1		E COURT OF COMMON PLEAS E STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA RICHLAND COUNTY			
3	DEPOSITION OF CARLETTE L. WALKER				
4	LEBRIAN CLECKLEY, on behalf of				
5	himself and all others similarly situated,				
6	Plaintiffs,				
7	vs.	Case No. 2017-CP-40-04833			
8	SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY and the STATE OF SOUTH				
9	CAROLINA,				
10	Defendants.				
11					
12		CONFIDENTIAL			
13	DEDONENT:	CARLETTE L. WALKER			
14					
15	DATE:	APRIL 24, 2018			
16	TIME:	9:21 AM			
17		<i>y</i> ==			
18	LOCATION:	HOLIDAY INN COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA			
19		COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA			
20					
21					
22	REPORTED BY:	JULIE K. LYLE, RPR/RMR/CRR Registered Merit Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter			
23		CLARK & ASSOCIATES, INC.			
24 25		P.O. Box 73129 Charleston, SC 29415 843-762-6294			
		WWW.CLARK-ASSOCIATES.COM			

	1		
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6		
	7		
	8		
	9		
1	0		
1	1		
1	2		
1	3		
1	4		
1	5		
1	6		
1	7		
1	8		
1	9		
2	0		
2	1		
2	2		
2	3		

24

25

1	APPEARANCES
2	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS:
3	
4	RICHARDSON, PATRICK, WESTBROOK & BRICKMAN, LLC
5	BY: DANIEL S. HALTIWANGER TERRY E. RICHARDSON, JR.
6	P.O. Box 1368 Barnwell, SC 29812
7	
8	STROM LAW FIRM, LLC BY: JESSICA L. FICKLING 2110 Beltline Boulevard
9	Columbia, SC 29204
10	
11	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS:
12	KING & SPALDING, LLP BY: DAVID I. BALSER PAIGE NOBLES
13	1180 Peachtree Street, NE Atlanta, GA 30309
14	LAW OFFICE OF LEAH B. MOODY, LLC
15	BY: LEAH B. MOODY 235 E. Main Street
16	Rock Hill, SC 29730
17	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE WITNESS:
18	MOORE TAYLOR LAW FIRM
19	BY: JAHUE S. MOORE 1700 Sunset Boulevard West Columbia, SC 29169
20	Nese columbia, se 19109
21	
22	TIESO TRESERVI BICTORY B. HOBGES
23	SCANA ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL
24	GENE WALKER
25	

1				
2		EXAMINATION		
3	BY MR	HALTIWANGER	PAGE	5
4	DI M.	III III I I WINGIIC		3
5		EXHIBITS		
6	No. 1 No. 2	Notice of deposition Cash flow documented w	ith updated	7 69
7	No. 3	expenditures Transcription of voice	mail to Marion	106
8	No. 4	Cherry Thumb drive containing mail	audio of voice	186
9		marr		
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

- 1 (Exhibit No. 1 was marked for
- ² identification.)
- 3 CARLETTE L. WALKER,
- 4 having been first duly sworn, was examined
- 5 and testified as follows:
- 6 EXAMINATION
- ⁷ BY MR. HALTIWANGER:
- 8 Q All right. Ms. Walker, my name is
- 9 Dan Haltiwanger, and we got introduced right
- before the deposition started. And it's my
- understanding you've had a deposition taken
- before, but our court rules require me to go
- over a little bit of the ground rules so that
- they're on the record and it's clear that
- 15 I've had the opportunity to explain them to
- ¹⁶ you.
- One of the most important rules is
- that we have a court reporter here today that
- is going to be taking down everything we say.
- Therefore, it's important to verbalize your
- answers, to say yes or no instead of uh-huh
- or uh-uh so she can make a clear record of
- ²³ what is said.
- Also, I think as we were just
- talking about, it's not an endurance contest

- 1 today. If at any point you need to take a
- break, use the restroom, get a glass of
- water, anything like that, let me know, and
- 4 we'll take a break. Okay?
- 5 A Okay.
- 6 Q Also, as you were just sworn in,
- ⁷ the testimony is under oath today, so it can
- 8 be used in a court of law later. But it's
- 9 important for me to remind you of that for
- 10 your testimony today.
- And, also, it's my understanding
- when we were setting this up that you -- that
- there may be an obligation you have this
- afternoon, so I'm going to try to get as much
- in today. I hope to get it finished today,
- but if not, we'll get as much done as we can
- today and work with you and your lawyer about
- 18 if we have to get back together at some point
- ¹⁹ in the future.
- But it's -- my understanding is
- 1:30 is the time we're shooting to be done
- 22 for today; is that right?
- A That's right.
- Q Okay. That being said, can you
- give us your full name for the record?

- 1 A Carlette L. Walker.
- 2 Q And what is your current
- 3 occupation?
- 4 A I'm retired.
- 5 Q Retired. And when did you retire?
- 6 A After I resigned from SCANA in June
- ⁷ of 2016.
- 8 Q Okay. And I'm going to hand you
- 9 what has been marked as Exhibit 1. I don't
- 10 know if anybody wants a copy of that, but
- this is **Exhibit 1** to your deposition.
- Have you seen this document before?
- MR. MOORE: This is just the
- 14 notice of deposition, Carlette.
- THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- 16 A Yes, I have.
- Q Okay. And you're appearing here
- 18 today for us because you were subpoenaed to
- be here in order to give your testimony,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A That's correct.
- Q All right. Can I ask you -- and I
- don't want any conversations you had with
- your lawyers or with your lawyer, but did you
- do anything to prepare for your deposition

- 1 today?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q So you have not gone back and
- 4 looked at any documents, anything like that?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q Any conversations with any SCANA
- of employees or former employees that you knew?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q Okay. You say you retired in June
- of 2016. What was your position when you
- 11 retired?
- 12 A Vice president of nuclear finance
- 13 administration.
- Q And I don't need exact dates, but
- 15 I'm just trying to get a timeline of how long
- 16 you had that position and going back, so your
- employment history there.
- 18 So how long had you been vice
- 19 president?
- 20 A I think I was VP for six years.
- 21 Q And what was your position before
- 22 that?
- 23 A Before that I was the corporate
- 24 compliance officer for SCANA.
- Q And how long, approximately, did

- 1 you serve as a corporate compliance officer?
- 2 A I think I was corporate compliance
- ³ officer for four years.
- 4 O And prior to being a corporate
- 5 compliance officer?
- 6 A I was assistant controller for
- 7 SCE&G.
- 8 Q And approximately how long had you
- 9 been an assistant controller?
- 10 A That's where I'm not as exact on
- 11 the dates. I want to say -- I think I was
- 12 assistant controller about eight years.
- 13 Q And before being assistant
- 14 controller?
- 15 A I was controller of South Carolina
- 16 Pipeline. No, wait a minute. I was manager
- of fossil hydro -- or, no, I was manager of
- 18 generation --
- Q And how long --
- 20 A -- for accounting. That was one
- 21 year.
- Q Okay. And prior to that?
- 23 A Prior to that I was controller for
- 24 South Carolina Pipeline.
- Q And before controller at South

- 1 Carolina Pipeline?
- 2 A That was -- I was controller for
- 3 South Carolina Pipeline for probably about
- 4 two years, and then prior to that, I was
- 5 manager of customer billing, measurement, and
- ⁶ finance.
- Okay. And before that?
- 8 A And before that I was manager of
- 9 customer billing and measurement. Actually,
- 10 I was the supervisor at that point.
- 11 Q And your position before that?
- 12 A I was a senior auditor in the
- internal audit department of SCE&G. And that
- should take you back to when I started with
- the company, which would have been in October
- ¹⁶ of '83.
- Q Okay. When you left as vice
- 18 president of nuclear finance, what entity was
- 19 actually signing your paycheck? And we're
- going to get into that.
- 21 A SCANA.
- 22 Q SCANA?
- 23 A There's an entity that's a service
- 24 company --
- Q Okay.

- 1 A -- under the umbrella of SCANA, and
- 2 so it would really be SCANA Services that
- would have been the employing entity. So I
- 4 worked for SCANA Services, and that's where
- 5 all of the finance organization was operating
- 6 out of.
- 8 when you worked there?
- 9 A It was CWalker@scana.com.
- 10 Q And did that ever change during
- 11 your time of employment there?
- 12 A I think it did change when I
- 13 left -- no, I think it stayed the same. I
- don't think I had a different e-mail when I
- was at Pipeline.
- Q Did you ever use other e-mail
- addresses while you were doing work for
- 18 SCANA?
- 19 A No.
- Q Are you aware of any other
- employees that you interacted with at SCANA
- using e-mail addresses that were different
- from the domain address that you had?
- 24 A What -- what do you mean?
- Q Yeah. I guess I'm trying to figure

- out, we've got a bunch of documents, and
- we're trying to figure out if we're
- understanding how the e-mail system worked
- 4 with the -- you know, like you said, the
- 5 CWalker then @scana.com.
- 6 Were there other endings that you
- 7 were familiar with with any of the other
- 8 companies or any other employees there?
- 9 A Well, I know that Santee Cooper
- had, you know, their own e-mail system, and
- then the site representative for Santee
- 12 Cooper, I believe he also had an @scana.com
- e-mail.
- Q And who would that have been?
- 15 A Marion Cherry.
- 16 Q Marion?
- 17 A Uh-huh. Marion Cherry.
- Q Okay. Any of the SCE&G employees
- that you interacted with on a regular basis
- use an e-mail besides their company e-mail to
- interact with you?
- 22 A Not that I remember. I mean, they
- may have used a personal e-mail if they were
- 24 at home, if for some reason they couldn't
- sign on and they needed to send me a message,

- 1 but not on any kind of routine basis.
- Q Okay. And we sort of talked about
- 3 this. I'm trying to get myself educated
- 4 about SCANA and SCE&G. I noticed there were
- 5 a lot of SCANA and SCE&G entities. And if
- 6 you could -- you're the first witness we've
- ⁷ talked to in this case, so I'm trying to get
- 8 an idea of how all those companies
- ⁹ interacted.
- 10 A Okay.
- 11 Q If you could do your best job of
- explaining SCANA's relationship to SCE&G and
- 13 SCE&G Services and what other entities were
- involved in the project out there.
- A Okay. Well, SCE&G is the utility
- 16 company, and SCE&G was going to be the owner
- of the nuclear plant. It was also the entity
- that was building the plant.
- SCANA Services was providing
- services to supplement the SCE&G staff. So I
- was an -- I was a SCANA Services support team
- that was going to be assigned to the project.
- There were also -- like the IT
- group, which would be information technology,
- they came from SCANA Services and

- supplemented the project team.
- The project team itself was made up
- of a lot of engineering and technical people
- 4 that came from SCE&G personnel, which a lot
- of them came from Unit 1. And so those were
- 6 SCE&G employees.
- So, generally speaking, SCE&G
- 8 employees are more technical and are utility
- 9 specific. They're not the homogeneous
- 10 employees that can provide services to any of
- the different subsidiaries that we might have
- 12 had.
- So in your SCANA Services, you
- tended to have your governance employees,
- like your corporate secretary, your
- accounting, your IT, your payroll, your
- internal audit. I'm trying to think of the
- 18 different departments. Corporate security,
- 19 your senior executives.
- SCE&G had some designated
- 21 executives, but they were also SCANA
- 22 executives. So you might have had Keller
- 23 Kissam as a designated SCE&G executive, but
- he was also a SCANA executive. So they were
- one and the same.

- 1 Q And as we talked about, we have a
- 2 court reporter writing everything down. When
- we come to names, sometimes to help her out,
- 4 the name you just said, can you spell it for
- 5 her, if you know?
- 6 A Yeah. Keller Kissam. That's
- 7 K-E-L-L-E-R. Kissam, K-I-S-S-A-M.
- And then like Kevin Marsh,
- 9 K-E-V-I-N, Marsh, M-A-R-S-H. Kevin was the
- 10 CEO of SCANA, but he was also -- had that
- same authority over SCE&G. So it's not like
- there was a separate CEO for SCE&G. He had
- that same CEO authority over SCE&G. He made
- 14 all the final decisions and had all the
- purchasing power authority given to him by
- the board for SCE&G.
- So SCE&G was by far the largest
- 18 subsidiary of SCANA. They had a couple other
- 19 smaller subsidiaries, like SEMI-GAS.
- SEMI-GAS bought gas on the open market and
- then sold it and moved it through
- transmission lines. SEMI is S-E-M-I. And
- that was -- they strictly bought gas in
- 24 Houston and then brought it across
- 25 transmission lines in the -- across the south

- and then brought it to end users, primarily
- in South Carolina but also in Georgia.
- 3 South Carolina Pipeline was a big
- 4 subsidiary, but they sold it probably about
- 5 maybe three or four years before I left the
- 6 company. As I had mentioned, I had worked at
- ⁷ South Carolina Pipeline for about nine years.
- 8 That was a transmission company.
- 9 But they sold it because they
- wanted to consolidate what their efforts were
- on, and they felt like generation and
- distribution of electricity was their core
- business, and so that's where their efforts
- were going to be, was consolidated toward
- distribution with the end-user customers.
- Q Okay. When we talk about SCANA
- 17 Services, how is -- where does SCANA Services
- 18 get its income from?
- A SCANA Services bills out its
- 20 employees for cost and then its benefits. So
- it's pretty much a zero game. It doesn't
- 22 have -- it doesn't -- it's not there to make
- a profit. It's strictly there to provide
- 24 services at a zero markup other than for the
- 25 benefits for its employees and the costs for

- office -- you know, office furniture and
- equipment and stuff like that.
- So it's built into -- what we did
- 4 was we had time sheets that were incremental
- 5 time sheets. You were supposed to keep up
- 6 with your time sheets up to a tenth of an
- 7 hour, and you billed your time out according
- 8 to where you spent your time. So if you
- 9 spent time with SEMI or if you spent time
- with SCE&G, with distribution or if you spent
- time with a project, capital project, you
- were supposed to charge your time according
- to what you did and who got the benefit of
- 14 your time.
- And so in the case of me working on
- a capital project, I charged my name to that
- 17 capital work order. And so my labor rate, my
- 18 actual labor rate and my benefits and any
- overhead costs of my efforts would be charged
- directly to that capital work order. And so
- 21 SCANA Services would be zeroed out on any
- 22 costs associated with my employment, and my
- employment costs would go directly to that
- 24 capital work order.
- 25 And in this case, it would go

- 1 against that BRLA and be collected from the
- 2 rate payors whenever the rates were changed.
- Does that answer your question?
- 4 O Yes, that's very helpful. I've
- been trying to understand SCANA Services and
- 6 its relationship to all the other entities
- ⁷ out there.
- 8 A Right.
- 9 Q If your time, though, is billed to
- 10 SCANA -- or the SCANA Services time that
- would be billed on the capital work project,
- would there be any markup on that on the BRLA
- that would be profit to SCE&G, or how would
- 14 that work?
- 15 A There would be no additional profit
- other than the profit that was allowed in the
- 17 capital work order for the interest component
- of AFUDC, and I think the allowed rate of
- 19 return was like 12 1/2 percent maybe.
- Q Okay. And for the -- well, it
- would probably help us to get it straight.
- The problem we're here to talk about is the
- ²³ nuclear project up in Fairfield County.
- What would be the title that would
- be used at SCANA to denote that project in

- 1 general?
- 2 A NND. New Nuclear Development is
- ³ what they called it.
- 4 O And I've heard it -- we've seen in
- 5 documents like VC Summer project, Number 2
- 6 and 3 project.
- 7 A No. That's -- all of those refer
- 8 to the same thing. That's the new nuclear
- 9 build. That's Units 2 and 3. Because
- they've got an operating unit that was put in
- 11 service back in '83. That's Unit 1.
- 12 O Okay.
- 13 A And back when they built that unit,
- 14 it was -- that site was sized for two units,
- but they didn't build the second unit, so
- there was always plans to build a second
- unit.
- But when they went out and decided
- to build again in the early 2000s, the
- footprint or the amount of space needed for
- the new technology allowed you to build two
- 22 plants in the footprint that used to require
- the amount of space to build one.
- So they knew that they had enough
- footprint next to Unit 1 to build two units,

- and so they were going to build Units 2 and 3
- in the same site that in the early '70s they
- were only going to be able to build one more
- 4 unit. So that's where you get the Units 2
- 5 and 3.
- 6 Q Okay. And for the NND project or
- 7 VC Summer project, who was -- I guess which
- 8 entities made management decisions relating
- ⁹ to that project?
- 10 I'm trying to get an idea of the
- 11 hierarchy of decision-making for VC Summer 2
- 12 and 3.
- 13 A Say that again.
- Q I'm trying to get an idea if we're
- trying to make a chart of who made decisions
- 16 regarding the construction and management of
- Units 2 and 3. You know, SCANA versus SCANA
- 18 Services versus SCE&G, kind of just give us
- an idea of the hierarchy of command, I guess
- 20 is the easiest way to say it.
- A Well, that's a good question. I
- 22 never could find anybody that would make a
- decision. That was a major issue.
- The body that was supposed to make
- ²⁵ decisions about it was the senior executives

- ¹ of SCANA.
- Q Okay.
- 3 A Because the people at the project
- 4 apparently didn't have any authority. I
- 5 couldn't make any decisions. I wasn't given
- 6 the authority to make any.
- 7 Q What about the board of directors
- 8 of SCANA or SCE&G? Did they ever have any
- 9 interaction with the project out on VC
- 10 Summer?
- 11 A I never -- I know that they had at
- least one board meeting at the site, but that
- doesn't necessarily -- I'm not trying to
- 14 indicate that they did anything at the site.
- You know, they may have come to the office
- and used the office space. Because we had an
- office out there. But, you know, they may
- have gone on a tour.
- I wasn't in attendance to the
- meeting, so I couldn't tell you what they did
- or what they saw, nor could I tell you what
- was told to them about the progress of the
- project from one quarter to the next.
- Q Do you know if SCANA and SCE&G have
- the same board or if they're different?

- 1 A It is one board.
- Q I want to shift a little bit back
- 3 to your employment at SCANA. How -- you've
- 4 told us that your paycheck actually came from
- 5 SCANA Services; is that correct?
- 6 A Right.
- 7 Q How was your personal compensation
- 8 structured? Were you on an annual salary?
- 9 Was it salary plus bonus? Was it commission?
- Just tell us in general how you were
- 11 compensated.
- 12 A I was compensated with an annual
- salary, and then I had two risk components
- 14 associated with my salary. I had a long-term
- bonus and then I had a short-term bonus.
- Q Okay. And for somebody like me
- who's completely unfamiliar with that system,
- 18 can you explain it as best you can?
- 19 A Yeah. The short-term bonus was
- 20 tied to -- it was two components at the end.
- One component was based on operational goals,
- 22 and those goals for my team, I set those so
- that they were focused on goals that would
- 24 support the success of the project.
- 25 And then the others were the

- earnings goals for the company. So those --
- those were set by senior staff.
- And then the long-term bonus was
- 4 based on how our stock did in comparison to
- 5 some indexes. And it was kind of convoluted.
- 6 I never did the calculation. But there was
- more to it than that. You'd have to look in
- 8 the proxy statements and get the full
- 9 description of the long-term bonus.
- So it was kind of a convoluted
- 11 calculation on how you did -- it was like a
- 12 rolling three-year calculation that they did.
- 13 And one, you locked in a year -- it was kind
- of crazy, and they would change it just about
- every year.
- Q Who was in charge of deciding the
- goals that would make up the bonus structure?
- 18 A I think senior staff pretty much
- reviewed all the goals to make sure that the
- goals had enough meat to them. And then they
- 21 presented them to the board, and then the
- board had the final say-so whether or not to
- ²³ approve them.
- 24 And then the board had the final
- 25 say-so as to whether or not the bonus goals

- were achieved and whether or not they were
- ² going to pay out at 100 percent or if there
- was going to be a payout to include
- 4 discretion.
- 5 Q And when you say senior staff, in
- 6 general, who would that be?
- 7 A Those would be the top senior
- 8 executives. And that's probably six or seven
- 9 of the executives, to include the CEO and the
- 10 CFO.
- 11 Q And for your time there, who would
- have been in those positions?
- 13 A Well, when I first went up to the
- 14 nuclear project, it would have been Bill
- Timmerman as the CEO; Kevin Marsh as the CFO;
- 16 Jimmy Addison; Keller Kissam; Frank Mood;
- Gina Champion; Jeff Archie, Steve Byrne.
- THE WITNESS: I'm trying to
- remember the guy -- who's the guy that's
- over -- that was over PSNC and they brought
- 21 him back down?
- One of the things I should have
- told you when we started, even though it's
- not a test, there's no pass/fail grades,
- we're really just asking for your

- 1 recollection today.
- 2 A Okay.
- 3 Q So --
- 4 A I can't ask any questions?
- 5 MS. HODGES: I'll tell you
- 6 when it's over.
- 7 Q Another thing I should have told
- you, any time during the deposition, if you
- gave an answer earlier that you think was
- either incomplete or incorrect for any
- reason, we can also go back and address it.
- Just let me know and we can go back.
- For instance, if you say, you know
- what, I said so-and-so was the CEO at that
- time and I now remember it was somebody else,
- we can go back and correct it. You're not
- held to bite your tongue or anything, if
- you've said it, once you've said it.
- 19 A Okay. Rusty Harris.
- Oh, yeah, and -- what was that last
- 21 name --
- 22 Q Now, for bonus payments, did these
- 23 come out on an annual occurrence, or were
- they triggered by other events?
- 25 A It was annual because they had to

- 1 have year-end stock prices and year-end
- ² earnings.
- ³ Q And during the time of the
- 4 construction of VC Summer Units 2 and 3, did
- 5 you actually receive bonus payments related
- 6 to the construction out there on the site?
- ⁷ A Say that again.
- 8 Q During the time that the VC Summer
- 9 project was ongoing, did you receive bonus
- payments related to the work going on out at
- 11 the site?
- 12 A I did.
- 13 Q And what would be the criteria that
- would be related to that, those bonuses? Was
- it just, in general, if you get enough done,
- if it's price related? What would be
- triggering your bonuses?
- 18 A I mean, we would have goals set for
- each year based on what we were trying to
- achieve, and they were much more in minutia
- than what you're talking about.
- I mean, ours would have been
- 23 something more like to establish -- and this
- is just an example. I don't even know if
- this would have been a goal, but to establish

- 1 a database, an electronic database, for use
- ² in reviewing all invoice data for
- ³ inappropriate billings.
- 4 Because we were getting invoice
- 5 billings from Westinghouse and CB&I that had
- 6 thousands and thousands of lines of data, and
- ⁷ so we had, you know, our information that we
- 8 were sifting through and looking for
- 9 duplicate billings for the same employee or
- 10 employees that supposedly worked more than
- the 40 hours or the 50 hours.
- And, you know, we found a lot of
- mistakes in the billings by just doing just
- 14 common sense or simple internal controls that
- any shop should be doing when they're
- 16 reviewing billings that are cost-plus.
- Q And this -- we may get into this
- later, but since you brought it up, cost-plus
- billing, explain first your understanding of
- how that operated with respect to the project
- ²¹ in Fairfield.
- 22 A Well, there was different aspects
- of the contract, and one aspect of the
- contract, which was the labor for the site,
- was billed at what you call target. And that

- 1 target was a cost-plus part of the contract.
- So in our mind, from an accounting
- perspective, that was a high-risk area for
- 4 the company and the project, so we spent a
- fair amount of resource trying to make sure
- 6 that that area of cost was under control and
- 7 that they had controls in place as far as
- 8 trying to manage time sheets and make sure
- 9 that there weren't fraudulent charges coming
- 10 through.
- 11 Q And for somebody who's not familiar
- with accounting like that, what do you mean
- when you say it's a high-risk area? What
- makes it high risk as opposed to low risk?
- 15 A It's high risk because the
- 16 contractor bears no -- if somebody charges
- time and they're not at the site or they're
- 18 not being productive, the contractor bears no
- loss on it. The only people that lose money
- on it would be the owner of the -- owner of
- 21 the site.
- So just like the gasoline or the
- inventory, the other areas of cost-plus, if
- they're not managing those types of costs,
- the owner, which was SCANA or SCE&G, bore the

- 1 risk of fuel being used to fuel cars to drive
- back and forth to their trailer or wherever
- 3 they might be living rather than it being
- 4 used to fuel the trucks that were actually
- 5 used at the site to produce construction
- 6 product.
- 7 So there were different pieces or
- 8 elements of the construction that we felt and
- ⁹ we put in high risk, so we did audits or we
- did reviews of those kind of areas because we
- 11 felt like those were high-risk areas for
- 12 fraudulent activity.
- 13 Q And who at SCANA would have been in
- 14 charge of that process of overseeing that
- audit and that type of work?
- A Well, my team did most of it. And
- then we also shared what our plans were with
- internal audit, and internal audit would then
- 19 add those to their audit plan. And a lot of
- times they would work with my team in doing
- 21 some of the overseeing of the audits.
- 22 O And who would have been the names
- of some of the people on your team doing that
- 24 work?
- 25 A Shirley Johnson was my manager who

- led those efforts.
- 2 Q And who else would have been
- 3 involved?
- 4 A Well, one was in the paper,
- 5 unfortunately, and her name was Margaret
- 6 Feckle.
- 7 Q And the phrase you just used, her
- 8 name was in the paper, unfortunately, what do
- 9 you mean by that?
- 10 A I just hate to have somebody who's
- 11 a senior accountant's name put in the
- 12 newspaper when she was doing a good job, and
- to be added to a list of people that are
- 14 associated with the scandal at the nuclear
- project is probably not great. I mean,
- that's not exactly a common name, Margaret
- 17 Feckle.
- Q Uh-huh. All right.
- Besides Shirley Johnson and
- 20 Margaret Feckle, what other SCANA employees
- would have been doing that work out there?
- 22 A I'm trying to remember that one's
- name. I can't remember the guy's name that
- 24 Shirley had hired.
- Kullen Boling did some. That name

- is B-O-L-I-N-G. First name is K-U-L-L-E-N.
- 2 So it's Kullen Boling.
- 3 O And --
- 4 A Joey Gilespie did some work.
- 5 Q And part of their job was reviewing
- 6 the -- or auditing, I should say, the work
- being done for SCANA Services looking for
- 8 fraudulent or other improper billing?
- 9 A For SCE&G.
- 10 O For SCE&G.
- 11 A For NND.
- 12 O For NND.
- 13 And if they found any of that or
- they wanted to follow up with anything they
- found that's suspicious or curious, take me
- through that process. What documents would
- be created, who would be notified, and how
- would that be logged in?
- 19 A We would have exit interviews with
- a representative from the area with
- Westinghouse or CB&I, whichever area was
- responsible for it. Generally it was CB&I.
- 23 And as time passed, those meetings
- became more and more confrontational. They
- were never friendly, but they became more and

- 1 more confrontational. It got to where they
- 2 usually had one or two lawyers in the
- meetings. They started bringing in a manager
- 4 from the construction side. He was kind of
- 5 burly, so they were -- it seemed like it was
- 6 becoming more like they were trying to team
- ⁷ up on us.
- 8 But anyway, they had a lawyer for
- 9 procurement that was particularly nasty, and
- we would meet with them, explain to them what
- our problem was, and usually an example --
- this is just a really good example, was like
- the gasoline and the marked vehicles, where
- we talked to them about how many cars did
- they have that were marked vehicles that
- would be using the gasoline. You know, we
- were told that they might have 10.
- Well, when we actually had somebody
- 19 sit out front and watch the number of
- vehicles going into the gated secured area
- 21 where the cars would be going in, they might
- have counted 40.
- 23 And then when they went to check to
- see how the secured fuel tanks were actually
- operated, unlike the way they were described,

- 1 there wasn't a key that was serviced so that
- you had to use the key to swipe it to be able
- 3 to engage the fuel. All you had to do was
- 4 walk into the little shop, and you got the
- 5 key, and you swiped it. And anybody could go
- 6 up there and pump gas.
- 7 It was just like the Hess station,
- 8 and everybody knew to go in the little gated
- 9 house, pull the key off there, swipe it, and
- then you could fuel your car. So when we
- 11 asked them how we counted 40 cars compared to
- the 10 you had on the list -- I mean, nothing
- 13 reconciled. There was no controls over the
- 14 fuel.
- And so when we met with them, you
- 16 know, instead of acknowledging that they
- didn't have control, they'd fight you tooth
- 18 and nail on it. And then we would fight for,
- 19 you know, months over this.
- 20 And we would try to get a credit
- back on it because we'd say, Well, okay,
- we've been in this project for "X" number of
- months. You've ramped up by this number of
- days, you know, this number of people.
- You've given out cars by this. And we'd come

- 1 up with some reasonable way of calculating
- 2 how much we think that the fuel has been
- inappropriately used, and we would try to
- 4 seek out a credit.
- 5 And then we would add this to a
- 6 sheet, what we called a running tab of
- 7 disputed amounts. And we would put it in a
- 8 letter, and they would have their response
- ⁹ put in the letter.
- And we never were able to get those
- disputed amounts settled. Our senior
- 12 executives never supported us on them. And
- at the end, when they negotiated a
- 14 fixed-price contract, that all got just
- lumped in supposedly with the negotiations,
- and whether it was treated fairly or not, I
- 17 couldn't tell you.
- Q Okay. I want to go back through a
- 19 little bit of that.
- 20 And one of the reasons I'm asking
- is I'm trying to find if I can go back and
- look for documents that would, for instance,
- trace this dispute about the fuel and who had
- 24 access and who was using it.
- What type of document names or

- databases or what would I go to look for if I
- wanted to go back and sort of educate myself
- about this controversy or other controversies
- 4 that you would have with the contractors with
- ⁵ regard to payments that were being requested?
- 6 A I think you probably would ask for
- ⁷ the disputed invoice log.
- 8 Q And who would have been responsible
- 9 for maintaining that?
- 10 A Shirley Johnson. Marion Cherry
- 11 should have probably been getting a copy of
- 12 it from Santee Cooper.
- Q And how often -- and, again,
- because I'm not familiar with the whole
- process.
- 16 A Right.
- 17 Q You have this disputed invoice log.
- 18 Is this something that would be addressed in
- any sort of regular time frame, or is it as
- things went along, it would come up? How did
- 21 that work?
- 22 A We would update it with different
- things that we would come up with where we
- felt like we were inappropriately billed.
- 25 And we would take it to senior executives,

- and they would look at it and make no
- 2 comment. No decisions were ever made until
- 3 they got to the point where they were going
- 4 to negotiate this fixed-price contract in the
- 5 latter part of '15.
- 6 O And the senior executives for SCANA
- 7 that would have been --
- 8 A Kevin Marsh, Jimmy Addison.
- ⁹ Q And any others?
- 10 A I can't remember if Lonnie Carter
- was in there or not.
- Q And was Lonnie Carter an executive
- 13 at SCANA or --
- A No, he's Santee Cooper. I'm sorry.
- Q So, again, just so I can try to
- educate myself with it, we've been produced a
- 17 lot of documents from SCANA and SCE&G related
- 18 to the project, thousands of them. If I
- wanted to search through them to find
- information about instances like we were
- talking with the fuel, the disputed invoice
- log, any other titles of documents that I
- would be looking for?
- 24 A You might want to look for audit
- 25 reports.

- 1 Q Who would be doing audit reports?
- 2 A Internal audit was producing audit
- 3 reports, and then my team was producing audit
- 4 reports.
- 5 Q And who was the internal audit
- 6 team?
- 7 A Well, Iris Griffin, who's now CFO,
- 8 was internal auditor then.
- 9 Q And who else would have been on
- 10 Iris's team?
- 11 A Courtney Owen. She was the
- manager.
- 13 Q And how did -- I mean, it sounds
- 14 like you had two groups working here,
- internal audit and your group. How did they
- interact or how was that system set up?
- 17 A They worked hand in hand together.
- 18 Sometimes they would lead the audit and then
- other times Shirley's team would lead the
- ²⁰ audit.
- 21 O And --
- A And our team was physically located
- 23 at the site.
- 24 Q Okay.
- A And so that made it to where we had

- 1 relationships and insight into what was going
- on in the project more so than people that
- 3 were at corporate.
- That was one thing that, you know,
- 5 when I was told that I needed to go out to
- 6 the project, I went straight out to the
- 7 project and made myself an office at the
- project. I didn't stay at the corporate
- 9 headquarters like my predecessors had done.
- 10 I mean, they never even went out to the
- 11 project for the two years they had it. Their
- 12 view of it was, Well, they get two invoices a
- month; what could there be that needs to be
- 14 done.
- Q And who was your two predecessors?
- 16 A Casey Coffer.
- Q And how do you spell that name for
- 18 her?
- 19 A I'm sorry. Casey, C-A-S-E-Y. And
- then his last name, Coffer, is C-O-F-F-E-R.
- 21 And then Jim Swan, S-W-A-N. And
- Jim Swan is the controller of SCANA and
- SCE&G.
- 24 And they never even went out to the
- 25 site. And when I went to visit them when

- 1 Bill Timmerman told me he needed me to go out
- to the site, I went to see them to see what
- 3 they had been doing so I could get a flavor
- 4 for what I should expect, and their view of
- 5 it was, you know, they didn't think it was a
- 6 big deal because they only get two invoices a
- 7 month. They get one from WEC and one from
- 8 CB&I. They didn't see it as a big deal.
- And when I got up there, I mean,
- 10 I -- I was shocked at how far behind we were.
- 11 I ended up getting people from internal audit
- to augment my staff so that we could start
- doing some flowcharts of what processes that
- were going on at the project so we could get
- a feel for what CB&I was doing -- or at that
- point I think it was Stone & Webster -- find
- out what processes they were using to bill us
- so we could start getting a flavor for where
- we might need to be doing some intrusive
- audit work so we could get a better handle on
- what was going on and try to, you know, do
- 22 some risk analysis.
- 23 Q And about what time frame was that?
- 24 A Okay.
- MR. MOORE: You're going to

- 1 have to excuse me for a minute.
- MR. HALTIWANGER: We'll take a
- 3 break.
- 4 (Off-the-record discussion.)
- 5 Q Ms. Walker, I'm just trying to --
- 6 I'm going back over some of the things we
- ⁷ asked before. When did you become in charge
- 8 of accounting?
- ⁹ A What do you mean, in charge of
- 10 accounting?
- Or for the project. When would you
- have taken over the role out at VC Summer,
- those responsibilities?
- 14 A I think I was there for six years,
- so I think it was around 2010.
- Q And the disputed invoice log, is
- that a process you created, or was that in
- 18 place before you got there?
- 19 A Actually, Shirley Johnson came up
- with that.
- Q And, again, if I'm doing word
- searches trying to find those documents,
- 23 disputed invoice log, any other terms that
- would come up or were being used?
- 25 A That's the name of it.

- O Okay. When we talked about bonus
- goals, were those written down?
- 3 A Uh-huh.
- 4 Q Where would I find those for the
- 5 various employees involved in the project?
- 6 What would they be titled?
- 7 A That's what they would be titled,
- 8 would be bonus goals.
- 9 Q Bonus goals.
- 10 A I mean, they might be called
- 11 short-term bonus goals.
- 12 Q The disputed invoice log, prior to
- that process being in place, how were issues
- with billing handled with the contractors,
- billing disputes?
- A I couldn't tell you. I mean,
- that's the process that, you know, we came up
- with when I got there.
- Q Can you tell us or give us an idea
- of what was going on before if there was an
- 21 issue?
- A I couldn't tell you.
- Q Who would know most about that?
- 24 A Probably Sheri Wicker. Sheri
- Wicker. She's currently employed by SCANA.

- 1 Q All right. And how often would you
- 2 be meeting with Westinghouse or CB&I to go
- over the disputed invoice log or the issues
- 4 that arose with the disputed invoice log?
- 5 A We ended up going over that with
- 6 them every single month.
- 7 Q And what paperwork would be
- 8 generated along with those meetings that we
- 9 could look for?
- 10 A I think that they had notes beside
- each one of the things that were discussed on
- the disputed invoice log.
- 13 Q And can you give us an idea of
- 14 generally what amounts of money we're talking
- about on the disputed invoice log?
- A I mean, those could be anywhere
- 17 from 40, 50, \$60, up to, you know, hundreds
- of thousands of dollars.
- And those don't necessarily all
- come from any one source. I mean, those
- 21 could be from audits. They could be from
- 22 review of the invoice. They could be from
- the review of -- or something that was seen
- 24 by engineers out in the site.
- It could be from the review of the

- billables of miscellaneous items that Ken
- 2 Browne did. And he would find things that
- were absolutely wrong and being billed to us
- 4 that were supposed to be included in the
- 5 fixed price, and they were billing it to us
- 6 as recoverable.
- 7 Q And I just want to make sure that I
- 8 can go back and find all those disputes and
- 9 all those materials, and if I'm searching
- under the disputed invoice log, I'll be able
- 11 to find that?
- 12 A Uh-huh. You should.
- Okay. And was the disputed invoice
- 14 log continued the entire time you were there,
- or did that end whenever it switched to the
- 16 cost-plus, or do you know?
- 17 A Well, the cost-plus was the
- 18 whole -- the whole time. Now, when it went
- 19 to fixed price --
- Q Fixed price, that's what I meant.
- 21 A -- I can't tell you because that's
- when I quit, after they negotiated that.
- Q Okay. Well, that will bring us
- 24 right to the next topic, which is the date
- that you left employment at SCANA. Do you

- 1 recall the date?
- 2 A Uh-huh.
- O What is it? What was it?
- 4 A January 7th, I think.
- 5 O Of?
- 6 A 2016.
- 7 Q And what was the official job title
- 8 at the time you left?
- ⁹ A Vice president of nuclear finance
- 10 administration.
- 11 Q And I want to get an idea of
- 12 your -- the supervisory hierarchy at the
- 13 time.
- Who did you report to at the time
- 15 you left?
- 16 A The CFO, Jimmy Addison.
- Q And he would have been your direct
- 18 boss?
- 19 A Yeah. I had reported to Jimmy from
- the time that I started on the project.
- 21 Prior to that I was in corporate compliance.
- 22 I reported to the CEO who had since retired.
- Q And who was that?
- 24 A Bill Timmerman.
- Q And, again, just trying to get a

- 1 hierarchy, if you're the vice president, you
- 2 report to the CFO, Jimmy Addison. Who
- reported to you, underneath you, if anybody?
- 4 A When I was --
- 5 Q At the time you left.
- 6 A I had three managers reporting --
- 7 well, I had four -- five. I had Shirley
- 9 Johnson, Kevin Kochems. That's -- Kochems is
- $9 \quad K-O-C-H-E-M-S.$
- 10 And then I had Sheri Wicker. And
- 11 Sheri has an I instead of a Y at the end.
- 12 And then I had Billie Kaye --
- that's K-A-Y-E -- Morris, and she had Unit 1.
- 14 And then I had Shannon Perry, and
- she had responsibility for transmission.
- 16 That was the construction for the
- transmission line that was going to take the
- electricity from Units 2 and 3 and move it
- down toward the beach.
- 20 And I take that back. They had --
- 21 right before -- right before the -- I think
- 22 it was at the beginning of 2015, I think they
- went ahead and made her a part of the
- transmission organization.
- Q Okay. And let me ask you, when you

- 1 came to leave SCANA, did you resign from
- 2 SCANA, or were you terminated?
- A I resigned.
- 4 O And in as much detail as you can
- 5 give us, tell us how you came to leave SCANA
- 6 employment.
- A Well, actually, I went to talk to
- 8 Kevin Marsh to be able to tell him some
- 9 concerns that I had with the project. And,
- much to my surprise, Kevin didn't want to
- 11 hear what I had to say.
- 12 And he put me out on a medical
- 13 leave. A special medical leave was the term
- 14 he used. And so I was on a medical leave for
- three months. And it was obvious when he put
- me on the medical leave that it was a
- complete exit from the company.
- I went to see one attorney to start
- 19 with, and then I moved to go see Jake. And I
- 20 explained what I had seen with the company to
- Jake and what had happened when I testified
- in the 2015 rate case that was before the
- 23 Public Service Commission and how
- uncomfortable I was with the number that they
- had put in my testimony and filed in my

- 1 testimony while I was out of work when my
- 2 husband was deathly ill.
- And then at the end of that year,
- 4 in -- I quess it was the fall of '15, I
- 5 watched the negotiations of that fixed-price
- 6 contract, and that was like the icing on the
- 7 cake. And I told Jimmy Addison on the phone
- 8 that I was not going to lie for the company.
- 9 And it was six weeks later that I was put out
- on a special medical leave.
- But they -- what I saw in the
- 12 negotiations of the fixed-price contract, I
- thought it was just a rouse. And everything
- that they negotiated, what Kevin negotiated
- was ridiculous, and it was all in the best
- 16 interests of SCANA and in the worst interest
- of the ratepayers.
- I mean, he was basically financing
- Westinghouse's cash flow needs for 2016 in
- the first five months of 2016, which happens
- to line up with exactly when he was going to
- be filing for the BLRA new rates. He was
- going to pay them \$100 million a month,
- January through May, which is \$500 million,
- 25 and at the end of May was the cut-off period

- 1 for revised rates that he would file for and
- they'd be in his rates for October.
- And he would have spent
- 4 \$500 million, which is double what he'd ever
- 5 spent in target price for construction
- 6 on-site.
- And he was going to have a new
- 8 contractor, that was when he was going to
- 9 have the company out of Greenville come
- on-site in January. So they'd be the least
- productive possible, and he promised them
- he'd pay them \$100 million a month during the
- least productive period and you're going to
- bring on a new construction crowd. And it's
- like, I just -- I just can't go here anymore.
- Q Okay. There's a lot that you just
- covered that we're going to go through, go
- through in some detail.
- But I do want to ask, at -- when
- was the first time that you went to go see an
- 21 attorney?
- 22 A Probably in January.
- Q Of which year?
- 24 A '16.
- Q Okay. And when you say that you

- 1 resigned from SCE&G, you were not terminated;
- ² is that correct?
- 3 A That's right.
- 4 Q What reason did you give SCE&G for
- 5 your decision to resign?
- 6 A Because I wasn't going to lie.
- 7 Q And who do you feel was pressuring
- 9 you to lie?
- 9 A Kevin Marsh, Steve Byrne, Jimmy
- 10 Addison.
- 11 Q And what do you believe that they
- were pressuring you to lie about?
- 13 A Well, in 2015 I went and I fought
- 14 them for four months before that rate case in
- 15 2015 about what the budget should be. And I
- even went to Jimmy with a file that
- documented exactly how we calculate -- how
- his team, his finance team, calculated what
- the budget needed to be.
- 20 And it was just math. We
- mathematically went through, and we had a
- team that sat and built what the budget
- needed to be based on the number of man-hours
- that originally was calculated that needed to
- be done to build the project, based on the

- 1 productivity factor that CB&I was actually
- achieving at the project, and we made the
- 3 mathematical calculation based on the hourly
- 4 rates that they were -- they were actually
- 5 paying out and their markups. And we came up
- 6 with a number that was at least a half -- a
- 7 half a billion dollars more than the number
- 8 that Westinghouse was saying it would take
- ⁹ them to complete the project.
- And Kevin made the decision that he
- was going to go with the low number. It was
- 12 a number he could point to that Westinghouse
- had given him as the price tag to finish the
- 14 project.
- And so I went to Jimmy, and I
- walked him through this file. I gave him a
- copy, and I made me a duplicate of exactly
- what I gave him. And he said, Carlette, I
- understand where you're coming from and I
- thought I agreed with you, but they showed me
- why that other number was the right number
- 22 and I agree with them now.
- 23 And so I made one more formal pitch
- the next day and got overturned again. And
- every time we met to talk about the strategy

- 1 as to what was going to be filed in the rate
- 2 case, every time we met, it was as if there
- was a meeting the night before the team was
- 4 there and another decision was made and we
- ⁵ just missed the meeting.
- 6 And we all said, Well, it's kind of
- ⁷ like did you feel like you missed a meeting?
- 8 We all agreed, Well, we must have missed the
- 9 meeting because it seemed like the agenda was
- 10 a day ahead.
- 11 And anyway, in the midst of the
- decision being made, my husband went into
- total kidney failure, and I had to take him
- 14 to the emergency room. And I was out for
- about five weeks or six weeks.
- And while I was out, they wrote
- testimony under my name, and they filed
- testimony under my name with the number that
- 19 I had fought against.
- And when I came back to work, it
- 21 was right before the hearing. And I went
- through testimony prep knowing that I had to
- testify because I couldn't lose my job
- 24 because my husband was just out of the
- hospital. I didn't know what condition he

52

- was going to be in. And so the testimony
- prep went terribly. It was awkward. It --
- 3 it was just a bad period for me.
- 4 So they filed the testimony. We
- went through the motions of having the
- 6 testimony read into the record and all that
- ⁷ stuff. Nobody asked any questions. Really
- 8 didn't think about what I was answering on
- ⁹ the witness stand.
- But anyway, after that is when I
- 11 started worrying about things more. I
- 12 started losing weight. I was losing weight
- at 10 pounds every two weeks. So by the end
- of the year, I was down about 70 pounds. I
- 15 know I looked like hell.
- And that was the pretense that he
- was saying he was putting me out on medical
- 18 leave. It was obvious that something was
- wrong, and I don't doubt it.
- Because I was worried. It was
- like, Something is wrong. And I know the
- 22 stress was right through the roof. My
- headaches were awful. I was going and
- 24 getting cortisone injections in my neck
- trying to see if I could get it under

- 1 control. And, I mean, I was stressed to the
- 2 max because they were all over me. I was
- ³ getting reprimanded for everything I did.
- 4 Kevin was involved in it, I found out.
- I couldn't understand things. I
- 6 was -- I mean, it was just -- it was awful.
- 7 And then when I finally figured out that
- 8 Kevin was not the Kevin that I thought he
- 9 was, it all made sense.
- But I didn't -- I still believed
- and trusted him up until the last meeting
- that I had with him. And that's when I found
- out that Kevin Marsh was just a piece of
- trash and he'd been lying to me for two years
- and that he would lie and steal from every
- 16 person in South Carolina to line his own
- pocket. And, I mean, that was just --
- Q Okay. Going back to the 2015
- 19 testimony, you said you-all had prepared
- numbers internally of what you thought the
- cost for Westinghouse would be?
- 22 A Uh-huh.
- 23 Q How would I -- if I wanted to go
- 24 back and find those numbers from SCE&G, how
- would I track those down?

