:4 94:21 150:11 sifting 27:8 sign 12:25 82:1 110:6 187:14 signature 187:11, 14 signed 81:24 93:16 110:4, 7 123:21 132:24 158:16 significantly 54:23	138:10 139:13 Smith 100:3 172:15 so-and-so 25:14 180:19 sold 15:21 16:4, 9 137:9 solicit 124:19 somebody 22:16 25:15 28:11, 16 30:10 32:18 61:8	spend 71:2, 3 141:24 spent 17:8, 9, 9, 10 28:4 48:3, 5 70:24 113:3 127:2, 3 142:8, 11 Speth 86:1, 4 S-P-E-T-H 86:4 spirit 124:11 125:19 spoken 114:20	stemming 177:23 stenographically 187:8 step 70:1 Steve 24:17 49:9 73:1 130:4, 20, 21 131:9, 19 134:20, 23 135:22 138:25 150:5 165:11 168:4 Steve's 76:23
sickos 156:20 side 32:4 94:21 150:11 sifting 27:8 sign 12:25 82:1 110:6 187:14 signature 187:11, 14 signed 81:24 93:16 110:4, 7 123:21 132:24 158:16 significantly 54:23 signing 10:19 68:2	138:10 139:13 Smith 100:3 172:15 so-and-so 25:14 180:19 sold 15:21 16:4, 9 137:9 solicit 124:19 somebody 22:16 25:15 28:11, 16 30:10 32:18 61:8 74:5 76:18 77:7	spend 71:2, 3 141:24 spent 17:8, 9, 9, 10 28:4 48:3, 5 70:24 113:3 127:2, 3 142:8, 11 Speth 86:1, 4 S-P-E-T-H 86:4 spirit 124:11 125:19 spoken 114:20 spread 146:16	stemming 177:23 stenographically 187:8 step 70:1 Steve 24:17 49:9 73:1 130:4, 20, 21 131:9, 19 134:20, 23 135:22 138:25 150:5 165:11 168:4 Steve's 76:23 134:21
sickos 156:20 side 32:4 94:21 150:11 sifting 27:8 sign 12:25 82:1 110:6 187:14 signature 187:11, 14 signed 81:24 93:16 110:4, 7 123:21 132:24 158:16 significantly 54:23 signing 10:19 68:2 112:23 148:12	138:10 139:13 Smith 100:3 172:15 so-and-so 25:14 180:19 sold 15:21 16:4,9 137:9 solicit 124:19 somebody 22:16 25:15 28:11,16 30:10 32:18 61:8 74:5 76:18 77:7 92:20 94:19 95:20	spend 71:2, 3 141:24 spent 17:8, 9, 9, 10 28:4 48:3, 5 70:24 113:3 127:2, 3 142:8, 11 Speth 86:1, 4 S-P-E-T-H 86:4 spirit 124:11 125:19 spoken 114:20 spread 146:16 staff 13:20 23:2, 18	stemming 177:23 stenographically 187:8 step 70:1 Steve 24:17 49:9 73:1 130:4, 20, 21 131:9, 19 134:20, 23 135:22 138:25 150:5 165:11 168:4 Steve's 76:23 134:21 stick 125:22
sickos 156:20 side 32:4 94:21 150:11 sifting 27:8 sign 12:25 82:1 110:6 187:14 signature 187:11, 14 signed 81:24 93:16 110:4, 7 123:21 132:24 158:16 significantly 54:23 signing 10:19 68:2 112:23 148:12 similar 55:5, 7	138:10 139:13 Smith 100:3 172:15 so-and-so 25:14 180:19 sold 15:21 16:4, 9 137:9 solicit 124:19 somebody 22:16 25:15 28:11, 16 30:10 32:18 61:8 74:5 76:18 77:7 92:20 94:19 95:20 105:4, 16 121:16, 22,	spend 71:2, 3 141:24 spent 17:8, 9, 9, 10 28:4 48:3, 5 70:24 113:3 127:2, 3 142:8, 11 Speth 86:1, 4 S-P-E-T-H 86:4 spirit 124:11 125:19 spoken 114:20 spread 146:16 staff 13:20 23:2, 18 24:5 39:12 70:4	stemming 177:23 stenographically 187:8 step 70:1 Steve 24:17 49:9 73:1 130:4, 20, 21 131:9, 19 134:20, 23 135:22 138:25 150:5 165:11 168:4 Steve's 76:23 134:21 stick 125:22 stiff 150:10
sickos 156:20 side 32:4 94:21 150:11 sifting 27:8 sign 12:25 82:1 110:6 187:14 signature 187:11, 14 signed 81:24 93:16 110:4, 7 123:21 132:24 158:16 significantly 54:23 signing 10:19 68:2 112:23 148:12 similar 55:5, 7 172:11	138:10 139:13 Smith 100:3 172:15 so-and-so 25:14 180:19 sold 15:21 16:4, 9 137:9 solicit 124:19 somebody 22:16 25:15 28:11, 16 30:10 32:18 61:8 74:5 76:18 77:7 92:20 94:19 95:20 105:4, 16 121:16, 22, 24 129:3 155:3	spend 71:2, 3 141:24 spent 17:8, 9, 9, 10 28:4 48:3, 5 70:24 113:3 127:2, 3 142:8, 11 Speth 86:1, 4 S-P-E-T-H 86:4 spirit 124:11 125:19 spoken 114:20 spread 146:16 staff 13:20 23:2, 18 24:5 39:12 70:4 126:11	stemming 177:23 stenographically 187:8 step 70:1 Steve 24:17 49:9 73:1 130:4, 20, 21 131:9, 19 134:20, 23 135:22 138:25 150:5 165:11 168:4 Steve's 76:23 134:21 stick 125:22 stiff 150:10 stint 59:15
sickos 156:20 side 32:4 94:21 150:11 sifting 27:8 sign 12:25 82:1 110:6 187:14 signature 187:11, 14 signed 81:24 93:16 110:4, 7 123:21 132:24 158:16 significantly 54:23 signing 10:19 68:2 112:23 148:12 similar 55:5, 7 172:11 similarly 1:4	138:10 139:13 Smith 100:3 172:15 so-and-so 25:14 180:19 sold 15:21 16:4, 9 137:9 solicit 124:19 somebody 22:16 25:15 28:11, 16 30:10 32:18 61:8 74:5 76:18 77:7 92:20 94:19 95:20 105:4, 16 121:16, 22, 24 129:3 155:3 157:13 168:6 174:9,	spend 71:2, 3 141:24 spent 17:8, 9, 9, 10 28:4 48:3, 5 70:24 113:3 127:2, 3 142:8, 11 Speth 86:1, 4 S-P-E-T-H 86:4 spirit 124:11 125:19 spoken 114:20 spread 146:16 staff 13:20 23:2, 18 24:5 39:12 70:4 126:11 stand 52:9 121:22	stemming 177:23 stenographically 187:8 step 70:1 Steve 24:17 49:9 73:1 130:4, 20, 21 131:9, 19 134:20, 23 135:22 138:25 150:5 165:11 168:4 Steve's 76:23 134:21 stick 125:22 stiff 150:10 stint 59:15 stock 23:4 26:1
sickos 156:20 side 32:4 94:21 150:11 sifting 27:8 sign 12:25 82:1 110:6 187:14 signature 187:11, 14 signed 81:24 93:16 110:4, 7 123:21 132:24 158:16 significantly 54:23 signing 10:19 68:2 112:23 148:12 similar 55:5, 7 172:11 similarly 1:4 simple 27:14	138:10 139:13 Smith 100:3 172:15 so-and-so 25:14 180:19 sold 15:21 16:4, 9 137:9 solicit 124:19 somebody 22:16 25:15 28:11, 16 30:10 32:18 61:8 74:5 76:18 77:7 92:20 94:19 95:20 105:4, 16 121:16, 22, 24 129:3 155:3 157:13 168:6 174:9, 12, 14 180:18 183:4	spend 71:2, 3 141:24 spent 17:8, 9, 9, 10 28:4 48:3, 5 70:24 113:3 127:2, 3 142:8, 11 Speth 86:1, 4 S-P-E-T-H 86:4 spirit 124:11 125:19 spoken 114:20 spread 146:16 staff 13:20 23:2, 18 24:5 39:12 70:4 126:11 stand 52:9 121:22 156:18 158:1 173:2	stemming 177:23 stenographically 187:8 step 70:1 Steve 24:17 49:9 73:1 130:4, 20, 21 131:9, 19 134:20, 23 135:22 138:25 150:5 165:11 168:4 Steve's 76:23 134:21 stick 125:22 stiff 150:10 stint 59:15 stock 23:4 26:1 120:11 127:22
sickos 156:20 side 32:4 94:21 150:11 sifting 27:8 sign 12:25 82:1 110:6 187:14 signature 187:11, 14 signed 81:24 93:16 110:4, 7 123:21 132:24 158:16 significantly 54:23 signing 10:19 68:2 112:23 148:12 similar 55:5, 7 172:11 similarly 1:4 simple 27:14 simply 74:21	138:10 139:13 Smith 100:3 172:15 so-and-so 25:14 180:19 sold 15:21 16:4, 9 137:9 solicit 124:19 somebody 22:16 25:15 28:11, 16 30:10 32:18 61:8 74:5 76:18 77:7 92:20 94:19 95:20 105:4, 16 121:16, 22, 24 129:3 155:3 157:13 168:6 174:9, 12, 14 180:18 183:4 somebody's 123:1	spend 71:2, 3 141:24 spent 17:8, 9, 9, 10 28:4 48:3, 5 70:24 113:3 127:2, 3 142:8, 11 Speth 86:1, 4 S-P-E-T-H 86:4 spirit 124:11 125:19 spoken 114:20 spread 146:16 staff 13:20 23:2, 18 24:5 39:12 70:4 126:11 stand 52:9 121:22	stemming 177:23 stenographically 187:8 step 70:1 Steve 24:17 49:9 73:1 130:4, 20, 21 131:9, 19 134:20, 23 135:22 138:25 150:5 165:11 168:4 Steve's 76:23 134:21 stick 125:22 stiff 150:10 stint 59:15 stock 23:4 26:1 120:11 127:22 128:1 137:10 141:1,
sickos 156:20 side 32:4 94:21 150:11 sifting 27:8 sign 12:25 82:1 110:6 187:14 signature 187:11, 14 signed 81:24 93:16 110:4, 7 123:21 132:24 158:16 significantly 54:23 signing 10:19 68:2 112:23 148:12 similar 55:5, 7 172:11 similarly 1:4 simple 27:14	138:10 139:13 Smith 100:3 172:15 so-and-so 25:14 180:19 sold 15:21 16:4, 9 137:9 solicit 124:19 somebody 22:16 25:15 28:11, 16 30:10 32:18 61:8 74:5 76:18 77:7 92:20 94:19 95:20 105:4, 16 121:16, 22, 24 129:3 155:3 157:13 168:6 174:9, 12, 14 180:18 183:4	spend 71:2, 3 141:24 spent 17:8, 9, 9, 10 28:4 48:3, 5 70:24 113:3 127:2, 3 142:8, 11 Speth 86:1, 4 S-P-E-T-H 86:4 spirit 124:11 125:19 spoken 114:20 spread 146:16 staff 13:20 23:2, 18 24:5 39:12 70:4 126:11 stand 52:9 121:22 156:18 158:1 173:2 standpoint 67:18	stemming 177:23 stenographically 187:8 step 70:1 Steve 24:17 49:9 73:1 130:4, 20, 21 131:9, 19 134:20, 23 135:22 138:25 150:5 165:11 168:4 Steve's 76:23 134:21 stick 125:22 stiff 150:10 stint 59:15 stock 23:4 26:1 120:11 127:22
sickos 156:20 side 32:4 94:21 150:11 sifting 27:8 sign 12:25 82:1 110:6 187:14 signature 187:11, 14 signed 81:24 93:16 110:4, 7 123:21 132:24 158:16 significantly 54:23 signing 10:19 68:2 112:23 148:12 similar 55:5, 7 172:11 similarly 1:4 simple 27:14 sinply 74:21 single 42:6	138:10 139:13 Smith 100:3 172:15 so-and-so 25:14 180:19 sold 15:21 16:4, 9 137:9 solicit 124:19 somebody 22:16 25:15 28:11, 16 30:10 32:18 61:8 74:5 76:18 77:7 92:20 94:19 95:20 105:4, 16 121:16, 22, 24 129:3 155:3 157:13 168:6 174:9, 12, 14 180:18 183:4 somebody's 123:1 something's 134:3	spend 71:2, 3 141:24 spent 17:8, 9, 9, 10 28:4 48:3, 5 70:24 113:3 127:2, 3 142:8, 11 Speth 86:1, 4 S-P-E-T-H 86:4 spirit 124:11 125:19 spoken 114:20 spread 146:16 staff 13:20 23:2, 18 24:5 39:12 70:4 126:11 stand 52:9 121:22 156:18 158:1 173:2 standpoint 67:18 107:19	stemming 177:23 stenographically 187:8 step 70:1 Steve 24:17 49:9 73:1 130:4, 20, 21 131:9, 19 134:20, 23 135:22 138:25 150:5 165:11 168:4 Steve's 76:23 134:21 stick 125:22 stiff 150:10 stint 59:15 stock 23:4 26:1 120:11 127:22 128:1 137:10 141:1, 1, 7, 9, 14 148:7
sickos 156:20 side 32:4 94:21 150:11 sifting 27:8 sign 12:25 82:1 110:6 187:14 signature 187:11, 14 signed 81:24 93:16 110:4, 7 123:21 132:24 158:16 significantly 54:23 signing 10:19 68:2 112:23 148:12 similar 55:5, 7 172:11 similarly 1:4 simple 27:14 simple 42:6 sit 32:19 104:23	138:10 139:13 Smith 100:3 172:15 so-and-so 25:14 180:19 sold 15:21 16:4,9 137:9 solicit 124:19 somebody 22:16 25:15 28:11,16 30:10 32:18 61:8 74:5 76:18 77:7 92:20 94:19 95:20 105:4,16 121:16,22, 24 129:3 155:3 157:13 168:6 174:9, 12,14 180:18 183:4 somebody's 123:1 something's 134:3 somewhat 146:20	spend 71:2, 3 141:24 spent 17:8, 9, 9, 10 28:4 48:3, 5 70:24 113:3 127:2, 3 142:8, 11 Speth 86:1, 4 S-P-E-T-H 86:4 spirit 124:11 125:19 spoken 114:20 spread 146:16 staff 13:20 23:2, 18 24:5 39:12 70:4 126:11 stand 52:9 121:22 156:18 158:1 173:2 standpoint 67:18 107:19 start 39:12, 18	stemming 177:23 stenographically 187:8 step 70:1 Steve 24:17 49:9 73:1 130:4, 20, 21 131:9, 19 134:20, 23 135:22 138:25 150:5 165:11 168:4 Steve's 76:23 134:21 stick 125:22 stiff 150:10 stint 59:15 stock 23:4 26:1 120:11 127:22 128:1 137:10 141:1, 1, 7, 9, 14 148:7 150:24 152:19, 25
sickos 156:20 side 32:4 94:21 150:11 sifting 27:8 sign 12:25 82:1 110:6 187:14 signature 187:11, 14 signed 81:24 93:16 110:4, 7 123:21 132:24 158:16 significantly 54:23 signing 10:19 68:2 112:23 148:12 similar 55:5, 7 172:11 similarly 1:4 simple 27:14 simple 27:14 single 42:6 sit 32:19 104:23 site 12:11 19:14	138:10 139:13 Smith 100:3 172:15 so-and-so 25:14 180:19 sold 15:21 16:4, 9 137:9 solicit 124:19 somebody 22:16 25:15 28:11, 16 30:10 32:18 61:8 74:5 76:18 77:7 92:20 94:19 95:20 105:4, 16 121:16, 22, 24 129:3 155:3 157:13 168:6 174:9, 12, 14 180:18 183:4 somebody's 123:1 something's 134:3 somewhat 146:20 soon 133:24	spend 71:2, 3 141:24 spent 17:8, 9, 9, 10 28:4 48:3, 5 70:24 113:3 127:2, 3 142:8, 11 Speth 86:1, 4 S-P-E-T-H 86:4 spirit 124:11 125:19 spoken 114:20 spread 146:16 staff 13:20 23:2, 18 24:5 39:12 70:4 126:11 stand 52:9 121:22 156:18 158:1 173:2 standpoint 67:18 107:19 start 39:12, 18 46:18 102:25	stemming 177:23 stenographically 187:8 step 70:1 Steve 24:17 49:9 73:1 130:4, 20, 21 131:9, 19 134:20, 23 135:22 138:25 150:5 165:11 168:4 Steve's 76:23 134:21 stick 125:22 stiff 150:10 stint 59:15 stock 23:4 26:1 120:11 127:22 128:1 137:10 141:1, 1, 7, 9, 14 148:7 150:24 152:19, 25 153:3 169:18
sickos 156:20 side 32:4 94:21 150:11 sifting 27:8 sign 12:25 82:1 110:6 187:14 signature 187:11, 14 signed 81:24 93:16 110:4, 7 123:21 132:24 158:16 significantly 54:23 signing 10:19 68:2 112:23 148:12 similar 55:5, 7 172:11 similarly 1:4 simple 27:14 simple 42:6 sit 32:19 104:23 site 12:11 19:14 20:2 21:12, 14 26:6,	138:10 139:13 Smith 100:3 172:15 so-and-so 25:14 180:19 sold 15:21 16:4, 9 137:9 solicit 124:19 somebody 22:16 25:15 28:11, 16 30:10 32:18 61:8 74:5 76:18 77:7 92:20 94:19 95:20 105:4, 16 121:16, 22, 24 129:3 155:3 157:13 168:6 174:9, 12, 14 180:18 183:4 somebody's 123:1 something's 134:3 somewhat 146:20 soon 133:24 sorry 36:14 38:19	spend 71:2, 3 141:24 spent 17:8, 9, 9, 10 28:4 48:3, 5 70:24 113:3 127:2, 3 142:8, 11 Speth 86:1, 4 S-P-E-T-H 86:4 spirit 124:11 125:19 spoken 114:20 spread 146:16 staff 13:20 23:2, 18 24:5 39:12 70:4 126:11 stand 52:9 121:22 156:18 158:1 173:2 standpoint 67:18 107:19 start 39:12, 18 46:18 102:25 113:18 118:5	stemming 177:23 stenographically 187:8 step 70:1 Steve 24:17 49:9 73:1 130:4, 20, 21 131:9, 19 134:20, 23 135:22 138:25 150:5 165:11 168:4 Steve's 76:23 134:21 stick 125:22 stiff 150:10 stint 59:15 stock 23:4 26:1 120:11 127:22 128:1 137:10 141:1, 1, 7, 9, 14 148:7 150:24 152:19, 25 153:3 169:18 stockholders 145:7