- 1 A I don't know how you'd find those.
- 2 Ask Jimmy Addison. He should have a file of
- 3 it. I gave it to him.
- 4 Q And what -- how would that file be
- 5 titled? Would it have been e-mailed to him?
- 6 Would it have been handed to him?
- 7 A I handed it to him. Just ask him.
- 8 Say Jackass, where's the file Carlette gave
- ⁹ you that was supposed to be used in the
- testimony in 2015? He said he totally
- 11 understood it.
- 12 Q Okay.
- 13 A His was red. Mine was yellow.
- Q Okay. And the numbers that your
- team prepared that you provided Jimmy Addison
- were not the numbers that eventually made it
- into the 2015 testimony?
- 18 A No.
- Q And how were they different?
- 20 A I think my number was somewhere
- 21 around 1.2 billion and his was like somewhere
- 22 around 698 million. Don't hold me to those
- numbers, but, I mean, it's significantly
- ²⁴ different.
- Q And the numbers we're talking about

- is the cost to complete the project?
- ² A Yes.
- Q All right.
- 4 And it would have a likely -- a
- 5 similar effect on the schedule. I mean, you
- 6 can't have that kind of a difference in the
- ⁷ budget and not have a similar impact on the
- 8 schedule.
- I mean, they can't -- they were
- working at -- their ratio to be able to
- 11 actually do the work was horrible, but I
- 12 couldn't get any of them to acknowledge that.
- 13 They wouldn't even listen to Bechtel, which
- 14 came out, I think, the month after I left,
- that they weren't managing the project.
- Q Okay. When you left SCANA, did you
- take any materials of any of this work
- 18 product with you?
- 19 A Yeah.
- Q And what materials did you take
- with you when you left SCANA?
- 22 A I think one of them was that file.
- 23 Q And what would you call that file?
- 24 A It was the 2015 Jimmy file.
- Q Besides the 2015 Jimmy file, any

- other materials that you remember taking?
- 2 A No. I mean, that was the big --
- 3 that was the most important thing for me. I
- 4 mean, you can go in and read all of the SEC
- 5 filings and you can see where -- I mean, if
- 6 you go in and -- well, you have to go to the
- 7 project records, but if you go in and look at
- 8 the project records, you can see their PF
- ⁹ factor did nothing but climb.
- Q And for a layperson like me, what
- 11 does that mean?
- 12 A Their performance factor.
- 13 Q And what is the effect of their
- 14 performance factor?
- A Well, a performance factor tells
- 16 you how originally they're budgeted to -- in
- this case we used a performance factor of 1,
- 18 1 meaning that they're going to budget one
- man-hour to do -- to do one -- I don't know
- how you'd say it, how you describe it. To do
- 21 1 yard of concrete is going to be 1.
- 22 If you -- if it takes more than one
- hour to do a yard of concrete, then you're
- not doing it in time. So if it takes two
- hours to do 1 yard of concrete, then you're

- 1 now at a performance factor of 2.
- Q Okay.
- 3 A Well, concrete was like one of the
- 4 biggest commodities that they had to install
- 5 at a nuclear plant. Their performance factor
- for something that they had millions of hours
- ⁷ for pouring was at 5. So it took them five
- 8 times the amount of time to pour concrete
- 9 than it did when they budgeted it, so if
- you've got millions of hours to pour concrete
- and it takes you five times the amount of
- time to do it, you got a major issue.
- Q And those are the performance
- 14 factors. You mentioned they'd be in the SEC
- 15 files?
- 16 A Not in the SEC. They're going to
- be in project reports.
- Q Are those called project reports,
- and who would be preparing those?
- 20 A You would want to look for the
- Westinghouse -- or the consortium monthly
- 22 project reports.
- Q Any other documents you can think
- to steer me towards to find that type of
- ²⁵ information in?

- 1 A I think you're just going to have
- to look for the monthly project meeting and
- 3 then try to get those -- and they're
- 4 PowerPoint slides. And if you could get your
- 5 hands on those, there's maybe 100 or 75
- 6 slides in there and they're metrics.
- 7 They later changed to less focused
- 8 on metrics, but for the first four or five
- ⁹ years that I was on the project, they were
- metrics-based. And there's a couple in there
- that are on their PF factor.
- 12 And every time I raised questions
- about the PF factor and wanted to get to know
- what they were doing to change the PF factor,
- 15 I was shut down by the VP of construction and
- told to take that discussion offline because
- it really wasn't appropriate to ask questions
- about that in this meeting.
- Q And who was the VP of construction?
- A He made a big impression on me. I
- 21 can't even remember his name. He was that
- ²² good.
- 23 Q And when you say -- when you used
- the terminology take it offline, what does
- 25 that mean?

- 1 A He didn't want to talk about it.
- 2 Q And why? What was your impression
- of why that would be?
- A Because he didn't care. He was
- 5 there just for the money.
- 6 O Was this VP of construction at
- 7 SCANA or at Westinghouse or CB&I?
- 8 A This was SCANA. He retired from
- ⁹ Duke or kind of got pushed out by Duke
- whenever they got bought up by I think
- 11 Progress. And so he came down here. His
- 12 family was still in Charlotte. He got a job
- offer to come down here for construction, and
- so he came down here for that.
- And he was here for a stint, and
- then went home to Charlotte after he lost his
- job. I'll remember his name.
- Q At some point probably the name
- will pop into your head, and just bring it to
- 20 me and we'll --
- A He was a nice guy. I mean, he just
- 22 didn't care. Jones, I think. Ron Jones.
- Q All right. And in --
- 24 A Dan, his name was Ron Jones.
- Q Okay.

- 1 A He was VP of construction, and he
- would be an SCE&G employee. Because he was
- 3 actually in the nuclear organization, so he
- 4 reported to Jeff Archie.
- Jeff would be another interesting
- 6 person for you to talk to.
- ⁷ Q And why do you believe that?
- 8 A Just be an interesting one to
- 9 get -- or to interview him.
- 10 Q I want to look at a couple of
- documents now, so give us a --
- 12 Let me ask you this. What is your
- understanding of what the South Carolina
- 14 Public Service Commission is?
- 15 A Say that again.
- 16 Q The South Carolina Public Service
- 17 Commission, what is it that they do?
- 18 A Well, they're supposed to look out
- 19 for the rate payors while they also balance
- the long-term sustainability of the utility
- in establishing rates.
- 22 Q And so would it be fair to say that
- the Public -- the relationship between the
- 24 PSC and SCANA is that PSC would be setting
- the rates that SCANA could charge to its

- 1 customers?
- ² A Yes.
- 3 Q And as part of your employment at
- 4 SCANA, did you ever provide testimony before
- 5 the South Carolina Public Service Commission?
- 6 A I did.
- 7 Q And why would it be you giving that
- 8 testimony as opposed to somebody else at
- 9 SCANA?
- 10 A Well, I had given testimony when I
- was at Pipeline on quite a few occasions, and
- they seemed to be happy with my ability to
- give testimony before the commissioners. And
- 14 I had done it for the two electric rate cases
- in the early 2000s, I think it was, and the
- 16 commissioner seemed to respond to me. And so
- it seemed natural, I guess, for them to do
- the same when I got to the nuclear project.
- Q And when did you last give
- testimony to the PSC?
- 21 A It would be that 2015.
- 22 Q And what was the purpose of your
- testimony in 2015 to the PSC?
- A It was to get a revised budget and
- the revised schedule approved.

- 1 Q And was it the -- the intention of
- it was for the PSC to rely on the information
- 3 in determining to do what?
- 4 A To approve the revised budget and
- 5 schedule.
- 6 Q And the two main topics that you
- 7 were going to testify to were forecasting of
- 8 construction and accounting and budgeting?
- 9 A Right.
- 10 Q All right. Who all was prepared --
- 11 I'm going to get -- we've touched on it a
- 12 little bit, but I want to get into how the
- testimony in 2015 for the PSC was prepared.
- 14 Can you give us a list of everybody at SCANA
- that would have been involved in preparing
- 16 your testimony?
- 17 A Kevin Kochems.
- Q Uh-huh.
- A And Mitch Willoughby was the
- outside regulatory counsel.
- Q Do you know which firm he works
- 22 for?
- 23 A His firm, Willoughby -- I think
- he's in his own practice.
- Q Okay.

- 1 A Belton Ziegler would have probably
- 2 had the last say on it, but I think Mitch
- Willoughby wrote my testimony. And then I
- 4 think that Ken Browne made some comments, and
- 5 he was pretty much cussed out by Mitch
- 6 Willoughby and put in his place and so he
- ⁷ just shut up.
- 8 Q All right. You're going to have to
- 9 elaborate on that for me. Explain that.
- 10 A That's all I know. Ken said
- something to me about him pushing back on
- 12 Mitch about something in my testimony in my
- absence and Mitch really let him hold it,
- which was out of character for Ken to see in
- Mitch, and that's why he shared it with me
- just to let me know that he saw how Mitch
- could get really pissed off. And so he felt
- the need to share that with me.
- Q What was it substancewise that you
- 20 believe Ken had shared with Mitch that upset
- 21 him?
- MR. BALSER: Object to the
- form of the question to the extent that it
- 24 calls for the witness to reveal SCANA
- 25 attorney-client privileged communications. I

- instruct the witness that the privilege
- belongs to SCANA and that you may not waive
- 3 any attorney-client privilege that involves
- 4 the company.
- 5 MR. MOORE: She is here under
- 6 subpoena, so, gentlemen, you tell me what to
- 7 do.
- 8 MR. BALSER: You're not
- ⁹ seeking any attorney-client privilege
- testimony, are you?
- MR. HALTIWANGER: I don't
- believe that she has had a conversation with
- 13 Mitch Willoughby -- is that correct? -- about
- 14 this.
- THE WITNESS: No.
- MR. BALSER: As I understand
- the question, you asked for the substance of
- the discussion between SCANA's outside
- 19 counsel and SCANA employees, and that is
- 20 privileged information. She cannot reveal it
- 21 no matter where she worked.
- MR. HALTIWANGER: All right.
- 23 I'll tell you what, I'm going to see if we
- 24 can't work around this. I'll respect that
- objection.

- MR. MOORE: Or I suggest that
- we skip the question, certify it for
- 3 consideration by the Court, and allow you to
- 4 take it up with the Court at a later date
- 5 should you choose to do so.
- MR. HALTIWANGER: We can agree
- ⁷ with that.
- MR. MOORE: Great.
- 9 Q Let me ask you, was there a name
- 10 for the team that was prepared -- or that
- 11 participated in preparing your testimony for
- ¹² 2015?
- 13 A Uh-uh.
- Q If I wanted to do sort of a word
- search to find any documents related to your
- 16 2015 testimony preparation, how would I go
- about finding that?
- 18 A I wouldn't know.
- Q Were there drafts of written
- 20 materials that were prepared going into the
- 21 2015 testimony?
- 22 A I'm sure there were drafts.
- Q Who would have been involved in
- 24 drafting that material?
- A Mitch and Kevin.

- 1 Q Do you know who Mitch would have
- been getting the information from?
- 3 A Kevin.
- 4 O Do you know who Kevin would be
- 5 getting his information from to supply to
- 6 Mitch?
- A Kevin would have been preparing it.
- 8 He would be getting some of the information
- ⁹ from the documents that were prepared by the
- team that pulled together the estimates.
- 11 Q Who would have been in charge of
- putting the estimates together?
- 13 A That ultimately was given to Ken
- 14 Browne.
- Q And so Ken Browne, he was a SCANA
- employee, a SCANA Services employee?
- 17 A He was an SCE&G employee. He was
- employed within the nuclear organization.
- Q And what information would he have
- been gathering in order to help prepare your
- 21 testimony?
- 22 A He didn't -- I don't mean that --
- he would have been involved in the
- 24 preparation of our team's development of the
- budget based on where the project was in the

- 1 winter of 2015.
- Q Okay.
- A And that was the basis for my
- 4 arguing with senior executives about what we
- 5 should put in the testimony as our estimate
- 6 to complete the project. It would have been
- 7 his work --
- 8 O Ken Browne's work?
- 9 A Right. And Ken Browne, that last
- name has an E on the end.
- 11 Q Were there any external accountants
- involved in preparing your 2015 testimony?
- 13 A No.
- Q Anybody from Pricewaterhouse Cooper
- 15 involved?
- 16 A No.
- Q From an internal accounting
- standpoint, besides Ken Browne, who else
- would have been involved in preparing that
- testimony or the materials that would
- underlie the testimony?
- 22 A Just Ken and Kevin. Shirley might
- 23 have -- Shirley might have been involved,
- too, with some things. Shirley Johnson.
- Q During this process, did you ever

- 1 see any rough drafts of the testimony prior
- to signing off on it to give to the PSC?
- 3 A They may have e-mailed them to me,
- 4 but I didn't look at them. My husband was in
- 5 total kidney failure.
- 6 Q So this all was occurring while you
- were occupied with your husband's health
- 8 situation?
- ⁹ A Absolutely. He was in the hospital
- 10 for ten days.
- 11 O And in addition to estimates about
- 12 cost of completion, there was also a
- component dealing with the -- I guess the
- timeline for when the project would be
- 15 completed?
- 16 A Right.
- Q And in 2015, do you recall what the
- 18 approximate date was that was given for when
- the project would have been completed?
- 20 A I can't remember.
- Q Do you recall whether you agreed
- with those dates whenever they were given in
- your testimony?
- 24 A I can't remember.
- 25 (Exhibit No. 2 was marked for

- identification.)
- 2 Q Ms. Walker, I've handed you what's
- been marked as **Exhibit 2**. And I'll give you
- 4 a chance to review it, and then I'm going to
- 5 ask you if you recognize what this document
- 6 is.
- 7 A Uh-huh.
- 8 Q You do --
- 9 A I do.
- Okay. And can you tell us what
- 11 this is?
- 12 A Yeah, this is just the cash flow
- reformatted for the new updated expenditures.
- Q And this would have been an exhibit
- to your 2015 testimony?
- 16 A That's correct.
- Q And who would have been involved,
- and we may have covered this, but who would
- have been involved in preparing the numbers
- to put into this material?
- 21 A Kevin Kochems.
- 22 Q And --
- 23 A And there was another --
- Q Do you recall?
- 25 A Rachel Robinson, I know she's

- involved in doing the final step on this.
- 2 Q And who does she work for?
- 3 A Ultimately Kenny Jackson. He was
- ⁴ on senior staff.
- 5 Q Of SCANA?
- 6 A Uh-huh.
- 7 O And --
- 8 A I'm trying to remember the guy that
- ⁹ trained her.
- 10 Q Okay. In looking at Exhibit
- Number 2, the restated and updated
- 12 construction expenditures, for a layperson,
- 13 can you explain what this material is we're
- 14 looking at? What was the purpose of this
- 15 exhibit?
- A Well, the first column that has
- numbers in it, you can see what the
- 18 transmission costs are?
- 19 Q Yes.
- A And they're just laying out by year
- the actuals, or through 2014. You see the
- last -- the last one is 47 million?
- 23 Q Yes.
- 24 A That's actual how much they spent
- in each one of those years. And then for

- those years after that line, that's what they
- 2 expect that they were going to spend through
- ³ '16 or '18. They were expecting to spend
- 4 64 million or 84 million, whatever those
- 5 numbers are to be able to complete the
- 6 transmission line.
- 7 Q And the -- explain for us what the
- 8 total revised project cash flow number
- 9 represents.
- 10 A That is the total -- well, I mean,
- that's just the total of the escalation and
- the base project costs added together.
- Q And so that is the -- is that the
- dollar amount that SCANA is telling the PSC
- that this is what it's going to cost to
- 16 complete the project?
- 17 A Yes.
- Q And what was that number in
- 19 Exhibit 1?
- A What? Say that again.
- Q What was the number in Exhibit 1
- 22 that SCANA gave to the PSC as the number that
- it believed was the amount necessary to
- 24 complete the project?
- ²⁵ A 6,547,124.

- 1 Q And at the time this material was
- prepared in 2015, do you believe that number
- 3 was accurate?
- A No. I don't -- this is the one
- 5 that's got the projection I didn't agree
- 6 with.
- 7 Q I'm sorry. What did you say?
- 8 A No, because this is the one that's
- 9 got the amounts that I didn't agree with.
- 10 Q And what -- what would be the
- difference in the amount that was given in
- 12 Exhibit 1 and what you actually believed to
- be the proper amount?
- 14 A I don't remember the numbers
- exactly.
- Q Rough ballpark would do.
- 17 A I think it was about a half a
- billion dollar difference. You would
- increase it by a half a billion dollars.
- Q And that was your belief back in
- 21 2015 when this testimony was given to the
- 22 PSC?
- 23 A Right.
- Q And you had shared that belief with
- who at SCE&G or SCANA?

- 1 A The CEO, the CFO, Steve Byrne,
- Marty Phalen. So I gave -- I had shared my
- ³ feelings with five of the senior executives.
- 4 O And if I wanted to go back and see
- 5 if I could put together any communication
- 6 with that information in it to those
- ⁷ individuals, what documents or --
- 8 A There wouldn't be anything you
- 9 could find.
- 10 Q And why is that?
- 11 A Because no matter what I said or
- did, they would not put it on the agenda.
- Q So if I wanted to find any
- documentation about your concerns you had
- raised to those five individuals, where would
- 16 I go to find it?
- 17 A I don't think you will.
- Q And, again, explain why that is.
- 19 A Because they didn't -- they didn't
- want to hear it. Kevin had made the decision
- that he was going to go to the number that he
- 22 could point to that Westinghouse had given
- 23 him.
- Q And elaborate what that means based
- on your understanding of the project as

- 1 it's --
- 2 A Exactly what I just said. I don't
- 3 know what it means other than exactly what I
- ⁴ just said. That's what he decided to do.
- 5 That's what I heard somebody say.
- 6 O And so the number --
- 7 A This is when I'm -- this is when I
- 8 believe that Kevin Marsh is an upstanding
- ⁹ citizen with integrity.
- 10 Q Okay.
- 11 A It wasn't until eight months later
- that I find out that he's a schmuck.
- Q And what led you to make that
- 14 discovery?
- 15 A When I watched him negotiate that
- 16 fixed-price contract.
- Q And what about --
- 18 A And then when I also -- after I
- told him of things that I knew was going on
- in his organization and he told me he was
- 21 going to simply put together a team to find
- out about whether or not his nuclear
- organization operated as an island and that
- was okay.
- 25 Any CFO who knows he's got 800

- 1 people -- and the organization, the motto is
- what happens at nuclear stays at nuclear --
- and they're okay with that has got a major
- 4 issue. And he was going to put together a
- 5 team to see if that really was the
- 6 organization at nuclear, the culture.
- 7 O So when you say what happens at
- 8 nuclear stays at nuclear, is that a phrase
- ⁹ you had heard while employed at SCANA?
- 10 A Oh, my gosh, yes. And I saw it in
- living color when I was at nuclear.
- O Uh-huh.
- 13 A That's where I invite you to
- 14 interview Jeff Archie.
- Q And what was his position?
- 16 A He's the chief nuclear officer. I
- don't think he could find his way out of a
- paper bag.
- 19 Q All right. So we've looked at
- 20 Exhibit Number 2, which has the -- what I
- would consider to be the cost of completion
- 22 number given to the PSC in 2015, and that was
- 23 the -- and I believe this is in billions. So
- that would have been 6.5 million, roughly?
- 25 A Uh-huh.

- 1 Q And I believe we touched on it.
- There's also a time frame under which the
- 3 project was supposed to be completed as part
- 4 of the testimony in 2015; is that correct?
- 5 A Uh-huh.
- 6 O And do you believe that that time
- ⁷ frame was also accurate, or do you believe
- 8 that there was an issue with the time frame
- ⁹ that was given to the PSC?
- 10 A I'm not an engineering expert
- witness, so I would have relied on, you know,
- some of the engineers looking at the
- schedule. So it would have been included
- 14 probably in my testimony, but I would not be
- an expert, you know, on schedules.
- 16 Q Then why would that have been
- included in your testimony as opposed to
- somebody else for the PSC?
- 19 A I don't know.
- Q Who would have made that decision?
- 21 A Belton Ziegler and Mitch. You
- would expect that that would have been in
- 23 Steve's testimony.
- Q All right. Now, we've talked about
- testimony, and I just don't know this. When

- we talk about the testimony that was given to
- the PSC, did you actually have to go in and
- give live testimony to the PSC, or was this
- 4 submitted as written materials, or both?
- 5 A Both. They put us on a panel. I
- 6 think they had myself, Ron Jones, and
- ⁷ somebody else. There were three of us, I
- 8 think, on the panel.
- 9 Q And the testimony that you -- or
- that was given on your behalf with respect to
- the total revised project cash flow of 6 1/2
- billion dollars, from what we're talking
- about here today, it sounds to me like you
- 14 did not believe that number was accurate?
- A Well, let me clarify something. I
- mean, you can -- I mean, if Westinghouse said
- they could do it in \$698 million, you know,
- we calculated something different.
- Now, if they have some workaround
- method, they might have been able to do it in
- 21 698 million. My calculation, based on the
- performance factor to date, said that it
- wasn't probable.
- But they were also getting rid of
- 25 CB&I and they were going to bring in Fluor

- 1 Daniel. Fluor Daniel is the one that built
- the first unit, so Fluor Daniel might have
- 3 been able to do it.
- 4 Q At the time that this testimony was
- 5 given, though, you were aware of information
- 6 that led you to believe that it probably
- 7 wasn't accurate?
- 8 A I was not real happy with them
- 9 putting that 698 -- yeah, the 698 in there
- because I didn't think that that was very
- 11 likely.
- Q And did you feel pressure to put
- that number in there?
- 14 A I didn't put the number there.
- 15 Remember, I wasn't at work.
- Q Okay. Did you feel any pressure
- 17 not to raise concerns about that number once
- you became aware of it?
- 19 A Say that again.
- Q Did you feel any pressure about not
- raising your concern about that number,
- 22 whatever --
- 23 A Once it was filed?
- Q Once it was filed.
- 25 A Yeah, I felt pressure not to raise

- ¹ a concern.
- 2 Q And how would you have come to feel
- that pressure? In conversations or e-mails
- 4 or anything like that from anybody?
- 5 A No. I mean, I -- I mean, we all --
- 6 Ken Browne and I, those of us who had argued
- ⁷ for four months about that number, I mean, we
- 8 didn't change our position despite management
- 9 deciding to go with the WEC number. That was
- Westinghouse. I'm sorry.
- 11 Q And I quess what I'm looking for,
- in coming to that -- or coming to your
- conclusion about what that number would be,
- had you done any written work or any written
- materials that we could look for to support
- the number you were thinking as opposed to
- the number that Westinghouse was given?
- 18 A Yeah. That record that I gave to
- 19 Jimmy has got all the documentation that
- 20 supports why we thought the million two was
- the appropriate number. It's got all the
- 22 calculations and all the supporting
- 23 documents. It all ties together.
- Q And you say million. Is it
- ²⁵ actually a billion two?

- A Billion, yeah. I'm sorry.
- 2 Q So your testimony is that you would
- 3 have given to -- this is Jimmy Addison --
- 4 A Uh-huh.
- 5 Q -- all the calculations and
- 6 information that would show that the number
- 7 that -- that the SCANA team that you were
- 8 working with came to the conclusion of was
- 9 closer to \$1.2 billion?
- 10 A Right.
- 11 Q And the number that was being
- included to the PSC was closer to 6 1/2
- million?
- 14 A Million, uh-huh.
- Q 600 million, I should say.
- 16 A Right.
- Q And when would you have supplied
- that information to Jimmy Addison?
- 19 A It was somewhere between January
- and April of '15. It was toward -- more
- toward April because it was my last-ditch
- effort and it was my chance to say you can't
- 23 say I didn't tell you because I put it in
- writing, in a file. And I had an exact
- duplicate so that I knew that I could say,

- 1 Don't tell me you didn't see it and I didn't
- tell you because I left you a written copy
- because I've got a duplicate right here
- 4 (indicating).
- 5 Q And do you still have a copy of
- 6 that duplicate today?
- ⁷ A Yeah.
- 8 Q And if I wanted to ask you for a
- 9 copy of that material, you would refer to it
- as the Jimmy Addison file? If I wanted to
- 11 ask your lawyer for a copy of it, is that how
- 12 he would know to refer to it?
- MR. MOORE: As far as I know,
- 14 you can refer to it basically any way we -- I
- mean, that would be pretty rational, I think.
- MR. HALTIWANGER: Okay.
- MR. MOORE: I'm not supposed
- 18 to speak, but I think -- if they want me to,
- 19 I will.
- 20 A I can tell you, I can't look at the
- file, so if you want some of it --
- Q And why is that?
- 23 A It drives too much emotion.
- Q And it's not because you've signed
- 25 any -- let me ask you this. When you came to

- 1 leave employment at SCANA, did you sign any
- 2 sort of severance package with them?
- 3 A Uh-huh.
- 4 Q Explain how that came to be.
- 5 A Well, Jake negotiated something
- 6 with me that said that unless I was
- ⁷ subpoenaed and had to talk, that I wouldn't
- 8 talk to anybody about anything that happened
- 9 to me in my employment with SCANA.
- 10 Q And when did that agreement come
- 11 into place?
- 12 A In July or August of '16.
- 13 Q And are you -- just tell us, in
- 14 your own words, how did that agreement come
- ¹⁵ to be?
- A Well, they knew that I had records
- at the house, and they wanted those records
- back for their own destruction or maybe
- 19 keeping. I don't know.
- 20 And I guess just good clean living,
- when I sent them the records, their lawyer
- made a faux pas, and when they returned some
- 23 boxes that didn't have records in them that
- they wanted to keep, he also sent back a
- yellow file that just happened to be the very

- 1 yellow file that was the Jimmy Addison file.
- 2 And it's like, Well, damn, if you don't want
- 3 it, I'll keep it.
- 4 And so I got my yellow file back,
- 5 which was the pivotal file. And so I had one
- file in my safe at home that was the one file
- ⁷ that he probably should have kept of all the
- 8 files he got.
- 9 Q And I guess we've all heard about
- this in the press lately due to some of the
- news, but nondisclosure agreement, are you
- 12 familiar with that term?
- 13 A Uh-huh.
- Q As part of your severance package,
- was there a nondisclosure agreement?
- 16 A Uh-huh.
- Q And do you recall what the terms
- were of that agreement? Is that the you
- can't talk unless you're under subpoena?
- 20 A Right.
- Q Are there any penalties in the
- 22 package for violation of that NDA?
- 23 A On both parts, yeah.
- Q Explain what those are.
- 25 A I don't know what they are for me.

- 1 I think they're pretty steep. If they
- violate it, I think they have to pay me
- 3 25 percent of the contract that's been unpaid
- 4 at that point.
- 5 Q Let me shift to that. What are the
- 6 terms of payment under that contract?
- A Why do we need to talk about that?
- 8 Q I'll let you talk to your --
- 9 MR. MOORE: I would prefer not
- 10 to. I mean, I understand that we -- she has
- 11 a confidentiality agreement with -- she
- basically agreed to resign, and she agreed
- that she would receive certain benefits under
- 14 the agreement.
- I would hope that -- I mean,
- that doesn't seem to be particularly relevant
- to the whole thing. She's here to testify --
- the lady has had certainly enough of her
- 19 personal life exposed. I would hope we could
- 20 pass that by.
- MR. HALTIWANGER: Okay. I
- will respect that, Mr. Moore.
- MR. MOORE: I appreciate that
- very much.
- Q I guess what I'm going to try to do

- is I'm going to try to recreate what
- documents would be covered by that by going
- 3 to SCE&G and asking them for those materials.
- 4 And as best as you can, what should I be
- 5 asking them for besides the Jimmy Addison
- 6 file, the yellow folder, and that material
- ⁷ that we've discussed? Is there anything else
- 8 that we haven't discovered?
- 9 A I mean, there's not that much that
- 10 I had at the house. I mean, I had created
- 11 some timelines.
- 12 O And what was the information in
- 13 those timelines?
- 14 A Who did what and some documents
- that would have been good and people that you
- could have identified to use to depose if you
- wanted to go ahead and do a file with the
- 18 SEC.
- Q And this was all materials you
- would have prepared in what time frame?
- 21 A Probably January through March of
- 22 2016.
- 23 O And do those materials still exist
- today?
- A I don't know. I mean, SCE&G's

- 1 attorney Ted Speth got them, so I don't know
- what he would have done with them.
- ³ Q What was the name of the attorney?
- 4 A Ted Speth. I think it's S-P-E-T-H.
- 5 O So these are timelines and
- 6 identities of individuals that you believe
- 7 had information relevant to the 2015
- 8 testimony in front of the PSC?
- 9 A No. This was related to the whole
- 10 project.
- 11 Q The whole project. Okay. Besides
- the 2015 testimony, what else about the
- project was covered in that material?
- 14 A I had identified something that had
- happened early on in the project before I was
- actually at the project that had to do with
- owner's costs.
- Q And explain -- I've never heard of
- owner's costs before. Explain what this
- issue was, as best as you can, to me.
- 21 A I wasn't -- I don't know if Bill
- 22 Timmerman was made aware of this, but I know
- that Ron Clary, who was given the charge
- for -- that's C-L-A-R-Y -- Ron Clary was a
- nuclear Navy and Unit 1 person. He was given

- 1 charge with going out and doing the research
- to find out what would be the best next layer
- of generation for the plant -- for the
- 4 company. And he came back. The expectation
- was that he was going to go out with an open
- 6 mind, whether it be gas, nuclear. You know,
- ⁷ whatever the alternatives were.
- But keep in mind, he's also been
- 9 nuclear for his entire career. So the story
- that I've been told, so it's hearsay, was
- that when he gathered his information from
- 12 AREVA and GE and all the different makers of
- 13 nuclear technology, he was trying to get
- information on what owner's costs should be
- 15 expected to be.
- And when they came up with the
- owner's costs, it was -- it was extremely
- tight with running the numbers between a gas
- 19 plant or a turbine versus a nuclear. And so
- to make sure nuclear came out as the obvious
- 21 choice, he cut the owner's costs in half for
- 22 SCE&G's numbers, and that made nuclear come
- out as the choice of fuel.
- 24 And Santee Cooper's representative
- at that point was Ken Browne, the same person

- that we've talked about earlier that worked
- ² for SCE&G at the end.
- Q Uh-huh.
- 4 A He didn't cut Santee Cooper's
- owner's costs, and that's why Santee Cooper
- 6 didn't have to go before their board and ask
- ⁷ for more money in their owner's costs.
- And so the first hearing that I
- 9 testified in for SCE&G several years after
- the project had started was, in fact, to
- 11 raise owner's costs because they had been set
- 12 artificially too low. And so we ended up
- 13 raising them, I think, about -- I think that
- we ended up doubling them in that first rate
- 15 case that I had to testify.
- Q And what was the date of that
- testimony? Roughly, what year?
- 18 A It must have been -- I think it was
- 19 2012.
- Q And, again, I'm not an accountant
- or an engineer or anything, so I'm just going
- 22 to try to explain to you what I just
- ²³ understood you to say.
- Owner's cost is -- or SCE&G was in
- the position of trying to decide between

- building different types of baseload
- generation plants?
- 3 A Uh-huh.
- 4 Q One of the options would be
- 5 nuclear. There would be other options, such
- 6 as gas or coal.
- And in coming to make that
- 8 determination about which plant to build,
- 9 they would come up with what would be the
- owner's costs for constructing the different
- 11 types of plants?
- 12 A Uh-huh.
- 13 Q And, again, we're writing it down,
- so if you're nodding your head, can you say
- yes or no?
- 16 A Yes. Yes.
- Q Okay. And you -- when you came
- onto the project, you believe you discovered
- there was an issue with owner's costs with
- 20 regard to the nuclear costs that was given to
- 21 the PSC?
- 22 A That's right.
- Q And it was your belief --
- 24 A No, not to the PSC.
- Q Okay.

- 1 A To the management for them to make
- a decision as to which one they were going to
- 3 choose.
- 4 Q Okay.
- 5 A To senior executives.
- 6 O So this would have been --
- 7 A This was in like 2005, 2007 time
- 8 frame.
- 9 Q And so, again, I don't want to put
- words in your mouth, so I just want to get
- 11 your understanding.
- The owner's costs that was looked
- 13 at for nuclear for SCE&G -- or for SCANA, you
- don't believe that those numbers were
- 15 accurate?
- 16 A Right.
- Q And what is your understanding of
- 18 how that inaccuracy came to be as part of
- 19 this project?
- A I believe that Ron Clary cut
- owner's costs in half so that when those
- 22 numbers were put in the model, along with the
- gas, that the model would show that nuclear
- was the choice for the next baseload
- 25 generation.

- 1 Q And what was Ron Clary's position
- 2 at the time? Do you know?
- A He got promoted to the vice
- 4 president of construction at the same time
- 5 that Bill Timmerman sent me up there to the
- 6 project as vice president of nuclear finance
- ⁷ administration.
- 8 Q And eventually the owner's cost was
- ⁹ adjusted?
- 10 A Right.
- 11 Q And explain how that adjustment
- 12 came to be and what was the result.
- 13 A When I got up there, we did an
- exhaustive analysis of owner's costs by going
- to each of the department heads and getting
- them to do a layout of their manpower needs
- for the life of the project, and then we also
- did training and all the other things that go
- along with manpower.
- O Uh-huh.
- 21 A And we also did a -- tried to do an
- 22 exhaustive list of supplies in inventory.
- 23 Q So is this basically just trying to
- 24 actually put numbers, hard numbers, as to
- what was the projected owner's costs?

- 1 A Right.
- 2 O And --
- A And when we did that, it was
- 4 obvious that the owner's cost was lacking.
- 5 Q And, again, one of the things I'm
- 6 going to try to do is go back and find these
- 7 materials as written. What document names or
- 8 titles would I be looking for that would go
- ⁹ into this owner's cost revision analysis?
- 10 A I would just look for the 2012 rate
- case documentation or owner's cost, 2012
- owner's costs.
- Q And -- and this was occurring
- 14 around the -- was this part of your 2012
- testimony when you went in front of the PSC?
- A Uh-huh. I think it was 2012.
- Q Okay. And would it have been your
- responsibility -- would you have been the one
- that would have been revising the owner's
- 20 cost numbers, or was that somebody else on
- 21 the team? Or who would have been --
- 22 A We would have been doing that.
- 23 That was my responsibility.
- Q And whenever you came up with those
- numbers, new numbers, were there any

- discussions with management about the
- difference between the owner's costs that you
- 3 came up with versus what Mr. Clary had put
- 4 together?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q Nobody -- you never went back and
- ⁷ said Ron was wrong or --
- 8 A No.
- 9 O -- look at the difference between
- 10 these numbers?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q Did SCE&G -- did anybody give you
- any indication that they disagreed with the
- 14 new number you came up with?
- A No. Bill had already retired. The
- 16 contract was already signed. I mean, that
- water had already flown under the bridge, and
- 18 so there was no real reason to bring it up.
- 19 We were way beyond pulling the plug on that.
- You know, this is after the fact.
- People are telling me about war stories, and
- 22 I'm just flabbergasted by what they were
- telling me. It was like, wow, this is pretty
- incredible.
- Q When you talk about war stories,

- this is one of them, this owner's cost issue?
- 2 A Uh-huh.
- 3 Q That would be one of the things
- 4 that --
- 5 A Well, I mean, you got to keep in
- 6 mind, I mean, Ron Clary -- this is, you know,
- ⁷ the nuclear mentality. You know, I got to
- 8 the project, and Ron Clary is doing
- 9 everything he can to make it to where he's
- undermining everything I am and everything
- 11 I'm trying to do. He's having me taken off
- of every distribution so that I can't get
- e-mails, I can't get letters, so -- because
- 14 he doesn't want me to be successful on the
- project because I'm not part of the nuclear
- organization. I'm part of SCANA Services.
- And so, you know, that's the
- mentality of the nuclear organization.
- 19 Instead of embracing somebody from SCANA
- Services who's supposed to help you, he tries
- 21 to push me to the side and keep me from being
- 22 a part of the organization and helping him.
- 23 And so I fought battles with him trying to
- get him just to let me become a part of the
- team and work with him.