staniag 02.21 25	augaast 65.1	gwinod 22.5	25 121.6 144.10
stories 93:21, 25	suggest 65:1 103:18 184:21	swiped 33:5 switched 43:15	25 131:6 144: <i>19</i> 149:7 153:20 155:9
98:9			
story 87:9	Summer 19:5 20:7,	sworn 5:4 6:6 187:4	164: <i>19</i> 167:25 171: <i>1</i> 180: <i>1</i>
straight 18:21 38:6	11 21:10 26:4,8		
strategy 50:25	40:12 180:15, 16	symptomatic 102:21, 23	tanks 32:24
141: <i>15</i> , 20 143:7, <i>16</i>	183:22		target 27:25 28:1
streak 174:8	sun 98:6	system 12:3, 10	48:5
stream 113:2 151:7,	Sunset 3:19	22:17 37:16 141:17	TAYLOR 3:18
9, 24, 25 169:8	super 174:22	146:14	teacher 154:21
streams 168:10	supervisor 10:10	systems 98:2	team 13:21 14:1, 2
Street 3:13, 15	supervisory 44:12		22:22 29:16, 20, 23
124:17 169:21	supplement 13:20	< T >	32:6 37:3, 6, 10, 19,
170:6	supplemental 119:18	tab 34:6	22 49:18, 18, 22
Street's 169:19	supplemented 14:1	table 95:12 97:3	51:3 54:15 65:10
stress 52:22 175:23	supplied 80:17	tag 50:13	66:10 74:21 75:5
176:18	supplies 91:22	take 6:1, 4 10:14	80:7 92:21 94:25
stressed 53:1	supply 66:5	31:15 35:25 40:2	100:17 102:15
178:16	support 13:21	45:17, 20 50:8	158:5 166:18 172:9,
strictly 15:23 16:23	22:24 79:15 128:14	51:13 55:17, 20	19
stripped 176:3	157:25 171:21, 24	58:16, 24 65:4 96:5	team's 66:24 103:10
STROM 3:6	172:1 173:16	105:19 115:25	technical 14:3, 8
structure 23:17	supported 34:12	131:23 140:16, 17	technology 13:24
structured 22:8	166:17	144:24 145:7	19:2 <i>1</i> 87: <i>13</i>
struggling 164:12	supporting 79:22	147:25 159:2 <i>1</i>	Ted 86:1, 4
stuff 17:2 52:7	supportive 114:17	162:5 184:7	tell 21:20, 21 22:10
119:2 <i>1</i> 140:8 153:5	165:19 173:12	taken 5:11 40:12	25:5 34:17 41:16,
162: <i>13</i> 163: <i>12</i>	supports 79:20	94:11 105:21 148:8	19,22 43:21 46:5,8
174:14	112:10	154:19 158:17	64: <i>6</i> , <i>23</i> 69: <i>10</i>
subject 143: <i>3</i>	supposed 17:5, 12	162: <i>13</i> 184:9, <i>17</i>	80:23 81:1, 2, 20
185:16, 19	20:24 43:4 54:9	187:6	82:13 97:8 115:23
submitted 77:4	60:18 76:3 81:17	takes 56:22, 24	120:20, 23 124:18
subpoena 64:6	94:20 101:3 111:18	57:11	125:11, 16, 18, 19
83:19 181:24, 25	147:4	talk 16:16 18:22	126:4, 14 134:5, 9
subpoenaed 7:18	supposedly 27:10	46:7 50:25 59:1	138:16 139:5
82:7	34:15	60:6 77:1 82:7,8	154:10, 17 160:9
subscribe 187:11	sure 23:19 28:5, 8	83:19 84:7, 8 93:25	173:17 177:3
subsidiaries 14:11	43:7 65:22 87:20	117:7, 16 143:6, 15	184:20
15:19	106:21 123:13	155:3 156:4, 10	telling 71:14 93:21,
subsidiary 15:18	142:20 156:13	161:25 164:7	23 115:18, 21 116:5
16:4	164:24 174:19	172:10 176:11	118:14 124:25
substance 64:17	177:18	184: <i>1</i>	128:14 134:2 139:5
102:7	surprise 46:10	talked 13:2, 7 15:1	160: <i>12</i> 171:2 <i>1</i>
substancewise 63:19	surprised 149:18	32:14 41:1 76:24	173:13 178:18
success 22:24	suspicious 31:15	88: <i>1</i> 101:20 128: <i>11</i>	tells 56:15 136:22
successful 94:14	sustainability 60:20	140:14 154:21	ten 68:10 123:10
120:7 167:2, 9	SWAG 98:6	163:8 168: <i>3</i> , <i>11</i>	tend 157:25
sudden 139:23	Swan 38:21, 22	182:25 184: <i>12</i>	tended 14:14
168:22	S-W-A-N 38:21	talking 5:25 26:21	tenth 17:6
sue 161:9	swipe 33:2, 9	36:21 42:14 54:25	term 46:13 83:12
		77:12 95:21 102:17,	98:8 172:18