- I went to his boss, Jeff Archie,
- and Jeff Archie told me, he said, Yeah -- he
- said, Ron, we've always known we had to keep
- 4 him in a box.
- 5 And I said, Well, he's out of the
- 6 box. Can you help me put him back in the
- 7 box?
- 8 And, you know, then I told -- I
- 9 went to my boss after a while and I said, Can
- 10 you think of anything you can do to help me
- with Ron Clary? He's driving me crazy. I
- mean, I can't get a seat at the table with
- 13 this quy.
- Did Jimmy do anything? No,
- 15 nothing.
- So one day he -- finally on a
- 17 Friday, we were working out trying to make
- 18 plans to do this re-budgeting, and I had all
- the names of all the department heads on my
- 20 white board, and I had somebody in my office.
- We were talking about, you know, how are we
- going to approach getting this re-budgeting
- done for the owner's costs.
- 24 And in comes Ron Clary in my
- office, and he walks in and he says, What are

- 1 you-all trying to do?
- 2 And I said we got to get a
- 3 realistic owner's costs because we know the
- 4 one we've got right now is bad. So I told
- 5 him the approach we were going to take.
- 6 He said, Oh, you don't need to do
- ⁷ that. We've already done that.
- 8 And I was like, You know, Ron? And
- 9 so I got mad. And so I left for the day
- 10 after I kind of got pissed off at him. And I
- did have a witness to the conversation.
- But on Monday morning, I found out
- that I got called into a meeting with Jeff
- 14 Archie, his boss, and my boss, Jimmy Addison,
- and I was reprimanded because I got mad.
- And both of them called and had a
- 17 conversation with the witness, and they
- acknowledged I didn't holler, I didn't
- scream, and I didn't cuss. But they both
- told me I did have emotion in my voice.
- 21 And I was like, Good god almighty.
- I said, How many men scream, holler, and cuss
- in meetings, but if I've got emotion in my
- voice, that's too much.
- 25 And both of you, I've went to both

- of you to try to get you to get this
- 2 knucklehead to get off of his ass and let me
- have a seat at the table, and you both looked
- 4 at me like you were retarded.
- But now I'm getting reprimanded, my
- 6 pay and my bonuses are being called into
- question, and you got nothing more to say but
- 8 to tell me I had emotion in my voice?
- And so, I mean, that's the kind of
- 10 people that I'm working with. And so it was
- like, Okay, you won't ever hear emotion in my
- ¹² voice.
- 13 Q And this all goes back to your
- 14 attempt to recalculate the owner's costs for
- the project?
- 16 A Right.
- Q And based on the work that was
- 18 completed, do you believe you were correct
- about the owner's costs on that issue?
- A Absolutely. So we redid it under
- 21 my command, and we came up with the right
- one. And despite what Ron Clary was going to
- try to do, we did it the right way, and we
- came up with the right owner's costs.
- It had to be changed because over

- time you learn more and more about the
- 2 systems and the training crew had to be
- developed and, you know, you have to adjust
- 4 things. But, I mean, at least we made a
- 5 good-faith effort at doing it instead of
- 6 doing a SWAG based on where the sun might be.
- 7 O Besides this issue with owner's
- 8 costs, any other -- I think you used the term
- ⁹ war stories. Any other topic like that that
- you experienced on the project?
- 11 A I mean, every day was a war with
- 12 those vendors.
- 13 Q Explain what you mean by that.
- A Well, I mean, I had one of the
- 15 Westinghouse executives or project
- 16 managers -- I can't remember his name. He
- died when he was the Westinghouse executive
- 18 for the project -- turn around and, I mean,
- jumped down my throat like crazy when we were
- ²⁰ arguing about whether or not something should
- 21 be credited back to us.
- 22 And then he sent me an e-mail -- it
- was hilarious -- saying what a great meeting
- 24 we had and how professional it was.
- 25 And it was like, This is just a

- joke. It's like you climbed down my throat
- 2 and all but threatened my life.
- And we all agreed that it was the
- 4 least professional meeting I'd ever been in,
- 5 and he sends an e-mail and makes a comment
- 6 about how professional it was, and it was
- ⁷ anything but professional.
- And all of the meetings ended up
- 9 being very confrontational because they
- didn't want to pay -- or they wanted a bill
- and we didn't want to pay.
- 12 And so their attorneys were
- becoming more obnoxious. I mean, they had, I
- mean, roughhouse attorneys at every meeting.
- Q And these would be attorneys for
- the vendors that were charging on a
- 17 cost-plus --
- 18 A Right.
- Q -- program with SCANA?
- A Westinghouse and CB&I.
- Q Okay.
- A And they were bringing in people
- out of construction into the meetings so that
- they could have just sheer volume in the
- room. I mean, I have junior auditors and

- junior accountants and I had to have -- set
- ² up a policy, nobody has a meeting unless
- myself or the manager named Skip Smith was in
- 4 the meeting because they were too rough on my
- 5 accountants.
- 6 O And what would have been the
- outcome of them being so rough with your
- 8 accountants? What was the goal that you
- 9 believe they were trying to accomplish?
- 10 A Intimidate them.
- 11 Q Intimidate them into doing what?
- 12 A Not bringing up issues.
- 13 Q And those issues would have been
- 14 billing issues with --
- 15 A Exactly.
- 16 Q In other words, the vendors would
- be billing information that your audit team
- would have questions about --
- 19 A Right.
- Q -- or feel that they should
- 21 challenge?
- 22 A Right.
- 23 Q And --
- 24 A Rather than challenge them, they
- wouldn't have brought them up because they

- 1 know that the meetings would be -- they'd be
- ² a slaughterhouse.
- ³ Q And who was supposed to be
- 4 representing SCANA in these meetings?
- 5 A Myself and another manager and then
- 6 the person that actually did the work. I
- mean, we normally got to the point where we
- 8 would have at least three people that were
- 9 out of management in our meetings.
- Q And, again, one of the things --
- like I've said from the beginning, I'm trying
- to find documentation of things. What type
- of materials or documents would I look for
- that would be able to lay out some of these
- 15 confrontations and some of these
- 16 disagreements?
- 17 A I mean, you're not going to be able
- 18 to see the confrontation in the -- all of
- 19 it's going to be in the invoice -- what was
- the name of the document that we had talked
- 21 about earlier? The invoice issues log? It's
- 22 all -- yeah, it all boils down to that.
- Q Okay.
- A And we always went back to that.
- That was our documentation that we always

- 1 went back to.
- 2 You might find -- if you go to
- audit work papers, you'll find where we had
- 4 meetings, and they might have documentation
- 5 in there about the meetings.
- 6 But it all boils back down to that
- ⁷ issues log is going to have the substance of
- 8 what we ended up doing with it. I don't know
- 9 if you're going to be able to find audit work
- papers. I don't know how -- I don't know
- what you have access to.
- 12 O Okay.
- 13 A But they do have -- they have audit
- work papers. Internal audit and the project
- team had audit work papers.
- Q And can you give us an idea of what
- amounts of money we're talking about in
- 18 dispute?
- 19 A It's just -- like I said, I mean,
- before, I mean, you might find something
- that's symptomatic, so you're disputing
- something that might be minor in the few that
- you found, but it's symptomatic of some -- of
- 24 a control that could be -- you know, when you
- 25 start talking about thousands of people and

- you found 6 out of 20 or 40 that you were
- 2 checking, you could -- you know, if you could
- extrapolate it -- that was a scientific
- 4 sample, and you did the extrapolation, then
- 5 that would be a huge amount of money. But we
- 6 didn't always do it with a scientific sample,
- ⁷ so you couldn't extrapolate.
- But we thought, when we found 6 out
- of 40 that were bad, we got a problem.
- 10 Q And so it would be your team's
- 11 responsibility to -- or role to engage with
- 12 the vendors about the disputes over these --
- 13 these invoices?
- 14 A Or these transactions.
- Q Transactions.
- 16 A Uh-huh. Because we felt like their
- 17 controls were lacking. And then they would
- try to suggest to us our controls are fine.
- 19 You only found 6. I mean, you looked at 40;
- you only found 6. What's the problem? And
- we'd fix those 6.
- 22 O And --
- 23 A And then they would try to prove to
- you that was an anomaly, that was just a
- 25 fluke.

- Or like one of the things, we were
- always badgering them about the expense
- ³ reports. So what did they do? They
- 4 contracted it out, and it cost us three
- 5 times -- any mistakes that could be made on
- 6 expense reports, they paid a local CPA firm
- ⁷ to audit 100 percent of them.
- 8 Q All right. So I think I understand
- 9 what you're saying there, but for a
- layperson, explain what you just -- what you
- just said. You were explaining about --
- 12 A Expense reimbursements.
- 13 Q So they said, Well, okay, fine,
- we'll have 100 percent of them audited?
- 15 A Which cost us -- you know, if you
- 16 have five that were lying about where they
- lived and shouldn't have been paid per diem,
- well, that might have cost you, I don't know,
- ¹⁹ \$100,000 a year.
- Well, instead of, you know, them
- 21 checking them, they just said, Okay, we'll
- outsource that and we'll hire a CPA firm and
- you can pay for a senior accountant to sit
- over here at \$200 an hour and they'll review
- ²⁵ 100 percent of our expense reports for every

- 1 year. And that will cost you \$400,000, but
- you won't have any mistakes. And we couldn't
- 3 argue because we wanted them audited.
- 4 Q And for somebody not familiar with
- 5 the contract, why would that end up being an
- 6 expense for SCANA as opposed to an expense
- 7 carried by the vendor?
- 8 A Because we wanted them audited.
- 9 And they would say they didn't have the
- personnel and they didn't want to pay for the
- personnel and pay for the benefits. They had
- to bring in two people to review them
- 13 full-time and pay benefits, and at their
- 14 rates, they'd calculate and approve it was
- more expensive to have them full-time than to
- bring somebody in seasonal to do it from an
- ¹⁷ accounting firm.
- MR. HALTIWANGER: We've been
- 19 going about another hour. Let's take a short
- 20 break.
- 21 (A recess was taken.)
- 22 (Exhibit No. 3 was marked for
- 23 identification.)
- Q All right. Ms. Walker, I'm going
- to hand you Exhibit Number 3. And before we

- 1 go through it, I just want to let you know,
- when we -- when this law firm, our law firm,
- ³ first got involved in this project or this
- 4 matter, we sent a Freedom of Information
- 5 request to Santee Cooper and asked for a lot
- of different materials from them that they
- ⁷ might have in their files. And one of the
- 8 materials we got in response to our request
- 9 was a phone message left on a Santee Cooper
- voice mail. In a second, I'm going to play
- that voice mail for you because I believe it
- was you that left the voice mail.
- 13 A I've heard it.
- Q What's that?
- 15 A I've heard it. You don't have to
- 16 play it.
- Q Well, I need it just for the court
- reporter to make a copy of it, for you to
- 19 verify what I've done in Exhibit 3 is typed
- 20 up, the message itself. And I want to make
- 21 sure that you get an opportunity to read
- 22 along with it and make any corrections.
- For instance, I believe right in
- the first sentence, I believe there's an
- error in that and I say Mary and I believe

- 1 it's Marion. Is that correct?
- ² A Yes.
- Q So what I'm going to do now, and if
- 4 at any point you need me to stop it to get
- 5 caught up, but I'm going to play a voice
- 6 mail.
- 7 MR. HALTIWANGER: And, David,
- 8 I'm going to get that marked as Exhibit 4
- ⁹ just to have a hard copy of it, if that works
- 10 for you.
- MR. BALSER: You're going to
- 12 mark what as 4?
- MR. HALTIWANGER: The little
- 14 disk that has the voice mail on it.
- MR. BALSER: Okay.
- MR. HALTIWANGER: And that way
- 17 we can get an audio if we need it.
- Q And really what I want to do is,
- 19 from a housekeeping standpoint, I'm going to
- play the message, have you listen to it, read
- along with it, and let me know if any changes
- need to be made, verify it's you on the
- message. And then we'll go from there.
- 24 Okay?
- MR. RICHARDSON: It may be

- better to stop it -- if you see an
- inaccuracy, stop it and make the change
- 3 instead of trying to go back.
- 4 A Okay. Well, we know that Mary
- 5 should be Marion.
- O That's M-A-R-I-O-N?
- 7 A I-O-N. That's Marion Cherry of
- 8 Santee Cooper.
- 9 Q Okay. Here we go.
- 10 (Audio recording played.)
- 11 Q Ms. Walker, were you able to follow
- 12 along --
- 13 A Uh-huh.
- Q -- in Exhibit 3 with the message as
- 15 it played?
- 16 A Uh-huh. Yes.
- Q Besides the change to the name Mary
- to Marion, any other changes that you believe
- 19 need to be made in Exhibit 3 to accurately
- reflect the message you had left on the voice
- 21 mail?
- 22 A No.
- Q And that was your voice on the
- 24 phone call?
- 25 A That's right.

- 1 Q And what was the approximate date
- ² of that call?
- 3 A I have no idea.
- 4 Q Based on the information given in
- 5 it, can you give us a time frame of when that
- 6 call --
- 7 A I would think that that would
- 8 probably be in January.
- 9 Q Of what year?
- 10 A 2016.
- 11 Q Do you remember where you were
- 12 physically when you made the call? Were you
- at your house or an office or --
- 14 A No. I was on my company's cell
- phone, so I'm thinking that I was probably
- driving or walking somewhere. I wasn't at
- 17 home.
- Q Was there any event that you recall
- in particular that triggered you to make that
- 20 phone call to Marion?
- 21 A No. I know that I felt especially
- 22 protective of the rate payors, whether they
- were Santee Cooper or SCE&G's. And I knew at
- that point I could not do anything to protect
- 25 SCE&G's rate payors, but I knew that Marion,

- 1 especially Michael and Lonnie, they had been
- pushing back against SCE&G's management or
- 3 SCANA a lot, and -- and I didn't know if they
- 4 had actually signed that fixed-price
- 5 agreement yet. And so my intention was to
- 6 try to get them to not sign that fixed-price
- 7 agreement if they had not signed it yet
- 8 because my expectation was is that
- 9 fixed-price contract wasn't in their best
- 10 interests.
- 11 O Whose interests would it have been
- in, in your opinion?
- A SCANA's only.
- Q And how would it be in SCANA's
- interest and not the rate payors?
- 16 A Because SCANA is the only person or
- only organization that had the Base Load
- 18 Review Act as a means to have cost recovery.
- Q And so what did that mean as a
- 20 practical effect, having cost recovery? How
- 21 did that benefit SCANA as opposed to the rate
- 22 payors with respect to that fixed-price
- 23 contract?
- 24 A Like I said earlier, the
- 25 arrangements, as I understood it, was SCE&G

- was going to pay them, which put Santee
- 2 Cooper in the same block, they were going to
- 3 be paying them \$100 million per month January
- 4 through May of 2016 for -- to Westinghouse,
- 5 and that was going to be for craft labor.
- The highest craft labor that I had
- 7 ever seen was between 50 and 60 million, and
- 8 so I questioned where the \$100 million a
- 9 month -- yeah, \$100 million a month came out
- and why we had agreed to pay such a large
- amount.
- 12 And so the only thing that I could
- come up with was that Kevin had wished to
- 14 finance Westinghouse's functions by giving
- them that large amount of money, knowing that
- they were going to be in a start-up with a
- 17 new contractor because Fluor Daniels was
- 18 supposed to be coming onboard. CB&I was
- 19 leaving the site.
- 20 And that was all a part of that
- fixed-price contract, and that negotiation
- was the release of CB&I from the site. And
- you expect a lot of CB&I's craft personnel to
- leave because they're a part of that
- ²⁵ organization.

- 1 And so under the Base Load Review
- 2 Act, the tradition was that, from
- July 1st through June 30th, the cash that's
- 4 paid out on the project is measured and you
- 5 do a filing at June 30th for rates that would
- 6 go into effect in October of that calendar
- ⁷ year.
- Well, if you paid out excessive
- 9 amounts January through May, it certainly
- supports you being able to increase your
- 11 revenue later in that year in October if you
- 12 pay it early in January through May. And
- that's what it looked like Kevin had set up,
- so that he would pay out a hundred million
- dollars for five months, finance
- Westinghouse's operations so they could
- continue to operate, because they were
- basically bankrupt, and then he would get his
- 19 highest bang in revenue that he had ever had
- in October when the rates were approved.
- Because there was no real approval
- 22 process to go through. It was just a matter
- of signing -- filling in the documents,
- filing them with the PSC, and then they
- ²⁵ automatically went in and got approved.

- 1 And then in November he'd have the
- 2 revenue stream from the \$500 million, plus
- ³ what was spent in the 2015 period.
- 4 And I believe -- I'm not certain,
- 5 but I think that those rates went into
- 6 effect. Or maybe they didn't. I don't know.
- ⁷ I know they pulled the plug on the project
- 8 that July.
- 9 Q Okay.
- 10 A No. They pulled the plug in '17,
- 11 didn't they? So those rates -- that cost
- probably went into the rates in '16, so the
- 13 customers were bearing that cost.
- Q All right. I'm going to now dig
- into little details kind of line by line in
- the message, and that's why I had it printed
- out for you in Exhibit 3.
- Let's start with the question, who
- is the Marion that you left the message for?
- A Marion Cherry.
- 21 Q And what --
- A He's the site representative for
- 23 Santee Cooper. His background is
- ²⁴ engineering.
- Q And what would be your

- 1 understanding of the job responsibilities he
- would have had with regard to the project?
- A Marion had endless job
- 4 responsibility. Not an enviable position.
- 5 He had to do pretty much everything. He was
- a one-man shop, and he had to do -- cover all
- ⁷ the bases for protecting Santee Cooper, from
- 8 engineering to billing.
- 9 O And was he there for the time
- period you were there at SCANA?
- 11 A He was.
- 12 Q And why would you have been calling
- 13 Marion as opposed to anybody else with this
- 14 information?
- 15 A Marion and I had developed a
- business relationship that was very
- supportive of each other, and I knew -- I
- 18 felt like Marion was deserving to know that
- 19 Kevin Marsh and the other executives that he
- had met in front of and had spoken in front
- of was not the person that I had thought he
- was. And I thought that Marion should know
- that.
- Q And so you had had a prior
- ²⁵ relationship with Marion as a result of your

- work on the project?
- 2 A Not prior to the project.
- ³ Q Not prior to the project but
- 4 prior --
- 5 A Just on the project.
- 6 Q On the project. Okay.
- Besides Marion, did you reach out
- 8 to anybody else at Santee Cooper?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q I'm just going to read through some
- of the statement and then ask you some
- 12 questions about the material that was in the
- 13 voice mail.
- The message starts off: Hey,
- 15 Marion. It's Carlette. Listen, I just
- wanted to give you a heads-up, and this is
- just between you and me and the fencepost.
- 18 I'm fine. Whatever they're telling you-all
- 19 is just bullshit.
- What was it that you believe that
- 21 they might be or were telling Santee Cooper
- 22 about you?
- 23 A What I expected them to tell
- 24 everybody was that I had a nervous breakdown
- 25 and I wasn't able to take phone calls and --

- 1 because that's basically what I had been told
- was that don't call Carlette; she needs time
- 3 away from work and, you know, no e-mails, no
- 4 nothing.
- 5 And I thought they were telling
- 6 everybody that I had just had a nervous
- ⁷ breakdown. And what I understood was unlike
- 8 anything I've ever heard of. There was some
- 9 attorneys sent out, and they were out asking
- some questions about my -- about me.
- 11 Q Who would these attorneys have
- 12 been? Were they --
- 13 A I think one of them was an HR
- 14 attorney, and then the other one was the
- 15 project attorney.
- Q And these would have been SCANA
- 17 attorneys?
- 18 A Uh-huh.
- 19 Q And do you believe you had had a
- nervous breakdown?
- 21 A I think -- I think -- I might have.
- 22 If I didn't, I came within a hair of having
- one.
- Q And what about it -- or what about
- the work on this project do you believe would

- 1 have brought about that condition for you?
- 2 A Working for Jimmy Addison and Jeff
- 3 Archie. Those two worked to collaborate -- I
- 4 think those two worked collaboratively under
- 5 Kevin's watchful hand to wear me out so that
- 6 I'd leave. I think if I would have walked
- out a zombie and unable to talk, that would
- 8 have been perfect.
- 9 Q And what would have been their
- motivation of doing that? You were a fellow
- 11 SCANA employee, correct?
- 12 A Yep.
- 2 So why -- why would they want to do
- 14 that to you?
- 15 A Because then I wouldn't be able to
- 16 talk to you today.
- Q And what -- why do you think that
- 18 that was their goal or what was -- I --
- 19 A Because they knew I wasn't going to
- 20 lie for them.
- Q And why would it have been in their
- 22 benefit -- I guess I'm trying to get at the
- 23 big picture. What is the benefit to them to
- ²⁴ doing that?
- 25 A Because they knowingly lied to the

- 1 public about that fixed-price contract and
- lied about being able to complete that
- ³ project on time or in a time frame.
- 4 And they knew that, as they
- 5 continued to start the lies -- that started
- 6 in 2015 in my testimony, and that was just
- 7 like, Okay, we don't really like these
- 8 numbers Carlette is coming up with; we'd
- ⁹ rather it be a smaller number.
- 10 So that one was kind of like
- borderline, but the fixed-price contract, way
- out of bounds. And I think that's when they
- 13 just decided things are out of control. We
- 14 have people coming in and telling us that,
- but we are -- there's no going back.
- And she's a problem. She's already
- said I'm not going to lie for you. And
- 18 they -- and they knew that. I mean, once
- 19 Bill retired -- Bill is the one that put me
- up there, and Bill put me in corporate
- 21 compliance.
- 22 And I think they knew that I wasn't
- going to be a liar. And I think they knew
- that early enough on that they started
- working on me well in advance of when I had

- 1 that testimony.
- 2 Q And going from a big-picture
- yiewpoint, though, your role was as an
- 4 auditor accountant for SCANA, correct?
- 5 A Uh-huh.
- 6 Q They were also employees of SCANA?
- 7 A (Nods head.)
- 8 Q I guess what did you see as their
- 9 interest that was crosswise with SCANA
- 10 getting auditing and accounting information
- on this project? What was in it for them?
- 12 A Money.
- 13 Q Explain how that would be.
- 14 A Their short-term bonuses and
- 15 long-term bonuses were at much higher levels
- than mine. And then they also had other
- programs that I wasn't a party to that also
- paid large amounts of money, supplemental
- executive retirement programs and, you know,
- who -- I mean, I don't even know about some
- of the stuff they had. I mean, it just came
- down to greed.
- Q And that's what I want to try to
- get a better understanding of since I'm
- coming at this totally from the outside.

- If you're going to explain it to me
- 2 as a layperson coming into this project, what
- 3 about it -- what incentives were there to not
- 4 be honest with the public and PSC?
- 5 A Yeah. I mean, under Kevin -- I
- 6 mean, Kevin is the new CEO. The other one
- 7 has been successful for however long he had
- been in the position. He's coming in. He's
- ⁹ got a nuclear project underway, and if he
- 10 comes out publicly and says that we got
- problems with the project, stock price is
- 12 going to start turning. Kevin looks like a
- 13 bad CEO.
- So first thing he's going to want
- 15 to do -- oh, my god, I can't let anybody know
- that the project is not doing good. What are
- we going to do?
- The first thing he's going to do,
- he's going to start questioning, Well, we're
- not going to go out there and tell them the
- 21 project is not doing good. What can we do?
- Let's start fudging a little bit.
- Well, once you tell one white lie
- and then the next time the lie has got to get
- ²⁵ a little bit bigger, and before you know it,

- 1 you're on a really fine little limb. And I
- think that's what happened.
- I don't know that Kevin was ever a
- 4 good person. I thought he was. But the
- first person that he promoted after he became
- 6 CEO -- you could almost hear a hush across
- 7 the whole company because the guy that he
- 8 promoted was known to be a bad person, and
- 9 Kevin knew this.
- Q And who was that person?
- 11 A Marty Phalen.
- 12 O Okay.
- 13 A I mean, he lied and cheated on his
- expense report and his procurement card. I
- was in compliance, and I saw it. And Kevin
- 16 knew that. And you promote somebody that has
- no integrity into a senior vice president
- 18 position? And that says something really
- bold about the person that promoted him.
- 20 And so everybody in the whole
- company questioned, Well, what does Kevin
- stand for when you promote somebody that
- everybody knows is a bad person and you
- 24 promote somebody like that to be your
- ²⁵ right-hand person?

- 1 And so that was the -- kind of like
- ² a fatal fall right there for Kevin. And then
- ³ right after that is -- the project starts
- 4 going bad, and everywhere you see Kevin, you
- 5 see Marty Phalen. It's like, Well, what's
- 6 wrong with this picture?
- And so, I mean, I'm speculating. I
- 8 mean, I don't -- I don't get it. But all I
- 9 know is that the Kevin Marsh that I once
- thought I knew is not the executive at the
- 11 helm of the company.
- Because, I mean, he told me
- on -- the one thing that should have sent me
- just a huge alarm was an employee had been, I
- think, mishandled. And I saw him in the
- hall, and I just briefed him on it. And he
- said, Carlette, just send me an appeal on
- that. And I don't know, Jimmy can be cold
- 19 sometimes.
- And it was an employee who had had
- her position re-evaluated, and it came back
- 22 as even a lower position. And Marty Phalen's
- decision was to cut her pay 30 percent.
- 24 She'd been with the company 35 years.
- 25 And I fought it, and I said, You

- don't cut somebody's pay that's been here for
- 2 35 years by 30 percent. I said, That's
- ³ insanity.
- 4 And -- well, Marty Phalen was just
- 5 like, Well, that's what you do. I mean, her
- 6 market is a clerk now.
- I said, Well, you don't cut her
- 8 pay. You redline it, and then when she --
- ⁹ the market eventually gets here, she's going
- 10 to get a pay increase for the next ten years.
- And I took it to an outside
- 12 attorney just as a touch point for me just to
- make sure I wasn't crazy. And the outside
- 14 legal counsel told me absolutely, it's
- against the law to do what they're doing.
- And so I came back in, and I just
- asked Kevin -- I didn't say anything about
- seeing an outside attorney about it. I asked
- 19 Kevin to -- I told him the situation. He
- said, Just appeal it to me.
- So I -- my bosses signed off on it
- 22 and so had Marty Phalen, who was over at HR.
- 23 So I wrote this very nice e-mail, I thought
- was extremely nice and politically sensitive,
- 25 and I copied Marty and Jimmy Addison on it.

- And it was to Kevin, and it took a
- long time for him to respond. And he
- 3 remanded it back to the two people that
- 4 approved it.
- I was like, Oh, shit. Now I'm in
- 6 real hot water. And of course, I mean, what
- ⁷ are they going to do but approve it and then
- 8 call me in.
- And, I mean, they raked me over the
- 10 coals like no man's business. And, I mean,
- at that point, you just broke my spirit
- 12 beyond breaking it.
- I mean, my -- Jimmy Addison went to
- 14 CB&I and got feedback from them on me, and
- it's like, Really? It's like, If you're
- going to go on a witch hunt like that, why
- don't you go catch some people on Main Street
- and just tell them you're trying to come up
- with some mean statements and just solicit
- mean statements?
- I mean, from CB&I, you're getting
- feedback from them on your VP of nuclear
- operations? Really? I mean, it was god
- 24 awful. It was like having your -- your
- wrists slit for 30 minutes and him telling

- 1 you what a shit ass you are at work. And
- it's like, Are you done yet?
- And so after that, he was like,
- 4 Carlette, I just want to let you know, you
- 5 took that criticism more professionally than
- 6 anybody I have ever seen.
- And it was like, What do you mean
- 8 by that, Jimmy?
- 9 He said, Well, you kept your
- 10 composure.
- And I said, Well, let me tell you
- 12 something, Jimmy. I said, The reason I
- 13 appeared to have kept my composure is I was
- 14 speechless. That was what you considered
- composure; I was speechless.
- Let me tell you another thing. And
- we were on the phone, and I said, The other
- thing I need to tell you is you broke my
- 19 spirit on that same day. And I'll tell you
- one more thing, I will not lie for this
- 21 company. And I said, So you can go ahead and
- 22 stick that where you want to, I said, but I
- will not lie for this company.
- 24 And six weeks later is when they
- walked me out the front door. And that was

- in November of '15.
- O And we're going to touch on this
- 3 some more, but while we're on the topic, what
- 4 lies do you feel you were being asked to tell
- for the company?
- 6 A I just knew they were going to ask
- 7 me to lie about that fixed-price contract.
- 8 Q In what way?
- 9 A With the Public Service
- 10 Commission -- well, the ORS, the Office of
- 11 Regulatory Staff, when they were around, I
- was going to have to act like that was a good
- thing; it was positive for the ratepayers.
- 14 And it was like, I'm not going to tell them
- 15 that.
- Q And that's because you didn't
- 17 believe it?
- 18 A No.
- Q And why would it not have been a
- 20 good thing in general?
- 21 A Because, as I explained to you, you
- were financing Westinghouse's operations, and
- to the extent that you were financing their
- operations, you were upfront financing the
- 25 BLRA so that you could charge your customers

- 1 early.
- I mean, you wouldn't have spent
- that money in 2016. You would have spent
- 4 that money in 2017, not when you agreed to
- 5 pay it to Westinghouse. That cash flow would
- 6 have looked very different.
- 7 Q All right. Going back to the voice
- 8 mail, the statement, I just want to let you
- 9 know that I know the truth now, and I don't
- want you and Santee to get screwed any more
- by the executives of SCE&G and SCANA.
- When you used the phrase the truth
- you believe you had learned when you made
- this call, what was the truth you believe you
- 15 had learned?
- 16 A As to what -- who Kevin Marsh was
- and what was driving Kevin Marsh and his
- 18 executives in their decision-making.
- 19 Q And what did you believe was
- ²⁰ driving them in their decision-making?
- 21 A They were trying to prop up the
- 22 purchase -- the stock purchase price and the
- 23 earnings for SCANA.
- Q And how would that benefit them?
- 25 A Because their bonus was tied to

- 1 earnings and stock price.
- 2 Q And how did you come to learn what
- you called this truth? What information came
- 4 to you that gave you this knowledge?
- 5 A Just my experience as a CPA looking
- 6 at the sequence of events.
- ⁷ Q Had you had any discussions with
- 8 anyone else at SCANA about this?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q All right. Many a times today
- we've talked about documentation. What
- documents would you point me to if I wanted
- to go out and find documents that would be in
- support of what you're telling us here?
- 15 A If you go look at the SEC filings
- and then if you were to go look at the PF
- 17 factors and the reality of how that project
- was actually performing, based on those
- 19 project -- monthly project review meetings,
- you can't. They don't match.
- Q All right.
- 22 A And you got VPs out there, you got
- a chief nuclear officer, and you've got a
- chief operating officer, and whether Kevin
- likes it or not, he had a responsibility to

- 1 go out there. You can't turn your head to a
- ² responsibility.
- I mean, I think somebody said that
- 4 he had legislators out there two weeks before
- we closed the project, and he was boasting
- 6 about how good it was going.
- 7 Q Do you know who those legislators
- 8 would have been by chance?
- 9 A Uh-uh.
- 10 Q All right. In the message you
- 11 stated you did not want Santee Cooper to,
- 12 quote/unquote, get screwed anymore.
- 13 At that time you left this message,
- did you believe that Santee Cooper had been
- screwed in the past in relation to the
- 16 nuclear project?
- 17 A Yeah.
- Q Explain how.
- 19 A Because they were making payments
- 20 according to when SCE&G made payments, and
- instead of disputing the payments like Santee
- 22 Cooper was requesting, SCE&G kept making full
- payments.
- Q Who at Santee Cooper was requesting
- 25 that?

- 1 A Michael Crosby.
- Q And who was responsible for
- 3 responding to those requests for SCANA?
- 4 A I guess it would be Steve Byrne and
- ⁵ Kevin Marsh.
- 6 O And what was the outcome of that?
- 7 A They didn't respond to him. I
- 8 remember Jimmy Addison making comments to the
- 9 effect that Michael Crosby had just made a
- 10 bunch of noise.
- 0 Who -- these complaints, would
- there have been like documentation of these
- complaints by Michael Crosby? Would they
- 14 have come in e-mails or documents?
- 15 A It might. It wouldn't have come to
- 16 me.
- Q Who would it have gone to, do you
- 18 think?
- 19 A I would think it would have gone to
- Jimmy or either Steve.
- Q Steve?
- 22 A Byrne.
- Q Byrne. Okay.
- A And that's B-Y-R-N-E.
- Q And that leads us right into the

- 1 next question I have is that -- your
- inference that you didn't want Santee to get
- 3 screwed anymore by, quote, the executives of
- 4 SCE&G and SCANA, end quote.
- 5 Who are the executives of SCE&G and
- 6 SCANA that you were talking about? If you
- 7 can list them for me.
- 8 A That would be Kevin Marsh, Jimmy
- 9 Addison, Steve Byrne, Marty Phalen, and Jeff
- ¹⁰ Archie.
- 11 O And --
- 12 A And you might as well add Kenny
- 13 Jackson.
- Q What was his role?
- 15 A He was over rates and regulation.
- Q And -- going on in the message, you
- 17 say that Kevin Marsh is not the guy that
- everybody thinks he is. He is a liar, and
- he's just like Steve and Jeff and Jimmy and
- Marty Phalen. They're all of the same cloth.
- 21 They all think that they are the smartest
- 22 guys in the room, but they're on the fricken'
- 23 take.
- The lies that you believe Kevin
- 25 Marsh would have been told -- would have

- told, what would they have been? I just want
- 2 to try to get a list as general as I can.
- 3 A Say what now?
- 4 Q Lies that you believe Kevin Marsh
- 5 had told in relation to the project that
- 6 would have been the basis for your statement
- ⁷ in the phone message.
- 8 A I couldn't believe anything that he
- 9 said. I mean, once I found out that he was a
- 10 liar, then I pretty much painted him off as
- just everything that came out of his mouth, I
- wouldn't trust anything.
- Okay. But for the purposes of what
- 14 I'm looking for, I'm looking for things that
- would have had an impact on the project out
- there as opposed to -- or as opposed to
- something not related to the Fairfield
- 18 project.
- What specifically would you believe
- would have been dishonest statements Kevin
- 21 had made with respect to the nuclear project
- 22 itself that I could look into?
- 23 A Just like the SEC filings that he
- has filed and signed for 2016.
- Q Uh-huh.

- 1 A You know, where they're saying that
- the project -- they're saying that the
- ³ project is ongoing and everything is okay.
- 4 You know, I mean, that's -- in 2016, he knew
- 5 that project was failing. I mean, the
- 6 Bechtel report clearly told him that the
- ⁷ project was upside down. I had already told
- 8 him that.
- 9 Q All right. So I'm going to go look
- at the SEC filings.
- What else should I go look to try
- 12 to find anything that you would characterize
- as dishonest statements by Kevin Marsh?
- A Well, I mean, that's -- that's the
- big one. I mean, that's -- that's
- 16 Sarbanes-Oxley right there.
- Q Okay. And at what point do you
- believe that you came across the information
- that led you to believe -- or led you to
- understand that that information was untrue?
- 21 What time frame would we have been looking
- ²² at?
- 23 A I mean, I knew that in early 2016.
- I mean, as soon as they filed, which would
- have probably been in February, it's like

- it's a done deal. I mean, unless you pull
- the plug on the project and you start telling
- 3 the truth, something's got to give. I mean,
- 4 you're either going to lie about it again or
- you're going to come out and tell the truth.
- 6 And they didn't.
- But with the PF factors that they
- 8 had and based on all the problems that I
- 9 knew -- I'm an accountant, and I could tell
- you, I mean, the engineering design was so
- 11 screwed up and so far behind and impacted so
- much of the fabrication for that project that
- there was no way they were going to be able
- to complete that project in the timeline or
- anywhere close to the cost.
- 16 If you look at the project down in
- Georgia right now, I mean, they're looking at
- 18 \$25 million -- billion.
- Q All right. In the voice message
- you reference Steve. And this is sort of
- 21 housekeeping. What would have been Steve's
- 22 name?
- A That's Steve Byrne.
- Q And what was his relationship to
- 25 the project?

- 1 A He was in charge of the project.
- Q Does he still work at SCANA?
- A No, he quit.
- 4 Q Do you know --
- 5 A Or retired. I don't know what the
- 6 status was, but he left at the same time as
- ⁷ Kevin Marsh.
- 8 Q Have you ever heard any information
- 9 about why he may have left?
- 10 A Uh-uh.
- 11 Q Can you say it out loud for the
- 12 court reporter.
- 13 A No. I'm sorry. I never heard
- ¹⁴ anything.
- Q Who is the Jeff that you're
- 16 referring to?
- 17 A Jeff Archie.
- Q And what was his relationship to
- 19 the project?
- 20 A Pretty much nothing, but he had
- 21 more responsibility for the project, I guess,
- than Steve. He was the chief nuclear
- officer.
- Q And do you know if he still works
- 25 at the company?

- 1 A Yeah. As I understand it, he's
- been told to stay at Unit 1, so he's at
- 3 Unit 1.
- 4 O And that would be the Unit 1
- 5 nuclear reactor in Fairfield?
- 6 A Uh-huh. That's right.
- 7 Q And who was the Jimmy that you're
- 8 referring to?
- 9 A That's Jimmy Addison, and he's now
- 10 the current CEO.
- 11 O And you referenced --
- 12 A And you ought to -- another good
- point would be to check the qualifications
- 14 for the new CFO, Iris Griffin, and compare
- 15 that to your -- get some federal statistics
- on qualifications for CFO. I think you'll
- 17 find that to be pretty interesting.
- Q And what about that would I find
- 19 interesting?
- 20 A She pretty much doesn't have a lot
- of qualifications other than she's kind of
- 22 cute and she'll say anything that Jimmy tells
- her to say.
- Q And when would she have gotten that
- 25 position?

- 1 A The same time Jimmy got CEO.
- Q And who is the Marty you're
- ³ referring to in the message?
- 4 A Marty Phalen.
- ⁵ Q And what was his relationship to
- 6 the project?
- ⁷ A That's a really good question. He
- 8 was just always wherever Kevin was. He's the
- one that the news said that he was -- sold a
- pretty big block of stock right after he had
- 11 left the company.
- 12 Q So he has left the company?
- A Well, once they found -- once it
- 14 hit the public media -- or I don't know if it
- ever hit the media, but Marty had lied about
- 16 graduating from college.
- Q Okay.
- 18 A He never went to College of
- 19 Charleston even though that's what he put on
- his application. And so an employee brought
- that to the attention of the company, and so
- that didn't look real good since he was a
- senior executive, and so he left on that one.
- Q Do you know what time frame that
- 25 was?

- 1 A That was 2017, in the fall of 2017.
- 2 Q In the message you used the phrase
- they're all from the same cloth. And I think
- 4 I understand how you're using that phrase,
- but I want to give you the opportunity to
- 6 explain. What did you mean by that?
- A Arrogant, pompous.
- 8 Q And you followed that up with the
- 9 statement that, quote, they all think that
- they are the smartest guys in the room, end
- 11 quote.
- 12 Elaborate what you mean by that
- description of them.
- A More arrogance and pompous. I
- mean, they all thought that they were like
- geniuses, and you couldn't tell them
- ¹⁷ anything.
- Q Does that have an impact on the
- ¹⁹ project itself?
- A Oh, yeah.
- Q Explain how.
- 22 A Because, I mean, they didn't want
- to hear anything from anybody. If you had
- consultant after your name, how dare you
- 25 approach Steve Byrne. He hated consultants.

- 1 Q I may be a little confused. Isn't
- the purpose of a consultant to provide you
- 3 information?
- 4 A He -- how dare you think that you
- 5 could tell him anything. I'm telling you, he
- 6 was pompous. Most arrogant person I've ever
- 7 met in my life.
- 8 Q There was a movie documentary about
- ⁹ the --
- 10 A Yeah. His opinion of nuclear, it's
- going to cost what it's going to cost.
- 12 Q There was a documentary about the
- 13 Enron Company called "The Smartest Guys in
- the Room." And I just wondered if that was a
- coincidence or we're using that phrase in
- 16 reference to the Enron documentary.
- 17 A No. But I've said before, this is
- 18 like the South Carolina Enron.
- Q And what do you mean by that?
- 20 A Because it's the exact same thing.
- I mean, these guys propping up everything
- trying to make it look great, and then all
- the sudden the deck of cards all just fell
- 24 apart. I mean, you can only lie so long
- before the truth just -- I mean, it has to

- 1 come out.
- 2 And what really is disappointing is
- that there were so many managers, general
- 4 managers, at that project that were right
- 5 there with them. And they had to know, too,
- 6 and they went along with it.
- I wasn't out in the project, so I
- 8 didn't see that stuff. I mean, I just saw
- 9 accounting records, and they were --
- damnedest thing I ever seen in my life. When
- 11 I figured out what was going on, I was just
- 12 like, God almighty, these people are crazy.
- 13 I don't operate like that.
- Q Well, the next phrase, you talked
- about them all -- they're all on the fricken'
- take. My understanding of a person being on
- the take is that they're being improperly
- 18 influenced.
- Do you believe that these persons
- were being improperly influenced by
- something?
- 22 A By money and greed.
- Q And, again, explain to us how money
- 24 and greed would play into this.
- A Because if you can prop up that

- 1 stock price and keep that stock price up and
- then keep that net income up by that BLRA,
- 3 then your base pay continues to get increased
- 4 by compensation from the compensation
- 5 committee of the board and then your bonus
- 6 continues to excel because it's all based on
- ⁷ stock and on net income or earnings.
- 8 Q So the compensation of these
- 9 executives was tied to the stock price and --
- 10 A Earnings per share.
- 11 Q And earnings per share?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And how would this project impact
- stock price and earnings per share?
- A Well, that was a growth strategy,
- was this project. I mean, they had a little
- bit of electric growth on the system but
- nothing compared to the growth in their -- on
- 19 that capital project. That capital project
- was their whole growth strategy. I mean,
- 21 that was the mother lode for SCE&G or SCANA.
- 22 Q And explain in more detail what you
- 23 mean by that.
- 24 A The more you spend on that capital
- project, the more money you make at 12 1/2

- 1 percent. It was a no-risk 12 1/2 percent. I
- mean, who wouldn't invest in that if you
- 3 could make 12 1/2 percent and have no risk?
- 4 That's what that base load review gave them.
- 5 Q Explain your understanding of why
- 6 there was no risk.
- A Because you were quaranteed on the
- 8 front end, before you spent a dollar, that
- ⁹ you were going to get recovery of it. You
- didn't have to go before a -- the PSC to get
- 11 approval on it. Once you spent it, it was
- deemed proven already. It was a matter of
- 13 filing the paperwork, and it was put into the
- 14 rates in October.
- The only time you had to go before
- the commission was if you thought you were
- going to be outside of your approved budget
- or your schedule.
- 19 Q And --
- A And then you had to just make sure
- that you showed them it was proven, that they
- 22 approved your schedule or your budget
- ²³ increase.
- Q So in order to continue it, you
- just had -- did SCE&G or SCANA have the

- ability to change the schedule?
- ² A Yeah.
- Q Was that subject to oversight by
- 4 the PSC?
- 5 A That -- yes.
- 6 Q Let me talk, again, about this
- 7 growth strategy and your understanding of it
- because I'm trying to get a better idea.
- 9 SCE&G has a footprint of customers
- that they service as a utility. While there
- might be some growth or change based on
- population change, they're pretty much tied
- to that footprint; is that --
- 14 A That's right.
- 15 Q In general. And so you talk about
- ¹⁶ a growth strategy. If you tie the executive
- compensation into earnings per share and the
- growth of the company, how is that -- how can
- a utility, a public utility -- can it grow by
- 20 capital expenditures?
- 21 A Uh-huh. That's how you grow.
- 22 Q Explain more for me.
- 23 A You can only grow one of two ways.
- You can either add customers or you can add
- 25 capital and charge more to your customers for

- 1 capital investment. So that's what they did.
- They're adding base load capital. And you're
- 3 allowed to earn on your capital investment.
- So you had a plant and now, you
- 5 know, you had so many plants out there.
- 6 Well, they decided they were going to retire
- 7 a couple of those old coal-burning plants
- because they're not good for the environment.
- 9 Well, those were old and they had
- been depreciated and they really didn't have
- 11 a lot of cost left on the books. But we're
- 12 going to build this big nuclear project and
- that project is going to cost -- I don't
- 14 remember what the original amount was, but
- let's just say it was going to be \$5 billion.
- Well, now you're going to put
- \$5 billion on the books. And I remember
- whenever the project was first announced
- 19 Kevin Marsh talking about how we are going to
- 20 bet the family farm on this project. So
- we're going to double the balance sheet with
- 22 this project.
- Well, what that means is you're
- going to take and add \$5 billion of capital.
- 25 And when you set your rates, you get your

- 1 operating cost recovered at -- just
- 2 recovered. You know, zero -- no profit on
- 3 there. Where you get a profit added to it is
- 4 on your capital investment. So you get a
- 5 return on equity on what you've got invested.
- 6 What your rate -- what your
- ⁷ stockholders invest in, you take that money
- 8 and you put it into capital assets, like the
- 9 lines that you see up above the roads and
- going into the neighborhoods and in the
- 11 plants.
- Well, when you add a \$5 billion
- plant, you've got a \$5 billion plant now that
- you can earn 12 1/2 percent on. And so
- that's what your customers are going to pay
- for, and you're going to get -- earn $12 \frac{1}{2}$
- percent on that.
- Whereas before you were only paying
- 5 percent -- or 12 percent on -- maybe we
- only had \$4.6 billion in plant. Well, now
- we've got \$9 billion because we had some and
- now we're doubling it.
- Now they're paying -- the same
- 700,000 customers are now paying for
- ²⁵ \$9 billion worth of plant. Because we had

- 1 4.6. Now we're adding \$5 billion more. Now
- 2 we got \$9.6 billion worth of plant.
- So it's not like you have -- you
- 4 have excess electricity, and so you hope to
- 5 sell it off and defray some of the cost. But
- 6 your customers have got to pay for that
- 7 plant, and they're going to pay a profit for
- you to be able to carry that plant on the
- 9 books.
- And so the 700,000 customers are
- 11 going to have an increase in their electric
- bill. And the only way it's going to get
- watered down would be if there's a huge
- growth in the system. And then you've got
- that fixed price that you can -- you can
- spread over a larger number of heads.
- But we don't have that, and we
- 18 probably don't have prospects for that in any
- 19 near future that I know of.
- Q Well, and I know this is somewhat
- out of your realm, but these -- obviously
- these plants have been abandoned, correct, is
- 23 your understanding?
- 24 A Right.
- Q We haven't lost any -- we're still

- 1 getting our electricity?
- ² A Right.
- Q It seems to me, if these plants are
- 4 supposed to go online, you know, either by
- 5 now originally or even under the revised, why
- 6 are we not in an electricity shortage?
- 7 A Well, I mean, I don't know that
- 8 we're not. I mean, we might be buying off of
- 9 the grid. You know, I don't know.
- Q Okay.
- 11 A I can't really answer that. And
- they may not have abandoned a plant that they
- were going to plan to abandon, a coal-burning
- 14 plant. You know, that's just outside of my
- 15 realm of knowledge.
- Q So from a company perspective, what
- 17 I hear in general is that the incentive is
- 18 there to -- you make more profit by growing.
- 19 We grow by building a bigger plant. The
- bigger the plant we build, the bigger the
- growth, the bigger the profit we get.
- Is that, in general --
- 23 A Yeah. But, I mean, it also -- you
- know, there was a big leap for a company the
- size of SCANA to take on a project like that.

- 1 Q What do you mean by that?
- A Well, I mean, they're going to
- 3 secure financing to be able to carry a
- 4 project like that. They're going to have to
- 5 go out and borrow money, and then they're
- 6 going to have to hope that people are going
- 7 to be willing to hold on to their stock
- 8 knowing that they've kind of taken a bite at
- 9 total 100 percent costs. I mean, that thing
- was more like an \$8 billion plant.
- And, I mean, there weren't a lot of
- 12 utilities jumping at -- you know, signing a
- 13 contract. There were a lot of people that --
- originally that were interested, and then at
- the end of the day, there were only two
- 16 contracts that were actually executed, the
- one in Augusta and then the one here.
- So there was -- there was some risk
- involved. SCANA is not a huge utility.
- Q Yeah.
- 21 A And you can see that there was real
- 22 risk there given that the management may not
- have been up to the test of being able to
- drive the contractor like they needed to.
- 25 Q You mentioned earlier I bet the --

- 1 A The PF factor.
- Q Oh, no. The decision to go nuclear
- was to bet the farm or bet the company-type
- 4 decision. Am I misquoting you on that or is
- 5 that --
- 6 A What's your question?
- ⁷ Q When you were talking about the
- 8 size of the project undertaken by SCANA being
- 9 as large as it was, at some point did you
- 10 hear someone say that it was a
- bet-the-company-type proposition?
- 12 A Oh, I heard Kevin Marsh saying
- 13 that.
- Q Okay. And that's because the size
- of the project was something that SCANA --
- 16 A It was tremendous in size relative
- to the size of SCANA. And that's why, when I
- would go to Kevin, it surprised me that he
- didn't seem to want to be in attendance to
- some of the meetings. And Jimmy never came
- 21 to any meetings.
- 22 And I would have thought that if
- you had a project of that magnitude, that
- your CFO and your chief nuclear officer and
- your COO, I would have expected them to be at

- 1 all the meetings.
- The monthly meetings, I mean, we
- would see Jeff periodically, the chief
- 4 nuclear officer.
- 5 You would see Steve Byrne at the
- 6 monthly meetings maybe twice a year. And he
- ⁷ acted like he was bored at his quarterly
- 8 executive meeting with the general managers.
- 9 I mean, it's -- he acted like he was bored
- stiff with those meetings.
- 11 Q Let me move on to the side aspect
- of this.
- 13 The company -- as we just went
- through in detail, the company itself seems
- to make money whenever the company is growing
- based on the rate of -- that it could charge
- for the customers for the costs that are
- 18 associated with the construction?
- 19 A Uh-huh.
- Q What about CEO compensation? How
- is that related to company growth?
- 22 A It would -- well, it's -- like I
- 23 said before, it's based on the earnings per
- share and then the stock price.
- Q Uh-huh.

- 1 A So, I mean, as long as -- I mean,
- earnings per share is net income. So as long
- 3 as you're bringing in 12 1/2 percent on a
- 4 capital account that continues to grow,
- 5 you're going to see where net income just
- 6 continues to grow. So, I mean, every year in
- November, their revenue stream got bigger.
- 8 Every year.
- 9 O And that revenue stream isn't
- 10 coming from selling more electricity? It's
- 11 not coming from servicing --
- 12 A No.
- 0 -- the customers?
- 14 A It's selling -- it's at a more
- expensive price. It's cumulative. It's this
- price this year. Now next year it's this
- price, and next year it's this price. So
- your net income keeps going up but your
- operating costs stay the same.
- You're not writing the project off
- 21 yet. You wait until the end of the project.
- 22 Then you start writing the asset down. So
- there's no cost hitting the books for that
- project, but your revenue stream is going up.
- Q And if the revenue stream goes up,

- 1 the --
- 2 A And there's no costs, your income
- 3 is going up.
- 4 Q And what's the impact on that on
- 5 executive compensation?
- 6 A It goes up.
- ⁷ Q Can you give us an idea of numbers?
- 8 A I don't know what their payout
- 9 percentage was. I mean, if their payout
- 10 percentage was 90 percent of their income or
- their salary and their salary was a half a
- million dollars, then they'd get another
- 13 \$450,000 in a bonus.
- 14 And then if the company did really
- good for the year, they might get 20 percent
- more for discretion.
- Q Well, let me ask you, bonuses that
- 18 are paid, did you ever see -- are you aware
- of any employees receiving bonuses in stock
- as opposed to cash bonuses?
- 21 A Yeah, I think they do. I think
- 22 some of them do.
- Q And so instead of receiving a cash
- 24 check for a bonus, they might receive shares
- of company stock?

- 1 A Yeah. I think they -- I think the
- 2 executives -- I didn't, but I think there
- were some executive stock bonus programs.
- q Q Okay.
- 5 A You can look that stuff up in the
- 6 proxy --
- 7 Q Okay.
- 8 A -- if you get a copy of the proxy.
- 9 It's a public document that -- if you go to
- 10 SCANA.com and look at the proxy, I think you
- can just read all about -- until your heart's
- 12 content about bonus programs.
- 13 Q All right. Jumping back to the
- message, I want to try to get through this.
- You mentioned going to a lawyer and, quote,
- they have broken every fricken' law that you
- 17 can break.
- What time frame was it when you had
- 19 gone to this lawyer?
- A Are we talking about that? It was
- 21 probably in January.
- Q January.
- Is there anything specific that
- triggered you going to the lawyer, a
- 25 conversation or a document or something you

- 1 had seen?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q Had you already left the company at
- 4 that time?
- 5 A I was on that special medical
- 6 leave.
- ⁷ Q And in this part, you actually
- 8 mention specific laws -- or you mention laws
- 9 being broken.
- 10 Can you tell me -- I think you
- 11 referenced SEC laws earlier. Are there laws
- that you think may have been violated by the
- actions of the executives?
- 14 A I thought that there were criminal
- laws that they probably had broken.
- Q And what, by example, could you
- 17 tell me?
- 18 A I can't remember now what I all had
- in mind. I know that I had taken a class at
- 20 the end of the year for my CPE, and I had
- 21 talked to the teacher of the class who was a
- lawyer out of Denver, I think. And I figured
- he was so far away that he couldn't possibly
- figure out or have any connection to the
- 25 South Carolina utility, so I was asking him

- questions at break. And by the time we
- ² finished that CPE session, he was like, You
- 3 really need to go talk to somebody.
- 4 O Well, and I want to try to get
- ⁵ details of that. What actions were criminal
- 6 that you thought or were discussed with that
- 7 professor?
- A I don't remember. I mean, you're
- ⁹ talking about something that was two and a
- 10 half years ago.
- 11 O Yeah.
- 12 A And by the time I left that
- company, I mean, I was just about out of my
- mind. I mean, I was -- at this point had
- been berated probably for two years.
- Q And who would have been doing that?
- 17 A Jimmy Addison, Marty Phalen, Kevin
- 18 Marsh, and Jeff Archie.
- 19 Q And what were their main criticisms
- of you?
- 21 A Everything. I mean, anything. I
- mean, pick it, and they would criticize me.
- ²³ Anything I did.
- I mean, at one point I went to
- 25 Kevin -- or to Jimmy, and I said, Jimmy, are

- 1 you -- are you going to have any comments for
- me for midyear, year end, or whatever?
- And he said, Uhm, you might want to
- 4 talk to Jeff. And I was just kind of like,
- 5 Okay.
- And so I went to Jeff, and I was
- ⁷ like, Jeff, have you got any concerns or
- 8 something you need to share with me?
- And he was like, You might want to
- talk with Jimmy. And I was just like, Oh,
- 11 screw you-all. I mean, just a bunch of
- ¹² jackasses.
- So I'm sure that was probably close
- to the end of the year, or maybe it was the
- middle of the year. And it was just, You
- 16 know what? I was so sick of it. I hated
- them. I hated them. I mean, they didn't
- have the man enough to be able to even stand
- up. It's just like, God almighty, a bunch of
- 20 sickos.
- 21 Q Jumping down the statement a little
- bit, you say -- you reference, you know,
- 23 Michael and Lonnie and you need to push back
- and don't let them to continue to mismanage
- that project. Just don't let them. Don't

- 1 furnish anything. Refuse to pay. Don't pay
- SCANA. Push back. Just say no. We're not
- going to do it because they're mismanaging
- 4 that project and it's at you-all's expense.
- I want to break that down a little
- 6 bit. Who was the Michael you're referring
- ⁷ to?
- 8 A That would be Michael Crosby.
- 9 Q And what was his position?
- 10 A I think he was a VP of -- at Santee
- 11 Cooper.
- 12 Q And why did you think that he would
- have been somebody who could push back on the
- 14 issue?
- 15 A Because I knew he was pretty hot
- about the way the project was being managed.
- Q And when you say hot --
- 18 A Upset. He didn't think that SCE&G
- 19 was doing a good job at all.
- Q And did you know this from
- 21 conversations with him?
- 22 A Yeah.
- Q What about written communication?
- 24 Anything --
- 25 A Uh-uh. He would tend to support me

- when I would stand up against them in the
- 2 project -- in the project meetings. And, you
- know, he would thank me for asking questions
- 4 and pushing back on the PF factor when nobody
- on my team would do anything.
- 6 You know, when I would go to like
- ⁷ the risk management meeting, which I went to
- 8 one and it was just pathetic what they had on
- ⁹ there for risks for the project. I was just
- 10 like, Are you kidding?
- I mean, these were -- what you have
- on this risk management project, I don't even
- want my name associated with this meeting
- because if you-all are even having my name
- saying that I attended this meeting and I had
- signed off as these were the risks for this
- project, I said, I want my name taken off of
- being in attendance because this is
- 19 so -- this is such a crappy work product that
- I don't want this to be in a Westinghouse
- 21 file that can be pulled up and you can say
- 22 that I was here and that I accepted this work
- 23 product.
- 24 And I named a couple things that I
- thought were much higher in risks than what

- 1 they had. This was a committee of probably
- seven or eight people from Westinghouse that
- ³ produced this risk product. And the
- 4 construction VP was sitting right there. He
- 5 was working with his little BlackBerry or
- 6 whatever little thing he's got. He didn't
- ⁷ ever look up. And it was like, I'm going to
- 8 kill him.
- And he finally, at the end of the
- meeting, he closed the meeting and he's like,
- Well, there's very -- there's some room for
- improvement here and we'll -- we'll look to
- see a better product next time.
- I was like, That's it. That's what
- we should say. That is exactly what I
- thought.
- And that's all he had to say.
- Q Okay.
- 19 A So, I mean, when you work with
- people like that, I mean, it's just only so
- 21 much you can take. And that was Ron Jones on
- 22 risk -- a risk register.
- Q Ron Jones would have been working
- 24 for?
- 25 A Jeff Archie.

- 1 Q Jeff Archie.
- A And, see, when I -- when I raised
- questions like that, I'm told that I'm
- 4 derogatory in my comments. And it's like,
- it's hard not to be when you've got
- 6 engineers, senior engineers for Westinghouse,
- ⁷ that are being billed over to us at \$300 an
- 8 hour and they give you a work product that
- ⁹ I'm not going to count it. And I can tell
- you that this is the worst work product and
- these are not the risks of this project.
- 12 I'm an accountant telling them
- these are not the engineering risks of a
- 14 project. There's something wrong with a
- picture when I'm the one that's calling in
- the problems like that.
- Q And what -- I want to try to get to
- 18 your understanding of why do you think that
- that is. Why would they not have the same
- attitude you had with respect to that?
- 21 A That's the thing I don't
- understand. Unless they -- I mean, everybody
- up there is either -- I don't know.
- Q Did they have financial incentive
- to do a better job or not? I mean, the way

- the payment is set up as a cost-plus, would
- that have interfered with any financial
- 3 incentive to do a better job?
- 4 A For Westinghouse?
- 5 O Yeah.
- 6 A I mean, I would think that -- the
- only thing I could figure is they were
- 8 papering the files so that later on they
- 9 could sue us and say, Well, here it is; we
- 10 gave you everything that we owed you. And
- the VP of construction never proved or said
- 12 anything to indicate otherwise. I mean, he
- was a do-nothing.
- Q All right. I think we left off, I
- was asking you about Lonnie. Who was Lonnie?
- 16 A Carter.
- Q And what was his position?
- 18 A He was CEO of Santee Cooper.
- Q And being CEO, is that a position
- that you believe would have been able to push
- 21 back on the --
- 22 A Oh, yeah. I mean, you would hope.
- 23 But I don't think Lonnie was able to make any
- 24 changes with them either. I think Kevin
- would talk to Lonnie and quiet Lonnie down

- and make him, you know, comfortable somehow
- 2 or another.
- 3 Q And I know we've touched on this,
- 4 but you used the phrase continue to mismanage
- 5 that project. If we can take a moment here,
- 6 get as many examples as I can of what you
- 7 consider to be mismanagement of the project
- 8 itself.
- ⁹ A I mean, that example I just gave
- you is perfect.
- 11 O Okay.
- 12 A Everywhere I turned, I ran into
- 13 stuff like that where I wanted my name taken
- off of the record because I didn't want
- anybody to assume that, because I was there,
- that was evidence that whatever they produced
- was a good record.
- Q Uh-huh.
- 19 A Everything was screwed up like
- that.
- O And one of the reasons I'm
- following this up, as I continue to say,
- we're going to go back and try to go through
- these documents and find evidence and, you
- know, materials related to this. And if I'm

- 1 looking for examples of mismanagement, can
- you point me to anything you recall to be
- 3 specific examples of go look at this project
- 4 manager, go look at that memo, go look at
- 5 this meeting, go look at those e-mails,
- 6 something like that?
- 7 A I mean, it's way harder than --
- 8 Q Like we talked about owner's costs
- 9 earlier. That seemed to be an issue that you
- 10 came back to.
- 11 A Owner's cost is not really the
- issue. You need to look at stuff that
- Westinghouse was doing. I mean, like Lake
- 14 Charles is a huge one.
- Q Explain that.
- 16 A Do a word search on Lake Charles.
- 17 Lake Charles was like a nightmare in the
- making. Do a word search on Module 20.
- Q Uh-huh.
- A Module 5. Shield building. I'm
- trying to remember that company's name.
- There was a company that was building the
- 23 shield building's walls. They didn't have a
- ²⁴ prayer.
- Q Other keyword searches you would

- 1 recommend looking -- for us to chase down?
- A Are you-all going to interview
- 3 other people?
- Q Oh, yeah. We plan to do this with
- 5 a lot of different witnesses.
- 6 A Ken Browne would be a good person
- ⁷ to talk to.
- 9 A He'd remember the names of
- 10 companies probably. Because there's -- there
- was a company that was building the shield
- building's walls that was really struggling
- because the design was changing as they were
- trying to build the walls, which is
- problematic. You know, as you're trying to
- 16 fabricate walls, if you change it, it screws
- you up pretty bad.
- 18 Q Yeah. Okay.
- When you were talking with Michael
- 20 Crosby, did he express that he shared your
- views on these concerns, or what was his
- 22 position?
- 23 A Yeah, he had the same concerns.
- I'm sure he had more. But, I mean, we shared
- 25 a lot of the same concerns about not going

- 1 along with what Westinghouse wants and doing
- 2 something different.
- Because, obviously, if you continue
- 4 doing the same thing, you get the same
- ⁵ results. And they kept doing the same thing.
- 6 Kept paying, and it's like, Well, if you keep
- paying, you're not going to get anything
- 8 different.
- 9 But for some reason, withholding
- payment just seemed to be a land that Kevin
- and Steve and those just did not want to go.
- 12 They just didn't want to go there for some
- 13 reason.
- Q Do you -- what could be some of the
- reasons why they wouldn't go there?
- 16 A I couldn't understand that. They
- didn't want to short-pay the invoice or
- withhold. And the contract seemed like it
- was written so it was very supportive of the
- consortium, very biased towards the
- 21 consortium.
- I mean, I think if you look at the
- 23 contract and you look at the payment terms,
- the payment terms, if I remember correctly,
- were set up so that you could withhold

- 1 payment on disputed invoice amounts for 30
- days, but at the end of the 30 days, you
- 3 still had to pay.
- 4 O Even though the dispute wasn't
- 5 wrapped up?
- 6 A Yeah.
- 7 Q Okay.
- 8 A So it was -- it was, like I said,
- 9 very biased toward the consortium and not --
- 10 and I don't know -- I know -- I remember
- 11 hearing them say that, during the contract
- 12 review period when they were negotiating the
- contract, they didn't have a lot of time.
- 14 They didn't have the luxury of being able to
- review a lot of the contract terms, and they
- did some really quick reviews of the buildup
- of the budget that supported the contract.
- And it was just a small team of like maybe
- 19 five or six people.
- So that probably plays into why the
- terms are so consortium-oriented and not
- 22 customer-oriented, I would think.
- Q Okay. I'm going to jump off ship
- ²⁴ for just a quick second here.
- 25 If the terms are so beneficial to

- the consortium, why did the consortium end up
- 2 not being successful? Do you have any input
- ³ on that?
- 4 A Well, I think that -- I think the
- 5 consortium came into the project having
- 6 underperformed at the beginning of the
- 7 contract, and I think that that played
- 8 heavily into why the contract as a whole here
- 9 and at Vogtle has been less successful. I
- think that they may have oversold where they
- were in the design of the plant.
- Q And, in other words, you believe
- they may have represented that the project --
- or the plant itself were further along than
- they actually were?
- A Uh-huh. That's a nonengineer's
- opinion.
- 18 Q Yeah. All right.
- In the message next you use the
- 20 phrase they're doing it because they want to
- make money and they're propping up earnings
- to be able to make their bonuses, and it's
- going to be at your expense.
- 24 And to be -- the they, that they're
- doing it, who is the they that you're talking

- 1 about?
- 2 A It's those same five or six senior
- 3 executives that I talked about. Kevin,
- 4 Marsh, Jimmy Addison, Steve Byrne, Jeff
- 5 Archie, and then Kenny Jackson.
- 6 Q And for somebody who is not a
- financial person, when you say propping up
- 8 earnings, explain to a layperson what that
- 9 means.
- 10 A Creating increased revenue streams,
- like we talked about as far as them agreeing
- to pay Westinghouse or the consortium
- 13 \$100 million instead of something that's more
- 14 reasonable, like 50 million like what you've
- paid before.
- Pay them 100 million a month for
- five months instead of 50 million for five
- months, which is more like what historically
- 19 you've paid them, and then turn around and
- taking 100 million a month for five months
- and then rolling that into rates, and then
- 22 now all the sudden your revenue is double
- what you would have had when you rolled that
- into the rates in November.
- Q And the doubling, that would have

- 1 had what type of impact on the bonuses and
- 2 salaries of those individuals?
- A Oh, huge.
- 4 O Elaborate.
- 5 A Well, I mean, at the end of the
- 6 year, you're going to see where -- you're
- only going to have two months of that revenue
- 8 stream, but with two months, if you've got
- 9 cold weather, you could probably end up with
- 10 15 to 20 cents added to the bottom line. And
- with that, if you already are close to making
- earnings anyway and then you pick up 20 cents
- in the last two months of the year, you're
- 14 going to get not only 100 percent of your
- bonus, but you're probably going to get your
- discretion. So you're going to end up
- earning 120 percent of your bonus.
- And your stock is going to look
- 19 great because you hit Wall Street's
- 20 expectations and you exceeded it. So, you
- know, Wall Street is going to be happy with
- ²² you.
- Q Well, let me ask you about that.
- 24 As just an investor in general, sometimes I'm
- 25 aware that there are -- you know, companies

- will have phone calls for investors to
- 2 provide information to them about what's
- ³ going on with the company and their
- 4 expectations and what's going on.
- 5 So are you aware of any calls to
- 6 Wall Street investors or anything where there
- may have been any misrepresentations by SCANA
- 8 executives about what was going on?
- 9 A I haven't been following them. I
- 10 know recently they haven't been having any,
- which I think is unusual. But I believe
- that, during the period that I was there,
- they were having those calls.
- Q And generally, all of the propping
- up of the earnings and all that, it all comes
- eventually from the rates being paid by the
- 17 customers of SCANA or SCE&G?
- 18 A Uh-huh. Uh-huh.
- Q And that's through the BLRA
- advanced recovery costs?
- 21 A Right.
- Q I think it's cost recovery program.
- 23 A Right.
- Q During this time or prior to your
- leaving SCANA, all these concerns that we've

- been talking about today, were there other
- 2 SCANA employees that you had interactions
- with and discussions with that agreed with
- 4 you, that saw the same things you saw with
- 5 respect to the management and -- or
- 6 mismanagement of the project?
- A Probably the one person that saw it
- 8 like I did was probably Ken. Ken was in a
- 9 lot of the same meetings I was. But there
- weren't a lot of people that were in the same
- 11 meetings that I was in because the level of
- 12 the position I was in and then the level of
- meetings that I was in with executives.
- Q And that would be Ken?
- 15 A Browne.
- Q Browne. Well, let me ask you,
- then, if -- as this process goes forward, if
- they come -- you know, if we go forward and
- they say, Look, Carlette was a voice in the
- wilderness; nobody else agreed with her and
- she has no support for what she's telling
- you, that's what I'm trying to get a -- who
- agreed with you? Who would be able to
- support what you're saying here today about
- 25 all this and what documents should I be

- 1 looking for to support you in that so that we
- 2 can respond to an argument that, you know,
- 3 Carlette was just out there on her own?
- 4 A I think Ken -- Ken Browne would be
- 5 good. Another one would probably be Dave
- 6 Levine.
- 7 Q What was his position?
- 8 A He was a general manager over the
- 9 start-up team. And probably Kevin Kochems.
- 10 Q And who else would I talk to that
- would -- you think might give a similar
- 12 perspective?
- 13 A Shirley Johnson.
- 14 Q Anyone else?
- A Skip Smith. Skip saw a lot of
- 16 this.
- Q And who else? I'm just trying to
- 18 figure out who's on -- for a slang term, who
- would be on Team Carlette verse Kevin Marsh,
- Jimmy Addison, and some of the others.
- 21 A I think Marion Cherry would agree,
- ²² Michael Crosby.
- I mean, the other people, I
- 24 can't -- I mean, I just don't even know what
- to think about the other general managers

- because, I mean, they all saw it, and I don't
- 2 know where they stand.
- I mean, they've all lost their
- jobs, but I don't -- I don't know what --
- 5 what they were thinking.
- I think Courtney Owen would be
- ⁷ another one. She saw a lot of the efforts.
- 8 She's in SCANA Services. She was the audit
- 9 manager.
- Q And, again, going back to, with
- this group of people, what documentation
- would be most supportive of what you're
- telling us today as opposed to an argument
- that you're wrong, that this didn't happen
- that way? What would be the best
- 16 documentation to support your --
- 17 A I'll tell you, if you go and you
- 18 read the Post and Courier's newspaper
- 19 articles about our -- what happened at that
- project, if you read it, I mean, I think you
- can see that they've broken the
- 22 Sarbanes-Oxley law.
- Q And I want to get some
- clarification on some of the terms you used.
- 25 Later in the statement you said that I want

- those five guys out of the company so they
- ² can't keep hurting people.
- I think I understand what you were
- 4 saying about propping up earnings, but what
- 5 action would be actually -- what would be
- 6 hurting people?
- A Well, I mean, each one of them had
- 8 their little mean streak and so, you know, if
- ⁹ they looked -- if somebody looked at them the
- wrong way and it pissed them off, it
- wasn't -- you couldn't put it past them. I
- mean, they'd fire somebody.
- Jeff Archie was notorious for doing
- 14 stuff like that. I mean, if somebody said
- something that he didn't like, you know, 12
- 16 years later, they could come back to the
- project or come back to Unit 1 and he'd
- 18 remember that he didn't like something that
- they said and he'd make sure that they were
- blackballed and didn't come back to Unit 1.
- Just -- you know, just being mean.
- Marty Phalen was like super mean
- 23 like that. Just hateful where he would just
- say and do mean things to people just because
- he had the authority to do it. And it's like

- that's just not a way to treat people.
- I mean, that company was a great
- 3 company up until the time Kevin Marsh became
- 4 CEO. I mean, they worked with people and
- 5 everybody was proud of their jobs and felt
- 6 like the company was moving in the right
- ⁷ direction for the customers and for the
- 8 employees and everybody was proud to say they
- 9 worked for that company.
- 10 And then Kevin Marsh became CEO,
- and you could watch the morale of the company
- just plummet. And it's because of those
- executives that I just named, they just
- didn't have any integrity. And, I mean, I
- think overall, those people, the people that
- reported to them, instead of protecting them
- in a battle, they would have gotten speared
- 18 by their own army and run over.
- Q Okay. Later in the message you
- say -- and this is when you're speaking to
- 21 Marion -- you saw the condition I was in when
- I left physically, but you have no idea of
- the emotional stress and what they have done
- to me and to Gene emotionally, and it's like
- if I never heard the word SCANA again, it

- 1 would be great.
- When you say the phrase that
- 3 they've all but stripped me of my life, what
- 4 did you mean by that? Can you explain what
- 5 was done to you or what --
- 6 A I mean, I've shared some examples.
- 7 But, I mean, there's --
- 8 Q I want to give you the opportunity
- ⁹ to elaborate on that.
- 10 A Well, I mean, there's just -- I
- 11 can't talk about it.
- 12 Q And that is because it's personally
- 13 troubling to you?
- 14 A Yeah.
- MR. WALKER: Extremely.
- Q What about Gene? What was done to
- him that you observed at SCANA that you felt
- was -- would have brought emotional stress to
- 19 him?
- A Well, you screw up his wife, I
- mean, what do you think it's going to do to
- 22 him?
- Q I don't know anything about that.
- 24 That's what I'm asking about.
- A Well, they screwed with me for five

- or six years, which screws up my family life.
- 2 Q So moving -- focusing on Gene, what
- 3 can you tell us about what was involved with
- 4 him that you felt that they were emotionally
- 5 impacting him.
- 6 A You cannot imagine what I went
- ⁷ through and what it did to him. I can't -- I
- 8 can't explain it to you, but it tore our
- ⁹ family up. I was the bedrock to the family,
- and my family saw me go through just a hell
- 11 period.
- 12 O Okay.
- 13 A You don't lose 70 pounds in six
- months and not have something that's
- 15 seriously driving you crazy.
- Q Well, let me ask you -- and that
- may be a good -- what I want to understand is
- what I can look to to make sure that if the
- 19 argument is made that -- a very inartful way
- of saying this -- the cause and effect is
- 21 different -- what if you were faced with the
- 22 argument that what you're saying about the
- company was stemming from problems you were
- having medically or emotionally as opposed to
- the other way around? You know, what I would

- 1 generally say about your testimony today is
- you're saying that what you were seeing and
- what you were experiencing in your work was
- 4 causing your medical problems.
- 5 What would I look at in response to
- 6 an argument that, no, that your medical
- 7 problems were actually causing you to
- 8 misunderstand or misapprehend what was going
- ⁹ on at the project?
- 10 A Well, I mean, how did the project
- 11 turn out? I mean, it turned out exactly like
- 12 I predicted.
- MR. WALKER: And she didn't
- 14 have any medical problems.
- 15 A I mean, yeah, I lost weight
- because, I mean, I was so stressed because of
- that project. But the project turned out
- 18 exactly like I was telling everybody it was
- 19 going to turn out.
- I mean, 12 months almost to the day
- after we settled, they pulled the plug on the
- 22 project. If the project was still going
- today, I'd feel differently. But I think
- when they pulled the plug on the project,
- that pretty much vindicated me.

- 1 As a matter of fact, I left in
- January of '16. In February of '16, the
- Bechtel report came out. It read exactly
- 4 like what I said. It might have been gone
- 5 into a little bit more depth, which it
- 6 should. I mean, they were an engineering
- outfit. But I don't think there's a whole
- 8 lot of difference between what they're saying
- ⁹ and what I'm saying.
- Q Okay. Let me jump to another
- topic, and this is following the time you've
- 12 left SCANA.
- Since leaving employment, have you
- had any discussions related to the South
- 15 Carolina law enforcement division called
- 16 SLED?
- 17 A Yeah.
- 18 Q How did those discussions come
- 19 about? Did you reach out to them? Did they
- reach out to you?
- 21 A They reached out to me.
- 22 Q And who in particular reached out
- to you?
- 24 A The FBI.
- Q Okay. Well, I'm -- maybe they were

- 1 together. I'm talking about SLED versus -- I
- mean, I'm going to ask you about SLED, the
- FBI, the SEC, all these various groups.
- 4 Who was the first to reach out to
- 5 you?
- 6 A The FBI came to the house, and SLED
- ⁷ joined them in the interview.
- 8 Q Okay. When was that?
- 9 THE WITNESS: Do you remember
- 10 when that was?
- MR. WALKER: I don't know.
- 12 Q Ms. Walker, as best as you can.
- 13 It's not a test. There's no penalties or
- ¹⁴ anything.
- 15 A Summer of last year, I think.
- Q Summer of last year.
- Who in particular reached out to
- 18 you? Do you recall if somebody called up and
- said this is Agent So-and-So?
- A No. They just showed up at the
- house. I don't remember their names.
- Q Did they give you a card or
- 23 anything that you would be able to look back
- on to find out who it was?
- A I'd have to look.

- Okay. Give me some details about
- what happened. They showed up at the door.
- 3 They knock on the door. They say,
- 4 Ms. Walker, I'm with the FBI.
- 5 A Yeah, they came to the door.
- 6 Actually, they didn't come to the door. They
- 7 came into the driveway, and my husband
- 8 thought that they were from the Mormon church
- 9 or somewhere like that, and so he kind of
- 10 circled back around the back and met them at
- the back gate. And then he was going to show
- them his alligators or something; I don't
- 13 know.
- 14 And then he realized they really
- were from the FBI, so they came in off the
- back porch. And I didn't believe that they
- were with the FBI for a while, and then I
- 18 finally realized they really were with the
- 19 FBI.
- 20 And so we sat down for a little
- while, and I think we established a date.
- 22 But we agreed that they would contact Jake
- and we would go from there. And so I think
- they served Jake with a subpoena.
- Q Okay. And did that subpoena ask

- 1 you for documents or for a meeting?
- 2 A I think it was for a meeting.
- 3 Q And did you go through with that
- 4 meeting?
- 5 A Yes, I did.
- 6 Q And who was present at that
- 7 meeting?
- 8 A My husband Gene, myself, Jake, a
- 9 SLED agent was there, and then the two FBI
- 10 agents.
- 11 Q And did you provide any written
- 12 materials or documentation to them or to your
- lawyer to provide to them?
- 14 A Yeah. I think that at the end of
- the meeting we gave them a copy of the file,
- the yellow file that was for -- you know, it
- had the contents of the file that I gave to
- Jimmy Addison that proved the numbers for the
- 19 2015 re-budgeting baseline.
- Q Okay. Besides that material, any
- other written materials you recall providing
- to your lawyer or to the FBI to provide to
- the lawyer or provide to the FBI?
- A No, I think that was it. And at
- that point, just like with when I talked to

- 1 you about it, they agreed to have Ken Browne
- walk them through the mechanics of that file.
- Okay. And you said there was
- 4 somebody from the South Carolina law
- 5 enforcement division involved as well, SLED?
- 6 A Yeah. I think -- yeah, it was --
- yeah, it was SLED.
- 8 Q Okay. Any other -- any other
- 9 meetings with any other law enforcement?
- 10 A Uh-uh.
- 11 0 What about any discussions with
- persons related to the Securities and
- 13 Exchange Commission?
- A No, I haven't heard anything from
- 15 them.
- Q What about elected officials? Any
- politicians involved in South Carolina ever
- 18 contact you or ask you for any information?
- 19 A (Shakes head.) Uh-uh.
- Q Well, besides here today and the
- 21 meeting with SLED and FBI, anybody else
- interview you about the VC Summer project and
- 23 SCANA's role in it and your involvement in
- 24 it?
- A No. I know a Post and Courier

- 1 reporter called asking to talk to me about
- this voice mail, but I referred him to Jake.
- Q Okay.
- 4 A I didn't comment.
- 5 Q Let me ask you, with respect to the
- 6 project and your work for SCANA, has anybody
- ⁷ ever asked you to take a lie detector test?
- 8 A Uh-uh.
- 9 Q And have you ever taken one?
- 10 A Uh-uh.
- MR. HALTIWANGER: My
- understanding is Mr. Moore had talked with
- 13 Mr. Richardson about your availability today.
- MR. MOORE: Right.
- MR. HALTIWANGER: And we've
- bumped up on that time frame. I know that
- 17 I've taken all day, so we're going to have to
- have some follow-up discussions about this.
- MR. MOORE: We're at your
- disposal. We'll be where you tell us to be.
- Next time I suggest we do it at my place.
- We've got enough room at my place.
- MR. RICHARDSON: Yeah, we'll
- 24 be glad to be there.
- MR. MOORE: It will save you