townshad 16.2	14.17 10.10 22.10	th read 16.5 15.6	77.12 91.6 95.24
terminated 46:2	14:17 18:18 23:18	three 16:5 45:6	77:13 81:6 85:24
49:1	25:9 34:2 35:6	46:15 77:7 101:8	117:16 128:10
terminology 58:24	39:5, 16 40:14, 15	104:4	171:1, 24 173:13
terms 40:23 83:17	42:10 44:4 45:21,	three-year 23:12	178:1,23 183:20
84:6 165:23, 24	22 52:8 54:20	throat 98:19 99:1	184: <i>13</i> 185:5
166:15, 21, 25	55:14, 22 57:23	Thumb 4:8	told 21:22 22:4
173:24	58:1 59:10, 22	tie 143:16	24:23 25:7 32:17
terribly 52:2	61:15 62:23 63:2,4	tied 22:20 127:25	38:5 39:1 47:7
TERRY 3:5	72:17 73:17 75:17	141:9 143:12	58:16 74:19,20
test 24:24 148:23	77:6, 8 78:10 81:15,	ties 79:23	87:10 95:2, 8 96:4,
180: <i>13</i> 184:7	18 84:1,2 86:4	tight 87:18	20 116:1 122:12
testified 5:5 46:21	88:13, 13, 18 92:16	TIME 1:15 6:21	123:14, 19 131:25
88:9	95:10 98:8 104:8	11:11 17:4, 5, 6, 7, 8,	132:1, 5 133:6, 7
testify 51:23 62:7	109:7 113:5 116:13,	9, 9, 11, 12, 14 18:9,	136:2 160:3
84:17 88:15 187:4	21, 21 117:4, 6, 17	10 24:11 25:8, 15	tongue 25:17
testimony 6:7, 10	118:12, 22, 23 121:2	26:3, 8 28:8, 17	tooth 33:17
7:19 46:25 47:1	122:15 129:3	31:23 35:19 39:23	top 24:7
			_ _
51:17, 18, 22 52:1, 4,	130:18, 19 131:21	43:14, 18 44:8, 13,	topic 43:24 98:9
6 53:19 54:10, 17	136:16 138:3, 9	14,20 45:5 48:20	126:3 179:11
61:4, 8, 10, 13, 20, 23	139:4 152:21, 21	50:25 51:2 56:24	topics 62:6
62:13, 16 63:3, 12	153:1, 1, 2, 10	57:8, 12 58:12 72:1	tore 177:8
64:10 65:11, 16, 21	154:10, 12, 22	76:2, 6, 8 78:4	total 51:13 68:5
66:21 67:5, 12, 20,	157:10, 12, 18	85:20 90:7 91:2,4	71:8, 10, 11 77:11
21 68:1, 23 69:15	160:18 161:6, 14, 23,	98:1 109:5 114:9	148:9
72:21 76:4, 14, 17,	24 165:22 166:22	116:2 118:3, 3	totally 54:10 119:25
23, 25 77:1, 3, 9	167:4, 4, 7, 10	120:24 124:2	touch 123:12 126:2
78:4 80:2 86:8, 12	170:11, 22 172:4, 11,	129: <i>13</i> 133:21	touched 62:11 76:1
88:17 92:15 118:6	21, 25 173:6, 20	135:6 137:1, 24	162:3
119: <i>1</i> 178: <i>1</i> 187:6,	174:3 175:15	142:15 153:18	tour 21:18
9	176:21 178:23	154: <i>4</i> 155: <i>1</i> , <i>12</i>	trace 34:23
thank 158:3	179:7 180:15	159: <i>13</i> 166: <i>13</i>	track 53:25
thereof 187:17	181:2 <i>1</i> , 2 <i>3</i> 182:2, <i>14</i> ,	170:24 175:3	tradition 112:2
thing 19:8 25:7	24 183:6	179: <i>11</i> 184: <i>16</i> , <i>21</i>	trailer 29:2
38: <i>4</i> 56: <i>3</i> 84: <i>17</i>	thinking 79:16	187: <i>6</i> , <i>7</i> , <i>10</i>	trained 70:9
111:12 120:14, 18	109:15 173:5	timeline 8:15 68:14	training 91:18 98:2
122: <i>13</i> 125: <i>16</i> , <i>18</i> ,	thinks 131:18	134: <i>14</i>	transactions 103:14,
20 126:13, 20	thought 47:13	timelines 85:11, 13	15
139:20 140:10	50:20 53:8, 20	86:5	transcribed 187:8
148:9 159:6 160:21	79:20 103:8 114:21,	times 29:20 37:19	transcript 185:18,
161:7 165:4, 5	22 116:5 121:4	57:8, <i>11</i> 104:5	24, 25
things 24:22 35:20,	122:10 123:23	128:10	Transcription 4:7
23 40:6 42:11 43:2	138:15 142:16	Timmerman 24:15	187:8
52:11 53:5 67:24	149:22 154: <i>14</i>	39:1 44:24 86:22	transmission 15:22,
74:19 91:18 92:5	155:6 158:25	91:5	25 16:8 45:15, 17,
94:3 98:4 101:10,	159:16 181:8	title 18:24 44:7	24 70:18 71:6
12 104:1 118:13	thousands 27:6, 6	titled 41:6, 7 54:5	trash 53:14
132:14 158:24	36:18 42:18 102:25	titles 36:22 92:8	treat 175:1
171:4 174:24	threatened 99:2	today 5:18 6:1, 7,	treated 34:16
think 5:24 8:20		10, 15, 15, 17, 22	185:25
9:2, 11 11:12, 13, 14		7:18 8:1 25:1	
	1	I	1

tremendous 149:16	79:20, 25 105:12	146:23 160:18	verify 106:19
tried 91:21	117:3, 4 124:3	184: <i>12</i>	107:22
tries 94:20	129: <i>4</i> 143:2 <i>3</i>	understood 54:11	verse 172:19
triggered 25:24	148: <i>15</i> 155: <i>9</i> , <i>15</i>	88:23 110:25 116:7	versus 20:17, 18
109:19 153:24	169:7, <i>8</i> , <i>13</i> 182:9	undertaken 149:8	87: <i>19</i> 93: <i>3</i> 180: <i>1</i>
triggering 26:17	type 29:15 34:25	underway 120:9	Vice 8:12, 18 10:17
troubling 176:13	57:2 <i>4</i> 101: <i>12</i> 169: <i>1</i>	unfamiliar 22:17	44:9 45:1 91:3,6
trucks 29:4	typed 106:19	unfortunately 30:5,	121:17
true 187:9	types 28:24 89:1, 11	8	view 38:12 39:4
trust 132:12		Unit 14:5 19:10, 11,	viewpoint 119:3
trusted 53:11	< U >	13, 15, 17, 25 20:4	views 164:21
truth 127:9, 12, 14	uh-huh 5:21 12:17	45:13 78:2 86:25	vindicated 178:25
128:3 134:3, 5	30:18 41:3 43:12	136:2, 3, 4 174:17,	violate 84:2
139:25 187:5, 5, 5	44:2 53:22 62:18	20	violated 154:12
try 6:14 33:20	69:7 70:6 75:12,25	Units 19:9, 14, 25	violation 83:22
34:3 36:15 39:21	76:5 80:4, 14 82:3	20:1, 4, 17 26:4	visit 38:25
58:3 84:25 85:1	83:13, 16 88:3 89:3,	45:18	Vogtle 167:9
88:22 92:6 97:1, 23	12 91:20 92:16	unpaid 84:3	voice 4:7, 8 96:20,
103:18, 23 110:6	94:2 103:16 108:13,	unquote 129:12	24 97:8, 12 106:10,
119:23 132:2	16 116:18 119:5	untrue 133:20	11, 12 107:5, 14
133:11 153:14	132:25 136:6	unusual 170:11	108:20, 23 115:13
155:4 160:17	143:21 150:19, 25	update 35:22	127:7 134:19
162:23	162:18 163:19	updated 4:6 69:13	171:19 184:2 186:9
trying 8:15 11:25	167:16 170:18, 18	70:11	volume 99:24
12:2 13:3, 7 14:17	Uhm 156:3	upfront 126:24	VP 8:20 58:15, 19
18:5 20:10, 14, 15	uh-uh 5:22 65:13	upset 63:20 157:18	59:6 60:1 124:22
21:13 24:18 26:19	129:9 135:10	upside 133:7	157:10 159:4
28:5, 8 30:22 32:6	157:25 183:10, 19	upstanding 74:8	161:11 VD- 129-22
34:21 40:5, 22	184:8, <i>10</i>	use 6:2 11:16	VPs 128:22
44:25 52:25 70:8	ultimately 66:13	12:20 27:1 33:2	vs 1:7
87:13 88:25 91:23	70: <i>3</i>	85:16 167:19	- W -
94:11, 23 95:17	umbrella 11:1	users 16:1 usually 32:2, 11	< W > wait 9:16 151:21
96: <i>1</i> 100:9 101: <i>11</i> 108: <i>3</i> 117:22	unable 117:7	•	waive 64:2
124:18 127:21	uncomfortable 46:24 underlie 67:21	utilities 148: <i>12</i> utility 13: <i>15</i> 14:8	waived 187:14
139:22 143:8	undermining 94:10	60:20 143:10, 19, 19	walk 33:4 183:2
163:21 164:14, 15	underneath 45:3	148:19 154:25	walked 50:16 117:6
171:22 172:17	underperformed	148.19 154.25	125:25
turbine 87:19	167:6	< V >	WALKER 1:3, 12
turn 98:18 129:1	understand 18:5	various 41:5 180: <i>3</i>	3:24 5:3, 8 7:1
168:19 178:11, 19	50:19 53:5 64:16	VC 19:5 20:7, 11	40:5 69:2 105:24
turned 162:12	84:10 104:8 133:20	21:9 26:4, 8 40:12	108:11 176:15
178:11, 17	136:1 138:4 160:22	183:22	178:13 180:11, 12
turning 120:12	165:16 174:3	vehicles 32:13, 15, 20	181:4
twice 150:6	177:17 185:6	vendor 105:7	walking 109:16
two 10:4 19:14, 21,	understanding 5:11	vendors 98:12	walks 95:25
25 22:1 <i>3</i> , 20 32:2	6: <i>11</i> , 20 12:3 27:19	99:16 100:16	Wall 169:19, 21
37:14 38:11, 12, 15	60:13 73:25 90:11,	103:12	170:6
39:6 52:13 53:14	17 114:1 119:24	verbalize 5:20	walls 163:23
56:24 61:14 62:6	140:16 142:5 143:7		164:12, 14, 16
			,_,_,_,