- 1 money.
- MR. BALSER: A few
- 3 housekeeping matters before we wrap up. We,
- on behalf of SCANA and SCE&G, are prepared
- 5 today to go forward and ask a series of
- 6 questions of this witness. We understand
- ⁷ that she has requested, based on health
- 8 concerns, to stop the deposition at 1:30.
- 9 We're prepared to honor that request.
- Of course we will need to have
- the opportunity to ask all the questions that
- we need to ask, and we will cooperate with
- 13 Mr. Moore and the witness in rescheduling.
- We have agreed, in principle,
- upon a confidentiality order with counsel for
- the plaintiffs in this case, and subject to
- final entry of that order by Judge Hayes, we
- would like to designate the entire transcript
- of this deposition as confidential subject to
- that protective order.
- We can discuss later whether
- 22 parts of the deposition should be
- de-designated, but until we see the
- transcript, until we get the order entered,
- this transcript should be treated as

```
1
    confidential pursuant to a protective order.
2
                    That's all.
3
                    MR. HALTIWANGER: Okay. One
4
    more.
5
                    MR. MOORE: Well, go ahead.
6
                    MR. HALTIWANGER: I was just
7
    going to say, as I had mentioned earlier, I
8
    was going to mark the actual audio of the
9
    voice mail as an exhibit, so --
                    (Exhibit No. 4 was marked for
10
11
    identification.)
12
                    (Off-the-record discussion.)
13
                    (Deposition concluded at 1:33
14
    PM)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Τ	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
2	COUNTY OF CHARLESTON
3	I, Julie K. Lyle, Notary Public for the State of South Carolina at Large, do hereby
4	certify that the witness in the foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to testify to
5	the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that
6	said deposition was taken at the time and location therein stated; that the testimony
7	of the witness and all objections made at the time of the examination were recorded
8	stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed by computer-aided transcription;
9	that the foregoing is a full, complete, and true record of the testimony of the witness
10	and of all objections made at the time of the examination; and that the witness was given
11	an opportunity to read and correct said deposition and to subscribe the same.
12	
13	Should the signature of the witness not be affixed to the deposition, the witness shall not have availed himself of the
14	opportunity to sign or the signature has been waived.
15	
16	I further certify that I am neither related to nor counsel for any party to the cause pending or interested in the events
17	thereof.
18	Witness my hand, I have hereunto affixed my official seal on April 25, 2018, at
19	Charleston, Charleston County, South Carolina.
20	Calolina.
21	
22	
23	Julie K. Lyle, RPR/RMR/CRR REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTER
24	REGISTERED MERIT REPORTER
25	CERTIFIED REALTIME REPORTER My commission expires 7/22/2024

700.000 145:24

WORD INDEX

<\$> **\$1.2** 80:9 **\$100** 47:23 48:12 111:3, 8, 9 168:13 **\$100,000** 104:*19* **\$200** 104:24 **\$25** 134:*18* **\$300** 160:7 **\$4.6** 145:20 **\$400,000** 105:*1* **\$450,000** 152:*13* **\$5** 144:15, 17, 24 145:*12*, *13* 146:*1* **\$500** 47:24 48:4 113:2 **\$60** 42:*17* **\$698** 77:17 **\$8** 148:*10* **\$9** 145:21, 25 **\$9.6** 146:2

<1> **1** 4:3 5:1 7:9, 11 14:5 18:19 19:11, 25 45:13 56:17, 18, 21, 21, 25 71:19, 21 72:12 77:11 80:12 86:25 136:2, 3, 4 141:25 142:1, 3 145:14, 16 151:3 174:17, 20 **1.2** 54:21 **1:30** 6:21 185:8 **1:33** 186:*13* **10** 32:17 33:12 52:13 **100** 24:2 58:5 104:7, 14, 25 148:9 168:16, 20 169:14 **106** 4:7 **1180** 3:*13* **12** 18:*19* 141:25 142:1, 3 145:14, 16, *19* 151:3 174:*15* 178:20 **120** 169:*17* **1368** 3:5

15 36:5 47:4 80:20 126:1 169:10 **16** 48:24 71:3 82:12 113:12 179:2, 2 **17** 113:*10* **1700** 3:19 **18** 71:*3* **186** 4:8 **1st** 112:3 < 2 >**2** 4:6 18:19 19:5, 9 20:1, 4, 11, 17 26:4 45:18 57:1 68:25 69:3 70:11 75:20 77:11 80:12 141:25 142:1, 3 145:14, 16 151:3 **20** 103:*1* 152:*15* 163:18 169:10, 12 **2000s** 19:*19* 61:*15* **2005** 90:7 **2007** 90:7 **2010** 40:*15* **2012** 88:19 92:10, 11, 14, 16 **2014** 70:21 **2015** 45:22 46:22 49:13, 15 53:18 54:10, 17 55:24, 25 61:21, 23 62:13 65:12, 16, 21 67:1, 12 68:17 69:15 72:2, 21 75:22 76:4 86:7, 12 113:3 118:6 182:19 **2016** 7:7 8:10 44:6 47:19, 20 85:22 109:10 111:4 127:3 132:24 133:4, 23 **2017** 127:4 138:1, 1 2017-CP-40-04833 1:7 **2018** 1:15 187:18 **2024** 187:25

2110 3:8

22 187:25

235 3:15

24 1:*15* **25** 84:*3* 187:*18* **29169** 3:19 **29204** 3:9 **29415** 1:24 **29730** 3:16 **29812** 3:6 <3> **3** 4:7 19:6, 9 20:1, 5, 12, 17 26:4 45:18 105:22, 25 106:19 108:14, 19 113:17 **30** 122:23 123:2 124:25 166:1, 2 **30309** 3:13 **30th** 112:3, 5 **35** 122:24 123:2 <4> **4** 4:8 107:8, 12 186:10 **4.6** 146:*1* **40** 27:11 32:22 33:11 42:17 103:1, 9, 19 **47** 70:22 < 5 > **5** 4:*3* 57:7 145:*19* 163:20 **50** 27:11 42:17 111:7 168:*14*, *17* < 6 > **6** 77:11 80:12 103:1, 8, 19, 20, 21 **6,547,124** 71:25 **6.5** 75:24 **60** 111:7 **600** 80:15 **64** 71:*4* **69** 4:6 **698** 54:22 77:21 78:9, 9 <7> **7** 4:*3* 187:25

146:10 **70s** 20:2 **73129** 1:24 **75** 58:5 7th 44:4 < 8 > **800** 74:25 **83** 10:*16* 19:*11* **84** 71:*4* **843-762-6294** 1:25 <9> **9:21** 1:*15* **90** 152:10 < A > **abandon** 147:13 abandoned 146:22 147:12 **ability** 61:12 143:1 able 20:3 33:2 34:10 43:10 46:8 55:10 71:5 77:20 78:3 101:14, 17 102:9 108:11 112:10 115:25 117:15 118:2 134:13 146:8 148:3, 23 156:18 161:20, 23 166:14 167:22 171:23 180:23 **absence** 63:13 absolutely 43:3 68:9 97:20 123:*14* accepted 158:22 access 34:24 102:11 accomplish 100:9 account 151:4 accountant 88:20 104:23 119:4 134:9 160:12 accountants 67:11 100:1, 5, 8 accountant's 30:11 accounting 9:20 14:16 28:2, 12 40:8, **70** 52:*14* 177:*13* 10 62:8 67:17

105:17 119:10 140:9 accurate 72:3 76:7 77:14 78:7 90:15 accurately 108:19 achieve 26:20 achieved 24:1 achieving 50:2 acknowledge 55:12 acknowledged 96:18 acknowledging 33:16 **Act** 110:18 112:2 126:12 acted 150:7, 9 **action** 174:5 actions 154:13 155:5 activity 29:12 actual 17:18 70:24 186:8 actuals 70:21 **add** 29:19 34:5 131:12 143:24, 24 144:24 145:12 **added** 30:13 71:12 145:3 169:10 **adding** 144:2 146:1 **Addison** 24:16 36:8 44:16 45:2 47:7 49:10 54:2, 15 80:3, 18 81:10 83:1 85:5 96:*14* 117:2 123:*25* 124:13 130:8 131:9 136:9 155:17 168:4 172:20 182:18 **addition** 68:11 additional 18:15 **address** 11:7, 23 25:11 addressed 35:18 addresses 11:17, 22 **adjust** 98:3 adjusted 91:9 adjustment 91:11 administration 8:13 44:10 91:7 **advance** 118:25 **advanced** 170:20

affixed 187:13. 18 afternoon 6:14 **AFUDC** 18:18 **agenda** 51:9 73:12 **Agent** 180:19 182:9 agents 182:10 **ago** 155:10 agree 50:22 65:6 72:5, 9 172:21 **agreed** 50:20 51:8 68:21 84:12, 12 99:3 111:10 127:4 171:3, 20, 23 181:22 183:1 185:14 **agreeing** 168:11 agreement 82:10, 14 83:11, 15, 18 84:11, *14* 110:5, 7 ahead 45:23 51:10 85:17 125:21 186:5 **alarm** 122:*14* alligators 181:12 **allow** 65:3 **allowed** 18:16, 18 19:21 144:3 almighty 96:21 140:12 156:19 alternatives 87:7 **amount** 19:20, 23 28:5 57:8, 11 71:14, 23 72:11, 13 103:5 111:11, 15 144:14 amounts 34:7. 11 42:14 72:9 102:17 112:9 119:*18* 166:*1* analysis 39:22 91:14 92:9 **announced** 144:18 annual 22:8, 12 25:23, 25 **anomaly** 103:24 answer 18:3 25:9 147:11 answering 52:8 answers 5:21 **anybody** 7:10 20:22 33:5 45:3 67:14 79:4 82:8 93:12 114:13 115:8

120:15 125:6

138:23 162:15 183:21 184:6 **anymore** 48:15 129:12 131:3 anyway 32:8 51:11 52:10 169:12 apart 139:24 apparently 21:4 **appeal** 122:17 123:20 **appeared** 125:13 **APPEARING** 3:1, 9, 17 7:17 application 137:20 appreciate 84:23 approach 95:22 96:5 138:25 appropriate 58:17 79:21 **approval** 112:21 142:11 **approve** 23:23 62:4 105:14 124:7 **approved** 61:25 112:20, 25 124:4 142:17, 22 approximate 68:18 109:*1* approximately 8:25 9:8 **APRIL** 1:15 80:20, 21 187:18 **Archie** 24:17 60:4 75:14 95:1, 2 96:14 117:3 131:10 135:17 155:18 159:25 160:*1* 168:5 174:13 **area** 28:3, 6, 13 31:20, 21 32:20 areas 28:23 29:10, **AREVA** 87:12 **argue** 105:3 argued 79:6 **arguing** 67:4 98:20 argument 172:2 173:13 177:19, 22 178:6

army 175:18 arose 42:4 arrangements 110:25 arrogance 138:14 Arrogant 138:7 139:6 **articles** 173:19 artificially 88:12 asked 33:11 40:7 52:7 64:17 106:5 123:17, 18 126:4 184:7 asking 24:25 34:20 85:3, 5 116:9 154:25 158:3 161:15 176:24 184:*1* **aspect** 27:23 150:11 aspects 27:22 ass 97:2 125:1 asset 151:22 assets 145:8 assigned 13:22 ASSISTANT 3:22 9:6, 9, 12, 13 associated 17:22 22:14 30:14 150:18 158:*13* **ASSOCIATES** 1:22 **assume** 162:*15* **Atlanta** 3:13 **attempt** 97:14 attendance 21:19 149:19 158:18 attended 158:15 **attention** 137:21 **attitude** 160:20 **attorney** 46:18 48:21 86:1, 3 116:14, 15 123:12, 18 attorney-client 63:25 64:3, 9 attorneys 99:12, 14, *15* 116:9, *11*, *17* **audio** 4:8 107:17 108:10 186:8 **audit** 10:13 14:17

29:15, 18, 18, 19

36:24 37:1, 2, 2, 3, 5, 15, 18, 20 39:11, 20 100:17 102:3, 9, 13, *14*, *15* 104:7 173:8 **audited** 104:14 105:3, 8 auditing 31:6 119:10 **auditor** 10:12 37:8 119:4 auditors 99:25 audits 29:9, 21 42:21 augment 39:12 **August** 82:12 **Augusta** 148:17 **authority** 15:11, 13, *15* 21:4, 6 174:25 automatically 112:25 availability 184:13 **availed** 187:13 aware 11:20 78:5, *18* 86:22 152:*18* 169:25 170:5 **awful** 52:23 53:6 124:24 awkward 52:2

< B > back 6:18 8:3, 16 10:14 19:11, 13 22:2 24:21 25:11, 12.16 29:2 33:21 34:18, 21 35:2 40:6 43:8 45:20 51:20 53:18, 24 63:11 72:20 73:4 82:18, 24 83:4 87:4 92:6 93:6 95:6 97:13 98:2*1* 101:2*4* 102:*1*, 6 108:3 110:2 118:15 122:21 123:16 124:3 127:7 153:13 156:23 157:2, *13* 158:4 161:21 162:23 163:10 173:10 174:16, 17, 20 180:23 181:10, 10,

11.16 background 113:23 **bad** 52:3 96:4 103:9 120:13 121:8, 23 122:4 164:17 badgering 104:2 **bag** 75:18 **balance** 60:19 144:2*1* **ballpark** 72:16 **BALSER** 3:12 63:22 64:8, 16 107:11, 15 185:2 **bang** 112:19 **bankrupt** 112:18 Barnwell 3:6 **base** 71:12 110:17 112:1 141:3 142:4 144:2 **based** 22:21 23:4 26:19 49:23, 25 50:3 66:25 73:24 77:21 97:17 98:6 109:4 128:18 134:8 141:6 143:11 150:16, 23 185:7 **baseline** 182:*19* **baseload** 89:1 90:24 **bases** 114:7 basically 47:18 81:14 84:12 91:23 112:*18* 116:*1* **basis** 12:19 13:1 67:3 132:6 **battle** 175:17 **battles** 94:23 **beach** 45:19 **bearing** 113:*13* bears 28:16, 18 **Bechtel** 55:13 133:6 179:3 becoming 32:6 99:13 bedrock 177:9 beginning 45:22 101:11 167:6 **behalf** 1:4 3:1, 9, 17 77:10 185:4 **belief** 72:20, 24

89:23

believe 12:12 49:11 60:7 63:20 64:12 72:2 74:8 75:23 76:1, 6, 7 77:14 78:6 86:6 89:*18* 90:14, 20 97:18 100:9 106:11, 23, 24, 25 108:18 113:4 115:20 116:19, 25 126:17 127:13, 14, 19 129:14 131:24 132:4, 8, 19 133:18, *19* 140:*19* 161:*20* 167:12 170:11 181:16 **believed** 53:10 71:23 72:12 belongs 64:2 Beltline 3:8 **Belton** 63:1 76:21 beneficial 166:25 **benefit** 17:13 110:2*1* 117:22, 23 127:24 benefits 16:20, 25 17:18 84:13 105:11, 13 **berated** 155:15 **best** 13:11 22:18 47:15 85:4 86:20 87:2 110:9 173:*15* 180:12 **bet** 144:20 148:25 149:3, 3 **better** 39:20 108:1 119:24 143:8 159:13 160:25 161:3

bet-the-company-type 149:11 beyond 93:19 124:12 biased 165:20 166:9 big 16:3 39:6, 8 56:2 58:20 117:23 133:15 137:10 144:12 147:24

bigger 120:25 147:19, 20, 20, 21 151:7 biggest 57:4 big-picture 119:2 **Bill** 24:14 39:1, 17 44:24 86:21 91:5 93:15 99:10 118:19, 19, 20 146:12 billables 43:1 **billed** 17:7 18:9, 11 27:25 35:24 43:3 160:7 **Billie** 45:12 **billing** 10:5, 9 27:19 31:8 41:14, *15* 43:5 100:*14*, *17* 114:8 **billings** 27:3, 5, 9, 13, 16 **billion** 50:7 54:21 72:18, 19 77:12 79:25 80:1, 9 134:18 144:15, 17, 24 145:12, 13, 20, 21, *25* 146:*1*, *2* 148:*10* **billions** 75:23 **bills** 16:19 **bit** 5:13 22:2 34:19 62:12 120:22, 25 141:17 156:22 157:6 179:5 **bite** 25:17 148:8 blackballed 174:20 BlackBerry 159:5 **block** 111:2 137:10 **BLRA** 47:22 126:25 141:2 170:19 **board** 15:16 21:7, 12, 25 22:1 23:21, 22, 24 88:6 95:20 141:5 boasting 129:5 **body** 20:24 **boils** 101:22 102:6 **bold** 121:*19* **Boling** 30:25 31:2

B-O-L-I-N-G 31:*1*

bonus 22:9, 15, 15, 19 23:3, 9, 17, 25 25:22 26:5, 9 41:1, 8, 9, 11 127:25 141:5 152:13, 24 153:3, 12 169:15, 17 bonuses 26:14, 17 97:6 119:14, 15 152:17, 19, 20 167:22 169:*1* books 144:11.17 146:9 151:23 **borderline** 118:11 **bore** 28:25 **bored** 150:7, 9 **borrow** 148:5 **boss** 44:18 95:1, 9 96:14, 14 **bosses** 123:21 **bottom** 169:10 **bought** 15:20, 23 59:10 **Boulevard** 3:8, 19 **bounds** 118:12 **Box** 1:24 3:5 95:4, 6, 7 **boxes** 82:23 **break** 6:2, 4 40:3 105:20 153:17 155:*1* 157:5 breakdown 115:24 116:7, 20 **breaking** 124:*12* BRICKMAN 3:4 **bridge** 93:17 **briefed** 122:16 **bring** 43:23 48:14 59:19 77:25 93:18 105:12, 16 bringing 32:3 99:22 100:12 151:3 **BRLA** 18:1, 12 **broke** 124:11 125:18 **broken** 153:16 154:9. *15* 173:21 **brought** 15:24 16:1 24:20 27:18 100:25 117:1 137:20 176:18

Browne 43:2 63:4 66:14, 15 67:9, 18 79:6 87:25 164:6 171:15, 16 172:4 183:*1* **Browne's** 67:8 **BRYONY** 3:22 **budget** 49:15, 19, 22 55:7 56:18 61:24 62:4 66:25 142:17, 22 166:17 **budgeted** 56:16 57:9 **budgeting** 62:8 **build** 19:9, 15, 16, 19, 21, 23, 25 20:1, 3 49:25 89:8 144:12 147:20 164:14 **building** 13:18 89:1 147:19 163:20, 22 164:11 **building's** 163:23 164:12 **buildup** 166:*16* **built** 17:3 19:13 49:22 78:1 **bullshit** 115:*19* **bumped** 184:16 **bunch** 12:1 130:10 156:11, 19 **burly** 32:5 **business** 16:*13* 114:16 124:10 **buying** 147:8 **Byrne** 24:17 49:9 73:*1* 130:*4*, 22, 23 131:9 134:23 138:25 150:5 168:4 **B-Y-R-N-E** 130:24

<C> cake 47:7 calculate 49:17 105:14 calculated 49:18, 24 77:18 calculating 34:1 calculation 23:6, 11, 12 50:3 77:21

calculations 79:22 80:5 calendar 112:6 **call** 27:25 55:23 108:24 109:2, 6, 12, 20 116:2 124:8 127:14 **called** 19:3 34:6 41:10 57:18 96:13, *16* 97:6 128:*3* 139:13 179:15 180:18 184:1 **calling** 114:12 160:15 calls 63:24 115:25 170:1, 5, 13 capital 17:11, 16, 17, 20, 24 18:11, 17 141:19, 19, 24 143:20, 25 144:1, 2, 3, 24 145:4, 8 151:4 car 33:10 card 121:14 180:22 **cards** 139:23 care 59:4, 22 career 87:9 **CARLETTE** 1:3, 12 5:3 7:1, 14 50:18 54:8 115:*15* 116:2 118:8 122:17 125:4 171:19 172:3, 19 **CAROLINA** 1:1, 8, 9, 18 9:15, 24 10:1, *3* 16:2, *3*, *7* 53:16 60:13, 16 61:5 139:18 154:25 179:*15* 183:*4*, *17* 187:1, 3, 19 carried 105:7 carry 146:8 148:3 cars 29:1 32:14, 21 33:11, 25 Carter 36:10, 12 161:16 Case 1:7 13:7 17:15, 25 46:22 49:14 51:2 56:17 88:15 92:11 185:16

Casey 38:16, 19 **C-A-S-E-Y** 38:19 **Cash** 4:6 47:19 69:12 71:8 77:11 112:3 127:5 152:20, 23 catch 124:17 **caught** 107:5 cause 177:20 187:5, 16 causing 178:4, 7 **CB** 27:5 31:21, 22 39:8, 15 42:2 50:1 59:7 77:25 99:20 111:18, 22, 23 124:14, 21 **cell** 109:14 cents 169:10, 12 **CEO** 15:10, 12, 13 24:9, 15 25:14 44:22 73:1 120:6, *13* 121:6 136:*10* 137:1 150:20 161:18, 19 175:4, 10 **certain** 84:13 113:4 certainly 84:18 112:9 **CERTIFICATE** 187:1 Certified 1:22 187:24 **certify** 65:2 187:4, 14 **CFO** 24:10, 15 37:7 44:16 45:2 73:1 74:25 136:14, 16 149:24 **challenge** 100:21, 24 **Champion** 24:*17* **chance** 69:4 80:22 129:8 change 11:10, 12 23:14 58:14 79:8 108:2, 17 143:1, 11, *12* 164:*16* **changed** 18:2 58:7 97:25

changes 107:21

108:18 161:24

cases 61:14

changing 164:*13* character 63:14 characterize 133:12 **charge** 17:12 23:16 29:14 40:7, 9 60:25 66:11 86:23 87:1 126:25 135:1 143:25 150:16 **charged** 17:16, 19 **charges** 28:9, 16 **charging** 99:16 Charles 163:14, 16, 17 Charleston 1:24 137:19 187:2, 19, 19 **Charlotte** 59:12, 16 **chart** 20:15 **chase** 164:*1* **cheated** 121:*13* **check** 32:23 136:13 152:24 checking 103:2 104:21 Cherry 4:7 12:15, 17 35:10 108:7 113:20 172:21 chief 75:16 128:23, 24 135:22 149:24 150:3 **choice** 87:21, 23 90:24 **choose** 65:5 90:3 **church** 181:8 **circled** 181:10 citizen 74:9 clarification 173:24 **clarify** 77:15 **CLARK** 1:22 Clary 86:23, 24 90:20 93:3 94:6, 8 95:11, 24 97:22 C-L-A-R-Y 86:24 **Clary's** 91:1 class 154:19, 21 **clean** 82:20 clear 5:14, 22 clearly 133:6 CLECKLEY 1:4 **clerk** 123:6

climb 56:9 **climbed** 99:1 **close** 134:15 156:13 169:11 closed 129:5 159:10 **closer** 80:9, 12 **cloth** 131:20 138:3 **coal** 89:6 coal-burning 144:7 147:13 coals 124:10 **Coffer** 38:16, 20 C-O-F-F-E-R 38:20 coincidence 139:15 **cold** 122:18 169:9 collaborate 117:3 collaboratively 117:4 collected 18:1 **college** 137:16, 18 **color** 75:11 COLUMBIA 1:18 3:9, 19 **column** 70:16 come 15:3 21:15 25:23 33:25 35:20, 23 40:24 42:20 48:9 59:13 79:2 82:10, 14 87:22 89:9 111:13 124:18 128:2 130:*14*, *15* 134:5 140:*1* 171:*18* 174:16, 17, 20 179:18 181:6 comes 95:24 120:10 170:15 comfortable 162:1 **coming** 28:9 50:19 79:12, 12 89:7 111:18 118:8, 14 119:25 120:2, 8 151:10, 11 **command** 20:19 97:21 comment 36:2 99:5 184:4 comments 63:4 130:8 156:*1* 160:*4* commission 22:9 46:23 60:14, 17

61:5 126:10 142:16 183:*13* 187:*25* commissioner 61:16 commissioners 61:13 committee 141:5 159:*1* commodities 57:4 **COMMON** 1:*1* 27:14 30:16 communication 73:5 157:23 communications 63:25 companies 12:8 13:8 164:10 169:25 COMPANY 1:8 10:15, 24 12:20 13:*16* 16:*6*, 8 23:*1* 28:4 46:17, 20 47:8 48:9 64:4 87:4 121:7, 21 122:11, 24 125:21, 23 126:5 135:25 137:11, 12, 21 139:13 143:18 147:*16*, *24* 150:*13*, 14, 15, 21 152:14, 25 154:*3* 155:*13* 163:22 164:11 170:*3* 174:*1* 175:2, 3, 6, 9, 11 177:23 **company's** 109:14 163:2*1* company-type 149:3 **compare** 136:14 compared 33:11 141:18 comparison 23:4 compensated 22:11. 12 compensation 22:7 141:4, 4, 8 143:17 150:20 152:5 complaints 130:11, 13 complete 46:17 50:9 55:1 67:6 71:5, 16, 24 118:2 134:14 187:9 **completed** 68:15, 19

76:3 97:18 completely 22:17 completion 68:12 75:21 compliance 8:24 9:1, 2, 5 44:21 118:21 121:15 component 18:17 22:21 68:13 components 22:13, 20 **composure** 125:10, 13.15 computer-aided 187:8 **concern** 78:21 79:1 concerns 46:9 73:14 78:17 156:7 164:21, 23, 25 170:25 185:8 **concluded** 186:*13* conclusion 79:13 80:8 **concrete** 56:21, 23, 25 57:3, 8, 10 condition 51:25 117:*1* 175:2*1* **CONFIDENTIAL** 1:12 185:19 186:1 confidentiality 84:11 185:15 confrontation 101:18 confrontational 31:24 32:1 99:9 confrontations 101:15 confused 139:1 connection 154:24 consider 75:21 162:7 consideration 65:3 considered 125:14 consolidate 16:10 consolidated 16:14 consortium 57:21 165:20, 21 166:9 167:1, 1, 5 168:12 consortium-oriented 166:2*1*

constructing 89:10

construction 20:16 26:4, 6 29:5, 8 32:4 45:16 48:5, 14 58:15, 19 59:6, 13 60:1 62:8 70:12 91:4 99:23 150:18 159:4 161:11 consultant 138:24 139:2 consultants 138:25 **contact** 181:22 183:18 containing 4:8 **content** 153:12 **contents** 182:*17* contest 5:25 **continue** 112:*17* 142:24 156:24 162:4, 22 165:3 continued 43:14 118:5 **continues** 141:3, 6 151:4, 6 contract 27:23, 24 28:1 34:14 36:4 47:6, 12 74:16 84:3, 6 93:16 105:5 110:9, 23 111:21 118:1, 11 126:7 148:*13* 165:*18*, *23* 166:11, 13, 15, 17 167:7, 8 contracted 104:4 **contractor** 28:16, 18 48:8 111:17 148:24 contractors 35:4 41:14 contracts 148:16 **control** 28:6 33:17 53:*1* 102:*24* 118:*13* **controller** 9:6, 9, 12, *14*, *15*, *23*, *25* 10:2 38:22 controls 27:14 28:7 33:13 103:17, 18 controversies 35:3 controversy 35:3 conversation 64:12 96:11, 17 153:25

conversations 7:23 8:6 79:3 157:21 **convoluted** 23:5, 10 COO 149:25 Cooper 12:9, 12 35:12 36:14 67:14 88:5 106:5, 9 108:8 109:23 111:2 113:23 114:7 115:8, 21 129:11, 14, 22, 24 157:11 161:18 **cooperate** 185:*12* **Cooper's** 87:24 88:4 **copied** 123:25 **copy** 7:10 35:11 50:17 81:2, 5, 9, 11 106:18 107:9 153:8 182:15 **core** 16:12 **corporate** 8:23 9:1, 2, 4 14:15, 18 38:3, 8 44:21 118:20 correct 7:20, 21 22:5 25:16 49:2 64:13 69:16 76:4 97:18 107:1 117:11 119:4 146:22 187:11 corrections 106:22 correctly 165:24 cortisone 52:24 cost 16:20 28:6 53:21 55:1 68:12 71:15 75:21 88:24 91:8 92:4, 9, 11, 20 94:1 104:4, 15, 18 105:1 110:18, 20 113:11. 13 134:15 139:11, 11 144:11, *13* 145:*1* 146:*5* 151:23 163:11 170:22 **cost-plus** 27:16, 18 28:1, 23 43:16, 17 99:*17* 161:*1* costs 16:25 17:19. 22, 23 28:24 70:18 71:12 86:17, 19 87:14, 17, 21 88:5, 7, 11 89:10, 19, 20

90:12, 21 91:14, 25 92:12 93:2 95:23 96:3 97:14, 19, 24 98:8 148:9 150:17 151:19 152:2 163:8 170:20 COUNSEL 3:23 62:20 64:19 123:14 185:*15* 187:*16* **count** 160:9 counted 32:22 33:11 COUNTY 1:2 18:23 187:2, 19 **couple** 15:18 58:10 60:10 144:7 158:24 **Courier** 183:25 **Courier's** 173:18 course 124:6 185:10 **COURT** 1:1 5:12, 18 6:8 15:2 65:3, 4 106:17 135:12 **Courtney** 37:11 173:6 cover 114:6 **covered** 48:17 69:18 85:2 86:13 **CPA** 104:6, 22 128:5 **CPE** 154:20 155:2 **craft** 111:5, 6, 23 **crappy** 158:19 crazy 23:14 95:11 98:19 123:13 140:12 177:15 **created** 31:17 40:17 85:10 **Creating** 168:*10* **credit** 33:20 34:4 credited 98:21 **crew** 98:2 **criminal** 154:*14* 155:5 **criteria** 26:*13* criticism 125:5 criticisms 155:19 criticize 155:22 **Crosby** 130:1, 9, 13 157:8 164:20

172:22 crosswise 119:9 crowd 48:14 **CRR** 1:18 187:23 culture 75:6 **cumulative** 151:*15* **curious** 31:*15* **current** 7:2 136:10 currently 41:25 cuss 96:19, 22 **cussed** 63:5 **customer** 10:5, 9 customer-oriented 166:22 customers 16:15 61:*1* 113:*13* 126:25 143:9, 24, 25 145:15, 24 146:6, 10 150:17 151:13 170:17 175:7 cut 87:21 88:4 90:20 122:23 123:1, 7 cute 136:22 **cut-off** 47:25 CWalker 12:5

CWalker@scana.com 11:9

< D > damn 83:2 damnedest 140:10 **Dan** 5:9 59:24 **DANIEL** 3:4 78:1, 1, 2 **Daniels** 111:*17* dare 138:24 139:4 **data** 27:2, 6 **database** 27:1, 1 databases 35:1 **DATE** 1:15 43:24 44:1 65:4 68:18 77:22 88:16 109:1 181:2*1* dates 8:14 9:11 68:22 **Dave** 172:5 **DAVID** 3:12 107:7 day 50:24 51:10 95:16 96:9 98:11 125:19 148:15 178:20 184:17 days 33:24 68:10 166:2, 2 **deal** 39:6, 8 134:1 **dealing** 68:13 deathly 47:2 **decide** 88:25 **decided** 19:18 74:4 118:13 144:6 **deciding** 23:16 79:9 **decision** 20:23 49:5 50:10 51:4, 12 73:20 76:20 90:2 122:23 149:2, 4 decision-making 20:11 127:18, 20 decisions 15:14 20:8, 15, 25 21:5 36:2 **deck** 139:23 de-designated 185:23 **deemed** 142:12 **Defendants** 1:10 3:9 **defray** 146:5 **denote** 18:25 **Denver** 154:22 department 10:13 91:15 95:19 departments 14:18 **DEPONENT** 1:12 **depose** 85:16 **DEPOSITION** 1:3 4:3 5:10, 11 7:11, *14*, *25* 25:8 185:8, *19*, *22* 186:*13* 187:*4*, 6, 11, 13 depreciated 144:10 **depth** 179:5 derogatory 160:4 describe 56:20 described 32:25 description 23:9 138:13 deserving 114:18 **design** 134:10

164:13 167:11 designate 185:18 **designated** 14:20, 23 despite 79:8 97:22 destruction 82:18 **detail** 46:4 48:18 141:22 150:14 **details** 113:15 155:5 181:*1* detector 184:7 determination 89:8 determining 62:3 developed 98:3 114:*15* **Development** 19:2 66:24 **died** 98:17 **diem** 104:17 difference 55:6 72:11, 18 93:2, 9 179:8 **different** 11:14, 22 14:11, 18 21:25 27:22 29:7 35:22 54:19, 24 77:18 87:12 89:1, 10 106:6 127:6 164:5 165:2, 8 177:21 differently 178:23 **dig** 113:*14* **direct** 44:17 direction 175:7 directly 17:20, 23 directors 21:7 disagreed 93:13 disagreements 101:16 disappointing 140:2 discovered 85:8 89:18 discovery 74:14 discretion 24:4 152:16 169:16 **discuss** 185:21 discussed 42:11 85:7 155:6 discussion 40:4 58:16 64:18 186:12

discussions 93:1 128:7 171:3 179:*14*, *18* 183:*11* 184:*18* dishonest 132:20 133:13 disk 107:14 **disposal** 184:20 **dispute** 34:23 102:18 166:4 **disputed** 34:7, 11 35:7, 17 36:21 40:16, 23 41:12 42:3, 4, 12, 15 43:10, *13* 166:*1* **disputes** 41:15 43:8 103:12 **disputing** 102:21 129:21 distribution 16:12, *15* 17:*10* 94:*12* **division** 179:15 183:5 document 7:12 34:25 69:5 92:7 101:20 153:9, 25 documentary 139:8, 12, 16 documentation 73:14 79:19 92:11 101:12, 25 102:4 128:11 130:12 173:11, 16 182:12 documented 4:6 49:17 documents 8:4 12:1 19:5 31:16 34:22 36:17, 22 40:22 57:23 60:11 65:15 66:9 73:7 79:23 85:2, 14 101:13 112:23 128:12, 13 130:14 162:24 171:25 182:1 **doing** 11:17 27:13, *15* 29:20, 23 30:12, 21 37:1 39:3, 13, 15, 19 40:21 56:24 58:14 70:1 87:1 92:22 94:8 98:5, 6

100:11 102:8 117:10, 24 120:16, 21 123:15 155:16 157:19 163:13 165:1, 4, 5 167:20, *25* 174:*13* **dollar** 71:14 72:18 142:8 **dollars** 42:18 50:7 72:19 77:12 112:15 152:12 **domain** 11:23 **do-nothing** 161:*13* door 125:25 181:2, 3, 5, 6 **double** 48:4 144:21 168:22 **doubling** 88:14 145:22 168:25 doubt 52:19 drafting 65:24 drafts 65:19, 22 68:*1* drive 4:8 29:1 148:24 **drives** 81:23 drivewav 181:7 **driving** 95:11 109:16 127:17, 20 177:15 **due** 83:10 **Duke** 59:9, 9 **duly** 5:4 187:4 duplicate 27:9 50:17 80:25 81:3, 6 <E>

<E>earlier 25:9 88:1 101:21 110:24 148:25 154:11 163:9 186:7 early 19:19 20:2 61:15 86:15 112:12 118:24 127:1 133:23 earn 144:3 145:14, 16 earning 169:17 earnings 23:1 26:2

127:23 128:1 141:7,

10, 11, 14 143:17 150:23 151:2 167:2*1* 168:8 169:12 170:15 174:4 easiest 20:20 **educate** 35:2 36:16 educated 13:3 **effect** 55:5 56:13 110:20 112:6 113:6 130:9 177:20 **effort** 80:22 98:5 **efforts** 16:10, 13 17:19 30:1 173:7 **eight** 9:12 74:11 159:2 **either** 25:10 130:20 134:4 143:24 147:4 160:23 161:24 elaborate 63:9 73:24 138:12 169:4 176:9 **elected** 183:*16* ELECTRIC 1:8 61:*14* 141:*17* 146:11 electricity 16:12 45:18 146:4 147:1, 6 151:10 electronic 27:1 elements 29:8 **e-mail** 11:7, 14, 16, 22 12:3, 10, 13, 20, 20, 23 98:22 99:5 123:23 **e-mailed** 54:5 68:*3* **e-mails** 79:3 94:13 116:3 130:14 163:5 embracing 94:19 emergency 51:14 **emotion** 81:23 96:20, 23 97:8, 11 emotional 175:23 176:18 emotionally 175:24 177:4, 24 employed 41:25 66:18 75:9

employee 27:9 60:2 66:16, 16, 17 117:11 122:14, 20 137:20 employees 8:7, 7 11:21 12:8, 18 14:6, 8, 10, 14 16:20, 25 27:10 30:20 41:5 64:19 119:6 152:19 171:2 175:8 employing 11:3 employment 8:17 11:11 17:22, 23 22:3 43:25 46:6 61:3 82:1, 9 179:13 ended 39:11 42:5 88:12, 14 99:8 102:8 endings 12:6 **endless** 114:*3* endurance 5:25 **end-user** 16:*15* **enforcement** 179:*15* 183:5, 9 engage 33:3 103:11 **engineer** 88:21 engineering 14:3 76:10 113:24 114:8 134:10 160:13 179:6 engineers 42:24 76:12 160:6, 6 **Enron** 139:*13*, *16*, *18* **entered** 185:24 **entire** 43:14 87:9 185:18 **entities** 13:5, 13 18:6 20:8 entity 10:18, 23 11:3 13:17 entry 185:17 **enviable** 114:*4* environment 144:8 equipment 17:2 **equity** 145:5 **error** 106:25 escalation 71:11 especially 109:21 110:*I* **establish** 26:23, 25

established 181:21 establishing 60:21 estimate 67:5 **estimates** 66:10, 12 68:11 event 109:18 events 25:24 128:6 187:16 eventually 54:16 91:8 123:9 170:16 everybody 33:8 62:14 115:24 116:6 121:20, 23 131:18 160:22 175:5, 8 178:18 evidence 162:16, 24 exact 8:14 9:10 80:24 139:20 exactly 30:16 47:21 49:17 50:17 72:15 74:2, 3 100:15 159:15 178:11, 18 179:3 **EXAMINATION** 4:*1* 5:*6* 187:*7*, *10* examined 5:4 **example** 26:24 32:11, 12 154:16 162:9 examples 162:6 163:*1*, *3* 176:6 **exceeded** 169:20 **excel** 141:6 excess 146:4 excessive 112:8 **Exchange** 183:*13* **excuse** 40:1 **executed** 148:*16* **executive** 14:23, 24 36:12 98:17 119:19 122:10 137:23 143:16 150:8 152:5 153:3 **executives** 14:19, 21, 22 20:25 24:8, 9 34:12 35:25 36:6 67:4 73:3 90:5 98:15 114:19 127:11, 18 131:3, 5

168:*3* 170:*8* 171:*13* 175:*13* **exhaustive** 91:14, 22 **Exhibit** 5:1 7:9, 11 68:25 69:3, 14 70:10, 15 71:19, 21 72:12 75:20 105:22, 25 106:19 107:8 108:14, 19 113:17 186:9, 10 **EXHIBITS** 4:3 exist 85:23 exit 31:19 46:17 expect 39:4 71:2 76:22 111:23 expectation 87:4 110:8 expectations 169:20 170:4 **expected** 87:*15* 115:23 149:25 expecting 71:3 expenditures 4:6 69:13 70:12 143:20 **expense** 104:2, 6, 12, *25* 105:6, 6 121:*14* 157:4 167:23 **expensive** 105:*15* 151:*15* experience 128:5 experienced 98:10 experiencing 178:3 expert 76:10, 15 **expires** 187:25 **explain** 5:15 22:18 27:19 32:10 63:9 70:13 71:7 73:18 82:4 83:24 86:18. *19* 88:22 91:*11* 98:13 104:10 119:*13* 120:*1* 129:*18* 138:*6*, *21* 140:23 141:22 142:5 143:22 163:*15* 168:*8* 176:*4* 177:8 explained 46:20 126:21

explaining 13:12

141:9 153:2 154:*13*

104:11 exposed 84:19 express 164:20 extent 63:23 126:23 external 67:11 extrapolate 103:3, 7 extrapolation 103:4 extremely 87:17 123:24 176:15

< F > **fabricate** 164:*16* fabrication 134:12 **faced** 177:21 **fact** 88:10 93:20 179:*1* **factor** 50:1 56:9, 12, 14, 15, 17 57:1, 5 58:11, 13, 14 77:22 149:1 158:4 **factors** 57:14 128:17 134:7 fail 24:24 **failing** 133:5 **failure** 51:*13* 68:*5* fair 28:5 60:22 Fairfield 18:23 27:21 132:17 136:5 **fairly** 34:16 fall 47:4 122:2 138:*1* **familiar** 12:7 28:11 35:14 83:12 105:4 **family** 59:12 144:20 177:1, 9, 9, 10 **far** 15:17 28:7 39:10 81:13 134:11 154:23 168:11 **farm** 144:20 149:3 **fatal** 122:2 faux 82:22 **FBI** 179:24 180:3, 6 181:4, *15*, *17*, *19* 182:9, *22*, *23* 183:2*1* **February** 133:25 179:2 **Feckle** 30:6, 17, 20 **federal** 136:*15*

feedback 124:14, 22

feel 39:15 49:7 51:7 78:12, 16, 20 79:2 100:20 126:4 178:23 feelings 73:3 **fell** 139:23 **fellow** 117:10 **felt** 16:11 29:8, 11 35:24 63:17 78:25 103:16 109:21 114:18 175:5 176:17 177:4 fencepost 115:17 FICKLING 3:8 **fight** 33:17, 18 figure 11:25 12:2 154:24 161:7 172:18 figured 53:7 140:11 154:22 **file** 48:1 49:16 50:16 54:2, 4, 8 55:22, 23, 24, 25 80:24 81:10, 21 82:25 83:1, 1, 4, 5, 6, 6 85:6, 17 158:21 182:*15*, *16*, *17* 183:2 **filed** 46:25 51:1, 17 52:4 78:23, 24 132:24 133:24 files 57:15 83:8 106:7 161:8 filing 47:22 112:5, 24 142:13 **filings** 56:5 128:15 132:23 133:10 **filling** 112:23 **final** 15:14 23:22. 24 70:1 185:17 **finally** 53:7 95:16 159:9 181:18 **finance** 8:12 10:6, 18 11:5 44:9 49:18 91:6 111:14 112:15 **financial** 160:24 161:2 168:7 financing 47:18 126:22, 23, 24 148:3 **find** 20:22 34:21

36:19 39:16 40:22

41:4 43:2, 8, 11 53:24 54:1 57:24 65:15 73:9, 13, 16 74:12, 21 75:17 87:2 92:6 101:12 102:2, 3, 9, 20 128:13 133:12 136:17, 18 162:24 180:24 **finding** 65:17 **fine** 103:18 104:13 115:*18* 121:*1* **finish** 50:13 **finished** 6:15 155:2 **fire** 174:12 **FIRM** 3:6, 18 62:21, 23 104:6, 22 105:17 106:2, 2 first 5:4 13:6 24:13 27:19 31:1 47:20 48:20 58:8 70:16 78:2 88:8, 14 106:3, 24 120:14, 18 121:5 144:18 180:4 **five** 45:7 47:20 51:15 57:7, 11 58:8 73:3, 15 104:16 112:15 166:19 168:2, 17, 17, 20 174:*1* 176:25 **fix** 103:21 **fixed** 43:5, 19, 20 146:*15* fixed-price 34:14 36:4 47:5, 12 74:16 110:4, 6, 9, 22 111:21 118:1, 11 126:7 flabbergasted 93:22 **flavor** 39:3, 18 **flow** 4:6 47:19 69:12 71:8 77:11 127:5 flowcharts 39:13 **flown** 93:17 fluke 103:25 Fluor 77:25 78:1, 2 111:17 **focused** 22:23 58:7

focusing 177:2 **folder** 85:6 **follow** 31:14 108:11 **followed** 138:8 **following** 162:22 170:9 179:11 follows 5:5 **follow-up** 184:18 footprint 19:20, 22, *25* 143:9, *13* forecasting 62:7 **foregoing** 187:4, 9 **form** 63:23 **formal** 50:23 former 8:7 **forth** 29:2 **forward** 171:17, 18 185:5 **fossil** 9:17 **fought** 49:13 51:19 94:23 122:25 found 27:12 31:13, *15* 53:4, *12* 96:*12* 102:23 103:1, 8, 19, 20 132:9 137:13 **four** 9:3 16:5 45:7 49:14 58:8 79:7 frame 35:19 39:23 76:2, 7, 8 85:20 90:8 109:5 118:3 133:21 137:24 153:18 184:16 Frank 24:16 fraudulent 28:9 29:12 31:8 **Freedom** 106:4 fricken 131:22 140:15 153:16 **Friday** 95:17 friendly 31:25 front 32:19 86:8 92:15 114:20, 20 125:25 142:8 **fudging** 120:22 **fuel** 29:1, 1, 4 32:24 33:3, 10, 14 34:2, 23 36:21 87:23 **full** 6:25 23:8

129:22 187:9
full-time 105:13, 15
functions 111:14
furnish 157:1
furniture 17:1
further 167:14
187:14
future 6:19 146:19

<G> **GA** 3:13 **game** 16:21 **GAS** 1:8 15:20, 23 33:6 87:6, 18 89:6 90:23 gasoline 28:22 32:13, 16 **gate** 181:11 gated 32:20 33:8 gathered 87:11 gathering 66:20 **GE** 87:12 **GENE** 3:24 175:24 176:16 177:2 182:8 GENERAL 3:22 19:1 22:10 24:6 26:15 126:20 132:2 140:3 143:15 147:17, 22 150:8 169:24 172:8, 25 generally 14:7 31:22 42:14 170:14 178:*1* generated 42:8 generation 9:18 16:11 87:3 89:2 90:25 **geniuses** 138:16 gentlemen 64:6 Georgia 16:2 134:*17* **getting** 27:4 35:11 39:11, 18 52:24 53:3 66:2, 5, 8 77:24 91:15 95:22 97:5 119:10 124:21 147:*1*

Gilespie 31:4

Gina 24:17

give 6:25 7:19 20:18 41:19 42:13 46:5 49:4 60:11 61:13, 19 62:14 68:2 69:3 77:3 93:12 102:16 109:5 115:16 134:3 138:5 152:7 160:8 172:11 176:8 180:22 181:*1* given 15:15 21:5 33:25 50:13 61:10 66:13 68:18, 22 72:11, 21 73:22 75:22 76:9 77:1, 10 78:*5* 79:*17* 80:*3* 86:23, 25 89:20 109:4 148:22 187:10 **giving** 61:7 111:*14* **glad** 184:24 glass 6:2 **go** 5:12 17:23, 25 25:11, 12, 16 33:5, 8 34:18, 21 35:1, 2 38:5 39:1 42:2 43:8 46:19 48:15, 17, 17, 20 50:11 53:23 56:4, 6, 6, 7 65:16 73:4, 16, 21 77:2 79:9 85:17 87:5 88:6 91:18 92:6, 8 102:2 106:1 107:23 108:3, 9 112:6, 22 120:20 124:*16*, *17* 125:2*1* 128:*13*, *15*, *16* 129:*1* 133:9, 11 142:10, 15 147:4 148:5 149:2. *18* 153:9 155:*3* 158:6 162:23, 23 163:3, 4, 4, 5 165:11, *12, 15* 171:*18* 173:17 177:10 181:23 182:3 185:5 186:5 **goal** 26:25 100:8 117:18

goals 22:21, 22, 23

23:1, 17, 19, 20, 25

26:18 41:2, 8, 9, 11

god 96:21 120:15 124:23 140:12 156:19 goes 97:13 151:25 152:6 171:17 going 5:19 6:14 7:8 8:16 10:20 13:16, 22 16:14 20:1, 3 24:2, 3 26:10 32:20, 21 36:3 38:1 39:14, 21, 25 40:6 41:20 42:5 45:17 47:8, 21, 23 48:7, 8, 13, 17 49:6 50:11 51:1 52:1, 23 53:18 56:18, 21 57:16 58:1 62:7, 11 63:8 64:23 65:20 69:4 71:2, 15 73:21 74:19, 21 75:4 77:25 84:25 85:1, 2 87:1, 5 88:21 90:2 91:14 92:6 95:22 96:5 97:22 101:17, *19* 102:7, 9 105:*19*, 24 106:10 107:3, 5, 8, 11, 19 111:1, 2, 5, *16* 113:*14* 115:*10* 117:*19* 118:*15*, *17*, *23* 119:2 120:*1*, *12*, 14, 17, 18, 19, 20 122:4 123:9 124:7, *16* 126:2, 6, *12*, *14* 127:7 129:6 131:16 133:9 134:4, 5, 13 139:11, 11 140:11 142:9, 17 144:6, 12, 13, 15, 16, 19, 21, 24 145:10, 15, 16 146:7, 11, 12 147:13 148:2, 4, 6, 6 151:5, 18, 24 152:*3* 153:*15*, 24 156:*1* 157:*3* 159:*7* 160:9 162:23 164:2, 25 165:7 166:23 167:23 169:6, 7, 14, *15*, *16*, *18*, *21* 170:*3*, *4*, *8* 173:10 176:21 178:8, 19, 22 180:2

181:11 184:17 186:7, 8 **good** 20:21 30:12 32:12 58:22 82:20 85:15 96:21 120:16, 21 121:4 126:12, 20 129:6 136:12 137:7, 22 144:8 152:*15* 157:19 162:17 164:6 172:5 177:17 good-faith 98:5 **gosh** 75:10 gotten 136:24 175:*17* governance 14:14 **grades** 24:24 graduating 137:16 great 30:15 65:8 98:23 139:22 169:*19* 175:2 176:*1* greed 119:22 140:22, 24 Greenville 48:9 **grid** 147:9 **Griffin** 37:7 136:14 **ground** 5:13 **group** 13:24 37:15 173:11 **groups** 37:14 180:3 **grow** 143:19, 21, 23 147:19 151:4, 6 **growing** 147:18 150:*15* **growth** 141:15, 17, *18*, *20* 143:7, *11*, *16*, 18 146:14 147:21 150:2*1* **G's** 85:25 87:22 109:23, 25 110:2 guaranteed 142:7 guess 11:25 20:7, 19 47:4 61:17 68:13 79:11 82:20 83:9 84:25 117:22 119:8 130:4 135:2*1* guv 24:19.19 59:21 70:8 95:13 121:7 131:17

guys 131:22 138:10

139:*13*, *21* 174:*1* **guy's** 30:23 <H> hair 116:22 half 50:6, 7 72:17, 19 87:21 90:21 152:11 155:10 **hall** 122:*16* **HALTIWANGER** 3:4 4:3 5:7, 9 40:2 64:11, 22 65:6 81:16 84:21 105:18 107:7, 13, 16 184:11, *15* 186:3, 6 **hand** 7:8 37:17, 17 105:25 117:5 187:18 **handed** 54:6, 7 69:2 **handle** 39:20 **handled** 41:*14* **hands** 58:5 **happen** 173:14 happened 46:21 82:8, 25 86:15 121:2 173:19 181:2 happens 47:20 75:2, 7 **happy** 61:12 78:8 169:21 **hard** 91:24 107:9 160:5 **harder** 163:7 **Harris** 25:19 **hate** 30:10 **hated** 138:25 156:16, 17 **hateful** 174:23 **Hayes** 185:17 **head** 59:19 89:14 119:7 129:*1* 183:*19* headaches 52:23 headquarters 38:9 **heads** 91:15 95:19 146:16 **heads-up** 115:16 health 68:7 185:7 **hear** 46:11 73:20 97:11 121:6 138:23 147:17 149:10

heard 19:4 74:5 75:9 83:9 86:18 106:13, 15 116:8 135:8, 13 149:12 175:25 183:14 **hearing** 51:21 88:8 166:11 **hearsay** 87:10 heart's 153:11 **heavily** 167:8 **he'd** 48:4, 12 53:14 113:1 164:9 174:17, 19 **held** 25:17 hell 52:15 177:10 **helm** 122:11 **help** 15:3 18:21 66:20 94:20 95:6, 10 helpful 18:4 **helping** 94:22 **hereunto** 187:18 **Hess** 33:7 **Hey** 115:14 hierarchy 20:11, 19 44:12 45:1 **high** 28:14, 15 29:9 **higher** 119:15 158:25 highest 111:6 112:19 high-risk 28:3, 13 29:11 hilarious 98:23 Hill 3:16 hire 104:22 **hired** 30:24 historically 168:18 **history** 8:17 **hit** 137:14, 15 169:19 **hitting** 151:23 **HODGES** 3:22 25:5 **hold** 54:22 63:13 148:7 **HOLIDAY** 1:18 holler 96:18, 22 **home** 12:24 59:16 83:6 109:17

homogeneous 14:9 honest 120:4 **honor** 185:9 **hope** 6:15 84:15, 19 146:4 148:6 161:22 **horrible** 55:11 **hospital** 51:25 68:9 **hot** 124:6 157:15, 17 **hour** 17:7 56:23 104:24 105:19 160:8 **hourly** 50:3 hours 27:11, 11 56:25 57:6, 10 house 33:9 82:17 85:10 109:13 180:6, 21 housekeeping 107:19 134:21 185:3 **Houston** 15:24 **HR** 116:*13* 123:22 huge 103:5 122:14 146:13 148:19 163:14 169:3 **hundred** 112:*14* hundreds 42:17 **hunt** 124:16 **hurting** 174:2, 6 husband 47:2 51:12, 24 68:4 181:7 182:8 husband's 68:7 **hush** 121:6 **hydro** 9:17 < I > icing 47:6 idea 13:8 20:10, 14, 19 41:19 42:13 44:11 102:16 109:3 143:8 152:7 175:22 identification 5:2 69:*1* 105:23 186:*11* identified 85:16 86:14 identities 86:6 **ill** 47:2

impact 55:7 132:15 138:18 141:13 152:*4* 169:*1* **impacted** 134:11 impacting 177:5 **important** 5:17, 20 6:9 56:3 impression 58:20 59:2 improper 31:8 **improperly** 140:17, improvement 159:12 inaccuracy 90:18 108:2 inappropriate 27:3 inappropriately 34:3 35:24 **inartful** 177:19 **incentive** 147:*17* 160:24 161:3 incentives 120:3 **include** 24:3, 9 included 43:4 76:13, 17 80:12 income 16:18 141:2, 7 151:2, 5, 18 152:2, 10 incomplete 25:10 incorrect 25:10 increase 72:19 112:10 123:10 142:23 146:11 increased 141:3 168:10 incredible 93:24 incremental 17:4 indexes 23:5 **indicate** 21:14 161:12 indicating 81:4 indication 93:13 **individuals** 73:7, *15* 86:6 169:2 inference 131:2 **influenced** 140:*18*. 20 information 13:24 27:7 36:20 57:25 62:2 64:20 66:2, 5,

imagine 177:6

8. 19 73:6 78:5 80:6, 18 85:12 86:7 87:11.14 100:17 106:4 109:4 114:14 119:10 128:3 133:18, 20 135:8 139:*3* 170:*2* 183:*18* injections 52:24 **INN** 1:18 **input** 167:2 insanity 123:3 insight 38:1 install 57:4 **instance** 25:13 34:22 106:23 instances 36:20 instruct 64:1 integrity 74:9 121:17 175:14 **intention** 62:1 110:5 **interact** 12:21 37:16 interacted 11:21 12:19 13:9 interaction 21:9 interactions 171:2 **interest** 18:*17* 47:16 110:15 119:9 interested 148:14 187:16 interesting 60:5, 8 136:17, 19 interests 47:16 110:10, 11 interfered 161:2 **internal** 10:*13* 14:17 27:14 29:18, 18 37:2, 5, 8, 15 39:11 67:17 102:14 internally 53:20 interview 60:9 75:14 164:2 180:7 183:22 interviews 31:19 **Intimidate** 100:10, 11 introduced 5:9 intrusive 39:19 inventory 28:23 91:22

invest 142:2 145:7 invested 145:5 **investment** 144:1, 3 145:4 **investor** 169:24 **investors** 170:1, 6 **invite** 75:13 invoice 27:2, 4 35:7, *17* 36:21 40:16, 23 41:12 42:3, 4, 12, 15, 22 43:10, 13 101:19, 21 165:17 166:1 invoices 38:12 39:6 103:13 **involved** 13:14 30:3 41:5 53:4 62:15 65:23 66:23 67:12, 15, 19, 23 69:17, 19 70:1 106:3 148:19 177:3 183:5, 17 involvement 183:23 involves 64:3 **I-O-N** 108:7 **Iris** 37:7 136:*14* **Iris's** 37:10 **I's** 111:23 **island** 74:23 issue 20:23 41:21 57:12 75:4 76:8 86:20 89:19 94:1 97:19 98:7 157:14 163:9, 12 issues 41:13 42:3 100:12, 13, 14 101:21 102:7 items 43:1

< J >
Jackass 54:8
jackasses 156:12
Jackson 70:3
131:13 168:5
JAHUE 3:18
Jake 46:19, 21 82:5
181:22, 24 182:8
184:2

its 16:18, 19, 20, 25

18:6 60:25

January 44:4 47:24 48:10, 22 80:19 85:21 109:8 111:3 112:9, 12 153:21, 22 179:2 **Jeff** 24:17 60:4, 5 75:14 95:1, 2 96:13 117:2 131:9, 19 135:15, 17 150:3 155:18 156:4, 6, 7 159:25 160:*1* 168:*4* 174:13 **JESSICA** 3:8 **Jim** 38:21, 22 **Jimmy** 24:16 36:8 44:16, 19 45:2 47:7 49:9, 16 50:15 54:2, *15* 55:24, 25 79:19 80:3, 18 81:10 83:1 85:5 95:14 96:14 117:2 122:18 123:25 124:13 125:8, 12 130:8, 20 131:8, 19 136:7, 9, *22* 137:*1* 149:20 155:17, 25, 25 156:10 168:4 172:20 182:18 **job** 13:11 30:12 31:5 44:7 51:23 59:12, 17 114:1, 3 157:19 160:25 161:3 **iobs** 173:4 175:5 **Joev** 31:4 **Johnson** 29:25 30:19 35:10 40:19 45:8 67:24 172:13 **joined** 180:7 **joke** 99:1 **Jones** 59:22, 22, 24 77:6 159:21, 23 **JR** 3:5 **Judge** 185:17 **JULIE** 1:18 187:3, 23 **July** 82:12 112:3 113:8 jump 166:23 179:10 jumping 148:*12* 153:*13* 156:*21* June 7:*6* 8:*9* 112:*3*, 5 junior 99:*25* 100:*1*

< K > Kave 45:12 **K-A-Y-E** 45:13 keep 17:5 82:24 83:3 87:8 94:5, 21 95:3 141:1, 2 165:6 174:2 **keeping** 82:19 keeps 151:18 **Keller** 14:22 15:6 24:16 **K-E-L-L-E-R** 15:7 **Ken** 43:1 63:4, 10, *14*, 20 66:*13*, *15* 67:8, 9, 18, 22 79:6 87:25 164:6 171:8, 8, 14 172:4, 4 183:1 **Kenny** 70:3 131:12 168:5 kept 83:7 125:9, 13 129:22 165:5, 6 **Kevin** 15:8, 9 24:15 36:8 45:8 46:8, 10 47:14 49:9 50:10 53:4, 8, 8, 13 62:17 65:25 66:3, 4, 7 67:22 69:21 73:20 74:8 111:13 112:13 114:19 120:5, 6, 12 121:3, 9, 15, 21 122:2, 4, 9 123:17, 19 124:1 127:16, 17 128:24 130:5 131:8, 17, 24 132:4, 20 133:13 135:7 137:8 144:19 149:12, 18 155:17, 25 161:24 165:10 168:3 172:9, 19 175:3, 10 **K-E-V-I-N** 15:9 **Kevin's** 117:5 **key** 33:1, 2, 5, 9 **keyword** 163:25

iumped 98:19

kidding 158:10 **kidney** 51:*13* 68:*5* **kill** 159:8 **kind** 13:1 20:18 23:5, 10, 13 29:10 32:4 51:6 55:6 59:9 96:10 97:9 113:15 118:10 122:*1* 136:2*1* 148:8 156:4 181:9 **KING** 3:9 **Kissam** 14:23 15:6, 7 24:16 **K-I-S-S-A-M** 15:7 knew 8:7 19:24 33:8 74:19 80:25 82:16 109:23, 25 114:17 117:19 118:4, 18, 22, 23 121:9, 16 122:10 126:6 133:4, 23 134:9 157:15 knock 181:3 **know** 6:3 7:10 12:4, 9, 10 15:5 17:1 20:17 21:11, 15, 17, 24 25:12, 13 26:24 27:7, 12 32:16 33:16, 19, 24 38:4 39:5, 21 41:17, 23 42:17 43:16 51:25 52:15, 21 54:1 56:19 58:13 62:21 63:10, 16 65:18 66:1, 4 69:25 74:3 76:11, 15, 19, 25 77:17 81:12, 13 82:19 83:25 85:25 86:1, 21, 22 87:6 91:2 93:20 94:6, 7, *17* 95:8, 21 96:3, 8 98:*3* 101:*1* 102:*8*, 10, 10, 24 103:2 104:15, 18, 20 106:1 107:21 108:4 109:21 110:3 113:6. 7 114:*18*, 22 116:*3* 119:19, 20 120:15, *25* 121:*3* 122:*9*, *18* 125:4 127:9, 9

129:7 133:*1*, *4* 135:4, 5, 24 137:14, 24 140:5 144:5 145:2 146:19, 20 147:4, 7, 9, 9, 14, 24 148:12 152:8 154:19 156:16, 22 157:20 158:3, 6 160:23 162:1, 3, 25 164:15 166:10, 10 169:21, 25 170:10 171:18 172:2, 24 173:2, 4 174:8, 15, 21 176:23 177:25 180:11 181:13 182:16 183:25 184:16 knowing 51:22 111:15 148:8 knowingly 117:25 knowledge 128:4 147:15 **known** 95:3 121:8 knows 74:25 121:23 knucklehead 97:2 **Kochems** 45:8, 8 62:17 69:21 172:9 K-O-C-H-E-M-S 45:9 **Kullen** 30:25 31:2 **K-U-L-E-N** 31:*1*

< L > **labor** 17:17, 18 27:24 111:5, 6 **lacking** 92:4 103:17 **ladv** 84:18 **Lake** 163:13, 16, 17 **land** 165:10 large 111:10, 15 119:*18* 149:9 187:*3* **larger** 146:16 **largest** 15:17 **last-ditch** 80:21 **lately** 83:10 **LAW** 3:6, 13, 18 6:8 106:2, 2 123:15 153:16 173:22 179:15 183:4, 9

laws 154:8, 8, 11, 11, 15 lawyer 6:17 7:24 32:8 81:11 82:21 153:15, 19, 24 154:22 182:13, 22, 23 lawyers 7:24 32:2 lav 101:14 layer 87:2 laying 70:20 **layout** 91:16 layperson 56:10 70:12 104:10 120:2 168:8 **lead** 37:18, 19 leads 130:25 **LEAH** 3:13, 15 **leap** 147:24 **learn** 98:*1* 128:2 **learned** 127:13, 15 **leave** 46:1, 5, 13, 13, 14, 16 47:10 52:18 82:1 111:24 117:6 154:6 **leaving** 111:19 170:25 179:13 LEBRIAN 1:4 **led** 30:1 74:13 78:6 133:19, 19 **left** 10:17 11:13 16:5 43:25 44:8, 15 45:5 55:14, 16, 21 81:2 96:9 106:9, 12 108:20 113:19 129:13 135:6, 9 137:11, 12, 23 144:11 154:3 155:*12* 161:*14* 175:22 179:1, 12 **legal** 123:14 legislators 129:4, 7 **letter** 34:8, 9 **letters** 94:13 level 171:11, 12 levels 119:15 **Levine** 172:6 **liar** 118:23 131:18

lie 47:8 49:6, 8, 12 53:15 117:20 118:17 120:23, 24 125:20, 23 126:7 134:4 139:24 184:7 **lied** 117:25 118:2 121:13 137:15 lies 118:5 126:4 131:24 132:4 **life** 84:19 91:17 99:2 139:7 140:10 176:*3* 177:*1* likes 128:25 **limb** 121:*1* line 45:17 47:21 53:16 71:1, 6 113:15, 15 169:10 lines 15:22, 25 27:6 145:9 list 30:13 33:12 62:14 91:22 131:7 132:2 **listen** 55:13 107:20 115:*15* little 5:13 22:2 33:4, 8 34:19 62:12 107:13 113:15 120:22, 25 121:1 139:1 141:16 156:2*1* 157:5 159:5, 6 174:8 179:5 181:20 live 77:3 **lived** 104:17 **living** 29:*3* 75:*11* 82:20 **LLC** 3:4, 6, 13 **LLP** 3:9 **Load** 110:17 112:1 142:4 144:2 **local** 104:6 **located** 37:22 LOCATION 1:18 187:6 **locked** 23:13 **lode** 141:21 log 35:7, 17 36:22 40:16, 23 41:12 42:3, 4, 12, 15 43:10,

132:10

14 101:2*1* 102:7 **logged** 31:18 long 8:15, 18, 25 9:8, 19 120:7 124:2 139:24 151:1, 2 long-term 22:14 23:3, 9 60:20 119:15 **Lonnie** 36:10, 12 110:1 156:23 161:15, 15, 23, 25, 25 **look** 23:7 34:22 35:1 36:1, 24 42:9 56:7 57:20 58:2 60:10, 18 68:4 79:15 81:20 92:10 93:9 101:13 128:15, *16* 132:22 133:9, *11* 134:16 137:22 139:22 153:5, 10 159:7, 12 163:3, 4, 4, 5, 12 165:22, 23 169:18 171:19 177:18 178:5 180:23, 25 **looked** 8:4 52:15 75:19 90:12 97:3 103:19 112:13 127:6 174:9, 9 **looking** 27:8 31:7 36:23 70:10, 14 76:12 79:11 92:8 128:5 132:14. 14 133:21 134:17 163:*1* 164:*1* 172:*1* looks 120:12 lose 28:19 51:23 177:13 losing 52:12, 12 loss 28:19 **lost** 59:16 146:25 173:3 178:15 **lot** 13:5 14:3, 4 27:12 29:19 36:17 48:*16* 106:*5* 110:*3* 111:23 136:20 144:11 148:11, 13 164:5, 25 166:13, 15 171:9, 10 172:15

173:7 179:8 loud 135:11 low 28:14 50:11 88:12 lower 122:22 lumped 34:15 luxury 166:14 lying 53:14 104:16 LYLE 1:18 187:3, 23

< M > mad 96:9, 15 **magnitude** 149:23 **mail** 4:7, 8 106:10, 11, 12 107:6, 14 108:21 115:13 127:8 184:2 186:9 Main 3:15 62:6 124:17 155:19 maintaining 35:9 **major** 20:23 57:12 75:3 makers 87:12 making 129:19, 22 130:8 163:18 169:*11* man 156:18 manage 28:8 **managed** 157:16 management 20:8, *16* 79:8 90:*1* 93:*1* 101:9 110:2 148:22 158:7, 12 171:5 manager 9:16, 17 10:5, 8 29:25 32:3 37:12 100:3 101:5 163:4 172:8 173:9 managers 45:6 98:16 140:3, 4 150:8 172:25 managing 28:24 55:15 man-hour 56:19 **man-hours** 49:23 manpower 91:16, 19 man's 124:10 March 85:21 Margaret 30:5, 16,

20

Marion 4:7 12:15, *16*, *17* 35:*10* 107:*1* 108:5, 7, 18 109:20, 25 113:19, 20 114:3, 13, 15, 18, 22, 25 115:7, 15 172:21 175:2*1* **M-A-R-I-O-N** 108:6 mark 107:12 186:8 marked 5:1 7:9 32:13, 15 68:25 69:3 105:22 107:8 186:10 market 15:20 123:6, markup 16:24 18:12 markups 50:5 Marsh 15:8, 9 24:15 36:8 46:8 49:9 53:13 74:8 114:19 122:9 127:16, 17 130:5 131:8, 17, 25 132:4 133:13 135:7 144:19 149:12 155:18 168:4 172:19 175:3, 10 **M-A-R-S-H** 15:9 Marty 73:2 121:11 122:5, 22 123:4, 22, 25 131:9, 20 137:2, 4, 15 155:17 174:22 Mary 106:25 108:4, 17 match 128:20 material 65:24 69:20 70:13 72:1 81:9 85:*6* 86:*13* 115:12 182:20 materials 43:9 55:17, 20 56:1 65:20 67:20 77:4 79:15 85:3, 19, 23 92:7 101:13 106:6, 8 162:25 182:12, 21 math 49:20 mathematical 50:3 mathematically

matter 64:21 73:11 106:4 112:22 142:12 179:1 **matters** 185:3 max 53:2 mean 11:24 12:22 26:18, 22 28:12 30:9, 15 33:12 37:13 38:10 39:9 40:9 41:10, 16 42:16, 20 47:18 53:1, 6, 17 54:23 55:5, 9 56:2, 4, 5, 11 58:25 59:21 66:22 71:10 77:16, 16 79:5, 5, 7 81:15 84:10, 15 85:9, 10, 25 93:16 94:5, 6 95:12 97:9 98:4, 11, 13, 14, 18 99:13, 14, *25* 101:7, *17* 102:*19*, 20 103:19 110:19 118:18 119:20, 21 120:5, 6 121:13 122:7, 8, 12 123:5 124:6, 9, 10, 13, 19, 20, 21, 23 125:7 127:2 129:3 132:9 133:4, 5, 14, 15, 23, *24* 134:1, *3*, *10*, *17* 138:6, 12, 15, 22 139:19, 21, 24, 25 140:8 141:16, 20, 23 142:2 147:7, 8, 23 148:1, 2, 9, 11 150:2, 9 151:1, 1, 6 152:9 155:8, 13, 14, 21, 22, 24 156:11.17 158:11 159:19, 20 160:22, 25 161:6, 12, 22 162:9 163:7, 13 164:24 165:22 169:5 172:23, 24 173:1, 3, 20 174:7, 8, 12, 14, 21, 22, 24 175:2, 4, 14 176:4, 6, 7, 10, 21 178:10, 11, *15*, *16*, *20* 179:6 180:2 **meaning** 56:18

49:21

means 73:24 74:3 110:18 144:23 168:9 meant 43:20 measured 112:4 measurement 10:5, 9 meat 23:20 mechanics 183:2 **media** 137:14, 15 medical 46:12, 13, 14, 16 47:10 52:17 154:5 178:4, 6, 14 medically 177:24 meet 32:10 meeting 21:12, 20 42:2 51:3, 5, 7, 9 53:11 58:2, 18 96:13 98:23 99:4, 14 100:2, 4 150:8 158:7, 13, 15 159:10, 10 163:5 182:1, 2, 4, 7, 15 183:21 meetings 31:23 32:3 42:8 96:23 99:8, 23 101:1, 4, 9 102:4, 5 128:19 149:20, 21 150:1, 2, 6, 10 158:2 171:9, *11, 13* 183:9 memo 163:4 men 96:22 mentality 94:7, 18 mention 154:8, 8 mentioned 16:6 57:14 148:25 153:15 186:7 **Merit** 1:22 187:24 message 12:25 106:9, 20 107:20, 23 108:14, 20 113:16, 19 115:14 129:10, 13 131:16 132:7 134:19 137:3 138:2 153:14 167:19 175:19 met 33:15 50:25 51:2 114:20 139:7 181:10 **method** 77:20

metrics 58:6. 8 metrics-based 58:10 **Michael** 110:1 130:1, 9, 13 156:23 157:6, 8 164:19 172:22 **middle** 156:15 midst 51:11 midvear 156:2 million 47:23, 24 48:4, 12 54:22 70:22 71:4, 4 75:24 77:17, 21 79:20, 24 80:13, 14, 15 111:3, 7, 8, 9 112:*14* 113:2 134:18 152:12 168:13, 14, 16, 17, 20 millions 57:6, 10 mind 28:2 87:6, 8 94:6 154:19 155:14 Mine 54:13 119:16 minor 102:22 **minute** 9:16 40:1 minutes 124:25 **minutia** 26:20 misapprehend 178:8 miscellaneous 43:1 mishandled 122:15 mismanage 156:24 162:4 mismanagement 162:7 163:*1* 171:6 mismanaging 157:3 misquoting 149:4 misrepresentations 170:7 **missed** 51:5, 7, 8 **mistakes** 27:13 104:5 105:2 misunderstand 178:8 **Mitch** 62:19 63:2, 5, 12, 13, 15, 16, 20 64:13 65:25 66:1, 6 76:21 **model** 90:22, 23 **Module** 163:18, 20 **moment** 162:5 **Monday** 96:12

money 28:19 42:14 59:5 88:7 102:17 103:5 111:15 119:12, 18 127:3, 4 140:22, 23 141:25 145:7 148:5 150:*15* 167:21 185:1 month 38:13 39:7 42:6 47:23 48:12 55:14 111:3, 9, 9 168:16, 20 monthly 57:21 58:2 128:19 150:2, 6 months 33:19, 23 46:15 47:20 49:14 74:11 79:7 112:15 168:17, 18, 20 169:7, 8, 13 177:14 178:20 **Mood** 24:16 **MOODY** 3:13, 15 **MOORE** 3:18, 18 7:13 39:25 64:5 65:1, 8 81:13, 17 84:9, 22, 23 184:12, *14*, *19*, *25* 185:*13* 186:5 morale 175:11 **Mormon** 181:8 **morning** 96:12 **Morris** 45:13 mother 141:21 motions 52:5 motivation 117:10 **motto** 75:1 **mouth** 90:10 132:11 move 45:18 150:11 moved 15:21 46:19 **movie** 139:8 moving 175:6 177:2 < N >nail 33:18 name 5:8 6:25 15:*4* 17:*16* 25:2*1* 30:5, 8, 11, 16, 23, 23, 25 31:*1* 38:*17*, 20 40:25 51:17, 18 58:21 59:17, 18, 24

108:17 134:22 138:24 158:13, 14, *17* 162:*13* 163:2*1* **named** 100:3 158:24 175:13 names 15:3 29:22 34:25 92:7 95:19 164:9 180:21 **nastv** 32:9 **natural** 61:17 Navy 86:25 **NDA** 83:22 **NE** 3:13 near 146:19 necessarily 21:13 42:19 necessary 71:23 neck 52:24 **need** 6:1 8:14 39:19 63:18 84:7 96:6 106:17 107:4, *17*, *22* 108:*19* 125:18 155:3 156:8, 23 163:12 185:10, 12 needed 12:25 19:20 38:5 39:*1* 49:*19*, *23*, 24 148:24 **needs** 38:13 47:19 91:16 116:2 negotiate 36:4 74:15 negotiated 34:13 43:22 47:14, 14 82:5 negotiating 166:12 negotiation 111:21 negotiations 34:15 47:5, 12 neighborhoods 145:10 **neither** 187:14 nervous 115:24 116:6, 20 **net** 141:2, 7 151:2, 5. 18 never 20:22 21:11 23:6 31:25 34:10, 12 38:10, 24 86:18 93:6 135:13 137:18

65:9 67:10 86:3

98:16 101:20

149:20 161:11 175:25 **New** 19:2, 8, 21 47:22 48:7, 14 69:13 92:25 93:14 111:17 120:6 136:14 news 83:11 137:9 newspaper 30:12 173:18 nice 59:21 123:23, 24 **night** 51:3 **nightmare** 163:17 **nine** 16:7 NND 19:2 20:6 31:11, 12 **NOBLES** 3:12 **nodding** 89:14 **Nods** 119:7 noise 130:10 nondisclosure 83:11, 15 nonengineer's 167:16 no-risk 142:1 normally 101:7 **Notary** 187:3 notes 42:10 **Notice** 4:3 7:14 noticed 13:4 **notified** 31:17 **notorious** 174:*13* **November** 113:*1* 126:*1* 151:7 168:24 nuclear 8:12 10:18 13:17 18:23 19:2, 8 24:14 30:14 44:9 57:5 60:3 61:18 66:18 74:22 75:2, 2, 6, 8, 8, 11, 16 86:25 87:6, 9, 13, 19, 20, 22 89:5, 20 90:13, 23 91:6 94:7, 15, 18 120:9 124:22 128:23 129:16 132:21 135:22 136:5 139:10 144:12 149:2, 24 150:4

Number 19:5 32:19 33:22, 23, 24 46:24 49:23 50:6, 7, 11, 12, 21, 21 51:18 54:20 70:11 71:8, 18, 21, 22 72:2 73:21 74:6 75:20, 22 77:14 78:13, 14, 17, 21 79:7, 9, 13, 16, 17, 21 80:6, 11 93:14 105:25 118:9 146:16 numbers 53:20, 24 54:14, 16, 23, 25 69:19 70:17 71:5 72:14 87:18, 22 90:14, 22 91:24, 24 92:20, 25, 25 93:10 118:8 152:7 182:18

< 0 > **oath** 6:7 **Object** 63:22 objection 64:25 **objections** 187:7, 10 obligation 6:13 **obnoxious** 99:13 **observed** 176:17 **obvious** 46:15 52:18 87:20 92:4 **obviously** 146:21 165:3 occasions 61:11 occupation 7:3 occupied 68:7 occurrence 25:23 occurring 68:6 92:13 **October** 10:15 48:2 112:6, 11, 20 142:14 **offer** 59:13 **OFFICE** 3:13 17:1. 1 21:15, 16, 17 38:7 95:20, 25 109:13 126:10 **officer** 8:24 9:1.3. *5* 75:*16* 128:*23*, *24* 135:23 149:24 150:4

official 44:7 187:18 **officials** 183:*16* **offline** 58:16, 24 Off-the-record 40:4 186:12 **Oh** 25:20 75:10 96:6 120:15 124:5 138:20 149:2, 12 156:10 161:22 164:4 169:3 Okay 6:4, 5, 24 7:8, 17 8:9 9:22 10:7, *17*, *25* 12:*18* 13:2, *10, 15* 16:*16* 18:*20* 19:12 20:6 21:2 22:16 25:2, 19 33:21 34:18 37:24 39:24 41:1 43:13, 23 45:25 48:16, 25 53:18 54:12, 14 55:16 57:2 59:25 62:25 67:2 69:10 70:10 74:10, 24 75:3 78:16 81:16 84:21 86:11 89:17, 25 90:4 92:17 97:11 99:21 101:23 102:12 104:13, 21 107:15, 24 108:4, 9 113:9 115:6 118:7 121:12 130:23 132:13 133:3, 17 137:17 147:10 149:14 153:4, 7 156:5 159:*18* 162:11 164:8, 18 166:7, 23 175:19 177:12 179:10.25 180:8 181:*1*, 25 182:20 183:3, 8 184:3 186:3 **old** 144:7, 9 **onboard** 111:18 once 25:18 78:17, 23, 24 118:18 120:23 122:9 132:9 137:13, 13 142:11 **one-man** 114:6

ongoing 26:9 133:3 **online** 147:*4* on-site 48:6, 10 open 15:20 87:5 **operate** 112:17 140:13 operated 27:20 32:25 74:23 operating 11:5 19:*10* 128:*24* 145:*1* 151:19 operational 22:21 operations 112:16 124:23 126:22, 24 **opinion** 110:12 139:10 167:17 opportunity 5:15 106:21 138:5 176:8 185:11 187:11, 14 **opposed** 28:14 61:8 76:17 79:16 105:6 110:21 114:13 132:16, 16 152:20 173:13 177:24 **options** 89:4, 5 order 7:19 17:17, 20, 24 18:17 66:20 142:24 185:15, 17, 20, 24 186:1 organization 11:5 45:24 60:3 66:18 74:20, 23 75:1, 6 94:16, 18, 22 110:17 111:25 original 144:*14* originally 49:24 56:16 147:5 148:14 **ORS** 126:10 ought 136:12 **outcome** 100:7 130:6 outfit 179:7 **outside** 62:20 64:18 119:25 123:11, 13, *18* 142:*17* 147:*14* **outsource** 104:22 overall 175:15 overhead 17:19 overseeing 29:14, 21

one's 30:22

oversight 143:3 oversold 167:10 overturned 50:24 owed 161:10 Owen 37:11 173:6 owner 13:16 28:20, 20, 25 owner's 86:17, 19 87:14, 17, 21 88:5, 7, 11, 24 89:10, 19 90:12, 21 91:8, 14, 25 92:4, 9, 11, 12, 19 93:2 94:1 95:23 96:3 97:14, 19, 24 98:7 163:8, 11

< P > **P.O** 1:24 3:5 package 82:2 83:14, 22 **PAGE** 4:1 **paid** 104:6, 17 112:4, 8 119:18 152:18 168:15, 19 170:16 **PAIGE** 3:12 **painted** 132:10 panel 77:5, 8 **paper** 30:4, 8 75:18 papering 161:8 papers 102:3, 10, 14, 15 paperwork 42:7 142:13 part 28:1 31:5 36:5 45:23 61:3 76:3 83:14 90:18 92:14 94:15, 16, 22, 24 111:20, 24 154:7 participated 65:11 particular 109:19 179:22 180:17 particularly 32:9 84:16 parts 83:23 185:22 party 119:17 187:16 pas 82:22 pass 24:24 84:20

passed 31:23

pathetic 158:8 PATRICK 3:1 pay 24:2 47:23 48:12 84:2 97:6 99:10, 11 104:23 105:10, 11, 13 111:1, 10 112:12, 14 122:23 123:1, 8, 10 127:5 141:3 145:15 146:6, 7 157:1, 1 166:3 168:12, 16 paycheck 10:19 22:4 paying 50:5 111:3 145:18, 23, 24 165:6, payment 84:6 161:*1* 165:*10*, 23, 24 166:*1* payments 25:22 26:5, 10 35:5 129:19, 20, 21, 23 **payors** 18:2 60:19 109:22, 25 110:15, 22 payout 24:3 152:8, **payroll** 14:16 Peachtree 3:13 penalties 83:21 180:13 **pending** 187:16 **people** 14:3 21:3 28:19 29:23 30:13 33:24 38:2 39:11 75:1 85:15 93:21 97:10 99:22 101:8 102:25 105:12 118:14 124:3, 17 140:12 148:6, 13 159:2, 20 164:3 166:19 171:10 172:23 173:11 174:2, 6, 24 175:1, 4, 15, 15

percent 18:19 24:2

84:3 104:7, 14, 25

1, 3 145:14, 17, 19,

19 148:9 151:*3*

122:2*3* 123:2 142:*1*,

152:*10*, *15* 169:*14*, 17 percentage 152:9, 10 **perfect** 117:8 162:10 performance 56:12, 14, 15, 17 57:1, 5, 13 77:22 performing 128:18 **period** 47:25 48:13 52:3 113:3 114:10 166:12 170:12 177:11 periodically 150:3 **Perrv** 45:14 person 53:16 60:6 86:25 87:25 101:6 110:16 114:21 121:4, 5, 8, 10, 19, 23, *25* 139:6 140:*16* 164:6 168:7 171:7 personal 12:23 22:7 84:19 personally 176:12 personnel 14:4 105:10, 11 111:23 **persons** 140:19 183:12 perspective 28:3 147:16 172:12 **PF** 56:8 58:11, 13, *14* 128:*16* 134:*7* 149:*1* 158:*4* **Phalen** 73:2 121:11 122:5 123:4, 22 131:9, 20 137:4 155:17 174:22 **Phalen's** 122:22 **phone** 47:7 106:9 108:24 109:15, 20 115:25 125:17 132:7 170:*1* **phrase** 30:7 75:8 127:12 138:2, 4 139:15 140:14 162:4 167:20 176:2 physically 37:22 109:12 175:22 pick 155:22 169:*1*2

picture 117:23 122:6 160:15 **piece** 53:13 pieces 29:7 **Pipeline** 9:16, 24 10:1, 3 11:15 16:3, 7 61:11 **pissed** 63:17 96:10 174:10 **pitch** 50:23 pivotal 83:5 **place** 28:7 40:18 41:13 63:6 82:11 184:21, 22 **Plaintiffs** 1:6 3:1 185:16 **plan** 29:*19* 147:*13* 164:4 **plans** 19:16 29:17 95:18 **plant** 13:17, 18 57:5 87:3, 19 89:8 144:4 145:13, 13, 20, 25 146:2, 7, 8 147:12, 14, 19, 20 148:10 167:11, 14 plants 19:22 89:2, 11 144:5, 7 145:11 146:22 147:3 **play** 106:10, 16 107:5, 20 140:24 **played** 108:10, 15 167:7 **plays** 166:20 **PLEAS** 1:*1* **plug** 93:19 113:7, 10 134:2 178:21, 24 **plummet** 175:12 **plus** 22:9 113:2 **PM** 186:*14* **pocket** 53:17 **point** 6:1, 18 10:10 36:3 39:16 50:12 59:18 73:22 84:4 87:25 101:7 107:4 109:24 123:12 124:11 128:12 133:17 136:13 149:9 155:*14*, *24*

163:2 182:25 **policy** 100:2 politically 123:24 politicians 183:17 pompous 138:7, 14 139:6 **pop** 59:19 population 143:12 **porch** 181:*16* **position** 8:10, 16, 21 10:11 75:15 79:8 88:25 91:1 114:4 120:8 121:18 122:21, 22 136:25 157:9 161:17, 19 164:22 171:12 172:7 positions 24:12 **positive** 126:13 possible 48:11 **possibly** 154:23 **Post** 173:18 183:25 pounds 52:13, 14 177:13 **pour** 57:8, 10 pouring 57:7 **power** 15:15 PowerPoint 58:4 practical 110:20 practice 62:24 **prayer** 163:24 predecessors 38:9, 15 **predicted** 178:*12* prefer 84:9 **prep** 51:22 52:2 preparation 65:16 66:24 **prepare** 7:25 66:20 prepared 53:19 54:15 62:10, 13 65:10, 20 66:9 72:2 85:20 185:4, 9 preparing 57:19 62:15 65:11 66:7 67:12. 19 69:19 PRESENT 3:22 182:6 presented 23:21

president 8:12. 19 10:18 44:9 45:1 91:4, 6 121:17 press 83:10 pressure 78:12, 16, 20, 25 79:3 **pressuring** 49:7, 12 pretense 52:16 pretty 16:21 23:18 63:5 81:15 84:1 93:23 114:5 132:10 135:20 136:17, 20 137:10 143:12 157:15 164:17 178:25 price 26:16 43:5, *19, 20* 48:5 50:*13* 120:11 127:22 128:1 141:1, 1, 9, 14 146:15 150:24 151:15, 16, 17, 17 **prices** 26:1 **Pricewaterhouse** 67:14 primarily 16:1 **principle** 185:*14* **printed** 113:16 **prior** 9:4, 22, 23 10:4 41:12 44:21 68:*1* 114:24 115:2, 3.4 170:24 **privilege** 64:1, 3, 9 privileged 63:25 64:20 probable 77:23 **probably** 10:3 16:4 18:21 24:8 30:15 35:6. 11 41:24 48:22 59:18 63:1 76:14 78:6 83:7 85:2*1* 109:8, *15* 113:12 133:25 146:18 153:21 154:15 155:15 156:*13* 159:*1* 164:10 166:20 169:9, 15 171:7, 8 172:5.9

problem 18:22 32:11 103:9, 20 118:16 problematic 164:15 **problems** 120:11 134:8 160:16 177:23 178:4, 7, 14 **process** 29:14 31:16 35:15 40:17 41:13, 17 67:25 112:22 171:17 processes 39:13, 17 procurement 32:9 121:*14* produce 29:5 **produced** 36:16 159:3 162:16 **producing** 37:2, *3* **product** 29:6 55:18 158:19, 23 159:3, 13 160:8, 10 productive 28:18 48:11, 13 productivity 50:1 professional 98:24 99:4, 6, 7 187:23 professionally 125:5 professor 155:7 **profit** 16:23 18:13, *15*, *16* 145:2, *3* 146:7 147:18, 21 **program** 99:19 170:22 **programs** 119:17, *19* 153:3, *12* progress 21:22 59:11 **project** 13:14, 22 14:1, 2 17:11, 11, 16 18:11, 23, 25 19:5, 6 20:6, 7, 9 21:3, 9, 23 22:24 24:14 26:9 27:20 28:4 30:15 33:22 36:18 38:2, 6, 7, 8, 11 39:14 40:11 41:5 44:20 46:9 49:25 50:2, 9, 14 55:1, 15 56:7, 8 57:17, 18, 22 58:2, 9 61:18 66:25 67:6

68:14, 19 71:8, 12, *16, 24* 73:25 76:3 77:11 86:10, 11, 13, 15, 16 88:10 89:18 90:19 91:6, 17 94:8, *15* 97:*15* 98:*10*, *15*, *18* 102:*14* 106:*3* 112:4 113:7 114:2 115:1, 2, 3, 5, 6 116:15, 25 118:3 119:11 120:2, 9, 11, *16*, *21* 122:*3* 128:*17*, 19, 19 129:5, 16 132:5, 15, 18, 21 133:2, 3, 5, 7 134:2, 12, 14, 16, 25 135:1, 19, 21 137:6 138:19 140:4, 7 141:13, 16, 19, 19, 25 144:12, 13, 18, 20, 22 147:25 148:4 149:8, 15, 23 151:20, 21, 24 156:25 157:4, 16 158:2, 2, 9, 12, 17 160:11, 14 162:5, 7 163:3 167:5, 13 171:6 173:20 174:17 178:9, 10, 17, *17*, *22*, *22*, *24* 183:22 184:6 projected 91:25 projection 72:5 promised 48:11 **promote** 121:16, 22, promoted 91:3 121:5, 8, 19 **prop** 127:21 140:25 **proper** 72:13 proposition 149:11 **propping** 139:21 167:21 168:7 170:*14* 174:*4* **prospects** 146:18 **protect** 109:24 protecting 114:7 175:16 protective 109:22 185:20 186:*1*

proud 175:5, 8 **prove** 103:23 **proved** 161:11 182:18 **proven** 142:12, 21 **provide** 14:*10* 16:23 61:4 139:2 170:2 182:11, 13, 22, 23 provided 54:15 providing 13:19 182:2*1* **proxy** 23:8 153:6, 8, 10 **PSC** 60:24, 24 61:20, 23 62:2, 13 68:2 71:14, 22 72:22 75:22 76:9, 18 77:2, 3 80:12 86:8 89:21, 24 92:15 112:24 120:4 142:10 143:4 **PSNC** 24:20 **Public** 46:23 60:14, 16, 23 61:5 118:1 120:4 126:9 137:14 143:19 153:9 187:3 **publicly** 120:10 **pull** 33:9 134:*1* **pulled** 66:10 113:7, 10 158:21 178:21, 24 **pulling** 93:19 **pump** 33:6 purchase 127:22, 22 purchasing 15:15 **purpose** 61:22 70:14 139:2 **purposes** 132:*13* **pursuant** 186:*1* **push** 94:21 156:23 157:2, 13 161:20 pushed 59:9 **pushing** 63:11 110:2 158:4 **put** 19:10 29:9 30:11 34:7, 9 46:12, 15, 25 47:9 63:6 67:5 69:20 73:5, 12 74:21 75:4 77:5

78:12, 14 80:23 90:9, 22 91:24 93:3 95:6 111:1 118:19, 20 137:19 142:13 144:16 145:8 174:11 putting 52:17 66:12 78:9

<O> qualifications 136:13, 16, 21 **quarter** 21:23 quarterly 150:7 question 18:3 20:21 63:23 64:17 65:2 97:7 113:18 131:*1* 137:*7* 149:*6* questioned 111:8 121:2*1* questioning 120:19 **questions** 25:4 52:7 58:12, 17 100:18 115:12 116:10 155:*1* 158:*3* 160:*3* 185:6, 11 quick 166:16, 24 **quiet** 161:25 quit 43:22 135:3 **quite** 61:11 quote 129:12 131:3, 4 138:9, 11 153:15

< R > **Rachel** 69:25 raise 78:17, 25 88:11 raised 58:12 73:15 160:2 raising 78:21 88:13 **raked** 124:9 **ramped** 33:23 ran 162:*12* rate 17:17, 18 18:2, *18* 46:22 49:*14* 51:1 60:19 61:14 88:14 92:10 109:22, *25* 110:*15*, *21* 145:*6* 150:16

ratepayers 47:17 126:*13* rates 18:2 47:22 48:1, 2 50:4 60:21, *25* 105:*14* 112:*5*, *20* 113:5, 11, 12 131:15 142:14 144:25 168:21, 24 170:16 ratio 55:10 **rational** 81:15 reach 115:7 179:19, 20 180:4 reached 179:21, 22 180:17 **reactor** 136:5 **read** 52:6 56:4 106:21 107:20 115:10 153:11 173:18, 20 179:3 187:11 real 78:8 93:18 112:21 124:6 137:22 148:21 realistic 96:3 reality 128:17 **realized** 181:14, 18 really 11:2 24:25 32:12 52:7 58:17 63:13, 17 75:5 107:18 118:7 121:1, *18* 124:*15*, *23* 137:*7* 140:2 144:10 147:11 152:14 155:3 163:11 164:12 166:16 181:14, 18 **realm** 146:21 147:15 Realtime 1:22 187:24 reason 12:24 25:11 49:4 93:18 125:12 165:9. *13* reasonable 34:1 168:*14* **reasons** 34:20 162:21 165:15 re-budgeting 95:18,

recall 11:7 44:1 68:17, 21 69:24 83:17 109:18 163:2 180:18 182:21 receive 26:5, 9 84:13 152:24 receiving 152:19, 23 recess 105:21 recognize 69:5 recollection 25:1 recommend 164:1 reconciled 33:13 record 5:14, 22 6:25 52:6 79:18 162:14, 17 187:9 recorded 187:7 **recording** 108:*10* **records** 56:7, 8 82:16, 17, 21, 23 140:9 recoverable 43:6 **recovered** 145:1, 2 recovery 110:18, 20 142:9 170:20, 22 recreate 85:1 **red** 54:13 **redid** 97:20 redline 123:8 re-evaluated 122:21 **refer** 19:7 81:9, 12, 14 reference 134:20 139:16 156:22 referenced 136:11 154:*11* referred 184:2 **referring** 135:*16* 136:8 137:3 157:6 **reflect** 108:20 reformatted 69:13 **Refuse** 157:1 **regard** 35:5 89:20 114:2 regarding 20:16 register 159:22 Registered 1:22 187:23, 24 regular 12:19 35:19

regulation 131:15

22 182:19

recalculate 97:14

regulatory 62:20 126:*11* reimbursements 104:12 **related** 26:5, 10, 14, 16 36:17 65:15 86:9 132:17 150:21 162:25 179:14 183:12 187:16 relating 20:8 **relation** 129:15 132:5 relationship 13:12 18:6 60:23 114:16, *25* 134:24 135:*18* 137:5 relationships 38:1 **relative** 149:16 release 111:22 relevant 84:16 86:7 **relied** 76:11 **rely** 62:2 remanded 124:3 remember 12:22 24:19 25:15 30:22, 23 36:10 56:1 58:21 59:17 68:20, 24 70:8 72:14 78:*15* 98:*16* 109:*11* 130:8 144:14, 17 154:18 155:8 163:21 164:9 165:24 166:10 174:*18* 180:9, *21* remind 6:9 report 44:14 45:2 121:14 133:6 179:3 **REPORTED** 1:18 44:19, 22 45:3 60:4 175:16 **Reporter** 1:22, 22 5:18 15:2 106:18 135:*12* 184:*1* 187:*1*. 23, 24, 24 reporting 45:6 reports 36:25 37:1. 3, 4 57:17, 18, 22 104:3, 6, 25 representative 12:11

31:20 87:24 113:22 represented 167:13 representing 101:4 represents 71:9 reprimanded 53:3 96:15 97:5 **request** 106:5, 8 185:9 requested 35:5 185:7 requesting 129:22, 24 requests 130:3 **require** 5:12 19:22 rescheduling 185:13 research 87:1 resign 46:1 49:5 84:12 **resigned** 7:6 46:3 49:*1* resource 28:5 respect 27:20 64:24 77:10 84:22 110:22 132:21 160:20 171:5 184:5 **respond** 61:*16* 124:2 130:7 172:2 responding 130:3 response 34:8 106:8 178:5 responsibilities 40:13 114:1 responsibility 45:15 92:18, 23 103:11 114:4 128:25 129:2 135:21 responsible 31:22 35:8 130:2 **restated** 70:11 restroom 6:2 result 91:12 114:25 results 165:5 retarded 97:4 **retire** 7:5 144:6 **retired** 7:4, 5 8:9, 11 44:22 59:8 93:15 118:19 135:5 retirement 119:19 **return** 18:19 145:5

returned 82:22 reveal 63:24 64:20 revenue 112:11.19 113:2 151:7, 9, 24, *25* 168:*10*, *22* 169:*7* review 42:22, 23, 25 69:4 104:24 105:12 110:18 112:1 128:19 142:4 166:12, 15 reviewed 23:19 **reviewing** 27:2, 16 31:5 **reviews** 29:10 166:16 **revised** 48:1 61:24, 25 62:4 71:8 77:11 147:5 revising 92:19 revision 92:9 RICHARDSON 3:1, *5* 107:25 184:*13*, 23 RICHLAND 1:2 **rid** 77:24 ridiculous 47:15 **right** 5:8, 9 6:22, 23 7:22 18:8 22:6 30:18 35:16 42:1 43:24 45:21, 21 49:3 50:21 51:21 52:22 55:3 59:23 62:9, 10 63:8 64:22 67:9 68:16 72:23 75:19 76:24 80:10, *16* 81:3 83:20 89:22 90:16 91:10 92:1 96:4 97:16, 21, 23, 24 99:18 100:19. 22 104:8 105:24 106:23 108:25 113:14 122:2, 3 127:7 128:10, 21 129:10 130:25 133:9, 16 134:17, 19 136:6 137:10 140:4 143:14 146:24 147:2 153:*13* 159:*4* 161:14 167:18 170:21, 23 175:6

184:*14* **right-hand** 121:25 risk 22:13 28:14, 14, 15 29:1, 9 39:22 142:3, 6 148:18, 22 158:7, 12 159:3, 22, 22 risks 158:9, 16, 25 160:11, 13 **RMR** 1:18 187:23 roads 145:9 **Robinson** 69:25 **Rock** 3:16 **role** 40:12 103:11 119:*3* 131:*14* 183:23 **rolled** 168:23 rolling 23:12 168:21 **Ron** 59:22, 24 77:6 86:23, 24 90:20 91:1 93:7 94:6, 8 95:3, 11, 24 96:8 97:22 159:21, 23 roof 52:22 **room** 51:14 99:25 131:22 138:10 139:14 159:11 184:22 rough 68:1 72:16 100:4, 7 roughhouse 99:14 roughly 75:24 88:17 rouse 47:13 **routine** 13:*1* **RPR** 1:18 187:23 rules 5:12, 13, 17 run 175:18 running 34:6 87:18 **Rusty** 25:19 < S >

<S>
safe 83:6
salaries 169:2
salary 22:8, 9, 13, 14
152:11, 11
sample 103:4, 6
Santee 12:9, 11
35:12 36:14 87:24
88:4, 5 106:5, 9
108:8 109:23 111:1

113:23 114:7 115:8, *21* 127:10 129:11, 14, 21, 24 131:2 157:10 161:18 Sarbanes-Oxlev 133:16 173:22 sat 49:22 181:20 save 184:25 saw 21:21 47:11 63:16 75:10 121:15 122:15 140:8 171:4. *4*, *7* 172:*15* 173:*1*, *7* 175:21 177:10 saying 50:8 52:17 98:23 104:9 133:*1*, 2 149:12 158:15 171:24 174:4 177:20, 22 178:2 179:8, 9 says 95:25 120:10 121:18 say-so 23:22, 25 **SC** 1:24 3:6, 9, 16, 19 **SCANA** 3:22 7:6 8:6, 24 10:21, 22 11:1, 2, 4, 18, 21 13:4, 5, 19, 21, 25 14:13, 21, 24 15:10, 18 16:16, 17, 19 17:21 18:5, 10, 10, 25 20:17, 17 21:1, 8, 24 22:3, 5 28:25 29:13 30:20 31:7 36:6, 13, 17 38:22 41:25 43:25 46:1, 2, 5 47:16 55:16, 21 59:7. 8 60:24. 25 61:4, 9 62:14 63:24 64:2, 19 66:15, 16 70:5 71:14, 22 72:25 75:9 80:7 82:1, 9 90:13 94:16, 19 99:19 101:4 105:6 110:3, 16, 21 114:10 116:16 117:11 119:4, 6, 9 127:11, 23 128:8 130:3 131:4, 6 135:2 141:2*1*

142:25 147:25 148:19 149:8, 15, 17 157:2 170:7, 17, 25 171:2 173:8 175:25 176:17 179:12 184:6 185:4 scana.com 12:5, 12 153:10 **SCANA's** 13:*12* 64:18 110:13, 14 183:23 **scandal** 30:14 **SCE** 9:7 10:13 12:18 13:4, 5, 12, 13, *15*, *16*, *20* 14:4, 6, 7, 20, 23 15:11, 12, 13, *16, 17* 17:*10* 18:*13* 20:18 21:8, 24 28:25 31:9, 10 36:17 38:23 49:1, 4 53:24 60:2 66:17 72:25 85:3, 25 87:22 88:2, 9, 24 90:13 93:12 109:23, *25* 110:2, *25* 127:*11* 129:20, 22 131:4, 5 141:21 142:25 143:9 157:18 170:17 185:4 **schedule** 55:5, 8 61:25 62:5 76:13 142:18, 22 143:1 schedules 76:15 schmuck 74:12 **scientific** 103:*3*, *6* scream 96:19, 22 screw 156:11 176:20 **screwed** 127:10 129:12, 15 131:3 134:11 162:19 176:25 screws 164:16 177:1 **seal** 187:18 **search** 36:19 65:15 163:16, 18 searches 40:22 163:25 searching 43:9

seasonal 105:*16* **seat** 95:12 97:3 **SEC** 56:4 57:14, 16 85:18 128:15 132:23 133:10 154:11 180:3 **second** 19:15, 16 106:10 166:24 secretary 14:15 **secure** 148:*3* **secured** 32:20, 24 **Securities** 183:*12* **security** 14:18 see 32:24 39:2, 2, 8 46:18, 19 48:20 52:25 56:5, 8 63:14 64:23 68:1 70:17, *21* 73:4 75:5 81:*1* 101:18 108:1 119:8 122:4, 5 140:8 145:9 148:2*1* 150:*3*, 5 151:5 152:18 159:*13* 160:2 169:6 173:21 185:23 seeing 123:18 178:2 seek 34:4 seeking 64:9 seen 7:12 19:4 42:23 46:20 111:7 125:6 140:*10* 154:*1* **sell** 146:5 **selling** 151:10, 14 **SEMI** 15:22 17:9 **S-E-M-I** 15:22 **SEMI-GAS** 15:19, 20 send 12:25 122:17 **sends** 99:5 **senior** 10:12 14:19 20:25 23:2, 18 24:5, 7 30:11 34:11 35:25 36:6 67:4 70:4 73:3 90:5 104:23 121:17 137:23 160:6 168:2 sense 27:14 53:9 sensitive 123:24 **sent** 82:21, 24 91:5 98:22 106:4 116:9

122:13 **sentence** 106:24 separate 15:12 sequence 128:6 **series** 185:5 seriously 177:15 serve 9:1 **served** 181:24 **service** 10:23 19:11 46:23 60:14, 16 61:5 126:9 143:10 serviced 33:1 **Services** 11:2, 4 13:13, 19, 20, 21, 25 14:10, 13 16:17, 17, 19, 24 17:21 18:5, 10 20:18 22:5 31:7 66:16 94:16, 20 173:8 servicing 151:11 session 155:2 set 22:22 23:2 26:18 37:16 88:11 100:1 112:13 144:25 161:*1* 165:25 setting 6:12 60:24 settled 34:11 178:21 seven 24:8 159:2 severance 82:2 83:14 **Shakes** 183:19 **Shannon** 45:14 **share** 63:18 141:10, *11, 14* 143:*17* 150:24 151:2 156:8 shared 29:17 63:15, 20 72:24 73:2 164:20, 24 176:6 shares 152:24 **She'd** 122:24 sheer 99:24 **sheet** 34:6 144:21 **sheets** 17:4, 5, 6 28:8 **she'll** 136:22 **Sheri** 41:24, 24 45:10, 11

Shield 163:20, 23

164:11 **shift** 22:2 84:5 **ship** 166:23 **Shirley** 29:25 30:19, 24 35:10 40:19 45:7 67:22, 23, 24 172:13 **Shirley's** 37:19 **shit** 124:5 125:1 **shocked** 39:10 shooting 6:21 **shop** 27:15 33:4 114:6 **short** 105:19 shortage 147:6 **short-pay** 165:*17* **short-term** 22:15, 19 41:11 119:14 **show** 80:6 90:23 181:*11* **showed** 50:20 142:21 180:20 181:2 **shut** 58:15 63:7 sick 156:16 sickos 156:20 **side** 32:4 94:21 150:*11* sifting 27:8 sign 12:25 82:1 110:6 187:14 **signature** 187:11, 14 **signed** 81:24 93:16 110:4, 7 123:21 132:24 158:16 significantly 54:23 **signing** 10:19 68:2 112:23 148:12 similar 55:5, 7 172:*11* similarly 1:4 simple 27:14 simply 74:21 **single** 42:6 sit 32:19 104:23 site 12:11 19:14 20:2 21:12, 14 26:6, 11 27:24 28:17, 21 29:5 37:23 38:25

39:2 42:24 111:19. 22 113:22 **sitting** 159:4 situated 1:5 situation 68:8 123:19 six 8:20 24:8 40:14 47:9 51:15 125:24 166:19 168:2 177:1, 13 size 147:25 149:8, 14, 16, 17 **sized** 19:*14* **skip** 65:2 100:3 172:15, 15 slang 172:18 slaughterhouse 101:2 **SLED** 179:16 180:1, 2, 6 182:9 183:5, 7, 21 **slides** 58:4, 6 **slit** 124:25 **small** 166:18 **smaller** 15:19 118:9 **smartest** 131:21 138:10 139:13 **Smith** 100:3 172:15 so-and-so 25:14 180:19 **sold** 15:21 16:4, 9 137:9 **solicit** 124:19 somebody 22:16 25:15 28:11, 16 30:10 32:18 61:8 74:5 76:18 77:7 92:20 94:19 95:20 105:4, 16 121:16, 22, 24 129:3 155:3 157:13 168:6 174:9, 12, 14 180:18 183:4 somebody's 123:1 something's 134:3 **somewhat** 146:20 soon 133:24 sorry 36:14 38:19 72:7 79:10 80:1

135:*13*

sort 13:2 35:2.19 65:14 82:2 134:20 sounds 37:13 77:13 **source** 42:20 **SOUTH** 1:1, 8, 8, 18 9:15, 24, 25 10:3 15:25 16:2, 3, 7 53:16 60:13, 16 61:5 139:18 154:25 179:14 183:4, 17 187:1, 3, 19 **space** 19:20, 23 21:16 SPALDING 3:9 **speak** 81:18 speaking 14:7 175:20 **speared** 175:*17* **special** 46:13 47:10 154:5 specific 14:9 153:23 154:8 163:3 specifically 132:19 speculating 122:7 **speechless** 125:14, 15 **spell** 15:4 38:17 **spend** 71:2, 3 141:24 **spent** 17:8, 9, 9, 10 28:4 48:3, 5 70:24 113:3 127:2, 3 142:8, 11 **Speth** 86:1, 4 **S-P-E-T-H** 86:4 **spirit** 124:11 125:19 **spoken** 114:20 **spread** 146:16 staff 13:20 23:2. 18 24:5 39:12 70:4 126:*11* **stand** 52:9 121:22 156:18 158:1 173:2 standpoint 67:18 107:19 start 39:12, 18 46:18 102:25 113:18 118:5 120:12, 19, 22 134:2 151:22

started 5:10 10:14 24:23 32:3 44:20 52:11, 12 88:10 118:5, 24 starts 115:14 122:3 start-up 111:16 172:9 **STATE** 1:1, 8 187:*1*, *3* **stated** 129:11 187:6 **statement** 115:11 127:8 132:6 138:9 156:21 173:25 statements 23:8 124:19, 20 132:20 133:13 station 33:7 **statistics** 136:*15* status 135:6 stay 38:8 136:2 151:19 **stayed** 11:13 stays 75:2, 8 **steal** 53:15 **steep** 84:1 steer 57:24 **stemming** 177:23 stenographically 187:8 **step** 70:1 **Steve** 24:17 49:9 73:1 130:4, 20, 21 131:9, 19 134:20, 23 135:22 138:25 150:5 165:11 168:4 **Steve's** 76:23 134:2*1* stick 125:22 **stiff** 150:10 stint 59:15 stock 23:4 26:1 120:11 127:22 128:1 137:10 141:1, 1, 7, 9, 14 148:7 150:24 152:19, 25 153:3 169:18 stockholders 145:7 **Stone** 39:16 stop 107:4 108:1, 2 185:8

stories 93:21, 25 98:9 story 87:9 **straight** 18:21 38:6 strategy 50:25 141:15, 20 143:7, 16 **streak** 174:8 stream 113:2 151:7, 9, 24, 25 169:8 streams 168:10 **Street** 3:13, 15 124:17 169:21 170:6 **Street's** 169:*19* stress 52:22 175:23 176:18 stressed 53:1 178:16 **strictly** 15:23 16:23 **stripped** 176:*3* **STROM** 3:6 structure 23:17 structured 22:8 struggling 164:12 **stuff** 17:2 52:7 119:21 140:8 153:5 162:13 163:12 174:14 **subject** 143:*3* 185:16, 19 submitted 77:4 subpoena 64:6 83:19 181:24, 25 subpoenaed 7:18 82:7 **subscribe** 187:*11* subsidiaries 14:11 15:19 subsidiary 15:18 16:4 substance 64:17 102:7 substancewise 63:19 success 22:24 successful 94:14 120:7 167:2, 9 **sudden** 139:23 168:22 **sue** 161:9

suggest 65:1 103:18 184:21 **Summer** 19:5 20:7, 11 21:10 26:4, 8 40:12 180:15, 16 183:22 sun 98:6 **Sunset** 3:19 **super** 174:22 supervisor 10:10 supervisory 44:12 supplement 13:20 supplemental 119:18 supplemented 14:1 **supplied** 80:17 supplies 91:22 **supply** 66:5 **support** 13:21 22:24 79:15 128:14 157:25 171:21, 24 172:1 173:16 supported 34:12 166:17 supporting 79:22 supportive 114:17 165:19 173:12 **supports** 79:20 112:10 **supposed** 17:5, 12 20:24 43:4 54:9 60:18 76:3 81:17 94:20 101:3 111:18 147:4 supposedly 27:10 34:15 **sure** 23:19 28:5, 8 43:7 65:22 87:20 106:21 123:13 142:20 156:13 164:24 174:19 177:18 **surprise** 46:10 **surprised** 149:*18* suspicious 31:15 sustainability 60:20 **SWAG** 98:6 Swan 38:21, 22 S-W-A-N 38:21

swipe 33:2, 9

swiped 33:5 **switched** 43:*15* sworn 5:4 6:6 187:4 symptomatic 102:21, 23 system 12:3, 10 22:17 37:16 141:17 146:*14* systems 98:2 <T> **tab** 34:6 **table** 95:12 97:3 tag 50:13 take 6:1, 4 10:14 31:15 35:25 40:2 45:17, 20 50:8 51:13 55:17, 20 58:16, 24 65:4 96:5 105:19 115:25 131:23 140:16, 17 144:24 145:7 147:25 159:21 162:5 184:7 taken 5:11 40:12 94:11 105:21 148:8 154:19 158:17 162:13 184:9, 17 187:6 takes 56:22, 24 57:11 talk 16:16 18:22 46:7 50:25 59:1 60:6 77:1 82:7, 8 83:19 84:7, 8 93:25 117:7, 16 143:6, 15 155:3 156:4, 10 161:25 164:7 172:10 176:11 184:*1* talked 13:2, 7 15:1 32:14 41:1 76:24 88:1 101:20 128:11 140:*14* 154:2*1* 163:8 168:3, 11 182:25 184:12 talking 5:25 26:21 36:21 42:14 54:25 77:12 95:21 102:17,

25 131:6 144:19 149:7 153:20 155:9 164:19 167:25 171:*1* 180:*1* tanks 32:24 target 27:25 28:1 48:5 **TAYLOR** 3:18 teacher 154:21 team 13:21 14:1, 2 22:22 29:16, 20, 23 32:6 37:3, 6, 10, 19, 22 49:18, 18, 22 51:3 54:15 65:10 66:10 74:21 75:5 80:7 92:21 94:25 100:17 102:15 158:5 166:18 172:9, 19 team's 66:24 103:10 technical 14:3, 8 technology 13:24 19:21 87:13 **Ted** 86:1, 4 tell 21:20, 21 22:10 25:5 34:17 41:16, 19, 22 43:21 46:5, 8 64:6, 23 69:10 80:23 81:1, 2, 20 82:13 97:8 115:23 120:20, 23 124:18 125:11, 16, 18, 19 126:4, 14 134:5, 9 138:16 139:5 154:10, 17 160:9 173:17 177:3 184:20 telling 71:14 93:21, 23 115:18, 21 116:5 118:14 124:25 128:14 134:2 139:5 160:12 171:21 173:13 178:18 tells 56:15 136:22 ten 68:10 123:10 tend 157:25 **tended** 14:14 **tenth** 17:6 **term** 46:13 83:12 98:8 172:18

terminated 46:2 49:*1* terminology 58:24 terms 40:23 83:17 84:6 165:23, 24 166:15, 21, 25 173:24 terribly 52:2 **TERRY** 3:5 test 24:24 148:23 180:13 184:7 **testified** 5:5 46:21 88:9 **testify** 51:23 62:7 84:17 88:15 187:4 testimony 6:7, 10 7:19 46:25 47:1 51:17, 18, 22 52:1, 4, 6 53:19 54:10, 17 61:4, 8, 10, 13, 20, 23 62:13, 16 63:3, 12 64:10 65:11, 16, 21 66:21 67:5, 12, 20, 21 68:1, 23 69:15 72:21 76:4, 14, 17, 23, 25 77:1, 3, 9 78:4 80:2 86:8, 12 88:17 92:15 118:6 119:*1* 178:*1* 187:*6*, thank 158:3 thereof 187:17 thing 19:8 25:7 38:4 56:3 84:17 111:12 120:14, 18 122:13 125:16, 18, 20 126:13, 20 139:20 140:10 148:9 159:6 160:2*1* 161:7 165:4, 5 things 24:22 35:20, 23 40:6 42:11 43:2 52:11 53:5 67:24 74:19 91:18 92:5 94:3 98:4 101:10, *12* 104:*1* 118:*13* 132:14 158:24 171:4 174:24 think 5:24 8:20 9:2, 11 11:12, 13, 14

14:17 18:18 23:18 25:9 34:2 35:6 39:5, 16 40:14, 15 42:10 44:4 45:21, 22 52:8 54:20 55:14, 22 57:23 58:1 59:10, 22 61:15 62:23 63:2, 4 72:17 73:17 75:17 77:6, 8 78:10 81:15, 18 84:1, 2 86:4 88:13, 13, 18 92:16 95:10 98:8 104:8 109:7 113:5 116:13, 21, 21 117:4, 6, 17 118:12, 22, 23 121:2 122:15 129:3 130:18, 19 131:21 136:16 138:3, 9 139:4 152:21, 21 153:1, 1, 2, 10 154:10, 12, 22 157:10, 12, 18 160:18 161:6, 14, 23, 24 165:22 166:22 167:4, 4, 7, 10 170:11, 22 172:4, 11, 21, 25 173:6, 20 174:3 175:15 176:21 178:23 179:7 180:*15* 181:21, 23 182:2, 14, 24 183:6 **thinking** 79:16 109:15 173:5 thinks 131:18 **thought** 47:13 50:20 53:8, 20 79:20 103:8 114:21, 22 116:5 121:4 122:10 123:23 138:15 142:16 149:22 154:*14* 155:6 158:25 159:16 181:8 **thousands** 27:6, 6 36:18 42:18 102:25 threatened 99:2

three 16:5 45:6 46:15 77:7 101:8 104:4 three-year 23:12 throat 98:19 99:1 **Thumb** 4:8 tie 143:16 **tied** 22:20 127:25 141:9 143:12 ties 79:23 **tight** 87:18 **TIME** 1:15 6:21 11:11 17:4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 9, 11, 12, 14 18:9, 10 24:11 25:8, 15 26:3, 8 28:8, 17 31:23 35:19 39:23 43:14, 18 44:8, 13, 14, 20 45:5 48:20 50:25 51:2 56:24 57:8, 12 58:12 72:1 76:2, 6, 8 78:4 85:20 90:7 91:2, 4 98:1 109:5 114:9 116:2 118:3, 3 120:24 124:2 129:13 133:21 135:6 137:1, 24 142:15 153:18 154:4 155:1, 12 159:13 166:13 170:24 175:3 179:11 184:16, 21 187:6, 7, 10 timeline 8:15 68:14 134:*14* timelines 85:11, 13 86:5 times 29:20 37:19 57:8, 11 104:5 128:10 **Timmerman** 24:*15* 39:1 44:24 86:22 91:5 title 18:24 44:7 **titled** 41:6, 7 54:5 titles 36:22 92:8 today 5:18 6:1, 7, 10, 15, 15, 17, 22

77:13 81:6 85:24 117:16 128:10 171:1, 24 173:13 178:1, 23 183:20 184:*13* 185:*5* told 21:22 22:4 24:23 25:7 32:17 38:5 39:1 47:7 58:16 74:19, 20 87:10 95:2, 8 96:4, 20 116:1 122:12 123:14, 19 131:25 132:1, 5 133:6, 7 136:2 160:3 tongue 25:17 tooth 33:17 top 24:7 topic 43:24 98:9 126:3 179:11 **topics** 62:6 **tore** 177:8 total 51:13 68:5 71:8, 10, 11 77:11 148:9 totally 54:10 119:25 touch 123:12 126:2 **touched** 62:11 76:1 162:3 tour 21:18 trace 34:23 track 53:25 tradition 112:2 trailer 29:2 trained 70:9 **training** 91:18 98:2 transactions 103:14, 15 transcribed 187:8 **transcript** 185:18, 24, 25 **Transcription** 4:7 187:8 transmission 15:22, 25 16:8 45:15, 17, 24 70:18 71:6 trash 53:14 treat 175:1 **treated** 34:16 185:25

7:18 8:1 25:1

tremendous 149:16 **tried** 91:21 tries 94:20 triggered 25:24 109:19 153:24 triggering 26:17 **troubling** 176:*13* trucks 29:4 **true** 187:9 trust 132:12 **trusted** 53:11 **truth** 127:9, 12, 14 128:3 134:3, 5 139:25 187:5, 5, 5 trv 6:14 33:20 34:3 36:15 39:21 58:3 84:25 85:1 88:22 92:6 97:1, 23 103:18, 23 110:6 119:23 132:2 133:11 153:14 155:4 160:17 162:23 **trying** 8:15 11:25 12:2 13:3, 7 14:17 18:5 20:10, 14, 15 21:13 24:18 26:19 28:5, 8 30:22 32:6 34:21 40:5, 22 44:25 52:25 70:8 87:13 88:25 91:23 94:11, 23 95:17 96:*1* 100:9 101:*11* 108:3 117:22 124:18 127:21 139:22 143:8 163:21 164:14, 15 171:22 172:17 **turbine** 87:19 turn 98:18 129:1 168:19 178:11, 19 turned 162:12 178:*11*, *17* turning 120:12 twice 150:6 **two** 10:4 19:14, 21. 25 22:13, 20 32:2 37:14 38:11, 12, 15 39:6 52:13 53:14 56:24 61:14 62:6

79:20, 25 105:12 117:3, 4 124:3 129:4 143:23 148:15 155:9, 15 169:7, 8, 13 182:9 type 29:15 34:25 57:24 101:12 169:1 typed 106:19 types 28:24 89:1, 11 < U > uh-huh 5:21 12:17

30:18 41:3 43:12 44:2 53:22 62:18 69:7 70:6 75:12, 25 76:5 80:4, 14 82:3 83:13, 16 88:3 89:3, 12 91:20 92:16 94:2 103:16 108:13, *16* 116:*18* 119:*5* 132:25 136:6 143:21 150:19, 25 162:18 163:19 167:16 170:18, 18 **Uhm** 156:*3* **uh-uh** 5:22 65:13 129:9 135:10 157:25 183:10, 19 184:8, 10 ultimately 66:13 70:3 umbrella 11:1 **unable** 117:7 uncomfortable 46:24 underlie 67:21 undermining 94:10 underneath 45:3 underperformed 167:6 understand 18:5 50:19 53:5 64:16 84:10 104:8 133:20 136:*1* 138:*4* 160:22 165:16 174:3 177:17 185:6 understanding 5:11 6:11, 20 12:3 27:19

60:13 73:25 90:11,

140:16 142:5 143:7

17 114:*1* 119:24

146:23 160:18 184:12 understood 54:11 88:23 110:25 116:7 undertaken 149:8 underway 120:9 unfamiliar 22:17 unfortunately 30:5, **Unit** 14:5 19:10, 11, 13, 15, 17, 25 20:4 45:13 78:2 86:25 136:2, *3*, *4* 174:*17*, 20 **Units** 19:9, 14, 25 20:1, 4, 17 26:4 45:18 **unpaid** 84:*3* unquote 129:12 untrue 133:20 unusual 170:*11* **update** 35:22 **updated** 4:6 69:13 70:11 **upfront** 126:24 **upset** 63:20 157:18 **upside** 133:7 upstanding 74:8 use 6:2 11:16 12:20 27:1 33:2 85:16 167:19 **users** 16:1 usually 32:2, 11 utilities 148:12 **utility** 13:15 14:8 60:20 143:10, 19, 19 148:19 154:25

various 41:5 180:3

VC 19:5 20:7, 11

21:9 26:4, 8 40:12

183:22

vehicles 32:13, 15, 20

vendor 105:7

vendors 98:12

99:16 100:16

103:12

verbalize 5:20

verify 106:19 107:22 verse 172:19 versus 20:17, 18 87:*19* 93:*3* 180:*1* Vice 8:12, 18 10:17 44:9 45:*1* 91:*3*, *6* 121:17 view 38:12 39:4 viewpoint 119:3 views 164:21 vindicated 178:25 violate 84:2 **violated** 154:12 violation 83:22 visit 38:25 **Vogtle** 167:9 **voice** 4:7, 8 96:20, 24 97:8, 12 106:10, 11, 12 107:5, 14 108:20, 23 115:13 127:7 134:19 171:19 184:2 186:9 **volume** 99:24 **VP** 8:20 58:15, 19 59:6 60:1 124:22 157:10 159:4 161:*11* **VPs** 128:22 **vs** 1:7

< W > wait 9:16 151:21 waive 64:2 waived 187:14 walk 33:4 183:2 walked 50:16 117:6 125:25 **WALKER** 1:3, 12 3:24 5:3, 8 7:1 40:5 69:2 105:24 108:11 176:15 178:*13* 180:*11*, *12* 181:4 **walking** 109:*16* walks 95:25 **Wall** 169:19, 21 170:6 **walls** 163:23 164:12, 14, 16

want 7:23 9:11 22:2 34:18 36:24 43:7 44:11 46:10 48:19 57:20 59:1 60:10 62:12 73:20 81:18, 21 83:2 90:9, 10 94:14 99:10, 11 105:10 106:1, 20 107:18 117:13 119:23 120:14 125:4, 22 127:8, 10 129:*11* 131:2 132:*1* 138:5, 22 149:19 153:14 155:4 156:3, 9 157:5 158:13, 17, 20 160:17 162:14 165:11, 12, 17 167:20 173:23, 25 176:8 177:17 **wanted** 16:10 31:14 35:2 36:19 53:23 58:13 65:14 73:4, 13 81:8, 10 82:17, 24 85:17 99:10 105:3, 8 115:16 128:12 162:13 wants 7:10 165:1 war 93:21, 25 98:9, 11 watch 32:19 175:11 watched 47:5 74:15 watchful 117:5 water 6:3 93:17 124:6 watered 146:13 way 20:20 32:25 34:1 75:17 81:14 93:19 97:23 107:16 118:11 126:8 134:13 146:12 157:16 160:25 163:*7* 173:*15* 174:10 175:1 177:19, 25 wavs 143:23 wear 117:5 weather 169:9 **Webster** 39:16 **WEC** 39:7 79:9

weeks 47:9 51:15. *15* 52:*13* 125:*24* 129:4 weight 52:12, 12 178:15 Well 12:9 13:15 18:20 20:21 24:13 27:22 29:16 30:4 32:18 33:21 37:7 38:12 43:17, 23 45:7 46:7 49:13 51:6, 8 56:6, 15 57:3 60:18 61:10 70:16 71:10 77:15 82:5, 16 83:2 94:5 95:5 98:14 104:13, 18, 20 106:17 108:4 112:8 118:25 120:*19*, *23* 121:*21* 122:5 123:4, 5, 7 125:9, 11 126:10 131:12 133:14 137:13 140:14 141:15 144:6, 9, 16, 23 145:12, 20 146:20 147:7 148:2 150:22 152:17 155:4 159:11 161:9 165:6 167:4 169:5. 23 171:16 174:7 176:10, 20, 25 177:16 178:10 179:25 183:5, 20 186:5 went 19:18 24:13 32:23 35:20 38:6, 10, 24, 25 39:2 43:18 45:23 46:7, 18 48:20 49:13, 16, 21 50:15 51:12, 21 52:2, 5 59:16 92:15 93:6 95:1, 9 96:25 101:24 102:*1* 112:25 113:5, 12 124:13 137:18 140:6 150:*13* 155:24 156:6 158:7 177:6 **we're** 6:21 10:19 12:2, 2 18:22 20:*14*

24:25 42:14 48:17 54:25 70:13 77:12 89:13 102:17 120:19 126:2, 3 139:15 144:11, 21 145:22 146:1, 25 147:8 157:2 162:23 184:17, 19 185:9 West 3:19 WESTBROOK 3:1 Westinghouse 27:5 31:21 42:2 50:8, 12 53:21 57:21 59:7 73:22 77:16 79:10, *17* 98:*15*, *17* 99:*20* 111:4 127:5 158:20 159:2 160:6 161:4 163:13 165:1 168:12 Westinghouse's 47:*19* 111:*14* 112:16 126:22 we've 12:1 13:6 19:4 33:22 36:16 62:11 75:19 76:24 83:9 85:7 88:1 95:*3* 96:*4*, 7 105:*18* 128:11 145:21 162:3 170:25 184:15, 22 whichever 31:21 white 95:20 120:23 Wicker 41:24, 25 45:10 wife 176:20 wilderness 171:20 **willing** 148:7 Willoughby 62:19, *23* 63:3, 6 64:*13* **winter** 67:*1* wished 111:*13* witch 124:16 withhold 165:18, 25 withholding 165:9 within-entitled 187:5 WITNESS 3:17 7:15 13:6 24:18 52:9 63:24 64:1, 15 76:11 96:11, 17 180:9 185:6, 13

187:4, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 18 witnesses 164:5 **wondered** 139:*14* word 40:21 65:14 163:16, 18 175:25 words 82:14 90:10 100:16 167:12 work 6:17 11:17 17:17, 20, 24 18:11, 14, 17 26:10 29:15, 20, 24 30:21 31:4, 6 35:21 39:20 47:1 51:20 55:11, 17 64:24 67:7, 8 70:2 78:15 79:14 94:25 97:17 101:6 102:3, 9, 14, 15 115:1 116:*3*, *25* 125:*1* 135:2 158:19, 22 159:*19* 160:*8*, *10* 178:3 184:6 workaround 77:19 **worked** 11:4, 8 12:*3* 16:*6* 27:*10* 37:17 64:21 88:1 117:3, 4 175:4, 9 **working** 17:*15* 37:14 55:10 80:8 95:17 97:10 117:2 118:25 159:5, 23 works 62:21 107:9 135:24 **worried** 52:20 worrying 52:11 worst 47:16 160:10 worth 145:25 146:2 **wow** 93:23 **wrap** 185:*3* **wrapped** 166:5 wrists 124:25 writing 15:2 80:24 89:13 151:20, 22 written 41:2 65:19 77:4 79:14, 14 81:2 92:7 157:23 165:19 182:*11*, *21* wrong 43:3 52:19, 21 93:7 122:6

160:14 173:14 174:10 wrote 51:16 63:3 123:23 WWW.CLARK-AS

WWW.CLARK-ASS OCIATES.COM

1:25

< Y > yard 56:21, 23, 25 **Yeah** 7:15 11:25 15:6 22:19 25:20 44:19 55:19 69:12 78:9, 25 79:18 80:1 81:7 83:23 95:2 101:22 111:9 120:5 129:*17* 136:*1* 138:20 139:10 143:2 147:23 148:20 152:21 153:*1* 155:*11* 157:22 161:5, 22 164:4, 18, 23 166:6 167:18 176:14 178:*15* 179:*17* 181:5 182:*14* 183:*6*, *6*, *7* 184:23 year 9:21 23:13, 15 26:19 47:3 48:23 52:14 70:20 88:17 104:19 105:1 109:9 112:7, 11 150:6 151:6, 8, 16, 16, 17 152:15 154:20 156:2, 14, 15 169:6, *13* 180:*15*, *16* **year-end** 26:1, 1 years 8:20 9:3, 12 10:4 16:5, 7 38:11 40:14 53:14 58:9 70:25 71:1 88:9 122:24 123:2, 10 155:*10*, *15* 174:*16* 177:*1* **yellow** 54:13 82:25 83:1, 4 85:6 182:16 **Yep** 117:*12*

you-all 53:19 96:1 115:18 156:11

158:*14* 164:2 **you-all's** 157:*4*

<Z>
zero 16:21, 24 145:2
zeroed 17:21
Ziegler 63:1 76:21
zombie 117:7

WORD LIST	2015 (28)	70s (1)	addition (1)
	2016 (12)	73129 (<i>1</i>)	additional (1)
< \$ >	2017 (3)	75 (1)	address (3)
\$1.2 (1)	2017-CP-40-04833	7th (1)	addressed (1)
\$100 (6)	(1)		addresses (2)
\$100,000 (<i>1</i>)	2018 (2)	< 8 >	adjust (1)
\$200 (1)	2024 (1)	800 (1)	adjusted (1)
\$25 (1)	2110 (1)	83 (2)	adjustment (1)
\$300 (1)	22 (1)	84 (1)	administration (3)
\$4.6 (1)	235 (1)	843-762-6294 (1)	advance (1)
\$400,000 (<i>1</i>)	24 (1)		advanced (1)
\$450,000 (1)	25 (2)	< 9 >	affixed (2)
\$5 (6)	29169 (1)	9:21 (1)	afternoon (1)
\$500 (3)	29204 (1)	90 (1)	$\mathbf{AFUDC} (1)$
\$60 (1)	29415 (<i>1</i>)		agenda (2)
\$698 (1)	29730 (1)	< A >	Agent (2)
\$8 (1)	29812 (1)	abandon (1)	agents (1)
\$9 (2)		abandoned (2)	ago (1)
\$9.6 (1)	< 3 >	ability (2)	agree (5)
	3 (15)	able (28)	agreed (14)
< 1 >	30 (5)	absence (1)	agreeing (1)
1 (31)	30309 (1)	absolutely (4)	agreement (9)
1.2 (<i>l</i>)	30th (2)	accepted (1)	ahead (5)
1:30 (2)	35 (2)	access (2)	alarm (1)
1:33 (<i>1</i>)		accomplish (1)	alligators (1)
10 (3)	<4>	account (1)	allow (1)
100 (9)	4 (4)	accountant (5)	allowed (4)
106 (<i>1</i>)	4.6 (1)	accountants (4)	almighty (3)
1180 (<i>I</i>)	40 (7)	accountant's (1)	alternatives (1)
12 (10)	47 (1)	accounting (11)	amount (13)
120 (<i>l</i>)		accurate (5)	amounts (8)
1368 (<i>1</i>)	< 5 >	accurately (1)	analysis (3)
15 (5)	5 (4)	achieve (1)	announced (1)
16 (6)	50 (5)	achieved (1)	annual (4)
17 (<i>l</i>)		achieving (1)	anomaly (1)
1700 (1)	< 6 >	acknowledge (1)	answer (3)
18 (<i>1</i>)	6 (7)	acknowledged (1)	answering (1)
186 (1)	6,547,124 (<i>1</i>)	acknowledging (1)	answers (1)
1st (1)	6.5 (1)	Act (3)	anybody (16)
	60 (1)	acted (2)	anymore (3)
<2>	600 (1)	action (1)	anyway (4)
2 (23)	64 (1)	actions (2)	apart (1)
20 (5)	69 (1)	activity (1)	apparently (1)
2000s (2)	698 (4)	actual (3)	appeal (2)
2005 (1)	_	actuals (1)	appeared (1)
2007 (1)	<7>	add (7)	APPEARING (4)
2010 (<i>1</i>)	7 (2)	added (4)	application (1)
2012 (5)	70 (2)	adding (2)	appreciate (1)
2014 (<i>1</i>)	700,000 (2)	Addison (24)	approach (3)

appropriate (2)
approval (2)
approve (4)
approved (6)
approximate (2)
approximately (2)
APRIL (4)
Archie (14)
area (6)
areas (3)
AREVA (1)
argue (1)
argued (1)
arguing (2)
argument (5)
army (1)
arose (1)
arrangements (1)
arrogance (1)
Arrogant (2)
articles (1)
artificially (1)
asked (9)
asking (10)
aspect (2)
aspects (1)
ass (2)
asset (1)
assets (1)
assigned (1)
ASSISTANT (5)
associated (5)
ASSOCIATES (1)
assume (1)
Atlanta (1)
attempt (1)
attendance (3)
attended (1)
attention (1)
attitude (1)
attorney (8)
attorney-client (3)
attorneys (6)
audio (4)
audit (25)
audited (3)
auditing (2)
auditor (3)
auditors (1)