	-		1
want 7:23 9:11	weeks 47:9 51:15,	24:25 42:14 48:17	187:4, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13,
22:2 34:18 36:24	<i>15</i> 52: <i>13</i> 125:24	54:25 70:13 77:12	18
43:7 44:11 46:10	129:4	89: <i>13</i> 102: <i>17</i>	witnesses 164:5
48:19 57:20 59:1	weight 52:12, 12	120:19 126:2, 3	wondered 139:14
60:10 62:12 73:20	178:15	139:15 144:11,21	word 40:21 65:14
81:18, 21 83:2 90:9,	Well 12:9 13:15	145:22 146:1, 25	163:16, 18 175:25
10 94:14 99:10,11	18:20 20:21 24:13	147:8 157:2 162:23	words 82:14 90:10
105:10 106:1, 20	27:22 29:16 30:4	184:17, 19 185:9	100:16 167:12
107:18 117:13	32:18 33:21 37:7	West 3:19	work 6:17 11:17
119:23 120:14	38:12 43:17, 23	WESTBROOK 3:1	17:17, 20, 24 18:11,
125:4, 22 127:8, 10	45:7 46:7 49:13	Westinghouse 27:5	14, 17 26:10 29:15,
129:11 131:2 132:1	51:6, 8 56:6, 15	31:21 42:2 50:8, 12	20, 24 30:21 31:4, 6
		,	
138:5, 22 149:19	57:3 60:18 61:10	53:21 57:21 59:7	35:21 39:20 47:1
153:14 155:4 156:3,	70:16 71:10 77:15	73:22 77:16 79:10,	51:20 55:11,17
9 157:5 158:13, 17,	82:5, 16 83:2 94:5	17 98:15, 17 99:20	64:24 67:7, 8 70:2
20 160:17 162:14	95:5 98: <i>14</i> 104: <i>13</i> ,	111:4 127:5 158:20	78:15 79:14 94:25
165:11, 12, 17	18,20 106:17 108:4	159:2 160:6 161:4	97:17 101:6 102:3,
167:20 173:23, 25	112:8 118:25	163: <i>13</i> 165: <i>1</i>	9, 14, 15 115:1
176:8 177:17	120: <i>19</i> , <i>23</i> 121:2 <i>1</i>	168: <i>12</i>	116: <i>3</i> , <i>25</i> 125: <i>1</i>
wanted 16:10	122:5 123:4, 5, 7	Westinghouse's	135:2 158:19, 22
31:14 35:2 36:19	125:9, 11 126:10	47:19 111:14	159: <i>19</i> 160:8, <i>10</i>
53:23 58:13 65:14	131:12 133:14	112:16 126:22	178:3 184:6
73:4, 13 81:8, 10	137: <i>13</i> 140: <i>14</i>	we've 12: <i>1</i> 13:6	workaround 77:19
82:17, 24 85:17	141: <i>15</i> 144:6, <i>9</i> , <i>16</i> ,	19:4 33:22 36:16	worked 11:4, 8
99: <i>10</i> 105: <i>3</i> , 8	23 145:12, 20	62:11 75:19 76:24	12:3 16:6 27:10
115:16 128:12	146:20 147:7 148:2	83:9 85:7 88:1	37:17 64:21 88:1
162: <i>13</i>	150:22 152:17	95:3 96:4,7 105:18	117:3, 4 175:4, 9
wants 7:10 165:1	155:4 159: <i>11</i> 161:9	128:11 145:21	working 17:15
war 93:21, 25 98:9,	165:6 167:4 169:5,	162:3 170:25	37:14 55:10 80:8
11	23 171:16 174:7	184:15, 22	95:17 97:10 117:2
watch 32:19 175:11	176:10, 20, 25	whichever 31:21	118:25 159:5, 23
watched 47:5 74:15	177:16 178:10	white 95:20 120:23	works 62:21 107:9
watchful 117:5	179:25 183:5, 20	Wicker 41:24, 25	135:24
water 6:3 93:17	186:5	45:10	worried 52:20
124:6	went 19:18 24:13	wife 176:20	worrying 52:11
watered 146:13	32:23 35:20 38:6,	wilderness 171:20	worst 47:16 160:10
way 20:20 32:25	10, 24, 25 39:2	willing 148:7	worth 145:25 146:2
34: <i>1</i> 75: <i>17</i> 81: <i>14</i>	43:18 45:23 46:7,	Willoughby 62:19,	wow 93:23
93:19 97:23 107:16	18 48:20 49:13, 16,	23 63:3, 6 64:13	wrap 185: <i>3</i>
118:11 126:8	21 50:15 51:12, 21	winter 67: <i>1</i>	wrapped 166:5
134:13 146:12	52:2, 5 59:16 92:15	wished 111:13	wrists 124:25
157:16 160:25	93:6 95:1,9 96:25	witch 124:16	writing 15:2 80:24
163:7 173:15	101:24 102:1	withhold 165:18, 25	89:13 151:20, 22
174:10 175:1	112:25 113:5, 12	withholding 165:9	written 41:2 65:19
177:19, 25	124:13 137:18	within-entitled 187:5	77:4 79:14, 14 81:2
ways 143:23	140:6 150:13	WITNESS 3:17	92:7 157:23 165:19
wear 117:5	155:24 156:6 158:7	7:15 13:6 24:18	182:11, 21
weather 169:9	177:6	52:9 63:24 64:1, 15	wrong 43:3 52:19,
Webster 39:16	we're 6:21 10:19	76:11 96:11, 17	<i>21</i> 93:7 122:6
WEC 39:7 79:9	12:2, 2 18:22 20:14	180:9 185:6, 13	
	12.2,2 10.22 20.17	100.7 105.0,15	

160:14 173:14 174:10 wrote 51:16 63:3 123:23 WWW.CLARK-ASS OCIATES.COM 1:25 < Y > yard 56:21, 23, 25 Yeah 7:15 11:25 15:6 22:19 25:20 44:19 55:19 69:12 78:9, 25 79:18 80:1 81:7 83:23 95:2 101:22 111:9 120:5 129:17 136:1 138:20 139:10 143:2 147:23 148:20 152:21 153:1 155:11 157:22 161:5, 22 164:4, 18, 23 166:6 167:18 176:14 178:15 179:17 181:5 182:14 183:6, 6, 7 184:23 year 9:21 23:13, 15 26:19 47:3 48:23 52:14 70:20 88:17 104:19 105:1 109:9 112:7, 11 150:6 151:6, 8, 16, 16, 17 152:15 154:20 156:2, 14, 15 169:6, 13 180:15, 16 year-end 26:1, 1 years 8:20 9:3, 12 10:4 16:5, 7 38:11 40:14 53:14 58:9 70:25 71:1 88:9 122:24 123:2, 10 155:10, 15 174:16 177:1 yellow 54:13 82:25 83:1, 4 85:6 182:16 Yep 117:12 you-all 53:19 96:1 115:18 156:11	158:14 164:2 you-all's 157:4 < Z > zeroe 16:21, 24 145:2 zeroed 17:21 Ziegler 63:1 76:21 zombie 117:7	
I		

WORD LIST	2015 (28)	70s (1)	addition (1)
<u> </u>	2016 (12)	73129 (1)	additional (1)
< \$ >	2017 (3)	75 (<i>l</i>)	address (3)
\$1.2 (<i>1</i>)	2017-CP-40-04833	7th (1)	addressed (1)
\$100 (6)			addresses (2)
\$100,000 (<i>l</i>)	2018 (2)	< 8 >	adjust (1)
\$200 (1)	2010 (2) 2024 (1)	800 (1)	adjusted (1)
\$25 (<i>1</i>)	2024 (1) 2110 (1)	83 (2)	adjustment (1)
\$300 (1)	$2110^{(1)}$ 22 (1)	84 (1)	administration (3)
\$4.6 (1)	235(1)	843-762-6294 (1)	advance (1)
\$400,000 (<i>1</i>)	$233^{(1)}$ 24 (1)		advanced (1)
\$450,000 (1) \$450,000 (1)	24 (1) 25 (2)	< 9 >	affixed (2)
\$ 5 (6)	29169 (1)	9:21 (<i>l</i>)	afternoon (1)
\$ 500 (<i>3</i>)	2910 (1) 29204 (1)	90 (<i>l</i>)	AFUDC (1)
\$60 (1)	29204 (1) 29415 (1)	90 (1)	agenda (2)
\$698 (1)	29730 (1)	< A >	Agent (2)
	$\begin{array}{c} 29730 & (1) \\ 29812 & (1) \end{array}$	abandon (1)	
\$8 (1) \$9 (2)	29812 (1)	abandoned (2)	agents (1)
	< 3 >		ago (l)
\$9.6 (1)		ability (2)	agree (5)
<1>	3 (15)	able (28)	agreed (14)
	$\begin{bmatrix} 30 & (5) \\ 30300 & (1) \end{bmatrix}$	absence (1)	agreeing (1)
1 (31)	30309 (1)	absolutely (4)	agreement (9)
1.2 (l)	30th (2)	accepted (1)	ahead (5)
$\begin{array}{ccc} 1:30 & (2) \\ 1:32 & (1) \end{array}$	35 (2)	access (2)	alarm (1)
1:33 (l)	< 4 >	accomplish (1)	alligators (1)
10 (3)		account (1)	allow (1)
100 (9) 106 (1)	4 (4)	accountant (5)	allowed (4)
106 (l)	4.6 (1)	accountants (4)	almighty (3)
1180 (l)	40 (7)	accountant's (1)	alternatives (1)
12 (10)	47 (<i>1</i>)	accounting (11)	amount (13)
120 (l)		accurate (5)	amounts (8)
1368 (<i>l</i>)	< 5 >	accurately (1)	analysis (3)
15 (5) 16 (6)	5 (4)	achieve (1)	announced (1)
16 (6) 17 (1)	50 (5)	achieved (1)	annual (4)
17 (<i>l</i>) 1700 (<i>l</i>)		achieving (1)	anomaly (1)
1700 (l)	<6>	acknowledge (1)	answer (3)
18 (l)	6 (7)	acknowledged (1)	answering (1)
186 (1) 1 (1)	6,547,124 (1)	acknowledging (1)	answers (1)
1st (1)	6.5 (1)	Act (3)	anybody (16)
< 2 >	$\begin{bmatrix} 60 & (1) \\ 600 & (1) \end{bmatrix}$	acted (2)	anymore (3)
	600 (1)	action (1)	anyway (4)
2 (23)	64 (1) 69 (1)	actions (2)	apart (l)
20 (5)		activity (1)	apparently (1)
2000s (2) 2005 (1)	698 (4)	actual (3)	appeal (2)
2005 (<i>1</i>) 2007 (<i>1</i>)	~7 \	actuals (1)	appeared (1)
2007 (<i>l</i>) 2010 (<i>l</i>)	< 7 >	add (7)	APPEARING (4)
2010 (<i>l</i>) 2012 (5)	$\begin{bmatrix} 7 & (2) \\ 70 & (2) \end{bmatrix}$	added (4)	application (1)
2012 (5) 2014 (1)	70 (2)	adding (2)	appreciate (1)
2014 (<i>l</i>)	700,000 (2)	Addison (24)	approach (3)

appropriate (2)	audits (3)	benefits (6)	Box (5)
approval (2)	augment (1)	berated (1)	boxes (1)
approve (4)	August (1)	best (9)	break (7)
approved (6)	Augusta (1)	best (5) bet (4)	breakdown (3)
approximate (2)	authority (6)	better (7)	breaking (1)
approximately (2)	automatically (1)		BRICKMAN (1)
APRIL (4)	availability (1)	bet-the-company-type	bridge (1)
Archie (14)	availed (1)	(1)	briefed (1)
area (6)	aware (7)	beyond (2)	bring (7)
areas (3)	awful (3)	biased (2)	bringing (4)
AREVA (1)	awkward (1)	big (10)	BRLA (2)
argue (1)		bigger (6)	broke (2)
argued (1)	< B >	biggest (1)	broken (4)
arguing (2)	back (61)	big-picture (1)	brought (8)
argument (5)	background (1)	Bill (12)	Browne (13)
	bad (8)	billables (1)	Browne's (1)
$\begin{array}{c} \text{army} (1) \\ \text{areas} (1) \end{array}$		billed (7)	
arose (1)	badgering (1)		BRYONY (1)
arrangements (1)	bag (1)	Billie (1)	budget (11)
arrogance (l)	balance (2)	billing (10)	budgeted (2)
Arrogant (2)	ballpark (1)	billings (5)	budgeting (1)
articles (1)	BALSER (7)	billion (20)	build (14)
artificially (1)	bang (1)	billions (1)	building (6)
asked (9)	bankrupt (1)	bills (1)	building's (2)
asking (10)	Barnwell (1)	bit (10)	buildup (1)
aspect (2)	base (6)	bite (2)	built (4)
aspects (1)	based (19)	blackballed (1)	bullshit (1)
ass (2)	baseline (1)	BlackBerry (1)	bumped (1)
asset (1)	baseload (2)	block (2)	bunch (4)
assets (1)	bases (1)	BLRA (4)	burly (1)
assigned (1)	basically (6)	board (11)	business (3)
ASSISTANT (5)	basis (4)	boasting (1)	buying (1)
associated (5)	battle (1)	body (1)	Byrne (11)
ASSOCIATES (1)	battles (1)	boils (2)	$\mathbf{B-Y-R-N-E} (1)$
assume (1)	beach (1)	bold (1)	~
Atlanta (1)	bearing (1)	Boling (2)	< C >
attempt (1)	bears (2)	B-O-L-I-N-G (1)	cake (1)
attendance (3)	Bechtel (3)	bonus (23)	calculate (2)
attended (1)	becoming (2)	bonuses (10)	calculated (3)
attention (1)	bedrock (1)	books (4)	calculating (1)
attitude (1)	beginning (3)	borderline (1)	calculation (5)
attorney (8)	behalf (6)	bore (1)	calculations (2)
attorney-client (3)	belief (3)	bored (2)	calendar (1)
attorneys (6)	believe (44)	borrow (1)	call (10)
audio (4)	believed (3)	boss (5)	called (12)
audit (25)	belongs (1)	bosses (1)	calling (2)
audited (3)	Beltline (1)	bottom (1)	calls (5)
auditing (2)	Belton (2)	bought (3)	capital (19)
auditor (3)	beneficial (1)	Boulevard (2)	car (1)
auditors (1)	benefit (5)	bounds (1)	card (2)