```
audits (3)
augment (1)
August (1)
Augusta (1)
authority (6)
automatically (1)
availability (1)
availed (1)
aware (7)
awful (3)
awkward (1)
```

< B > **back** (61) background (1) **bad** (8) badgering (1) **bag** (1) balance (2) ballpark (1) BALSER (7) bang (1)bankrupt (1) Barnwell (1) **base** (6) **based** (19) baseline (1) baseload (2) bases (1) basically (6) basis (4) battle (1) battles (1) beach (1)bearing (1)bears (2)Bechtel (3) becoming (2) bedrock (1) beginning (3) behalf (6) belief (3)believe (44)

believed (3)

belongs (1)

Beltline (1)

beneficial (1)

Belton (2)

benefit (5)

benefits (6) berated (1) best (9) bet (4) better (7)

bet-the-company-type (1) beyond (2) biased (2) **big** (10) bigger (6) biggest (1)big-picture (1) **Bill** (12) billables (1) **billed** (7) **Billie** (1) billing (10)billings (5) billion (20) billions (1) bills (1)**bit** (10) **bite** (2) blackballed (1) BlackBerry (1)block (2) BLRA (4)

board (11) boasting (1)**body** (1)boils (2) **bold** (1) **Boling** (2) **B-O-L-I-N-G** (1) **bonus** (23) bonuses (10)books (4) borderline (1) bore (1)bored (2)borrow (1)boss (5)bosses (1)

bottom (1)

bought (3)

bounds (1)

Boulevard (2)

Box (5)boxes (1)break (7)breakdown (3) breaking (1)BRICKMAN (1) bridge (1) **briefed** (1) bring (7)bringing (4) BRLA (2) broke (2) broken (4) brought (8) Browne (13)Browne's (1)**BRYONY** (1)budget (11) budgeted (2) budgeting (1)**build** (14) **building** (6) building's (2) buildup (1) built (4) **bullshit** (1) **bumped** (1)bunch (4)burly (1)business (3) buying (1)**Byrne** (11) B-Y-R-N-E (1)

<C>cake (1)
calculate (2)
calculated (3)
calculating (1)
calculation (5)
calculations (2)
calendar (1)
call (10)
called (12)
calling (2)
calls (5)
capital (19)
car (1)
card (2)

cards (1)
care (2)
career (1)
CARLETTE (15)
CAROLINA (23)
carried (1)
carry (2)
cars (5)
Carter (3)
Case (11)
cases (1)
Casey (2)
\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{Y} (1)
Cash (9)
catch (1)
caught (1)
cause (3)
causing (2)
CB (15)
cell (1)
cents (2)
CEO (18)
certain (2)
certainly (2)
CERTIFICATE (1)
Certified (2)
certify (3)
CFO (10)
challenge (2)
Champion (1)
chance (3)
change (11)
changed (3) changes (3)
changing (1)
character (1)
characterize (1)
charge (13)
charged (2)
charges (2)
charging (1)
charging (1) Charles (3)
Charleston (5)
Charleston (5) Charlotte (2)
chart (1)
chase (1)
cheated (1)
check (3)
checking (2)

Deposition
Cherry (7)
chief (6)
choice (3)
choose (2)
church (1)
circled (1)
citizen (1)
clarification (1)
clarify (1)
CLARK (1)
Clary (9)
C-L-A-R-Y (1)
Clary's (1)
class (2)
clean (1) clear (2)
clearly (1)
CLECKLEY (1)
clerk (1)
climb (1)
climbed (1)
close (3)
closed (2)
closer (2)
cloth (2)
coal(1)
coal-burning (2)
$\mathbf{coals} (1)$
Coffer (2)
C-O-F-F-E-R (1)
coincidence (1)
cold (2)
collaborate (1)
collaboratively (1)
collected (1)
college (2)
color (1)
COLUMBIA (3)
column (1)
come (28)
comes (3)
comfortable (1)
coming (13)
command (2)
comment (3)
comments (4)
commission (9)
commissioner (1)

commissioners (1)

```
committee (2)
commodities (1)
COMMON (3)
communication (2)
communications (1)
companies (4)
COMPANY (48)
company's (2)
company-type (1)
compare (1)
compared (2)
comparison (1)
compensated (2)
compensation (7)
complaints (2)
complete (10)
completed (4)
completely (1)
completion (2)
compliance (7)
component (3)
components (2)
composure (3)
computer-aided (1)
concern (2)
concerns (9)
concluded (1)
conclusion (2)
concrete (6)
condition (3)
CONFIDENTIAL
(3)
confidentiality (2)
confrontation (1)
confrontational (3)
confrontations (1)
confused (1)
connection (1)
consider (2)
consideration (1)
considered (1)
consolidate (1)
consolidated (1)
consortium (8)
consortium-oriented
(1)
constructing (1)
construction (21)
consultant (2)
```

consultants (1) contact (2) containing (1)content (1) contents (1) contest (1) continue (6) continued (2) continues (4) contract (27) contracted (1) contractor (5) contractors (2) contracts (1) control (5) controller (9) controls (5) controversies (1) controversy (1) conversation (4) conversations (4) convoluted (2) **COO** (1) Cooper (21)cooperate (1) Cooper's (2) copied (1)**copy** (11) core (1)corporate (10) correct (14) corrections (1) correctly (1) cortisone (1) **cost** (32) cost-plus (8) **costs** (36) COUNSEL (6) count (1)counted (2) COUNTY (4) couple (5) Courier (1) Courier's (1) course (2) COURT (9) Courtney (2) cover (1)

covered (4)

CPA (3)	dates (3)	differently (1)	
CPE (2)	Dave (1)	$\begin{array}{c c} \mathbf{dig} & (1) \end{array}$	< E >
$\operatorname{craft} (3)$	DAVID (2)	direct (1)	earlier (8)
crappy (1)	day (9)	direction (1)	early (8)
crazy (6)	days (4)	directly (2)	earn (3)
created (3)	$\mathbf{deal} (3)$	directors (1)	earning (1)
Creating (1)	dealing (1)	disagreed (1)	earnings (16)
credit (2)	deathly (1)	disagreements (1)	easiest (1)
credited (1)	decide (1)	disappointing (1)	educate (2)
crew (1)	decided (4)	discovered (2)	educated (1)
criminal (2)	deciding (2)	discovery (1)	effect (7)
criteria (1)	decision (11)	discretion (3)	effort (2)
criticism (1)	decision-making (3)	discuss (1)	efforts (5)
criticisms (1)	decisions (6)	discussed (3)	eight (3)
criticize (1)	deck (1)	discussion (4)	either (7)
Crosby (6)	de-designated (1)	discussions (7)	elaborate (5)
crosswise (1)	deemed (1)	dishonest (2)	elected (1)
crowd (1)	Defendants (2)	disk (1)	ELECTRIC (4)
\mathbf{CRR} (2)	defray (1)	disposal (1)	electricity (6)
culture (1)	denote (1)	dispute (3)	electronic (1)
cumulative (1)	Denver (1)	disputed (15)	elements (1)
curious (1)	department (3)	disputes (3)	e-mail (13)
current (2)	departments (1)	disputing (2)	e-mailed (2)
currently (1)	DEPONENT (1)	distribution (4)	e-mails (5)
cuss (2)	depose (1)	division (2)	embracing (1)
cussed (1)	DEPOSITION (16)	document (7)	emergency (1)
customer (2)	depreciated (1)	documentary (3)	emotion (5)
customer-oriented	depth (1)	documentation (11)	emotional (2)
(1)	derogatory (1)	documented (2)	emotionally (3)
customers (15)	describe (1)	documents (24)	employed (3)
cut (6)	described (1)	doing (37)	employee (9)
cute (1)	description (2)		employees (19)
cut-off (1)	deserving (1)	dollars (6)	employing (1)
CWalker (1)	$\begin{array}{c c} \mathbf{design} & (3) \end{array}$	domain (1)	employment (11)
e wanter (1)	designate (1)	do-nothing (1)	ended (6)
CWalker@scana.com	designated (2)	$\mathbf{door} (5)$	endings (1)
(1)	despite (2)	double (3)	endless (1)
(1)	destruction (1)	doubling (3)	endurance (1)
<d></d>	detail (4)	doubt (1)	end-user (1)
damn (1)	details (3)	drafting (1)	enforcement (3)
damnedest (1)	detector (1)	drafts (3)	engage (2)
Dan (2)	determination (1)	drive (3)	engineer (1)
DANIEL (4)	determining (1)	drives (1)	engineering (7)
Daniels (1)	developed (2)	driveway (1)	engineers (4)
dare (2)	Development (2)	driving (5)	Enron (3)
data (2)	$\begin{array}{c c} \mathbf{died} & (I) \end{array}$	$\mathbf{due} \ (1)$	entered (1)
database (2)	$\begin{array}{c c} \mathbf{died} & (I) \\ \mathbf{diem} & (I) \end{array}$	Duke (2)	entire (3)
databases (1)	difference (6)	duly (2)	entities (4)
DATE (9)	different (20)	duplicate (5)	entity (4)
(>)	(-0)	(0)	()

entry (1)
enviable (1)
environment (1)
equipment (1)
equity (1)
error (1)
escalation (1)
especially (2)
establish (2)
established (1)
establishing (1)
estimate (1)
estimates (3)
event (1)
events (3)
eventually (4)
everybody (11)
evidence (2)
exact (4)
exactly (12)
EXAMINATION (4)
examined (1)
example (5)
example (3)
exceeded (1)
excel (1)
excess (1)
excessive (1)
Exchange (1)
excuse (1)
executed (1)
executive (11)
executive (11) executives (25)
exhaustive (2)
Exhibit (21)
EXHIBITS (1)
exist (1)
exit (2)
expect (4)
expectation (2) expectations (2)
expected (3)
expecting (1)
expenditures (4)
expense (9)
expensive (2)
experience (1)
experienced (1)
experiencing (1)