cards (1)	Cherry (7)	committee (2)	consultants (1)
care (2)	chief (6)	commodities (1)	constituints (1) contact (2)
career (1)	choice (3)	COMMON (3)	containing (1)
CARLETTE (15)	choose (2)	communication (2)	content (1)
CAROLINA (23)	church (1)	communication (2) communications (1)	contents (1)
carried (1)	circled (1)	companies (4)	contest (1)
carry (2)	citizen (1)	COMPANY (48)	continue (6)
cars (5)	clarification (1)	company's (2)	continued (2)
Carter (3)	clarify (1)	company-type (1)	continues (4)
Case (11)	CLARK (1)	compare (1)	contract (27)
cases (1)	Clary (9)	compared (2)	contracted (1)
Casey (2)	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{Y} (1) \end{array}$	comparison (1)	contractor (5)
\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{Y} (1)	Clary's (1)	compensated (2)	contractors (2)
Cash (9)	class (2)	compensation (7)	contracts (1)
catch (1)	clean (1)	complaints (2)	control (5)
caught (1)	clear (2)	complete (10)	controller (9)
cause (3)	clearly (1)	completed (4)	controls (5)
causing (2)	CLECKLEY (1)	completely (1)	controversies (1)
CB (15)	clerk (1)	completion (2)	controversy (1)
cell (1)	climb (1)	compliance (7)	conversation (4)
cents (2)	climbed (1)	component (3)	conversations (4)
CEO (18)	close (3)	components (2)	convoluted (2)
certain (2)	closed (2)	composure (3)	COO (1)
certainly (2)	closer (2)	computer-aided (1)	Cooper (21)
CERTIFICATE (1)	cloth (2)	concern (2)	cooperate (1)
Certified (2)	coal (1)	concerns (9)	Cooper's (2)
certify (3)	coal-burning (2)	concluded (1)	copied (1)
CFO (10)	coals (1)	conclusion (2)	copy (11)
challenge (2)	Coffer (2)	concrete (6)	core (<i>1</i>)
Champion (1)	$\mathbf{C-O-F-F-E-R} (1)$	condition (3)	corporate (10)
chance (3)	coincidence (1)	CONFIDENTIAL	correct (14)
change (11)	cold (2)	(3)	corrections (1)
changed (3)	collaborate (1)	confidentiality (2)	correctly (1)
changes (3)	collaboratively (1)	confrontation (1)	cortisone (1)
changing (1)	collected (1)	confrontational (3)	cost (32)
character (1)	college (2)	confrontations (1)	cost-plus (8)
characterize (1)	color (1)	confused (1)	costs (<i>36</i>)
charge (13)	COLUMBIA (3)	connection (1)	COUNSEL (6)
charged (2)	column (1)	consider (2)	count (1)
charges (2)	come (28)	consideration (1)	counted (2)
charging (1)	comes (3)	considered (1)	COUNTY (4)
Charles (3)	comfortable (1)	consolidate (1)	couple (5)
Charleston (5)	coming (13)	consolidated (1)	Courier (1)
Charlotte (2)	command (2)	consortium (8)	Courier's (1)
chart (1)	comment (3)	consortium-oriented	course (2)
chase (1)	comments (4)	(1)	COURT (9)
cheated (1)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{commission} (9) \\ \text{commission on} (1) \end{array}$	constructing (1)	Courtney (2)
check (3)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{commissioner} (1) \\ \text{commissioners} (1) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{construction} (21) \\ \text{consultant} (2) \end{array}$	cover (1)
checking (2)	commissioners (1)	consultant (2)	covered (4)

CPA (3)	dates (3)	differently (1)	
CPE (2)	Dave (1)	dig (1)	< E >
craft (3)	\mathbf{DAVID} (2)	direct (1)	earlier (8)
crappy (1)	day (9)	direction (1)	early (8)
crazy (6)	days (4)	directly (2)	earn (3)
created (3)	deal (3)	directors (1)	earning (1)
Creating (1)	dealing (1)	disagreed (1)	earnings (16)
credit (2)	deathly (1)	disagreements (1)	easiest (1)
credited (1)	decide (1)	disappointing (1)	educate (2)
crew (1)	decided (4)	discovered (2)	educated (1)
criminal (2)	deciding (2)	discovery (1)	effect (7)
criteria (1)	decision (11)	discretion (3)	effort (2)
criticism (1)	decision-making (3)	discuss (1)	efforts (5)
	C	discussed (3)	. ,
criticisms (1)	decisions (6)	discussion (4)	eight (<i>3</i>) either (<i>7</i>)
criticize (1)	deck (l)		
$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Crosby} (6) \\ \mathbf{crossymp} (1) \end{array}$	de-designated (1)	discussions (7)	elaborate (5)
crosswise (1)	deemed (1)	dishonest (2)	elected (1)
crowd (1)	Defendants (2)	disk (1)	ELECTRIC (4)
\mathbf{CRR} (2)	defray (1)	disposal (1)	electricity (6)
culture (1)	denote (1)	dispute (3)	electronic (1)
cumulative (1)	Denver (1)	disputed (15)	elements (1)
curious (1)	department (3)	disputes (3)	e-mail (13)
current (2)	departments (1)	disputing (2)	e-mailed (2)
currently (1)	DEPONENT (1)	distribution (4)	e-mails (5)
cuss (2)	depose (1)	division (2)	embracing (1)
cussed (1)	DEPOSITION (16)	document (7)	emergency (1)
customer (2)	depreciated (1)	documentary (3)	emotion (5)
customer-oriented	depth (1)	documentation (11)	emotional (2)
(1)	derogatory (1)	documented (2)	emotionally (3)
customers (15)	describe (1)	documents (24)	employed (3)
cut (6)	described (1)	doing (37)	employee (9)
cute (1)	description (2)	dollar (3)	employees (19)
cut-off (1)	deserving (1)	dollars (6)	employing (1)
CWalker (1)	design (3)	domain (1)	employment (11)
	designate (1)	do-nothing (1)	ended (6)
CWalker@scana.com	designated (2)	door (5)	endings (1)
(1)	despite (2)	double (3)	endless (1)
	destruction (1)	doubling (3)	endurance (1)
< D >	detail (4)	doubt (1)	end-user (1)
damn (1)			
	details (3)	drafting (1)	enforcement (3)
damnedest (1)	details (3) detector (1)	drafting (1) drafts (3)	engage (2)
damnedest (1) Dan (2)	details (3) detector (1) determination (1)	drafting (1) drafts (3) drive (3)	engage (2) engineer (1)
damnedest (1) Dan (2) DANIEL (4)	details (3) detector (1) determination (1) determining (1)	drafting (1) drafts (3) drive (3) drives (1)	engage (2) engineer (1) engineering (7)
damnedest (1) Dan (2)	details (3) detector (1) determination (1) determining (1) developed (2)	drafting (1) drafts (3) drive (3) drives (1) driveway (1)	engage (2) engineer (1) engineering (7) engineers (4)
damnedest (1) Dan (2) DANIEL (4) Daniels (1) dare (2)	details (3) detector (1) determination (1) determining (1) developed (2) Development (2)	drafting (1) drafts (3) drive (3) drives (1) driveway (1) driving (5)	engage (2) engineer (1) engineering (7) engineers (4) Enron (3)
damnedest (1) Dan (2) DANIEL (4) Daniels (1) dare (2) data (2)	details (3) detector (1) determination (1) determining (1) developed (2) Development (2) died (1)	drafting (1) drafts (3) drive (3) drives (1) driveway (1) driving (5) due (1)	engage (2) engineer (1) engineering (7) engineers (4) Enron (3) entered (1)
damnedest (1) Dan (2) DANIEL (4) Daniels (1) dare (2) data (2) database (2)	details (3) detector (1) determination (1) determining (1) developed (2) Development (2) died (1) diem (1)	drafting (1) drafts (3) drive (3) drives (1) driveway (1) driving (5) due (1) Duke (2)	engage (2) engineer (1) engineering (7) engineers (4) Enron (3) entered (1) entire (3)
damnedest (1) Dan (2) DANIEL (4) Daniels (1) dare (2) data (2) database (2) databases (1)	details (3) detector (1) determination (1) determining (1) developed (2) Development (2) died (1) diem (1) difference (6)	drafting (1) drafts (3) drive (3) drives (1) driveway (1) driving (5) due (1) Duke (2) duly (2)	engage (2) engineer (1) engineering (7) engineers (4) Enron (3) entered (1) entire (3) entities (4)
damnedest (1) Dan (2) DANIEL (4) Daniels (1) dare (2) data (2) database (2)	details (3) detector (1) determination (1) determining (1) developed (2) Development (2) died (1) diem (1)	drafting (1) drafts (3) drive (3) drives (1) driveway (1) driving (5) due (1) Duke (2)	engage (2) engineer (1) engineering (7) engineers (4) Enron (3) entered (1) entire (3)
damnedest (1) Dan (2) DANIEL (4) Daniels (1) dare (2) data (2) database (2) databases (1)	details (3) detector (1) determination (1) determining (1) developed (2) Development (2) died (1) diem (1) difference (6)	drafting (1) drafts (3) drive (3) drives (1) driveway (1) driving (5) due (1) Duke (2) duly (2)	engage (2) engineer (1) engineering (7) engineers (4) Enron (3) entered (1) entire (3) entities (4)

ontry (1)	expert (2)	filed (8)	frame (13)
entry (1) enviable (1)	expires (1)	files (4)	Frank (1)
environment (1)	explain (29)	filing (4)	fraudulent (3)
equipment (1)	explained (2)	filings (4)	Freedom (1)
	explaining (2)	filling (1)	fricken (3)
equity (1)		final (5)	. ,
error (1) escalation (1)	exposed (1)		Friday (1)
	express (1)	finally (4)	friendly (1)
especially (2)	extent (2)	finance (9)	front (7)
establish (2) established (1)	external (1)	financial (3)	fudging (1)
()	extrapolate (2)	financing (5)	fuel (11)
establishing (1)	extrapolation (1)	find (32)	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{full} (4) \\ \text{full time} (2) \end{array} $
estimate (1)	extremely (3)	finding (1)	full-time (2)
estimates (3)		fine (4)	functions (1)
event (1)	$<\mathbf{F}>$	finish (1)	furnish (1)
events (3)	fabricate (1)	finished (2)	furniture (1)
eventually (4)	fabrication (1)	fire (1)	further (2)
everybody (11)	faced (1)	$\mathbf{FIRM}_{(10)}$	future (2)
evidence (2)	fact (3)	first (19)	
exact (4)	factor (14)	five (17)	$\langle \mathbf{G} \rangle$
exactly (12)	factors (3)	$ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{fix} & (1) \\ \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{l} \end{array} $	$\mathbf{GA} (1)$
EXAMINATION (4)	fail (1)	fixed (4)	game (1)
examined (1)	failing (1)	fixed-price (13)	GAS (8)
example (5)	failure (2)	flabbergasted (1)	gasoline (3)
examples (4)	fair (2)	flavor (2)	gate (1)
exceeded (1)	Fairfield (4)	flow (6)	gated (2)
excel (1)	fairly (1)	flowcharts (1)	gathered (1)
excess (1)	fall (3)	flown (1)	gathering (1)
excessive (1)	familiar (5)	fluke (1)	GE (1)
Exchange (1)	family (6)	Fluor (4)	GENE (5)
e , ,			
excuse (1)	far (7)	focused (2)	GENERAL (15)
excuse (1) executed (1)	farm (2)	focused (2) focusing (1)	
excuse (1) executed (1) executive (11)		focused (2) focusing (1) folder (1)	GENERAL (15) generally (5) generated (1)
excuse (1) executed (1) executive (11) executives (25)	farm (2) fatal (1) faux (1)	focused (2) focusing (1) folder (1) follow (2)	GENERAL (15) generally (5) generated (1) generation (5)
excuse (1) executed (1) executive (11) executives (25) exhaustive (2)	farm (2) fatal (1) faux (1) FBI (11)	focused (2) focusing (1) folder (1) follow (2) followed (1)	GENERAL (15) generally (5) generated (1) generation (5) geniuses (1)
excuse (1) executed (1) executive (11) executives (25)	farm (2) fatal (1) faux (1)	focused (2) focusing (1) folder (1) follow (2)	GENERAL (15) generally (5) generated (1) generation (5)
excuse (1) executed (1) executive (11) executives (25) exhaustive (2)	farm (2) fatal (1) faux (1) FBI (11) February (2) Feckle (3)	focused (2) focusing (1) folder (1) follow (2) followed (1)	GENERAL (15) generally (5) generated (1) generation (5) geniuses (1) gentlemen (1) Georgia (2)
excuse (1) executed (1) executive (11) executives (25) exhaustive (2) Exhibit (21)	farm (2) fatal (1) faux (1) FBI (11) February (2) Feckle (3) federal (1)	focused (2) focusing (1) folder (1) follow (2) followed (1) following (3)	GENERAL (15) generally (5) generated (1) generation (5) geniuses (1) gentlemen (1)
excuse (1) executed (1) executive (11) executives (25) exhaustive (2) Exhibit (21) EXHIBITS (1)	farm (2) fatal (1) faux (1) FBI (11) February (2) Feckle (3)	focused (2) focusing (1) folder (1) follow (2) followed (1) following (3) follows (1)	GENERAL (15) generally (5) generated (1) generation (5) geniuses (1) gentlemen (1) Georgia (2) getting (16) Gilespie (1)
excuse (1) executed (1) executive (11) executives (25) exhaustive (2) Exhibit (21) EXHIBITS (1) exist (1) exit (2) expect (4)	farm (2) fatal (1) faux (1) FBI (11) February (2) Feckle (3) federal (1) feedback (2) feel (10)	focused (2) focusing (1) folder (1) follow (2) followed (1) following (3) follows (1) follow-up (1) footprint (5) forecasting (1)	GENERAL (15) generally (5) generated (1) generation (5) geniuses (1) gentlemen (1) Georgia (2) getting (16)
excuse (1) executed (1) executive (11) executives (25) exhaustive (2) Exhibit (21) EXHIBITS (1) exist (1) exit (2)	farm (2) fatal (1) faux (1) FBI (11) February (2) Feckle (3) federal (1) feedback (2) feel (10) feelings (1)	focused (2) focusing (1) folder (1) follow (2) followed (1) following (3) follows (1) follow-up (1) footprint (5)	GENERAL (15) generally (5) generated (1) generation (5) geniuses (1) gentlemen (1) Georgia (2) getting (16) Gilespie (1)
excuse (1) executed (1) executive (11) executives (25) exhaustive (2) Exhibit (21) EXHIBITS (1) exist (1) exit (2) expect (4)	farm (2) fatal (1) faux (1) FBI (11) February (2) Feckle (3) federal (1) feedback (2) feel (10)	focused (2) focusing (1) folder (1) follow (2) followed (1) following (3) follows (1) follow-up (1) footprint (5) forecasting (1) foregoing (2) form (1)	GENERAL (15) generally (5) generated (1) generation (5) geniuses (1) gentlemen (1) Georgia (2) getting (16) Gilespie (1) Gina (1)
excuse (1) executed (1) executive (11) executives (25) exhaustive (2) Exhibit (21) EXHIBITS (1) exist (1) exist (2) expect (4) expect (2)	farm (2) fatal (1) faux (1) FBI (11) February (2) Feckle (3) federal (1) feedback (2) feel (10) feelings (1)	focused (2) focusing (1) folder (1) follow (2) followed (1) following (3) follows (1) follow-up (1) footprint (5) forecasting (1) foregoing (2)	GENERAL (15) generally (5) generated (1) generation (5) geniuses (1) gentlemen (1) Georgia (2) getting (16) Gilespie (1) Gina (1) give (26)
excuse (1) executed (1) executive (11) executives (25) exhaustive (2) Exhibit (21) EXHIBITS (1) exist (1) exist (2) expect (4) expectation (2) expectations (2)	farm (2) fatal (1) faux (1) FBI (11) February (2) Feckle (3) federal (1) feedback (2) feel (10) feelings (1) fell (1)	focused (2) focusing (1) folder (1) follow (2) followed (1) following (3) follows (1) follow-up (1) footprint (5) forecasting (1) foregoing (2) form (1) formal (1) former (1)	GENERAL (15) generally (5) generated (1) generation (5) geniuses (1) gentlemen (1) Georgia (2) getting (16) Gilespie (1) Gina (1) give (26) given (24)
excuse (1) executed (1) executive (11) executives (25) exhaustive (2) Exhibit (21) EXHIBITS (1) exist (1) exist (2) expect (4) expectation (2) expectations (2) expected (3) expecting (1) expenditures (4)	farm (2) fatal (1) faux (1) FBI (11) February (2) Feckle (3) federal (1) feedback (2) feel (10) feelings (1) fell (1) fellow (1) felt (12) fencepost (1)	focused (2) focusing (1) folder (1) follow (2) followed (1) following (3) follow-up (1) follow-up (1) footprint (5) forecasting (1) foregoing (2) form (1) formal (1) former (1) forth (1)	GENERAL (15) generatly (5) generated (1) generation (5) geniuses (1) gentlemen (1) Georgia (2) getting (16) Gilespie (1) Gina (1) give (26) given (24) giving (2) glad (1) glass (1)
excuse (1) executed (1) executive (11) executives (25) exhaustive (2) Exhibit (21) EXHIBITS (1) exist (1) exist (1) expect (4) expectation (2) expectations (2) expected (3) expecting (1)	farm (2) fatal (1) faux (1) FBI (11) February (2) Feckle (3) federal (1) feedback (2) feel (10) feelings (1) fell (1) fellow (1) felt (12)	focused (2) focusing (1) folder (1) follow (2) followed (1) following (3) follows (1) follow-up (1) footprint (5) forecasting (1) foregoing (2) form (1) formal (1) former (1)	GENERAL (15) generally (5) generated (1) generation (5) geniuses (1) gentlemen (1) Georgia (2) getting (16) Gilespie (1) Gina (1) give (26) given (24) giving (2) glad (1)
excuse (1) executed (1) executive (11) executives (25) exhaustive (2) Exhibit (21) EXHIBITS (1) exist (1) exist (2) expect (4) expectation (2) expectations (2) expected (3) expecting (1) expenditures (4)	farm (2) fatal (1) faux (1) FBI (11) February (2) Feckle (3) federal (1) feedback (2) feel (10) feelings (1) fell (1) fellow (1) felt (12) fencepost (1)	focused (2) focusing (1) folder (1) follow (2) followed (1) following (3) follow-up (1) follow-up (1) footprint (5) forecasting (1) foregoing (2) form (1) formal (1) former (1) forth (1)	GENERAL (15) generatly (5) generated (1) generation (5) geniuses (1) gentlemen (1) Georgia (2) getting (16) Gilespie (1) Gina (1) give (26) given (24) giving (2) glad (1) glass (1)
excuse (1) executed (1) executive (11) executives (25) exhaustive (2) Exhibit (21) EXHIBITS (1) exist (1) exist (2) expect (4) expectation (2) expectations (2) expected (3) expecting (1) expenditures (4) expense (9) expensive (2) experience (1)	farm (2) fatal (1) faux (1) FBI (11) February (2) Feckle (3) federal (1) feedback (2) feel (10) feelings (1) fell (1) fellow (1) felt (12) fencepost (1) FICKLING (1)	focused (2) focusing (1) folder (1) follow (2) followed (1) following (3) follows (1) follow-up (1) footprint (5) forecasting (1) foregoing (2) form (1) formal (1) former (1) forth (1) forward (3)	GENERAL (15) generally (5) generated (1) generation (5) geniuses (1) gentlemen (1) Georgia (2) getting (16) Gilespie (1) Gina (1) give (26) given (24) giving (2) glad (1) glass (1) go (81)
excuse (1) executed (1) executive (11) executives (25) exhaustive (2) Exhibit (21) EXHIBITS (1) exist (1) exist (1) expect (4) expectation (2) expectations (2) expected (3) expecting (1) expenditures (4) expense (9) expensive (2)	farm (2) fatal (1) faux (1) FBI (11) February (2) Feckle (3) federal (1) feedback (2) feel (10) feelings (1) fell (1) fellow (1) felt (12) fencepost (1) FICKLING (1) fight (2)	focused (2) focusing (1) folder (1) follow (2) followed (1) following (3) follows (1) follow-up (1) footprint (5) forecasting (1) foregoing (2) form (1) formal (1) former (1) forth (1) forward (3) fossil (1)	GENERAL (15) generally (5) generated (1) generation (5) geniuses (1) gentlemen (1) Georgia (2) getting (16) Gilespie (1) Gina (1) give (26) given (24) giving (2) glad (1) glass (1) go (81) goal (3)
excuse (1) executed (1) executive (11) executives (25) exhaustive (2) Exhibit (21) EXHIBITS (1) exist (1) exist (2) expect (4) expectation (2) expectations (2) expected (3) expecting (1) expenditures (4) expense (9) expensive (2) experience (1)	farm (2) fatal (1) faux (1) FBI (11) February (2) Feckle (3) federal (1) feedback (2) feel (10) feelings (1) fell (1) fellow (1) felt (12) fencepost (1) FICKLING (1) fight (2) figure (5)	focused (2) focusing (1) folder (1) follow (2) followed (1) following (3) follow-up (1) follow-up (1) footprint (5) forecasting (1) foregoing (2) form (1) formal (1) former (1) forth (1) forsil (1) fought (4)	GENERAL (15) generally (5) generated (1) generation (5) geniuses (1) gentlemen (1) Georgia (2) getting (16) Gilespie (1) Gina (1) give (26) given (24) giving (2) glad (1) glass (1) go (81) goal (3) goals (13)
excuse (1) executed (1) executive (11) executives (25) exhaustive (2) Exhibit (21) EXHIBITS (1) exist (1) exist (2) expect (4) expectation (2) expectations (2) expected (3) expecting (1) expenditures (4) expensive (2) experience (1) experienced (1)	farm (2) fatal (1) faux (1) FBI (11) February (2) Feckle (3) federal (1) feedback (2) feel (10) feelings (1) fell (1) fell (1) felt (12) fencepost (1) FICKLING (1) figure (5) figured (3)	focused (2) focusing (1) folder (1) follow (2) followed (1) following (3) follows (1) follow-up (1) footprint (5) forecasting (1) foregoing (2) form (1) formal (1) formal (1) former (1) forth (1) forsil (1) fought (4) found (13)	GENERAL (15) generally (5) generated (1) generation (5) geniuses (1) gentlemen (1) Georgia (2) getting (16) Gilespie (1) Gina (1) give (26) given (24) giving (2) glad (1) glass (1) go (81) goal (3) goals (13) god (5)