```
expert (2)
expires (1)
explain (29)
explained (2)
explaining (2)
exposed (1)
express (1)
extent (2)
external (1)
extrapolate (2)
extrapolation (1)
extremely (3)
```

< F > **fabricate** (1) **fabrication** (1) faced (1)**fact** (3) factor (14)factors (3)**fail** (1) failing (1)failure (2) fair (2)Fairfield (4) fairly (1)**fall** (3) familiar (5)family (6) **far** (7) farm (2)fatal (1)faux (1)**FBI** (11) February (2) Feckle (3) federal (1) feedback (2) **feel** (10) feelings (1) **fell** (1) fellow (1)**felt** (12) fencepost (1) FICKLING (1)fight (2)

figure (5)

file (27)

figured (3)

filed (8) files (4)filing (4)filings (4)filling (1)final (5)finally (4)finance (9) financial (3)financing (5)**find** (32) finding (1)fine (4)finish (1)finished (2) fire (1)**FIRM** (9) **first** (19) **five** (17) **fix** (1) fixed (4)fixed-price (13) flabbergasted (1) flavor (2)**flow** (6) flowcharts (1) flown (1)fluke (1)Fluor (4)focused (2) focusing (1)folder (1)follow (2)followed (1)following (3)follows (1) follow-up (1)footprint (5) forecasting (1)foregoing (2) form (1)formal (1)former (1)forth (1)forward (3)fossil (1)

frame (13) Frank (1)fraudulent (3) Freedom (1)fricken (3)Friday (1)friendly (1) front (7)fudging (1)**fuel** (11) **full** (4) full-time (2) functions (1) furnish (1)furniture (1) further (2) future (2)

 $\langle G \rangle$ **GA** (1) game (1)**GAS** (8) gasoline (3)gate (1)gated (2) gathered (1)gathering (1) \mathbf{GE} (1) **GENE** (5)GENERAL (15) generally (5)generated (1)generation (5)geniuses (1) gentlemen (1)Georgia (2) getting (16)Gilespie (1) Gina (1)give (26) given (24)giving (2)glad (1)glass (1) **go** (81) goal (3) goals (13)**god** (5) goes (4)

fought (4)

found (13)

four (6)

going (171)	headaches (1)	house (6)	individuals (4)
good (23)	headquarters (1)	housekeeping (3)	inference (1)
good-faith (1)	heads (3)	Houston (1)	influenced (2)
$\mathbf{gosh} (I)$	heads-up (1)	HR (2)	information (30)
gotten (2)	health (2)	huge (6)	injections (1)
governance (1)	hear (7)	hundred (1)	INN (1)
grades (1)	heard (13)	hundreds (1)	input (I)
graduating (1)	hearing (3)	hunt (1)	insanity (1)
great (7)	hearsay (1)	hunt (1)	insight (1)
greed (3)	heart's (1)	husband (6)	install (I)
. ,	* *	` ´	` '
Greenville (1)	heavily (1)	husband's (1)	instance (3)
grid (1)	he'd (7)	hush (1)	instances (1)
Griffin (2)	held (1)	hydro (1)	instruct (1)
ground (1)	hell (2)		integrity (3)
group (3)	helm (1)	<i> (1)</i>	intention (2)
groups (2)	help (6)	icing (1)	interact (2)
grow (6)	helpful (1)	idea (12)	interacted (3)
growing (2)	helping (1)	identification (4)	interaction (1)
growth (11)	hereunto (1)	identified (2)	interactions (1)
G's (5)	Hess (1)	identities (1)	interest (4)
guaranteed (1)	Hey (1)	ill (1)	interested (2)
guess (14)	hierarchy (4)	imagine (1)	interesting (4)
guy (7)	high (3)	impact (6)	interests (3)
guys (5)	higher (2)	impacted (1)	interfered (1)
$\mathbf{guy's} (1)$	highest (2)	impacting (1)	internal (12)
	high-risk (3)	important (4)	internally (1)
< H >	hilarious (1)	impression (2)	interview (5)
hair (1)	Hill (1)	improper (1)	interviews (1)
half (8)	hire (1)	improperly (2)	Intimidate (2)
hall (1)	hired (1)	improvement (1)	introduced (1)
HALTIWANGER	historically (1)	inaccuracy (2)	intrusive (1)
(18)	history (1)	inappropriate (1)	inventory (2)
hand (6)	hit (3)	inappropriately (2)	invest (2)
handed (3)	hitting (1)	inartful (1)	invested (1)
handle (I)	HODGES (2)	incentive (3)	investment (3)
handled (1)	hold (3)	incentives (1)	investor (1)
hands (1)	HOLIDAY (1)	include (2)	investors (2)
happen (1)	holler (2)	included (4)	invite (1)
happened (7)	home (4)	income (8)	invoice (19)
happens (3)	homogeneous (1)	incomplete (1)	invoices (3)
happy (3)	honest (1)	incorrect (1)	involved (19)
hard (3)	honor (1)	increase (5)	involvement (1)
harder (1)	hope (6)	increased (2)	involvement (1)
Harris (1)	horrible (1)	incredible (1)	I-O-N (1)
hate (1)	hospital (2)	incremental (1)	Iris (2)
hated (3)	hot (3)	indexes (1)	Iris (2) Iris's (1)
` '	` '	* *	` ′
hateful (1)	hour (5)	indicate (2)	$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{I's} & (1) \\ \mathbf{island} & (1) \end{array}$
Hayes (1)	hourly (1)	indicating (1)	island (1)
head (5)	hours (5)	indication (1)	issue (13)

issues (7)	kidney (2)	led (5)	lumped (1)
items (1)	kill (1)	left (29)	luxury (1)
its (6)	kind (19)	legal (1)	lying (2)
	KING (1)	legislators (2)	LYLE (3)
<j></j>	Kissam (4)	letter (2)	(0)
Jackass (1)	K-I-S-S-A-M (1)	letters (1)	< M >
jackasses (1)	knew (22)	level (2)	mad (2)
Jackson (3)	knock (1)	levels (1)	magnitude (1)
JAHUE (1)	know (147)	Levine (1)	mail (12)
Jake (7)	knowing (3)	liar (3)	Main (4)
January (13)	knowingly (1)	lie (15)	maintaining (1)
Jeff (21)	knowledge (2)	lied (4)	major (3)
JESSICA (1)	known (2)	lies (4)	makers (1)
Jim (2)	knows (2)	life (7)	making (5)
Jimmy (43)	knucklehead (1)	likes (1)	\mathbf{man} (1)
job (12)	Kochems (5)	limb (1)	manage (1)
jobs (2)	K-O-C-H-E-M-S (1)	line (8)	managed (1)
Joey (1)	Kullen (2)	lines (4)	management (11)
Johnson (7)	K-U-L-E-N (1)	list (6)	manager (12)
joined (1)		listen (3)	managers (6)
joke (1)	<l></l>	little (20)	managing (2)
Jones (6)	labor (5)	live (1)	man-hour (1)
\mathbf{JR} (1)	lacking (2)	lived (1)	man-hours (1)
Judge (1)	lady (1)	living (3)	manpower (2)
JULIE (3)	Lake (3)	LLC (3)	man's (1)
July (3)	land (1)	LLP (1)	March (1)
jump (2)	large (5)	Load (4)	Margaret (3)
jumped (1)	larger (1)	local (1)	Marion (23)
jumping (3)	largest (1)	located (1)	$\mathbf{M-A-R-I-O-N} (1)$
June (4)	last-ditch (1)	LOCATION (2)	mark (2)
junior (2)	lately (1)	locked (1)	marked (9)
	LAW (12)	lode (1)	market (3)
< K >	laws (5)	log (14)	markup (2)
Kaye (1)	lawyer (12)	logged (1)	markups (1)
$\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{E} (1)$	lawyers (2)	long (10)	Marsh (26)
keep (11)	$\mathbf{lay} (1)$	long-term (5)	M-A-R-S-H (1)
keeping (I)	layer (1)	Lonnie (9)	Marty (14)
keeps (1)	laying (1)	look (42)	Mary (3)
Keller (3)	layout (1)	looked (10)	match (1)
K-E-L-L-E-R (1)	layperson (5)	looking (16)	material (9)
Ken (20)	lead (2)	looks (1)	materials (18)
Kenny (3)	leads (1)	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{lose} (3) \\ \mathbf{losing} (2) \end{array}$	math (1)
kept (6)	LEAH (2)	losing (2)	mathematical (1)
Kevin (63)	leap (1)	loss (1)	mathematically (1)
K-E-V-I-N (1) Kevin's (1)	learn (2)	lost (4) lot (23)	matter (6)
key (4)	learned (2) leave (12)	loud (1)	matters (1) max (1)
keyword (1)	leaving (3)	$\begin{array}{c c} \mathbf{loud} & (1) \\ \mathbf{low} & (3) \end{array}$	mean (168)
kidding (1)	LEBRIAN (1)	low (3)	meaning (1)
Muumg (1)			meaning (1)

means (5)
meant (1)
measured (1)
measurement (2)
meat (1)
mechanics (1)
media (2)
medical (10)
medically (1)
meet (1)
meeting (29)
meetings (23)
memo (1)
men (1)
mentality (2)
mention (2)
mentioned (5)
Merit (2)
message (20)
met (6)
method (1)
metrics (2)
metrics-based (1)
Michael (9)
middle (1)
midst (1)
midyear (1)
million (29)
millions (2)
mind (6)
Mine (2)
minor (1)
minute (2)
minutes (1)
minutia (1)
misapprehend (1)
miscellaneous (1)
mishandled (1)
mismanage (2)
mismanagement (3)
mismanaging (1)
misquoting (1)
misrepresentations
(1)
missed (3)
mistakes (3)
misunderstand (1)
Mitch (13)
model (2)

_
Module (2)
moment (1)
Mondoy (1)
Monday (1)
money (19)
month (11)
monthly (5) months (16)
months (16)
$\mathbf{Mood} (1)$
$\mathbf{MOODY} (2)$
MOORE (18)
morale (1)
Mormon (1)
morning (1) Morris (1)
Morris (1)
mother (1)
motions (1)
motions (1) motivation (1)
motto (1)
mouth (2)
movo (2)
move (2) moved (2)
movie (1)
moving (2)
< N >
$\mathbf{nail} (1)$
name (35)
named (3)
names (7)
names (7) nasty (1)
natural (1)
Navy (1)
NDA (1)
NE (1)
near (1)
necessarily (2)
necessarily (2) necessary (1)
neck (1)
need (18)
needed (8)
needs (4)
negotiate (2)
negotiated (5)
negotiating (1)
negotiation (1)
negotiations (3)
neighborhoods (1)
neither (1)
nervous (3)
·~ \~ /

```
net (5)
never (15)
New (12)
news (2)
newspaper (2)
nice (3)
night (1)
nightmare (1)
nine (1)
NND (4)
NOBLES (1)
nodding (1)
Nods (1)
noise (1)
nondisclosure (2)
nonengineer's (1)
no-risk (1)
normally (1)
Notary (1)
notes (1)
Notice (2)
noticed (1)
notified (1)
notorious (1)
November (4)
nuclear (48)
Number (42)
numbers (23)
<0>
oath (1)
Object (1)
objection (1)
objections (2)
obligation (1)
obnoxious (1)
observed (1)
obvious (4)
obviously (2)
occasions (1)
occupation (1)
occupied (1)
occurrence (1)
occurring (2)
October (6)
offer (1)
OFFICE (11)
officer (10)
official (2)
```

```
officials (1)
offline (2)
Off-the-record (2)
Oh (12)
Okay (95)
old (2)
onboard (1)
once (11)
one-man (1)
one's (1)
ongoing (2)
online (1)
on-site (2)
open (2)
operate (2)
operated (3)
operating (5)
operational (1)
operations (4)
opinion (3)
opportunity (7)
opposed (12)
options (2)
order (12)
organization (13)
original (1)
originally (4)
ORS (1)
ought (1)
outcome (2)
outfit (1)
outside (8)
outsource (1)
overall (1)
overhead (1)
overseeing (2)
oversight (1)
oversold (1)
overturned (1)
owed (1)
Owen (2)
owner (4)
owner's (31)
< P >
P.O (2)
package (3)
PAGE (1)
paid (9)
```

PAIGE (1)
painted (1)
panel (2)
paper (3) papering (1)
papers (4)
paperwork (2)
part (16)
participated (1)
particular (3)
particularly (2)
parts (2)
party (2)
pas (1)
pass (2)
passed (1)
pathetic (1) PATRICK (1)
PATRICK (1)
pay (29)
paycheck (2)
paying (7)
payment (6)
payments (8)
payors (6)
payout (3)
payroll (1)
Peachtree (1)
penalties (2)
pending (1)
people (<i>36</i>)
percent (21)
percentage (2)
perfect (2)
performance (8)
performing (1)
period (8)
periodically (1)
Perry (1)
person (19)
personal (3)
personally (1)
personnel (4)
persons (2)
perspective (3)
PF (8)
Phalen (10)
Phalen's (1)
phone (9)
phrase (10)

_ · F · · · · · · · ·
physically (3)
pick (2)
picture (3)
piece (1)
pieces (1)
Pipeline (8)
pissed (3)
pitch (1)
pivotal (1)
place (7)
Plaintiffs (3)
plan (3)
plans (3)
plant (21)
plants (8)
play (5)
played (3)
plays (1)
$\mathbf{PLEAS} (1)$
plug (6)
plummet (1)
plus (2)
PM (1)
pocket (1)
point (23)
policy (1)
politically (1)
politicians (1)
pompous (3)
pop (1)
population (1)
porch (1)
position (20)
positions (1)
positive (1)
possible (1)
possibly (1)
Post (2)
pounds (3)
pour (2)
pouring (1)
power (1)
PowerPoint (1)
practica (1)
practice (1)
prayer (1)
predecessors (2)
predicted (1)

prefer (1)

```
prep (2)
preparation (2)
prepare (2)
prepared (11)
preparing (7)
PRESENT (2)
presented (1)
president (8)
press (1)
pressure (5)
pressuring (2)
pretense (1)
pretty (16)
price (19)
prices (1)
Pricewaterhouse (1)
primarily (1)
principle (1)
printed (1)
prior (12)
privilege (3)
privileged (2)
probable (1)
probably (33)
problem (5)
problematic (1)
problems (7)
process (9)
processes (2)
procurement (2)
produce (1)
produced (3)
producing (2)
product (8)
productive (3)
productivity (1)
professional (5)
professionally (1)
professor (1)
profit (9)
program (2)
programs (4)
progress (2)
project (167)
projected (1)
projection (1)
promised (1)
promote (3)
promoted (4)
```

```
prop (2)
proper (1)
proposition (1)
propping (5)
prospects (1)
protect (1)
protecting (2)
protective (3)
proud (2)
prove (1)
proved (2)
proven (2)
provide (9)
provided (1)
providing (2)
proxy (4)
PSC (24)
PSNC (1)
Public (12)
publicly (1)
pull (2)
pulled (6)
pulling (1)
pump (1)
purchase (2)
purchasing (1)
purpose (3)
purposes (1)
pursuant (1)
push (5)
pushed (1)
pushing (3)
put (33)
putting (3)
```

```
< Q > qualifications (3) quarter (1) quarterly (1) question (10) questioned (2) questioning (1) questions (12) quick (2) quiet (1) quit (2) quite (1) quote (6)
```

RPR (2)

< R >
Rachel (1)
raise (3)
raised (3)
raising (2) raked (1)
ramped (1)
ran (1)
rate (17)
ratepayers (2)
rates (19)
ratio (1)
rational (1)
reach (4)
reached (3)
reactor (1)
read (10)
real (6)
realistic (1)
reality (1)
realized (2)
really (25)
realm (2)
Realtime (2)
reason (7)
reasonable (2)
reasons (3)
re-budgeting (3)
recalculate (1)
recall (10)
receive (4)
receiving (2)
recess (1)
recognize (1)
recollection (1)
recommend (1)
reconciled (1)
record (8)
recorded (1)
recording (1)
records (7)
recoverable (1)
recovered (2)
recovery (5)
recreate (1)
red (1)
redid (1)
· \ \- /
redline (1)
redline (1) re-evaluated (1)

Deposition o
refer (4)
reference (3)
referenced (2)
referred (1)
referring (4)
reflect (1)
reformatted (1)
Refuse (1)
regard (3)
regarding (1)
register (1)
Registered (3)
regular (2)
regulation (1)
regulatory (2)
reimbursements (1)
related (13)
relating (1) relation (2)
relationship (8)
relationships (1)
relative (1)
release (1)
relevant (2)
relied (1)
rely (1)
remanded (1)
remember (28)
remind (1)
report (5)
REPORTED (6)
Reporter (11)
reporting (1)
reports (10)
representative (4)
represented (1)
representing (1)
represents (1)
reprimanded (3)
request (3)
requested (2)
requesting (2)
requests (1)
require (2)
rescheduling (1)
research (1)
resign (3)

resigned (3)

resource (1)

```
respect (9)
respond (4)
responding (1)
response (3)
responsibilities (2)
responsibility (8)
responsible (3)
restated (1)
restroom (1)
result (2)
results (1)
retarded (1)
retire (2)
retired (9)
retirement (1)
return (2)
returned (1)
reveal (2)
revenue (10)
review (12)
reviewed (1)
reviewing (3)
reviews (2)
revised (7)
revising (1)
revision (1)
RICHARDSON (5)
RICHLAND (1)
rid (1)
ridiculous (1)
right (74)
right-hand (1)
risk (16)
risks (5)
RMR (2)
roads (1)
Robinson (1)
Rock (1)
role (5)
rolled (1)
rolling (2)
Ron (17)
\mathbf{roof} (1)
room (7)
rough (4)
roughhouse (1)
roughly (2)
rouse (1)
routine (1)
```

```
rules (3)
run (1)
running (2)
Rusty (1)
\langle S \rangle
safe (1)
salaries (1)
salary (6)
sample (2)
Santee (24)
Sarbanes-Oxley (2)
sat (2)
save (1)
saw (15)
saying (15)
says (3)
say-so (2)
SC (5)
SCANA (112)
scana.com (3)
SCANA's (5)
scandal (1)
SCE (59)
schedule (8)
schedules (1)
schmuck (1)
scientific (2)
scream (2)
screw (2)
screwed (7)
screws (2)
seal (1)
search (4)
searches (2)
searching (1)
seasonal (1)
seat (2)
SEC (9)
second (4)
secretary (1)
secure (1)
secured (2)
Securities (1)
security (1)
see (35)
seeing (2)
seek (1)
```

seeking (1)
seen (8)
sell (1)
selling (2)
SEMI (2)
S-E-M-I (1)
SEMI (2) S-E-M-I (1) SEMI-GAS (2)
send (2)
sends (1)
sends (1) senior (20)
sense (2)
sensitive (1)
sent (7)
sentence (1)
separate (1)
sequence (1)
series (1)
series (1) seriously (1)
serve (1)
served (1)
service (8)
serviced (1)
Services (23)
servicing (1)
session (1)
set (10)
setting (2)
settled (2)
seven (2)
severance (2)
Shakes (1)
Shannon (1)
share (8)
shared (8)
shares (1)
She'd (1)
sheer (I)
sheet (2)
shoots (4)
sheets (4) she'll (1)
Sheri (4)
Shield (3) shift (2)
$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{ship} & (1) \\ \mathbf{Shirloy} & (10) \end{array}$
Shirley (10)
Shirley's (1) shit (2)
shocked (1)
shooting (1)

```
shop (3)
short (1)
shortage (1)
short-pay (1)
short-term (4)
show (3)
showed (4)
shut (2)
sick (1)
sickos (1)
side (3)
sifting (1)
sign (4)
signature (2)
signed (7)
significantly (1)
signing (4)
similar (3)
similarly (1)
simple (1)
simply (1)
single (1)
sit (2)
site (18)
sitting (1)
situated (1)
situation (2)
six (10)
size (5)
sized (1)
skip (4)
slang (1)
slaughterhouse (1)
SLED (8)
slides (2)
slit (1)
small (1)
smaller (2)
smartest (3)
Smith (2)
so-and-so (2)
sold (4)
solicit (1)
somebody (27)
somebody's (1)
something's (1)
somewhat (1)
soon (1)
```

sorry (6)

```
sort (6)
sounds (2)
source (1)
SOUTH (24)
space (3)
SPALDING (1)
speak (1)
speaking (2)
speared (1)
special (3)
specific (4)
specifically (1)
speculating (1)
speechless (2)
spell (2)
spend (3)
spent (13)
Speth (2)
S-P-E-T-H (1)
spirit (2)
spoken (1)
spread (1)
staff (7)
stand (5)
standpoint (2)
start (11)
started (10)
starts (2)
start-up (2)
STATE (4)
stated (2)
statement (6)
statements (5)
station (1)
statistics (1)
status (1)
stay (3)
stayed (1)
stays (2)
steal (1)
steep (1)
steer (1)
stemming (1)
stenographically (1)
step (1)
Steve (15)
Steve's (2)
stick (1)
```

```
stint (1)
stock (17)
stockholders (1)
Stone (1)
stop (4)
stories (3)
story (1)
straight (2)
strategy (5)
streak (1)
stream (6)
streams (1)
Street (5)
Street's (1)
stress (3)
stressed (2)
strictly (2)
stripped (1)
STROM (1)
structure (1)
structured (1)
struggling (1)
stuff (8)
subject (3)
submitted (1)
subpoena (4)
subpoenaed (2)
subscribe (1)
subsidiaries (2)
subsidiary (2)
substance (2)
substancewise (1)
success (1)
successful (4)
sudden (2)
sue (1)
suggest (3)
Summer (10)
sun (1)
Sunset (1)
super (1)
supervisor (1)
supervisory (1)
supplement (1)
supplemental (1)
supplemented (1)
supplied (1)
supplies (1)
supply (1)
```

stiff(1)

support (9)	
supported (2)	
supporting (1)	
supportive (3)	
supports (2)	
supposed (12)	
supposedly (2)	
sure (13)	
surprise (1)	
surprised (1)	
suspicious (1)	
sustainability (1)	
SWAG (1)	
Swan (2)	
S-W-A-N (1)	
swipe (2)	
swiped (1)	
switched (1)	
sworn (3)	
symptomatic (2)	
system (6)	
systems (1)	
• - ()	
< T >	

< T > **tab** (1) table (2)**tag** (1) take (27) taken (11)takes (3)talk (25) **talked** (16) talking (18) tanks (1)target (3) TAYLOR (1) teacher (1) team (31) team's (2)technical (2) technology (3) Ted (2)**tell** (40) telling (15) tells (2) ten (2) tend (1)tended (1)

tenth (1)

term (4)
terminated (2)
terminology (1)
terms (9)
terribly (1)
TERRY (1)
test (4)
testified (3)
testify (5) testimony (56)
thank (1)
thereof (1)
thing (22)
things (22)
think (115)
thinking (3)
thinks (1)
thought (20)
thousands (5)
threatened (1)
three (6)
three-year (1)
throat (2)
Thumb (1)
tie (1)
tied (4)
ties (1)
tight (1)
TIME (76)
timeline (3)
timelines (3)
times (6)
Timmerman (5)
title (2)
titled (3)
titles (2)
today (24)
told (27)
tongue (1)
tooth (1)
top (1)
topic (4)
topics (1)
tore (1)
total (7)
totally (2)

touch (2)

tour (1)

touched (3)

```
trace (1)
track (1)
tradition (1)
trailer (1)
trained (1)
training (2)
transactions (2)
transcribed (1)
transcript (3)
Transcription (2)
transmission (8)
trash (1)
treat (1)
treated (2)
tremendous (1)
tried (1)
tries (1)
triggered (3)
triggering (1)
troubling (1)
trucks (1)
true (1)
trust (1)
trusted (1)
truth (10)
try (22)
trying (43)
turbine (1)
turn (5)
turned (3)
turning (1)
twice (1)
two (32)
type (5)
typed (1)
types (3)
< U >
uh-huh (39)
Uhm (1)
uh-uh (9)
ultimately (2)
umbrella (1)
```

```
understand (14)
understanding (17)
understood (4)
undertaken (1)
underway (1)
unfamiliar (1)
unfortunately (2)
Unit (16)
Units (8)
unpaid (1)
unquote (1)
untrue (1)
unusual (1)
update (1)
updated (3)
upfront (1)
upset (2)
upside (1)
upstanding (1)
use (7)
users (1)
usually (2)
utilities (1)
utility (8)
< V >
various (2)
VC (8)
vehicles (3)
vendor (1)
vendors (4)
verbalize (1)
verify (2)
verse (1)
versus (5)
Vice (8)
view (2)
viewpoint (1)
views (1)
vindicated (1)
violate (1)
violated (1)
violation (1)
visit (1)
Vogtle (1)
voice (19)
volume (1)
VP (9)
\mathbf{VPs} (1)
```

unable (1)

underlie (1)

uncomfortable (1)

undermining (1)

underperformed (1)

underneath (1)

vs (1)	withholding (1)
	within-entitled (1)
< W >	WITNESS (21)
wait (2)	witnesses (1)
waive (1)	wondered (1)
waived (1)	word (5)
walk (2)	words (4)
walked (3)	work (46)
WALKER (15)	workaround (1)
walking (1)	worked (12)
walks (1)	working (10)
Wall (3)	
* *	works (3)
walls (4)	worried (1)
want (57)	worrying (1)
wanted (20)	worst (2)
wants (2)	worth (2)
$\operatorname{war}(4)$	\mathbf{wow} (1)
watch (2)	wrap (1)
watched (2)	wrapped (1)
watchful (1)	wrists (1)
water (3)	writing (5)
watered (1)	written (11)
way (20)	wrong (8)
ways (1)	wrote (3)
wear (1)	WWW.CLARK-ASS
weather (1)	OCIATES.COM (1)
Webster (1)	
WEC (2)	< Y >
weeks (6)	yard (3)
weight (3)	Yeah (45)
Well (84)	year (29)
went (41)	year-end (2)
we're (29)	years (20)
West (1)	yellow (6)
WESTBROOK (1)	Yep (1)
` '	you-all (6)
Westinghouse (24)	` '
Westinghouse's (4)	you-all's (1)
we've (21)	.77
whichever (1)	<z></z>
white (2)	zero (3)
Wicker (3)	zeroed (1)
wife (1)	Ziegler (2)
wilderness (1)	zombie (1)
willing (1)	
Willoughby (5)	
winter (1)	
wished (1)	
witch (1)	
withhold (2)	