going (171)	headaches (1)	house (6)	individuals (4)
good (23)	headquarters (1)	housekeeping (3)	inference (1)
good-faith (1)	heads (3)	Houston (1)	influenced (2)
gosh (1)	heads-up (1)	$\frac{\mathbf{H}\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{H}\mathbf{R}} (2)$	information (30)
gotten (2)	health (2)	huge (6)	injections (1)
governance (1)	hear (7)	hundred (1)	INN (1)
grades (1)	heard (13)	hundreds (1)	input (1)
graduating (1)	hearing (3)	hunt (1)	insanity (1)
great (7)	hearsay (1)	hunting (2)	insight (1)
greed (3)	heart's (1)	husband (6)	install (1)
Greenville (1)	heavily (1)	husband's (1)	instance (3)
grid (1)	he'd (7)	hush (1)	instance (3) instances (1)
Griffin (2)		hydro (1)	. ,
	held (1) hell (2)		instruct (1)
ground (1)	helm (1)	<i></i>	integrity (3)
group (3)			intention (2)
groups (2)	help (6)	icing (1)	interact (2)
grow (6)	helpful (1)	idea (12)	interacted (3)
growing (2)	helping (1)	identification (4)	interaction (1)
growth (11)	hereunto (1)	identified (2)	interactions (1)
$\mathbf{G's}$ (5)	Hess (1)	identities (1)	interest (4)
guaranteed (1)	Hey (1)	$ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{ill} (1) \\ \mathbf{imaging} (1) \end{array} $	interested (2)
guess (14)	hierarchy (4)	imagine (1)	interesting (4)
guy (7)	high (3)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{impact} (6) \\ \text{impacted} (1) \end{array}$	interests (3)
guys (5)	higher (2)	impacted (1)	interfered (1)
guy's (1)	highest (2)	impacting (1)	internal (12)
< H >	high-risk (3)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{important} (4) \\ \text{improvide (4)} \end{array}$	internally (1)
	hilarious (1)	impression (2)	interview (5)
hair (1)	$\begin{array}{c c} \text{Hill} & (1) \\ \text{hime} & (1) \end{array}$	improper (1)	interviews (1)
half (8)	hire (1)	improperly (2)	Intimidate (2)
hall (1)	hired (1)	improvement (1)	introduced (1)
HALTIWANGER	historically (1)	inaccuracy (2)	intrusive (1)
(18) hand (6)	history (1)	inappropriate (1)	inventory (2)
hand (6)	hit (3)	inappropriately (2)	invest (2)
handed (3)	hitting (1)	inartful (1)	invested (1)
handle (1)	HODGES (2)	incentive (3)	investment (3)
handled (1)	hold (3)	incentives (1)	investor (1)
hands (1)	HOLIDAY (1)	include (2)	investors (2)
happen (1)	holler (2)	included (4)	invite (1)
happened (7)	home (4)	income (8)	invoice (19)
happens (3)	homogeneous (1) honest (1)	incomplete (1)	invoices (3) involved (19)
happy (3)		incorrect (1)	
hard (3)	honor (1)	increase (5)	involvement (1)
harder (1)	hope (6)	increased (2)	involves (1)
Harris (1)	horrible (1)	incredible (1)	$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{O} \cdot \mathbf{N} & (l) \\ \mathbf{I} \mathbf{ris} & (2) \end{bmatrix}$
hate (1)	hospital (2)	incremental (1)	$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Iris} & (2) \\ \mathbf{Iris's} & (1) \end{bmatrix}$
hated (3)	hot (3)	indexes (1)	Iris's (1)
hateful (1)	hour (5)	indicate (2)	$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I's} & (1) \\ \mathbf{island} & (1) \end{bmatrix}$
Hayes (1)	hourly (1)	indicating (1) indication (1)	island (1)
head (5)	hours (5)		issue (13)

•			
issues (7)	kidney (2)	led (5)	lumped (1)
items (1)	$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{kill} & (1) \\ \mathbf{kill} & (10) \end{bmatrix}$	left (29)	luxury (1)
its (6)	kind (19)	legal (1)	lying (2)
-	KING (1)	legislators (2)	LYLE (3)
<j></j>	Kissam (4)	letter (2)	
Jackass (1)	$\mathbf{K}-\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{M} (1)$	letters (1)	< M >
jackasses (1)	knew (22)	level (2)	mad (2)
Jackson (3)	knock (1)	levels (1)	magnitude (1)
JAHUE (1)	know (147)	Levine (1)	mail (12)
Jake (7)	knowing (3)	liar (3)	Main (4)
January (13)	knowingly (1)	lie (15)	maintaining (1)
Jeff (21)	knowledge (2)	lied (4)	major (3)
JESSICA (1)	known (2)	lies (4)	makers (1)
Jim (2)	knows (2)	life (7)	making (5)
Jimmy (43)	knucklehead (1)	likes (1)	man (l)
job (12)	Kochems (5)	limb (1)	manage (1)
jobs (2)	K-O-C-H-E-M-S (1)	line (8)	managed (1)
Joey (1)	Kullen (2)	lines (4)	management (11)
Johnson (7)	$\mathbf{K}-\mathbf{U}-\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{E}-\mathbf{N} (1)$	list (6)	manager (12)
joined (1)		listen (3)	managers (6)
joke (1)	< L >	little (20)	managing (2)
Jones (6)	labor (5)	live (1)	man-hour (1)
JR (1)	lacking (2)	lived (1)	man-hours (1)
Judge (1)	lady (1)	living (3)	manpower (2)
JULIE (3)	Lake (3)	LLC (3)	man's (1)
July (3)	land (1)	LLP (l)	March (1)
jump (2)	large (5)	Load (4)	Margaret (3)
jumped (1)	larger (1)	local (1)	Marion (23)
jumping (3)	largest (1)	located (1)	M-A-R-I-O-N (1)
June (4)	last-ditch (1)	LOCATION (2)	mark (2)
junior (2)	lately (1)	locked (1)	marked (9)
	LAW (12)	lode (1)	market (3)
< K >	laws (5)	log (14)	markup (2)
Kaye (1)	lawyer (12)	logged (1)	markups (1)
$\mathbf{K}\textbf{-}\mathbf{A}\textbf{-}\mathbf{Y}\textbf{-}\mathbf{E} (1)$	lawyers (2)	long (10)	Marsh (26)
keep (11)	lay (1)	long-term (5)	$\mathbf{M}-\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{H} (1)$
keeping (1)	layer (1)	Lonnie (9)	Marty (14)
keeps (1)	laying (1)	look (42)	Mary (3)
Keller (3)	layout (1)	looked (10)	match (1)
$\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{L} - \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{R} (1)$	layperson (5)	looking (16)	material (9)
Ken (20)	lead (2)	looks (1)	materials (18)
Kenny (<i>3</i>)	leads (1)	lose (3)	math (1)
kept (6)	LEAH (2)	losing (2)	mathematical (1)
Kevin (63)	leap (1)	loss (1)	mathematically (1)
$\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{N} (1)$	learn (2)	lost (4)	matter (6)
Kevin's (1)	learned (2)	lot (23)	matters (1)
key (4)	leave (12)	loud (1)	$ \max (l) $
keyword (1)	leaving (3)	low (3)	mean (168)
kidding (1)	LEBRIAN (1)	lower (1)	meaning (1)

means (5)	Module (2)	net (5)	officials (1)
meant (1)	moment (1)	never (15)	offline (2)
measured (1)	Monday (1)	New (12)	Off-the-record (2)
measurement (2)	money (19)	news (2)	Oh (12)
meat (1)	month (11)	newspaper (2)	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Oh} & (12) \\ \mathbf{Okay} & (95) \end{array}$
mechanics (1)	monthly (5)	nice (3)	old (2)
media (2)	months (16)	night (1)	onboard (1)
medical (10)	Mood (1)	nightmare (1)	once (11)
medically (1)	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{MOODY} (1) \\ \textbf{MOODY} (2) \end{array}$	nine (1)	one-man (1)
meet (1)	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{MOOD1} & (2) \\ \mathbf{MOORE} & (18) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} \text{Inne} & (1) \\ \text{NND} & (4) \end{array}$	one's (1)
meeting (29)	morale (1)	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{NOBLES} \\ \mathbf{NOBLES} \end{array} (1) \end{array}$	ongoing (2)
meetings (23)	Mormon (1)	nodding (1)	online (1)
memo (1)	morning (1)	Nods (1)	on-site (2)
men (1)	Morris (1)	noise (1)	open (2)
mentality (2)	mother (1)	nondisclosure (2)	operate (2)
mention (2)	motions (1)	nonengineer's (1)	operated (3)
mention (2) mentioned (5)	motivation (1)	no-risk (1)	operating (5)
Merit (2)	motivation (1) motto (1)	normally (1)	operational (1)
message (20)	mouth (2)	Notary (1)	operational (1) operations (4)
met (6)	move (2)	notes (1)	opinion (3)
method (1)	moved (2)	Notice (2)	opportunity (7)
metrics (2)	movie (1)	noticed (1)	opposed (12)
metrics-based (1)	moving (2)	notified (1)	options (2)
Michael (9)		notorious (1)	order (12)
middle (1)	< N >	November (4)	organization (13)
midst (1)	nail (1)	nuclear (48)	original (1)
midyear (1)	name (35)	Number (42)	originally (4)
million (29)	named (3)	numbers (23)	ORS (1)
millions (2)	names (7)		ought (1)
mind (6)	nasty (1)	< 0 >	outcome (2)
$\mathbf{Mine} (2)$	natural (1)	oath (1)	outfit (1)
minor (1)	Navy (1)	Object (1)	outside (8)
minute (2)	\mathbf{NDA} (1)	objection (1)	outsource (1)
minutes (1)	NE (1)	objections (2)	overall (1)
minutia (1)	near (1)	obligation (1)	overhead (1)
misapprehend (1)	necessarily (2)	obnoxious (1)	overseeing (2)
miscellaneous (1)	necessary (1)	observed (1)	oversight (1)
mishandled (1)	neck (1)	obvious (4)	oversold (1)
mismanage (2)	need (18)	obviously (2)	overturned (1)
mismanagement (3)	needed (8)	occasions (1)	owed (1)
mismanaging (1)	needs (4)	occupation (1)	Owen (2)
misquoting (1)	negotiate (2)	occupied (1)	owner (4)
misrepresentations	negotiated (5)	occurrence (1)	owner's (31)
(1)	negotiating (1)	occurring (2)	
missed (3)	negotiation (1)	October (6)	< P >
mistakes (3)	negotiations (3)	offer (1)	P.O (2)
misunderstand (1)	neighborhoods (1)	OFFICE (11)	package (3)
Mitch (13)	neither (1)	officer (10)	PAGE (1)
model (2)	nervous (3)	official (2)	paid (9)

DATCE (1)	nhusiaally (2)	(2)	nnon (2)
PAIGE (1)	physically (3)	prep (2)	prop (2)
painted (1)	pick (2)	preparation (2)	proper (1)
panel (2)	picture (3)	prepare (2)	proposition (1)
paper (3)	piece (1)	prepared (11)	propping (5)
papering (1)	pieces (1)	preparing (7)	prospects (1)
papers (4)	Pipeline (8)	PRESENT (2)	protect (1)
paperwork (2)	pissed (3)	presented (1)	protecting (2)
part (16)	pitch (1)	president (8)	protective (3)
participated (1)	pivotal (1)	press (1)	proud (2)
particular (3)	place (7)	pressure (5)	prove (1)
particularly (2)	Plaintiffs (3)	pressuring (2)	proved (2)
parts (2)	plan (3)	pretense (1)	proven (2)
party (2)	plans (3)	pretty (16)	provide (9)
pas (l)	plant (21)	price (19)	provided (1)
pass (2)	plants (8)	prices (1)	providing (2)
passed (1)	play (5)	Pricewaterhouse (1)	proxy (4)
pathetic (1)	played (3)	primarily (1)	PSC (24)
PATRICK (1)	plays (1)	principle (1)	PSNC (1)
pay (29)	PLEAS (1)	printed (1)	Public (12)
paycheck (2)	plug (6)	prior (12)	publicly (1)
paying (7)	plummet (1)	privilege (3)	pull (2)
payment (6)	plus (2)	privileged (2)	pulled (6)
payments (8)	PM (1)	probable (1)	pulling (1)
payors (6)	pocket (1)	probably (33)	pump (1)
payout (3)	point (23)	problem (5)	purchase (2)
payroll (1)	policy (1)	problematic (1)	purchasing (1)
Peachtree (1)	politically (1)	problems (7)	purpose (3)
penalties (2)	politicians (1)	process (9)	purposes (1)
pending (1)	pompous (3)	processes (2)	pursuant (1)
people (<i>36</i>)	pop (1)	procurement (2)	push (5)
percent (21)	population (1)	produce (1)	pushed (1)
percentage (2)	porch (1)	produced (3)	pushing (3)
perfect (2)	position (20)	producing (2)	put (<i>33</i>)
performance (8)	positions (1)	product (8)	putting (3)
performing (1)	positive (1)	productive (3)	
period (8)	possible (1)	productivity (1)	< Q >
periodically (1)	possibly (1)	professional (5)	qualifications (3)
Perry (<i>1</i>)	Post (2)	professionally (1)	quarter (1)
person (19)	pounds (3)	professor (1)	quarterly (1)
personal (3)	pour (2)	profit (9)	question (10)
personally (1)	pouring (1)	program (2)	questioned (2)
personnel (4)	power (1)	programs (4)	questioning (1)
persons (2)	PowerPoint (1)	progress (2)	questions (12)
perspective (3)	practical (1)	project (167)	quick (2)
PF (8)	practice (1)	projected (1)	quiet (1)
Phalen (10)	prayer (1)	projection (1)	quit (2)
Phalen's (1)	predecessors (2)	promised (1)	quite (1)
phone (9)	predicted (1)	promote (3)	quote (6)
phrase (10)	prefer (1)	promoted (4)	

< R >	refer (4)	respect (9)	RPR (2)
Rachel (1)	reference (3)	respond (4)	rules (3)
raise (3)	referenced (2)	responding (1)	run (1)
raised (3)	referred (1)	response (3)	running (2)
raising (2)	referring (4)	response (5) responsibilities (2)	Rusty (1)
raked (1)	reflect (1)	responsibility (8)	Rusty (1)
ramped (1)	reformatted (1)	responsible (3)	< \$ >
ran (1)	Refuse (1)	restated (1)	safe (1)
rate (17)	regard (3)	restroom (1)	salaries (1)
ratepayers (2)	regarding (1)	result (2)	salary (6)
rates (19)	register (1)	results (1)	sample (2)
ratio (1)	Registered (3)	retarded (1)	Sample (2) Santee (24)
rational (1)		retire (2)	Sarbanes-Oxley (2)
	regular (2) regulation (1)	retired (9)	
reach (4) reached (3)			sat (2)
	regulatory (2)	retirement (1)	save (1)
reactor (1)	reimbursements (1)	return (2)	saw (15)
read (10)	related (13)	returned (1)	saying (15)
real (6)	relating (1)	reveal (2)	says (3)
realistic (1)	relation (2)	revenue (10)	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{say-so} \\ \mathbf{so} \\ \mathbf{so} \\ \mathbf{so} \\ \mathbf{so} \end{array} (2)$
reality (1)	relationship (8)	review (12)	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
realized (2)	relationships (1)	reviewed (1)	SCANA (112)
really (25)	relative (1)	reviewing (3)	scana.com (3)
realm (2)	release (1)	reviews (2)	SCANA's (5)
Realtime (2)	relevant (2)	revised (7)	scandal (1)
reason (7)	relied (1)	revising (1)	SCE (59)
reasonable (2)	rely (l)	revision (1)	schedule (8)
reasons (3)	remanded (1)	RICHARDSON (5)	schedules (1)
re-budgeting (3)	remember (28)	RICHLAND (1)	schmuck (1)
recalculate (1)	remind (1)	rid (1)	scientific (2)
recall (10)	report (5)	ridiculous (1)	scream (2)
receive (4)	REPORTED (6)	right (74)	screw (2)
receiving (2)	Reporter (11)	right-hand (1)	screwed (7)
recess (1)	reporting (1)	risk (16)	screws (2)
recognize (1)	reports (10)	risks (5)	seal (1)
recollection (1)	representative (4)	RMR (2)	search (4)
recommend (1)	represented (1)	roads (1)	searches (2)
reconciled (1)	representing (1)	Robinson (1)	searching (1)
record (8)	represents (1)	Rock (1)	seasonal (1)
recorded (1)	reprimanded (3)	role (5)	seat (2)
recording (1)	request (3)	rolled (1)	SEC (9)
records (7)	requested (2)	rolling (2)	second (4)
recoverable (1)	requesting (2)	Ron (17)	secretary (1)
recovered (2)	requests (1)	roof (1)	secure (1)
recovery (5)	require (2)	room (7)	secured (2)
recreate (1)	rescheduling (1)	rough (4)	Securities (1)
red (1)	research (1)	roughhouse (1)	security (1)
redid (1)	resign (3)	roughly (2)	see (35)
redline (1)	resigned (3)	rouse (1)	seeing (2)
re-evaluated (1)	resource (1)	routine (1)	seek (1)

seeking (1)	shop (<i>3</i>)	sort (6)	stint (1)
seen (8)	shop (5) short (1)	sounds (2)	stock (17)
sell (1)	shortage (1)	source (1)	stockholders (1)
selling (2)	short-pay (1)	SOUTH (24)	Stone (1)
SEMI (2)	short-term (4)	space (3)	stop (4)
S-E-M-I (1)	show (3)	SPALDING (1)	stories (3)
SEMI-GAS (2)	show (3) showed (4)	speak (1)	story (1)
send (2)	shut (2)	speaking (2)	straight (2)
sends (1)	sick (1)	speared (1)	strategy (5)
senior (20)	sickos (1)	special (3)	streak (1)
sense (2)	side (3)	specific (4)	stream (6)
sensitive (1)	sifting (1)	specifically (1)	streams (1)
sent (7)	sign (4)	speculating (1)	Street (5)
sentence (1)	signature (2)	speechless (2)	Street's (1)
separate (1)	signed (7)	spell (2)	stress (3)
sequence (1)	significantly (1)	spend (3)	stressed (2)
series (1)	signing (4)	spent (13)	strictly (2)
seriously (1)	similar (3)	Speth (2)	stripped (1)
serve (1)	similarly (1)	S-P-E-T-H (1)	STROM (1)
served (1)	simple (1)	spirit (2)	structure (1)
service (8)	simply (1)	spoken (1)	structured (1)
serviced (1)	single (1)	spread (1)	struggling (1)
Services (23)	sit (2)	staff (7)	stuff (8)
servicing (1)	site (18)	stand (5)	subject (3)
session (1)	sitting (1)	standpoint (2)	submitted (1)
set (10)	situated (1)	start (11)	subpoena (4)
setting (2)	situation (2)	started (10)	subpoenaed (2)
settled (2)	six (10)	starts (2)	subscribe (1)
seven (2)	size (5)	start-up (2)	subsidiaries (2)
severance (2)	sized (1)	STATE (4)	subsidiary (2)
Shakes (1)	skip (4)	stated (2)	substance (2)
Shannon (1)	slang (1)	statement (6)	substancewise (1)
share (8)	slaughterhouse (1)	statements (5)	success (1)
shared (8)	SLED (8)	station (1)	successful (4)
shares (1)	slides (2)	statistics (1)	sudden (2)
She'd (1)	slit (1)	status (1)	sue (1)
sheer (1)	small (1)	stay (3)	suggest (3)
sheet (2)	smaller (2)	stayed (1)	Summer (10)
sheets (4)	smartest (3)	stays (2)	sun (1)
she'll (1)	Smith (2)	steal (1)	Sunset (1)
Sheri (4)	so-and-so (2)	steep (1)	super (1)
Shield (3)	sold (4)	steer (1)	supervisor (1)
shift (2)	solicit (1)	stemming (1)	supervisory (1)
ship (1)	somebody (27)	stenographically (1)	supplement (1)
Shirley (10)	somebody's (1)	step (1)	supplemental (1)
Shirley's (1)	something's (1)	Steve (15)	supplemented (1)
shit (2)	somewhat (1)	Steve's (2)	supplied (1)
shocked (1)	soon (1)	stick (1)	supplies (1)
shooting (1)	sorry (6)	stiff (1)	supply (1)

support (9)	term (4)	trace (1)	understand (14)
supported (2)	terminated (2)	track (1)	understanding (17)
supporting (1)	terminology (1)	tradition (1)	understood (4)
supportive (3)	terms (9)	trailer (1)	undertaken (1)
supports (2)	terribly (1)	trained (1)	underway (1)
supposed (12)	TERRY (1)	training (2)	unfamiliar (1)
supposedly (2)	test (4)	transactions (2)	unfortunately (2)
sure (13)	testified (3)	transcribed (1)	Unit (16)
surprise (1)	testify (5)	transcript (3)	Units (8)
surprised (1)	testimony (56)	Transcription (2)	unpaid (1)
suspicious (1)	thank (1)	transmission (8)	unquote (1)
sustainability (1)	thereof (1)	trash (1)	untrue (1)
SWAG (1)	thing (22)	treat (1)	unusual (1)
Swan (2)	things (22)	treated (2)	update (1)
S-W-A-N (1)	think (115)	tremendous (1)	updated (3)
swipe (2)	thinking (3)	tried (1)	upfront (1)
swiped (1)	thinks (1)	tries (1)	upset (2)
switched (1)	thought (20)	triggered (3)	upside (1)
sworn (3)	thousands (5)	triggering (1)	upstanding (1)
symptomatic (2)	threatened (1)	troubling (1)	use (7)
system (6)	three (6)	trucks (1)	users (1)
systems (1)	three-year (1)	true (1)	usually (2)
	throat (2)	trust (1)	utilities (1)
< T >	Thumb (1)	trusted (1)	utility (8)
tab (1)	tie (1)	truth (10)	
table (2)	tied (4)	try (22)	< V >
tag (1)	ties (1)	trying (43)	various (2)
take (27)	tight (1)	turbine (1)	VC (8)
taken (11)	TIME (76)	turn (5)	vehicles (3)
takes (3)	timeline (3)	turned (3)	vendor (1)
talk (25)	timelines (3)	turning (1)	vendors (4)
talked (16)	times (6)	twice (1)	verbalize (1)
talking (18)	Timmerman (5)	two (32)	verify (2)
tanks (1)	title (2)	type (5)	verse (l)
target (3)	titled (3)	typed (1)	versus (5)
$\mathbf{TAYLOR}_{(l)}$	titles (2)	types (3)	Vice (8)
teacher (1)	today (24)	TT S	view (2)
team (31)	told (27)	$\langle U \rangle$	viewpoint (1)
team's (2)	tongue (1)	uh-huh (39)	views (1)
technical (2) technology (3)	tooth (1) top (1)	Uhm (1) uh-uh (9)	vindicated (1)
Ted (2)	top (1) topic (4)	ultimately (2)	violate (1) violated (1)
tell (40)	topics (1)	umbrella (1)	violation (1)
telling (15)	topics (1)	unable (1)	visit (1)
tells (2)	total (7)	uncomfortable (1)	Vogtle (1)
ten (2)	totally (2)	underlie (1)	voice (19)
tend (1)	touch (2)	undermining (1)	volume (1)
tended (1)	touched (3)	underneath (1)	$\mathbf{VP} (9)$
tenth (1)	tour (1)	underperformed (1)	$\mathbf{VPs} (1)$
	I	I	I

vs (l)	withholding (1)
	within-entitled (1)
< W >	WITNESS (21)
wait (2)	witnesses (1)
waive (1)	wondered (1)
waived (1)	word (5)
walk (2)	words (4)
walked (3)	work (46)
WALKER (15)	workaround (1)
walking (1)	worked (12)
walks (1)	working (10)
Wall (3)	works (3)
walls (4)	worried (1)
want (57)	worrying (1)
wanted (20)	worst (2)
wants (2)	worth (2)
war (4)	wow (1)
watch (2)	wrap (1)
watched (2)	wrapped (1)
watchful (1)	wrists (1)
water (3)	writing (5)
watered (1)	written (11)
way (20)	wrong (8)
ways (1)	wrote (3)
wear (1)	WWW.CLARK-ASS
weather (1)	OCIATES.COM (1)
Webster (1)	
WEC (2)	< Y >
weeks (6)	yard (3)
weight (3)	Yeah (45)
Well (84)	year (29)
went (41)	year-end (2)
we're (29)	years (20)
West (1)	yellow (6)
WESTBROOK (1)	Yep (1)
Westinghouse (24)	you-all (6)
Westinghouse's (4)	you-all's (1)
we've (21)	
whichever (1)	$\langle \mathbf{Z} \rangle$
white (2)	\mathbf{zero} (3)
Wicker (3)	zeroed (1)
wife (1)	Ziegler (2)
wilderness (1)	zombie (1)
willing (1)	
Willoughby (5)	
winter (1)	
wished (1)	
witch (1)	
withhold (2)	